Jan. 4, 2015 (#1482)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Allay the Fear for This New Year"
© Alan Watt Jan. 4, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 4, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 4, 2015. I hope you all are recovering from your celebrations and you're get over the rush of buying presents and receiving presents and all of that commercial stuff which everyone goes through and you're getting back to the fact that you're into the new year. The New Year, as always, is full of predictions from all the gurus out there who follow memes and themes and so on, which is quite easy for them to do nowadays, especially the psychic ones that get on certain shows. Because the memes and the themes put it all out there for you, over the last few things that have happened over the last few years and what's likely to happen, all comes from understanding and correlating them and so on so it gets awfully easy to be a sort of foreteller of the future today.

What I do of course is different. I go into the big boys agendas themselves from their own websites, etc. and it's so easy to find the information of where the world is to go because it's planned that way. All the big changes which will affect you are planned that way by big committees, big think tanks, the global meetings and they have, hundreds of meetings every year, all different sections of the United Nations and so on, along with the think tanks, the Brookings Institute and all the other ones. They help plan the future for society, for the big global society as they call it, eventually calling it the Great Society, etc.

But those who have been listening to the show for a long time are well aware of what's really going on, why things happen the way they do. I often try to fill in any news articles I read by putting in the bits that are omitted. They love to omit things in the media and that's when you're lead up the garden path. If you only get part of a story you'll never understand why it's happening, or perhaps even how urgent it happens to be or important it happens to be, because they soften it by omission and so on and they guide you to your conclusions and all that kind of thing.

Well, I can tell you here in Canada where I live in northern Ontario it's been awfully, awfully cold and down

to about, in the centigrades, down to about 30 below quite a few different nights in the past week alone, and the next week is going to be much the same. It doesn't really go above the freezing mark all day, so it's certainly here all right. I'm quite happy with it because it's supposed to be that way. All this nonsense and talk about global warming, it really tends to tick you off because it's a big, big social agenda for controlling the world and the way that you live, right down to what you even eat.

Because it's all down to carbon taxes and the amount of carbon taxes, and energy taxes they call it as well, and how much energy was used to make that particular milk chocolate with the wrapper, how much did the wrapper cost in energy to make it and so on, and that gets all tacked onto the price of product which you purchase. So the boys who traditionally make money on it, and even manage the money system, that's how they make money out of nothing and basically are in control of this, the really big, big boys at the top. And this is a beauty, this one, because now you're getting taxed, really, on your very existence, just for existing and needing to eat and so on or warm yourself. You're going to get taxed on it, taxed, taxed, taxed, taxed, taxed, which all leads to control. So we're well underway to all of this of course as you well know.

As I say, I must thank a lot of folk who have been in touch with me too, to keep me updated on how they're doing with their health and their families and all the rest of it because it's good to have an idea. People aren't just nameless faces to me, they're people and it's important to hear how they're getting on and what life is dealing out to them and if they're getting by or having good little moments and so on along with the bad little moments. Because that's what life is all about really isn't it. So it's good to hear how people are doing, I thank people who are getting in touch and so on.

Now, the carbon taxes are awfully important because they keep playing up this whole agenda, every scientist is on board, on the panel on climate change at the United Nations, and is on an awfully, awfully high salary. The United Nations already gave them blanket immunity if they're caught lying, because they have been caught before, you see. And why should scientists be lying, for instance? A lie is different from a mistake or a false assumption. A lie is when you know you're putting out a false, and contrived, assumption, a big difference there, to get a political end to it, and maybe an increase in their salary as well. Because they're paid awfully good money for sitting on boards, and they've got nice clean hands, there's no calluses on them because they don't do any work, they do computer modeling all the time and so on. They're on board with all the socialistic agendas for supposedly the redistribution of wealth, which is nothing to do about giving wealth to the poor folk. It's about putting your tax money of your country into Third World countries, to put the international factories into their countries for them to get cheap labor, we built the factories, and that's what they mean by foreign aid. Lots of things like this are going on all the time.

Most of life, in fact, to do from government today, via its big arm the media, the regular media, is to con you. It's a con job to get you to go along with things. They give you kind of idea that the world is being run by great people, awfully honest people, who are better than you in their honesty and decency and so on, and they have your best interests at heart. And nothing is further from the truth. There's no litmus test to get into these positions of power, except by observation from outside and that is to do with science, the science of studying the personalities for psychopathy. If there was a litmus test for that I guarantee you every government would have lots of vacant seats, probably all of them, in fact all of the seats as well. Because the psychopaths gravitate towards powerful positions. And there are degrees of psychopathy, remember. Don't forget that scientists depend on grants getting dished out to them to keep them going in a nice lifestyle, and again, the clean hands with no calluses. All they have to do is turn out a paper maybe once or twice a year, they can even repeat it over and over, the same one, and the money keeps rolling into them. So they are all on board with this great moneymaker of climate change, as they call it now rather than just global warming. It's much better to call climate change since it covers everything and the warming hasn't been really going along with their agenda. They actually wish in fact that they had actually picked their original one that they had which was global cooling and an Ice Age coming. But they picked the wrong one, the wrong horse, and now we're back on to global warming. But that doesn't matter if you are under 10 feet of snow, they'll still say it's global warming that's causing the 10 feet of snow. It's quite an amazing world we live in.

And that's how the world is really run, folks. Everyone is taught to be free, that you are free, etc. But you really aren't free at all. There's always been slavery in some form or another, as Charles Galton Darwin said and he was part of the big global society of scientists who helped work on creating a new more sophisticated

form of slavery, as he called it. Well, that means that you're going into austerity. You won't know you're going into austerity by force, you'll think it's all for the good of the planet, or the good of this or the good of that. But really it's just to tax everything off of you, as I say, your very existence is taxed so that they can force you to do everything, including what you eat and wear and everything else and so on and so on.

Now, here's an article from RT News and it says:

'Winter hell': Snowstorm paralyzes major Russian highway (PHOTOS)
rt.com / December 28, 2014

Russia has seen many harsh winters – but even here, drivers don't welcome wintry roads. Heavy snow and hail turned part of a highway running from Moscow to Rostov into a parking lot. Cars were reportedly buried under snow upon stopping for just a minute.

And it goes on about what happened there. Then you go further on and they say that, in Britain, you have other things happening too, about motorways getting close down and so on. It's quite, this is... I guess it's like an Ice Age we're going into, isn't it, especially an Ice Age we're heading into, there's no doubt about it. Then we go into this one:

Britain unprepared for severe blackouts,
secret Government report reveals
telegraph.co.uk / Emily Gosden / 28 Dec 2014

Britain is unprepared for prolonged blackouts, with increased death rates, rising public disorder and high-risk criminals on the loose among the likely consequences if major energy networks are seriously damaged, a secret (Alan: I love how they always say 'a secret'.) Government security assessment has found. (A: Secret. It's in the newspaper, the Telegraph here. Now, they're always doing contingency plans for everything. See, government's first order of the day is its own survival, that's number one. They're always doing assessments on what could go wrong and them losing their power, that's standard, that's always been that way. But this says:)

The UK's contingency plans for severe power cuts are based on numerous flawed or untested assumptions and need to be revised, according to documents obtained by the Telegraph.

The assessment, codenamed Exercise Hopkinson, examined what would happen if a severe storm knocked out crucial energy infrastructure in southwest England, plunging two million homes into darkness for up to two weeks.

Transport networks would be paralysed and emergency services would struggle to cope, fuel to run backup generators may be inaccessible and the dead may not be buried, it found.

The assessment, which involved officials from all key departments and major industries, took place this summer following 12 months of preparation.

As I say, they do this all the time, this kind of thing. Back in the 80s when they did it when Thatcher was in, to do with even a nuclear war, what would happen, and out of that came the documentary and a movie called Threads and so on. But they do look upon break down in society, panic, gangs arising and going out on the pillage for food and so on, and all of the things that could happen, all the disaster things that could happen. This article here's from the Independent and it says:

UK weather: 'Coldest night of the year' tonight as freezing temperatures plummet to -10C
independent.co.uk / Lamiat Sabin / 28 December 2014

Temperatures are set to plunge over the next 24 hours with tonight forecast to be the coldest of the year so far with lows down to -10C and the likelihood of ice.

The freezing climate caused by high-pressure sweeping over from Iceland will hold the country in a bitterly-

cold snap until at least Wednesday with clear and crisp nights expected.

(A: Then they warn drivers for dangerous icy roads and so on.)
Drivers are warned of icy dangerous travel conditions for the whole country with nighttime lows of -3C expected in the south, -8C in the northern parts and -10C in the Scottish valleys.

Tonight is expected to beat the record of the current coldest night of the year, which was two days ago on 26 December in Braemar, Scotland, where the mercury hit -8.5C. The rest of the UK is also likely to experience the lowest temperatures on record for 2014.

(A: It's balmy to me, that, when I look at that kind of weather over there because I can get to -30°C to -40°C, and worse.)

Met Office forecaster Simon Partridge said: “The very low temperatures in Scotland indicate that it will definitely be the coldest night of the year so far.”

So, it's global warming, you see. Then we have this article here to talking about what I was mentioning earlier, carbon credits. It's also important to follow this carbon credits thing because it's to bring all your spending money, all your spending money is eventually to go to taxes and fees and so on for energy taxes and carbon taxes and so on. You won't see big bags of carbon getting collected or anything like that, it's all to be in your imagination and computer models. It goes to the guys who own the right to take this carbon in, at least they are allowed to take all the money in from you, like the Rothschilds' private bank in Switzerland and the guys that are associated with Al Gore and other ones too, who helped push all this thing because they want of the loot for, really, doing nothing. Isn't that wonderful, it will all come into you. It says here that:

Carbon credit exchange program final plan
announced before Jan. 12 opening
hani.co.kr / Dec.10,2014 / Bang Jun-ho

The final plan for running the carbon credit exchange that will open in Jan. 2015 has been announced. The credit market will be open from 10 am until noon, with bids limited to a range of 10% more to 10% less of the price at the close of trading the previous day.

(A: So the big corporations can trade their credits too. It's wonderful for corporations, eh, isn't it?)

On Dec. 9, Korea Exchange announced that it had finalized operating regulations and other aspects of the system for the carbon credit exchange. The market will officially open on Jan. 12, 2015.

525 companies that are being allocated carbon credits will participate in the credit exchange market, along with the Korea Development Bank, the Industrial Bank of Korea, and Korea EximBank.

From 9 am, one hour before the market opens, until it closes at 12 pm, participants in the market will use a bid input program, which is similar to a home trading system (HTS), to submit bids that are -10% to +10% of the base price.

(A: Isn't it amazing. It's just like all the other derivatives and so on getting gambled on this big pie-in-the-sky, this big abstract thing that just gets juggled in the sky, and we pay up and pay up and pay up as the big corporations can buy and sell their credits. Not the carbon, mind you, after all, they can't collect carbon so you've got money credits that represent carbon. Isn't that beautiful. I mean, it's better having on the dollar In God We Trust, at least you can trust in something, you can imagine a God, even when you're not supposed to you can try and picture God, they say it's supposed to be prohibited. But you can't imagine a bag of nothing, carbon, I mean, you just can't do it, can you. But you're supposed to. Because these are, you know, professional people who dreamed all this chicanery up. It's quite something.)

A carbon credit exchange is a method of allowing companies to buy and sell credits corresponding to the total amount of a country’s carbon emissions. Currently, such exchanges are believed to be the most

effective way to achieve goals for reducing carbon emissions. (A: What a lie that is! What a lie that is, isn't it?)

The goal of the South Korean government is to reduce emissions to 70% of predicted greenhouse gas emissions levels for 2020, with market operations divided into three phases.

The system of credit allocation and penalties (A: See, you get penalties as well.) has already been adjusted after industrial interests drew attention to problems with the carbon credit market. Allocations and penalties are the key to creating an active credit market since these provide an incentive for companies to participate in the market, but these rules were relaxed under pressure from big business.

And remember too, all these corporations who are gambling with the carbon credits, were given the credits for free from all the governments, millions and millions of dollars worth to get it started. You won't be getting that for you, because you see, they're going to bring in personal carbon credits now, that's where it's all to go, get you used to this idea, then it's your turn, you see. That's how train animals, bit by bit by bit by bit, you see, then you put the harness on. Now, how do these carbon credits work? At least, how is it put across as actually working? And this is from Carbon Planet. It says here, this article is all about, all about it, you see.

Carbopedia
carbonplanet.com

Types of Carbon Credits (A: You know, for dummies.)

Essentially, the types of carbon credits can be split into two forms, those within the voluntary market and those within the compliance market. Each type of carbon credit adheres to a particular standard or certification. (A: How can gas adhere to anything, eh?)

Compliance Carbon Credits

More information on the compliance market can be found here. (A: It gives you a link on where to find that; it's probably written by lawyers, to totally bamboozle you.)

Certified Emission Reduction (CER) units

The most common type of compliance credit is a CER (Certified Emission Reduction unit) which originates from projects in developing counties. Certification and overall approval of these abatement projects and their credits is known as the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). (A: So, the developing countries are the dirtiest countries, but you in another country can pay for the clean country, even though it's not clean it all, but you paid for the carbon that they're putting out. Isn't that nice, you can share the pain.)

Emission Reduction Unit (ERU)

Like CER in developing nations, within developed nations, a mechanism known as Joint Implementation or JI, produces compliance credits referred to as Emission Reduction Units or ERUs.

New South Wales Greenhouse Gas Abatement Certificate (NGAC) (A: For Australia.)

The New South Wales Greenhouse Abatement Certificate (NGAC) certification process is comprehensive. It includes Kyoto Protocol measures (A: Again, all these... You never get an invitation to go to the Kyoto protocols, or any of these protocols, where they go and sign deeper into, and deeper and deeper into the mire.), but goes beyond these. In summary the NGAC certification process ensures the following:

*That each NGAC represents one tonne of carbon dioxide stored for at least 100 years. (A: But where are they storing it? Or, who's grabbing it? Eh? It isn't, you see.)

*That the trees have been planted since 1990.

*That the trees weren't planted on old growth forest cleared land (the land must have been clear prior to 1990).

*That should the tree from which your carbon credit came come to any harm within 100 years of your purchase e.g. fire, disease, logging; that carbon credit will be replaced immediately from another source. (A: So, if you have to wait for 100 years for it and so on, for any returns on this thing, good luck to you, folks, eh, they've got you coming and going.)

Voluntary Carbon Credits

More information on the voluntary market can be found here. (A: And they give you the link.) The credit types below are just a sample of the most commonly used products in Australia and globally. Many more types exist overseas and if you want more information on these, please contact us.

Voluntary Carbon Unit (VCU) or Voluntary Carbon Standard (VCS) credit

The VCS Programme provides a robust (A: love these words.), global standard for approval of credible voluntary carbon credits.

VCS credits or Voluntary Carbon Units (VCU) must be real (A: Unlike the other stuff, which isn't real I suppose, eh.), the abatement must have occurred, they must be additional by going beyond business-as-usual activities (A: I guess everything's dead.), be measurable, permanent, not temporarily displace emissions, the findings need to be independently verified
(A: Oh big government bureaucracies are just expanding like cancers across the planet here, and lawyers, eh.) and unique so they cannot be used more than once to offset emissions. The VCS is the most widely known and chosen standards in the voluntary market due to its Kyoto compatibility as well as its ability to manage a wide range of project types and methodologies. (A: What a lot of gobbledygook, eh. Now, what's the next one?)

Verified (or Voluntary) Emissions Reduction (VER) and Gold Standard VER (A: I guess we've got bronze and all the rest of it, maybe down to tin at the bottom, the personal ones, you'll have tin standards.)

The most popular type of carbon credit used to offset emissions around the world voluntarily is a VER, a Verified or Voluntary Emission Reduction unit and there are many different types. Before CDM or JI projects deliver credits used for Compliance purposes such as CERs and ERUs (A: [Alan laughing.] I can't even keep a straight face with this rubbish, eh.) they can produce VERs. These credits can be verified to a number of specific standards, including the Gold Standard. Not all projects go on to register within the CDM or JI, often due to the size of the project and the inhibitive costs associated with compliance registration (A: That's just to register the thing, they bankrupt you.), so their choice of one or more of these voluntary standards is made based on its overall viability and compatibility to them.

Renewable Energy Certificate (REC)

A REC is not a carbon credit that represents one tonne of CO2 emissions but rather a unit that relates to how much CO2e is saved by the adoption of renewable energy (A: I guess you can adopt it now, eh, and give it names maybe.) and how efficiently one mega watt hour (MWh) of electricity can be produced. This can vary from as little as a 500 kilos of CO2e, to as much as almost two tonnes from older, less efficient power stations. Like carbon credits, in an attempt to faze out and replace traditional, emission intensive activities, RECs provide financial subsidies for the power sector to help renewable energy projects become more viable around the world.

Isn't this wonderful, eh. You get a bunch of dictionary... See, every department and everything we hear now, you need a whole dictionary for itself, and a set of them maybe. Because it's all, you know. I can remember the movie The Devil's Advocate, and Al Pacino played the devil. He says to this guy he is apprenticing, how do you think we're taking this world over? He says, every year the universities across the world are churning out lawyers, thousands, millions of lawyers, he says, that's how we're taking the planet

over, armies of them. And this is the rubbish they put out there, the way that they word everything, you see. Wonderful, isn't it. Jobs for the boys, the little devils. In fact you know, I think there's a little devil born every minute. I really do. There's never been such gainful employment for them. Because they can put their imaginations to work dreaming up this kind of stuff all the time, and how to scam you, and get rich and powerful over you by doing all the scamming. Not bad, eh. Not bad at all.

Then you have this one here:

UN Carbon Credit Supply to Drop on Climate Vows: GDF Suez
businessweek.com / Mathew Carr / December 19, 2014

Emission-cutting projects overseen by the United Nations will probably reduce supply of credits into international markets as developing nations set up alternative programs at home, according to GDF Suez SA. (A: South Australia.)

China's preliminary plan for a national greenhouse-gas market published last week means projects will now be more inclined to use programs within their own country, said Philipp Hauser, vice president, carbon markets at GDF Suez Energy Latin America, a unit of the world's biggest utility. China's move may be followed by others and credits changing hands this week for 50 euro cents ($0.61) a metric ton (A: A metric ton [Alan laughing.]) may rise to a "few euros" by 2020, he said yesterday from Rio de Janeiro.

An increased tendency to hold rather than sell carbon credits is underpinned by developing nations joining richer countries in accepting emission limits from 2020, under the UN process of tabling climate contributions through July next year.

This is the scam at the United Nations, you know, it's not a country, remember, it's a Corporation, and a private one at that. And no citizen in the world, outside the political leaders that all committed treason by signing on to it, no citizen in the world has a chance to vote on anything, and it's supposed to run your lives, for all the scam artists that really run it all, together, above the United Nations. It's quite something, isn't it? Quite something.

And here's the next topic. See, everything is coming down to units. See, you're a unit, you're a production unit. Remember that. You're certified at birth that you actually are, you know, you're there, and that gives you the given name, etc. that you need in the law to start taxing you and everything else, and taking you off to school and brainwash you, things like that. But you are a unit, a production unit. The idea is that you end up going through the system, working for as many years as you possibly can, paying taxes for government for all their big scam projects and things, and huge paychecks, servants love to live better than their masters, always, and then hopefully, they hope at the end you'll die quietly and quickly. Rather than the money that you've put into all the different medical insurances, getting used on you, therefore... See, your productive life is over, they want you to simply die. Your purpose, see, you're a productive unit, that's what your job is, not to be happy and enjoy yourself by getting married or whatever. No, you're supposed to be a productive unit, that's coming down to the brass tacks now, you see.

For years and years... The socialists began in the early 1900s, that went into the system such as George Bernard Shaw who said, when we rule, meaning the socialists system, the real socialist system of what they think of as elite intelligentsia running the world, then you'll have to come to us, he said, and tell us why we should allow you to live. Because your whole purpose is to serve the system, contribute to the system, right up to your last breath. And it's all here. They always blame Hitler for it all. No, no, no, Hitler copied it from Britain and elsewhere, the eugenics program, you see. And I say Britain but I should really say London. London is the capital where everything, all the cancers start in Britain, all down through history, and the international bankers and everything else. Everything from the money system and the bankers is corrupt, which means the whole system is, which is your whole reality, folks.

And as you start to get ill of course they're prattling on about the cost of, oh keeping you in the hospital and my God, you know. Because you see, emotions go out the window in this age of moral relativity, etc., and it's down to brass tacks, the cost per unit, the cost, that's you, per unit. What's your status in the community? What your status in society? Why should they keep you alive? You, little old you, you see.

When they started off euthanasia it was in Holland, never mind Switzerland where you can go and get bumped off, but you can go to Holland, you see, and Holland is starting to introduce it for people there. And they always come out with things, you can say, well I guess maybe you know, somebody who is really bad with cancer or whatever... And that's how they get all these things on the books, it doesn't matter what it is, and once it's on the books they expand it and expand it. And I said at the time years ago, on the air literally, that eventually if you get a bit depressed they'll be bumping you off for that. Or just, oh I'm getting fed up, I'm going through a blues phase... oh don't be afraid, we'll kill you, how is that. It's cheaper than treating you.

Number of mentally ill patients killed by euthanasia in Holland trebles in a year as doctors warn assisted suicide is 'out of control'
dailymail.co.uk / Simon Caldwell /3 October 2014

(A: Now, under the racial hygiene bill, and the mental hygiene bill, it's true, the Nazis did go in and start killing everyone off who had a mental illness, like a long-term mental illness, in the hospitals, and those who were considered to be mentally subnormal as they put it, and they got that term from Britain as well. But anyway, here is Holland moved into there now of mentally ill patients getting bumped off now.)

-42 people with mental illnesses killed by lethal injection in Holland last year
-This is three times more than the number who were euthanized in 2012 (A: See, everything expands.)
-Overall figures for assisted deaths show a 15 per cent surge in a year
-Doctors warn that the Dutch euthanasia experiment is 'out of control'
-UK Assisted Dying Bill (A: Which they keep pushing in Britain.) to be discussed again in Parliament next month

The latest official figures also revealed a 15 per cent surge in the number of euthanasia deaths from 4,188 cases in 2012 to 4,829 cases last year. (A: And it gives you a little video and so on.)

The incremental rise is consistent with a 13 per cent increase in 2012, an 18 per cent rise in 2011, 19 per cent in 2010 and 13 per cent in 2009.

The rise is also likely to confirm the fears of Dutch regulator Theo Boer who told the Daily Mail that he expected to see euthanasia cases smash the 6,000 barrier in 2014.

Overall, deaths by euthanasia, which officially account for three per cent of all deaths in the Netherlands, have increased by 151 per cent in just seven years. (A: I wonder how much the doctors get paid for doing that too.)

Most cases - some 3,600 people – involved cancer sufferers but there were also 97 people who died at the hands of their doctors because they were suffering from dementia, the figures show. (A: Now, once you get into dementia and mental illness, that's just, it will go across the board, oh, you've got schizophrenia, we can bump you off now, and so on.)

The figures, however, do not include cases of so-called terminal sedation, where patients are given a cocktail of sedatives and narcotics before food and fluids are withdrawn.

Studies suggest that if such deaths were added to the figure then euthanasia would account for one in eight – about 12.3 per cent – of all deaths in the Netherlands.

Dr Peter Saunders of the Christian Medical Fellowship said the Dutch experiment proved that doctor-assisted death was impossible to effectively regulate.

‘Euthanasia in the Netherlands is way out of control,’ he said.

‘The House of Lords calculated in 2005 that with a Dutch-type law in Britain we would be seeing over 13,000 cases of euthanasia per year,’ he continued. (A: Mind you, they never continue, they never mention how

many thousands of families and old folk are dying in their homes in Britain every winter, I could go into that as well.)

‘On the basis of how Dutch euthanasia deaths have risen since this may prove to be a gross underestimate.

‘What we are seeing in the Netherlands is “incremental extension”, the steady intentional escalation of numbers with a gradual widening of the categories of patients to be included.’

He said there was a similar pattern of increasing numbers of assisted suicide and euthanasia in the US state of Oregon, Switzerland, and Belgium.

Dr Saunders said: ‘The lessons are clear. Once you relax the law on euthanasia or assisted suicide steady extension will follow as night follows day.’ (A: So there ya go.)

Now, a lot of the other NGOs that are pushing for it all, again, they did nice little stories and so on. But remember too, they also get the grants, some from different governmental departments, and big Pharma too, and some of the big medical insurance industries, if you really dig into things, folks. Nothing out there is what it claims it is or what it appears to be, especially when it's there to help you, you see. Then this one from the mail as well and it says:

The country where death is now just a lifestyle choice:
A mum with ringing ears.
Babies whose parents don't want them to suffer.
They've all been allowed to die by assisted suicide in Holland
dailymail.co.uk / Sue Reid / 1 January 2015

-Andre Verhoeven planned to retire at 65 to travel the world with wife Dora
-He was diagnosed with acute leukemia and was told there was no cure
-He chose to end his life at 64 and died in January last year

-Gaby Olthuis suffered ‘24-hour noise’ in her head, ‘like a train screeching'
-To end her suffering, she was given a lethal potion to drink at her home
-She left behind two teenage children, a boy of 13 and a girl aged 15 (A: By the way, they just came out with new medication for that too, it's supposed to really change it dramatically. That's what happens, eh.)

No one would have predicted that such a devoted husband and family man would one day choose to die by a lethal injection administered by his own GP.

(A: Now, when your doctors are in the business of killing you, folks, you'd better get your hackles in your hair standing up. You'd really better. I mean, already... It's like Ontario here, if you go into hospital in Ontario you’re automatically put down as a donor, whether you know it or not. And there are people making such money off of organ transplants, and I know some surgeons by the way who do this stuff, they are sizing you up when you go into those hospitals for how much money you are worth, dead. And your GP is in the business now, getting paid by the state or whatever, to bump you off as well. Then what do they do with your body? A lot of them, again, will whisk it off to, you know, bits and pieces, massive money involved in that.)

Andre Verhoeven had planned to retire at 65 to travel the world with his wife, Dora. Instead, he was diagnosed with acute leukemia, a cancer of the blood, for which he was told there was no cure. (A: And so on and so on, and they say:)

‘His end was so peaceful,’ recalls his daughter Bregje, 37, a writer. ‘Once my father had decided on euthanasia, he was relieved. He was looking forward to the date he would die. In the last few days he was able to say goodbye to his family, his friends, to talk about old times.’

(A: And this is the sort of thing they give you, to get you, well, well, you know, oh well. Do you understand, with the medications they have, which they freely distributed amongst all the people on the

streets for street drugs, they can give you it in the hospitals. And all this nonsense about they are afraid they'll get the patient addicted, if you've got a week to live, folks, who cares if they get addicted in the last week as they are dying? At least they'll be pain-free. But that's the excuse they give. But as I say, the government is making sure, you know, that all the heroine, which is processed, and the different stuff that ends up on the street in your country, that's why we protect the poppy fields in Afghanistan, by our militaries. Anyway it goes on to say:)

You might be entitled to think that what people do in Holland is their business and nothing to do with us in Britain. But you could not be more wrong.

If campaigners have their way, the law will be changed here, too, to allow those who wish to end their life to do so at a time of their choosing. For opponents of euthanasia, this raises grave moral questions, as well as concerns that unscrupulous relatives might take advantage of elderly family members — whose estates (A: ...the cash part of course...) they might covet — by encouraging them to end their lives.

One of the most vociferous and courageous voices in the campaign to legalise assisted dying was Debbie Purdy, who passed away last week at the age of 51 after refusing food for a year. She had said her hunger strike was painful and difficult, but that her life with progressive multiple sclerosis was 'unacceptable'. (A: ... and they go on and on and on.)

So they always get massive emotion into these things when there's much bigger, bigger things behind it all. Believe you me, there's big things behind this all. And believe you me, the UN too would love to see the amount of people, not just not getting born but also dying earlier, they've already said that. They've even had sci-fi movies out that when your time came up for your productive life then you just walked into a little booth and got zapped, that was you, gone. And it was put across as being very practical, you know, it was very logical and practical and...

Mobile death squads to kill sick and elderly in their own homes leads to surge in suicide rates in the Netherlands - dailymail.co.uk / 24 September 2013

You are all dollars and cents, folks, that's what you are. I can also remember too, that in Holland they've already had mistakes made. Don't forget, hospitals are notorious for mistakes, you know, you go in to get one arm operated on, they cut the left one off or something and things like that. It does happen, folks. And there was a nun in Holland, nuns couldn't put themselves down to be terminated, it's against their religion, their vows and all the rest of it, but they've done it in Holland.

Now, I've always said government would be into every facet of your life. All you're doing your whole life long is being retrained and upgraded and retrained, you see. For those who own you and master you, you see. But this says:

New diet guidelines might reflect environment cost
wral.com / January 2, 2015 / MARY CLARE JALONICK

WASHINGTON — For years, the government has been issuing guidelines about healthy eating choices. Now, a panel that advises the Agriculture Department is ready to recommend that you be told not only what foods are better for your own health, but for the environment as well. (A: See how they start tying it all in together.)

That means that when the latest version of the government's dietary guidelines comes out, it may push even harder than it has in recent years for people to choose more fruits, vegetables, nuts, whole grains and other plant-based foods — at the expense of meat. (A: Now, they've already come out on radio, I've heard it on the radio, which means they're obviously on TV doing the same thing.)

The beef and agriculture industries are crying foul, saying an environmental agenda has no place in what has always been a practical blueprint for a healthy lifestyle.

(A: This article goes on to talk about what's good to eat and what isn't good to eat and so on, for you, you

see, the big agenda, the social/environmental agenda is all part of it too. It says here...)

Once the recommendations are made, the Agriculture and Health and Human Services departments will craft the final dietary guidelines, expected about a year from now. Published every five years, the guidelines are the basis for USDA's "My Plate" (A: Well, eventually with 'my plate' it will have nothing on it when they're finished, eh.) icon that replaced the well-known food pyramid in 2010 and is designed to help Americans with healthy eating. Guidelines will also be integrated into school lunch meal patterns and other federal eating programs.

But that's not what the feds themselves will be eating, as always, you know. That's how it goes. Then of course the meat industry is hammering back at them, etc. etc. etc. So it's a big social agenda underway, and it encompasses everything you can touch, environment, pollution, you name it, every excuse they've got, climate change, yada yada yada, sustainability, sustainability and so on, all one big agenda, you see, and every part of it IS part of it as far as they are concerned. Anything they haven't thought of yet they will shortly, I'm sure.

This article here about raw milk and it says:

Raw milk producers to be forced to make their product unpalatable under new Victorian regulations
abc.net.au / 27 Dec 2014

Raw milk producers will be subject to tough new restrictions, making it harder to sell the product for human consumption, the Victorian Government has said. (A: In Australia.)

Under the new regulations, dairy farmers producing milk must either make it safe for human consumption or make it unpalatable by adding a bittering agent.

"Raw milk producers will have to either treat the milk with a pasteurization process to make sure that any harmful bacteria are killed before there is a risk that consumers will drink it," Victorian Minister for Consumer Affairs Jane Garrett said.

"If they don't wish to go through this pasteurization process, they will be required to add a very small drop of an agent that makes the milk entirely unpalatable. (A: Entirely. In other words, you'd better just pour it in the sewer.)

"This means that the smallest amount will make the individual recoil in horror, which will prevent absolutely the deliberate or accidental consumption." (A: Isn't that just disgusting! We're hear from the government and we're here to help you... Argh. So there it goes and so on and so on. So even the ways that folk got around it before to try and get good healthy, you know, whole milk will be, they can't use it. They were getting around by saying it was for bath milk. It says:)

Raw milk is sold in Victoria as bath milk and labelled "not safe for human consumption".

But on December 11, Victoria's Chief Health Officer Dr Rosemary Lester said she was concerned that cosmetic milk was being sold next to drinking milk, and had written to Victorian Consumer Affairs about the issue.

Oh, these busybodies with nothing to do, eh. Maybe, I do know, she's married, maybe she should get, who knows. And here's another one:

School teachers forced to wear scarlet letter flu masks,
gloves when they refuse flu shots that don't even work
naturalnews.com / December 29, 2014 / Ethan A. Huff

(A: Do you understand, this is all behavior modification, folks, where you train the animals step-by-step, what you eat and all the rest of it, how you're going to live, by your public servants. And they'll say, well lots of the public want this. No, they're talking about the NGOs that work for the big corporations that run the

foundations that pay these NGOs. It says here that:)

The vaccine mafia is upping the stakes in its endless war against freethinking and personal choice. A shocking new report indicates that some daycare workers in Texas are now being forced to wear face masks and gloves throughout the duration of "flu season" if they refuse to be injected with live viruses and mercury. (A: Why not put a star on their tunic or something like they did in the concentration camps? Why not do that? It's the same thing, folks, the same techniques.)

Citing a letter received from a concerned daycare worker in the College Station area of central Texas, VacTruth.com reports that at least one daycare center in the region, Covenant Presbyterian Children's Center (CPCC), has implemented the egregious policy, which is akin to forcibly labeling all resisters with a giant scarlet letter in order to coerce compliance.

The board of directors at the CPCC reportedly voted recently to require that all unvaccinated teachers and staff members wear facemasks and gloves through the end of February, supposedly to prevent the spread of flu. But such a requirement is both unscientific and discriminatory, eliminating any option for dignified free choice.

"Employers should promote a stigma-free workplace, and making employees wear a mask and gloves while teaching is discriminatory," maintains VacTruth.com.

CDC: Flu masks don't prevent influenza spread.

Many hospitals across the nation have instituted similar unconstitutional policies, including hospitals in nearby Houston. And the funny thing about this is the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has stated that flu masks don't even work to prevent the spread of flu. (A: It's nothing to do with that. See, it's not even to do with the topic. It's conditioning you to do what you're told, prodding the animals, prodding the animals, you see, coerce, coerce, coerce.)

"No recommendation can be made at this time for mask use in the community by asymptomatic persons, including those at high risk for complications, to prevent exposure to influenza," states the CDC on its website.

"No studies have definitively shown that mask use by either infectious patients or health-care personnel prevents influenza transmission."

So again, why are the animals taking it like this? Isn't it like Animals Farm, you should all kind of rebel? Huh? George Orwell's Animal Farm, shouldn't you start rebelling, folks, and say that's it, get off our backs. Of this pseudo-psychology socialism, it's way past the point of no return. And again, they admitted this year that the three primary flues that they picked for the shots were the wrong ones. But you'd better get it anyway, you should get it anyway. Why? If it's not going to work what's the point? Well, they actually have stated, they want you to get it anyway to keep you in training to keep getting it, that's why. [Alan chuckles.]

And then this article too, which is awfully interesting, about the chip, you know, the chip in the skin, implants and so on. We know they started with the usual thing, oh it's to help Parkinson's patients or folk with Alzheimer's that may wander off and so on, to track them and trace them and so on. But again, here's the other thing, the pill, the contraceptive pill. It says:

Skin implant replaces the Pill: New chip lasts for 16 years and can be turned off by remote control when you want to conceive
dailymail.co.uk / Fiona Macrae / 30 December 2014

But scientists have invented an electronic chip that when slipped under the skin releases daily doses of contraceptive, freeing a woman from the need to remember to take the pill.

Once in place, the postage stamp-sized device works for up to 16 years – roughly half a woman's

reproductive life.

In contrast, the various contraceptive implants that are already on the market only last for up to five years.

Finally, in a development that sounds like science fiction, the chip comes with a remote control that allows the woman to simply turn it off if she decides to try for a family.

(A: Well, guess who else will have the remote control who can turn it on or off? If they want to do it across the whole region just turn them on and no one conceives. Thinks about the power of all of this, folks. We're here to help you, eh.)

In contrast, existing devices such as coils cannot be deactivated. Instead, they have to be removed – a process that can be painful and is only done at a clinic.

The device, which could be on the market by 2018, consists of a case contains the chip, a battery and electronics for drug release and for wireless communication to the remote control.

(A: So, there you go, folks eh. What else could they put in that if they wanted to, eh? Think about it. Look at the power you're handing over. But it's so convenient. You give up all freedoms for convenience, don't you, you've already done a lot of it. It goes on to talk about all the scientific things of it to do with, you know, how it's made and so on, the technology, which we're supposed to be amazed by that. Personally it bores me to death, technology these days, you get so fed up with it all, to be honest with you, you know, where it's all going. It says:)

The chip contains a series of tiny wells, each packed with a daily dose of levonorgestrel, a hormone widely used in existing contraceptives.

Each well is covered with an ultra-thin titanium and platinum seal that prevents the drug from being released until needed.

At a pre-programmed release time, a small electrical current melts the metal cap on a single well, releasing the contraceptive into the bloodstream.

The remote control can be used to over-ride the programme when needed.

(A: And here's an interesting little thing right here, right.)
The system's co-inventor is Robert Langer, one of the world's top scientists. His other achievements range from growing an ear on the back of a mouse (A: ...that's awfully helpful, that.) to creating a spray that keeps frizzy hair at bay. (A: There you go. I wonder what this chip is going to do to you, eh.)

The chip can be adapted to dispense other medicines (A: See, there ya go.) and has already been trialled in osteoporosis patients. (A: They can trial it, it doesn't mean it works.)

In trials on elderly women, it worked just as well as regular injections of the bone-building drug teriparatide.

Crucially, many said the device was so comfortable that they often forgot it was there. (A: I wonder if they often forget where the remote is.)

However, much work remains to be done. It will have to be shown to be an effective contraceptive and, crucially, the company must find a way of stopping hackers from taking control of the chip.

(A: [Alan laughing.] Everything used to be so easy, wasn't it? It really was, which is common sense, and it was also incredibly easy. And I'll tell you another thing too you see, these chips were initially developed for, were to inject into people who are convicts. Now, in today's society anyone can be labeled a convict for 1000 different reasons with all the new laws they are always throwing out there, or even antisocial behavior which can just mean talking back and just things like that. This is how they're going to create the whole section of the future. I say section because after that section they'll just simply clone the populations that they need,

for the workers they actually will need, this is just a step-by-step process as we go along.)

The chip is designed implanted just below the skin of the buttocks, upper arm or stomach.

This could be done in a 30-minute operation at a GP's surgery.

Existing contraceptive implants are quicker to insert but don't last as long.

It isn't know how much the chip will cost but the implants already in use cost £80 to £90 (A: That's Britain.) and last three to five years.

So, there's progress for you, folks, there's progress, with the remote control and everything, that can get hacked, and so of course the authorities can hack it too. Quite interesting isn't it. Quite interesting what they're really up to. It just goes on and on, and you get so fed up with it, don't you? You get fed up with all the gizmos and technologies. And we've been trained to really go, wow, oooooh, aaaaah, you know, and just be in such awe of the state with all of its technology. And unfortunately a lot of folk are, it's rather sad, it's rather sad indeed. It makes you wonder, eh.

And just to finish off a couple of stories, this article, they always put these things out at the New Year, you see, the memes for the year. It says:

Stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer...
It's the best way to die, says top doctor
dailymail.co.uk / Jenny Hope / 1 January 2015

A former editor of the British Medical Journal has claimed that we should 'stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer' – because it is the best way to die. (A: For all of you, I guess, you know.)

Dr Richard Smith says it may be a 'romantic view', but cancer gives people a chance to say goodbye to their loved ones, which is denied to many who die from other conditions.

Indeed, he argues that the country is wasting billions (A: Again, down to economics and economic units.) trying to cure cancer because curing people of the disease means they are likely to die of worse things, such as dementia.

But cancer specialists and charities disputed his incendiary comments. They said many cancer patients suffered terribly and would not agree that it was 'the best way to die'.

(A: So he prattles on and on. But again, the media does just put this out, right in there, like handouts, to get the thought into your head, you see. Remember, the depopulation agenda and how we're economic units, and they want to take everything that you earn basically, eventually everything you earn and used for the big projects for themselves at the top.)

They also accused the doctor of being 'nihilistic' about a disease which 'takes far too many people far too young'. Dr Smith, 62, who was editor of the BMJ (A: British Medical Journal.) for 13 years until 2004 and is now chairman of the board of directors of medical smartphone app Patients Know Best, airs his views on the nature of dying in a BMJ blog.

Leaving aside suicide, he says there are four ways to die: sudden death; the long, slow death of dementia; the up and down death of organ failure and death from cancer where you 'go down usually in weeks'.

He says most people tell him they would prefer a sudden death, but he thinks that is very hard on the families of the deceased. (A: So he's only got the thoughts of those who are going to survive in mind here, you see, he's concerned about them.)

'The long, slow death from dementia may be the most awful as you are slowly erased, but then again when death comes it may be just a light kiss,' he says.

Then he goes through the death by other reasons and so on and so on. But it's all to do with economics, you see, economic units, again, according to your status and your social standing in the new society, that's really what it's all about. We're already there.

Tonight I'll also put up a PDF and it's quite an interesting little PDF in fact. They've done studies on you. See, they're always studying you and this one here is to do with attitudes, attitudes on guess what?

Influences on attitudes to a personal carbon trading system
epubs.scu.edu.au

(A: Down to you the individual, that's the whole idea of it eventually. It's to completely alter the way that you live, why you live in fact, because they keep even saying why you must live and so on. This is them from North Southwest Australia. But it goes into all the different studies they've done.)

There are mixed attitudes to the affect Personal Carbon Trading (PCT) can have on global warming and carbon emissions. The NICHE (Norfolk Island Carbon Health Evaluation) (A: See, they test it in a small areas first, everything is always done in a small area, for all of you, to get done later on.) project has been developed to explore attitudes towards PCT. The researchers have designed the project to investigate links between health, obesity and an individual's carbon footprint. The first stages of the project undertaken in 2012 involve development of point-of-sale applications, personal carbon consumption web site and collection of data to establish a baseline measuring key health indicators and attitudes to climate change and PCT. (A: See how they are wrapping it all up into one, you see, obesity, climate change, sustainability, carbon.) This paper reports the findings from the correlation analysis of the key variables from the baseline survey. Correlation analysis was used to examine relationships among the variables. The significant relationships identified from the baseline survey will be reexamined in the latter stages of the project during 2014.

And they give you a rundown on the survey of these residents that they're using on this particular Norfolk Island. They will also find out what they object to and that will go to think tanks to find the ways to get around the objections and how to con you in a better way to go along with it all. That's what it's all about, all this stuff, a whole new way of living but nothing to do with the reasons they're giving you, folks. Nothing. And the last thing they think of is your health, believe you me.

Well, that's the start of the New Year. It doesn't bother me because nothing surprises me since you expect at all, if you follow them, and you know how they have to put it across to you, step by step by step and through gradual brainwashing and so on. So you always know it's coming because you understand what they're really getting at from the very get-go.

And that's it, from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

'Winter hell': Snowstorm paralyzes major Russian highway

Britain unprepared for severe blackouts, secret Government report reveals

UK weather: 'Coldest night of the year' tonight as freezing temperatures plummet to -10C

Carbon credit exchange program final plan announced before Jan. 12 opening

Types of Carbon Credits

UN Carbon Credit Supply to Drop on Climate Vows: GDF Suez

Number of mentally ill patients killed by euthanasia in Holland trebles in a year as doctors warn assisted suicide is 'out of control'

Euthanasia in Holland - the country where death is now just a lifestyle choice

Mobile death squads to kill sick and elderly in their own homes leads to surge in suicide rates in the Netherlands

New diet guidelines might reflect environment cost

Raw milk producers to be forced to make their product unpalatable under new Victorian regulations

School teachers forced to wear scarlet letter flu masks, gloves when they refuse flu shots that don't even work

Skin implant replaces the Pill - New chip lasts for 16 years and can be turned off by remote control when you want to conceive

Stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer... It's the best way to die, says top doctor

Influences on attitudes to a personal carbon trading system

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Jan. 11, 2015 (#1483)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Dissecting Confusion for Directed Fusion"
© Alan Watt Jan. 11, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 11, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 11, 2015. Well, it's been another week of going through the subzero temperatures, as we go through global warming that they now call climate change of course. It's been really cold in this part of Canada, where you're 20, 25, sometimes 30 below in the centigrade level, and during the day it's sometimes not much warmer in fact, it never gets above the zero. So were going through these big, big changes of course as the big geo-engineering campaign goes on with the chem sprays and so on, and with the weather manipulation too because they're using electromagnetic frequencies and so on involved in it too, to get all the storms going, these winter storms. We're getting kind of used to it of course up here in Canada. And I'm sure it's going to bankrupt a lot of people in heating bills this year, just that alone, because it's way beyond what you normally expect. It was bad enough last year but this year's got it too. So I hope you're all surviving. We get these big Arctic blast going all the way down to Canada into the States, different parts of the US States who are also suffering from it too. It doesn't make the roads awfully good at all.

But we have to hang on as we go through these big planned changes in this world where reality is presented to us, after going through all of its makeovers, you might say, by intelligence companies, the secret service companies and all the big organizations that are involved in giving you all of your reality, and telling you what your conclusions are supposed to be as well, and to always blame you for causing all these weather changes at the same time as they're spraying you and making it all happen.

Now, when you get that going on, which it is going on and it's been going on for some time now, and they have big, big outcomes to plan for all of this with your high carbon taxes changing your whole way of life, energy taxes on all products that you purchase because it supposedly took energy to produce those chocolate bars, and the wrappers even, it will all be taxed on as well, everything you purchase will be the same way. Eventually they have to get you into austerity down the road and they, no doubt, have got planned crashes again with the banks, now that they've done all their stress tests, their world stress tests, and with them all signed on to the bailouts and bail-ins across the world under the guise, under the

supervision supposedly of the World Bank.

So, we're sailing along into big changes and we're supposed to just get used to it. Most folk will get used to it and they do get used to it because they don't reason anything through. Most folk don't really think too much. They hear the things getting delivered to them and it goes right into the subconscious. And they don't stop and analyze anything at all, they think it must all be quite natural and normal. That's how we're trained actually since the age of communication intensified many years ago. They realized they could train whole populations by radio and then television and even through fiction and movies, etc. so it's doing awfully good job. And they have to bring in the new system as we go through, basically, a martial law in every country as terrorism supposedly increases, increases, increases to bring in a whole new system altogether from birth to death of how we're supposed to live.

And I've got to laugh at a lot of the, ah, what they dish out now as news. It's so awful. I'm sure a lot of it comes from intelligence agencies, in fact we know that has happened in the past with handouts from intelligence agencies that simply are handed out to certain reporters who are only too happy to put it in their papers; they might just put it in complete or they might change a word here or there and put their own name on it. But that's the reality that's presented to the general public. There's not much truth involved. If there is any truth at all it's spun heavily, or the rest of the truth is omitted so you're left with half a story which will leads you to the conclusion that you're supposed to come to. It gets awfully boring in fact.

Because everything that's happening is a big agenda and it's awfully predictable when you understand how it works and the different groups behind it that are often connected to the top who bring you all these things to happen. It's like the bombings going on across the world or the shootings in Paris recently, you don't know who really is behind it. In fact, the guys who can even perpetrate such things don't know either, they think they do, but it can be different intelligence agencies that are guiding them at the top through one of their own people, and they will never suspect to the minute they die that they have been set up to do something, to achieve a further goal in this totalitarian police state network worldwide that's being set up so heavily and so fast.

The other thing you can count on to is the blowback, as they call it in intelligence services. When you go into countries for years and years and years and you send in troops for years to bring out the raw resources and for the big corporations that are based in your countries, eventually you get blowback. Blowback is when enough of the people have been killed in those countries, opposing the troops that are being sent in, they've lost relatives, they've lost so much, and they certainly retaliate in the way that's allowed today. I say allowed because you don't have the big armies and so on and have full-scale invasions. So they have small groups that train themselves, or are trained, to go out and do acts of terrorism basically. And this stuff was discussed back in the 60s and disclosed with the freedom of information documentation from MI6, the CIA and so on like that.

Blowback is what you get though and blowback can go on for decades if not generations. And people are really ticked off. The blowback too by the way has really got much, much bigger and profuse since the drone strikes that became in everyday commonplace reality of folk getting blown up. We don't even see where the missiles come from or the gunfire comes from with these pretty solid drones, that can be miles and miles away from the target. Whole families get wiped out, weddings and everything else, supposedly, and there's always an answer, always supposedly to take out a certain terrorist and the rest of them are collateral damage, they just happen to be in the wrong place at the wrong time. That's the way it is treated. Well, when you lose relatives, and sometimes all your relatives, you might decide to become radicalized as well, it's going to cause that, and then you're recruited, then you have different agencies involved, CIA, MI6, the Mossad, these agencies involved in these things too. They're all working together by the way, I don't differentiate any of them, the CIA, MI6 and the Mossad are all one group as far as I'm concerned, with the sharing of intelligence at the top and lots of cooperation.

So they have their own agendas and directions to go and they can never tell the truth to the public whatsoever. They are after desired results. Their goal is to blame certain factions and keep things going and going and going. That's was happening today. So there's very little you can read that's straightforward as it is presented in the media, because that's also, many of the articles are actually written by intelligence agencies for the media. So what can you actually believe? But we do know that blowback will go on forever

basically.

Now this article says here:

France Satirical Mag Charlie Hebdo Sued by Islamists for 'Blasphemy'
ibtimes.co.uk / Gianluca Mezzofiore / February 19, 2014

French Islamists have sued satirical magazine Charlie Hebdo for blasphemy in Strasbourg for publishing a cover page with the headline: "The Koran is shit – it doesn't stop bullets." The League of Judicial Defence of Muslims (LDJM) led by former lawyers Karim Achoui, has brought the case before the criminal court in Alsace-Moselle's capital. The region, which was annexed by Germany in 1871 and 1940-45, still retained part of the old German code that includes the "blasphemy" crime – which no longer exist in the rest of France. The LDJM has also sued Charlie Hebdo in Paris for "provocation and incitement to hatred on the basis of religious affiliation and insult". (Alan: And it goes on and on and on.)

But here's the thing though, when you look at this organization, this Charlie Hebdo, that claims it's left wing, it has a lot in common with the Frankfurt school of destroying cultures and also especially destroying religions, at least most religions. I think the head of it even said at one point they were going to portray, or bring down Islam into the same banal state as they brought down Catholicism over the years. So they definitely have agendas there, it's not just humor; it's not even clever humor, it's like bathroom humor that you get in junior school. Of course they were pushing for particular rights and so on. Interesting too that's Sarkozy apparently met one of these attackers at the Charlie Hebdo, a few years ago he met him, and there's connections there too between Sarkozy and them as well so you don't know which organization is really at the top of this. And you can actually get Muslim organizations that are ruled by outside factions of course as well, and unknown to the ones who follow it, so this is standard. Whenever you get involved in anything and they try to go into any kind of radical move, of whatever it happens to be, be very careful and don't do it because you're being used by somebody else, often from outside your organization, actually maybe even set up your organization for you to join, and it's not for you at all. So be very, very careful.

Another article too is:

French Muslims to sue Charlie Hebdo over Mohammed cartoons
english.rfi.fr / Charlie Hebdo's publisher, Charb / 1.7.15 / By RFI

A French Muslim group is to sue the satirical magazine Charlie Hebdo over the publication of cartoons portraying the Prophet Mohammed. French embassies in 20 countries will be on high alert on Friday, fearing that violent protests over a controversial film may be repeated.

And that was back in 2012 so these guys have been hammering at Muslims for years now. It's like they wanted something to happen. Somebody did. Somebody obviously did. Somebody obviously, obviously really did want something to happen. Who suffers? Well, the French themselves will be under more surveillance, yada yada yada, just like 9/11 did it for the US, Canada and so on.

Now here's another article here. Before I leave that article, actually, I'll just say the one last thing. When the world of spookdom, that's what I call it, spookdom, they are all interconnected, and be very, very careful of all of them because you'll never get facts. You'll get just what they'll dish out to the media, what they feed the media, so that you believe whatever they tell you, but you'll never get the nitty-gritty on anything at the time. It takes many years, sometimes 50, 60 years before they'll disclose any truth about any particular thing, and often will never do it at all, actual truth.

Whenever... I think it was Pierre Trudeau, the Prime Minister of Canada, the guy who was the young communist leader for Canada's Comintern, the organization Comintern that would go over to Moscow every year, he led the delegation from Canada to the Soviet Union in 1952. He knew top operatives within the governments and civil service, and the list that even Gouzenko had come out with back at the end of World War II, of high-profile infiltrators inside the Canadian government and the bureaucracies, was reclassified for about another 30 to 50 years by Trudeau, before he left, the last day before he left actually, so that the public are not to know. That's democracies for you, you know.

Now the next story here is about PIE, this organization called PIE and it says:

How paedophiles infiltrated the left and hijacked
the fight for civil rights
theguardian.com / Jamie Doward / 1 March 2014

(A: Civil rights, eh. And that's how they do things, it's always for a good cause, no matter what it is, . Pedophiles. It gives you some of the campaigners for this particular organization for civil rights.)

A 1970s campaign to lower the age of consent has returned to haunt Harriet Harman, Patricia Hewitt and Jack Dromey. But in such a liberal climate, it wasn't hard for a small, determined group to exploit a commitment to free speech.

(A: That's the guise they put it under, you see. So they go on about all the promiscuity at the time, they're getting all these freedoms of promiscuity and God knows what else, but they were also pushing, unknown to them they claim now, the PIE organizers. It's the Pedophile Information Exchange group, who pass on good targets to each other, these guys, these pedophiles. This article from the Guardian and goes through it and it says:)

But how did the Paedophile Information Exchange (PIE), whose affiliation to the NCCL has been exhaustively investigated by the Daily Mail, come to get a ticket to the party?

(A: And they claim it's becauseit was a liberal time and the whole thing, and they were trying to lower the age of consent, between children basically down to 14, and these guys came in on the act with their own agenda, very quietly. This is what they claim, which I think is nonsense. They all knew who was what, they knew all this stuff. They wanted to lower the age of consent until they could get... total destruction of the culture, that's what the far left do, to build the new, you see. Destroy all the old, that's everything that gave you your morals, your culture, everything, destroy it all and bring in the new, and they would rule it, these guys that would cause it to happen, that's what they did in the Soviet Union.)

That motion was passed two years after Harman has claimed that the group no longer wielded influence in the NCCL. "They had been pushed to the margins before I actually went to NCCL . . .” (A: Well, they will all try and distance themselves from it now, you see.)

Admittedly, any group could join the NCCL, which had more than 1,000 affiliate member organizations and the council's motion probably owed more to defending the principle of free speech than defending PIE. (A: Really?) And it would be wrong to portray PIE as a major force. Being small, comprising only a handful of activists and with a membership estimated to be between 300 and 1,000, PIE was not a powerful voice at a time when the main debates within the council were about sexual equality and race relations. But its views were so profoundly abhorrent to most of Britain that it is still hard to see why the council did not do more to disown PIE from the start.

Now that's not true. The far left radicals, believe you me, they discuss everything. It's like Hollywood, to be an actor or actress you cannot have any inhibitions on anything that's avant-garde. And the far left is exactly the same, you can voice no inhibitions about anything regarding morality. So of course the ones who are involved in it and made their career in politics are trying to distance themselves from it now. In this next article it goes on to say this: [Alan laughing.]

Paedophile at the Home Office:
(A: The home office is like homeland security, the base for all of Britain's security.)
He boasted of storing PIE files where police would never discover them
(A: He worked there, he was employed by the government.)
dailymail.co.uk / Sam Marsden / 7 July 2014

-Steven Smith worked as contractor monitoring security alarms at Whitehall. 60-year-old said he was given security clearance for the job by Scotland Yard.

(A: Well, like they just missed him, eh. A complete background check and they missed it, eh? And he's a pedophile.) At the time Smith was the chairman of the Paedophile Information Exchange. (A: The chairman of it.) Went on the run while facing charges over child abuse images before being jailed in 1991 and again in 2011. The former leader of a pro-paedophile campaign boasted of storing the group's files in the Home Office while he worked there. Steven Smith, 60, said he was given security clearance for his Whitehall job by Scotland Yard despite being chairman of the Paedophile Information Exchange. He worked as an electrical contractor monitoring security alarms at the Home Office for four years in the late 1970s and early 1980s. Smith later went on the run while facing charges over child abuse images. He was jailed in 1991 and again in 2011.

In an obscure book published in 1986, he told how he was given a room of his own at the Home Office and used it to conceal PIE's files. (A: So there you go, the home office, that checks out all things supposedly on all the citizens, for security reasons, gave him a room, the chairman of this group, where he could hide it and the cops would never find it, they would never think of looking there.)

'For four years I was employed by a firm of electrical contractors, Complete Maintenance, to monitor a control panel of alarm systems at the Home Office,' he said. 'The job entailed practically no work on my part (A: Because that's what you do when you get government contracts and you work for the government.), beyond attending the panel, and in fact I had a furnished office completely to myself seven days a week on a rotating shift basis. 'Much of PIE's less sensitive file material was stored in locked cabinets there, where no police raid would ever have found them. Each year my security clearance was renewed by Scotland Yard without my connection with PIE being discovered.' (A: So do you really think they are that inept? Do you really believe that?)

He claimed he used a phone number in the Home Office building as a contact point for PIE. A later trial heard that he probably published an obscene magazine from inside the ministry. (A: Well, that makes sense, eh. It's like George Orwell's 1984 where the ministry that ran everything published all the porno magazines and novels. Today it would have movies too.)

Smith, also known as Stephen Freeman, lost his job when his role in PIE was exposed by a newspaper (A: Not by the government or the agencies, but a newspaper...) in 1982. He said he had hoped the revelation that the leader of a paedophile group had been employed in the heart of Westminster would lead to the resignation of then Home Secretary Willie Whitelaw. But no heads rolled – and it appears that there was only a limited official investigation into his role at the department. 'The extent of security chiefs' knowledge of my activities did not prompt them to investigate the content of my filing cabinets (A: Oh, they'd know it was there all right.), and a carload of PIE files was safely spirited from the building before it could occur to them to intervene,' he wrote. (A: Oh, and they're all too stupid, right.)

A second senior PIE official, the group's secretary and treasurer Barry Cutler, is also said to have worked at the Home Office in the early 1980s. In 1983, it was reported that a Home Office civil servant had received a series of slides with images of abuse of young boys and obscene letters delivered to his departmental address. One colleague protested that the material should be handed over to the police, but this was ignored and it was treated as a purely internal matter, according to a Daily Express report at the time. Smith fled to Holland in 1984 to escape trial after PIE was infiltrated by headmaster and anti-child abuse campaigner Charles Oxley. Smith claimed political asylum by arguing that he was part of a minority political group campaigning for changes in the law in the UK. He returned to Britain in 1991 in the mistaken belief he would not be arrested and was jailed at the Old Bailey for 18 months (A: 18 months for homosexual pedophilia. And it goes on and on and on.)

But I mean, this is the kind of stuff you're told is just overlooked by the agencies, you know, these... the best intelligence agencies that you have, they just didn't notice this stuff. And so what will the intelligence agencies eventually come up with to cover their backsides? Right. So what kind of story would they come up with if they were actually behind it all?

EXCLUSIVE: Secret service infiltrated paedophile group
to 'blackmail establishment'
express.co.uk / June 29, 2014 / Tim Tate and Ted Jeory

A number of allegations of child sex abuse emerged after MP Cyril Smith's death. The former civil servant has told detectives investigating the activities of paedophiles in national politics that the Metropolitan Police's Special Branch was orchestrating the child-sex lobbying group in the late 1970s and early 1980s. The whistleblower, who has spoken exclusively to the Sunday Express, says he was also warned off asking why such a notorious group was being handed government money. It emerged late last year that PIE was twice gave amounts of £35,000 in Home Office funding
(A: That's all taxpayers' money, eh.) between 1977 and 1980, the £70,000 total equivalent to over £400,000 in today's money.

Those details surfaced only after the whistleblower highlighted his concerns to campaigning Labour MP Tom Watson and his revelations have triggered an ongoing Home Office inquiry into why the cash was given to PIE which was abolished in 1985 after a number of prosecutions. Until now, speculation about the grant has centred on Clifford Hindley, the late Home Office manager who approved the payments. However, the whistleblower told the Sunday Express he thought higher and more sinister powers were at play. He has given a formal statement to that effect to detectives from Operation Fernbridge, which is looking into allegations of historic sex abuse at the Elm Guest House in south-west London. At that time, questioning anything to do with Special Branch, especially within the Home Office, was a 'no-no'. PIE, now considered one of the most notorious groups of the era, had gained respectability in political circles. Its members are said to have included establishment figures, and disgraced Liberal MP Cyril Smith was a friend of founder member Peter Righton.

In 1981, Tory MP Geoffrey Dickens used Parliamentary privilege to name Sir Peter Hayman, the deputy director of MI6, as a member of PIE and an active paedophile. In 1983 Mr Dickens gave the Home Office a dossier of what he claimed was evidence of a paedophile network of "big, big names, people in positions of power, influence and responsibility". The Home Office says the dossier no longer exists. Whistleblower Mr X, whose identity we have agreed to protect, became a very senior figure in local government before retiring a few years ago. In the late 1970s and early 1980s, he was a full-time consultant in the Home Office's Voluntary Services Unit run by Clifford Hindley. In 1979 Mr X was asked to examine a funding renewal application for PIE, but he became concerned because the organization's goal of seeking to abolish the age of consent "conflicted" with the child protection policies of the Department of Health and Social Security and asked for a meeting with Mr Hindley, his immediate boss. Mr X recalled: "I raised my concerns, but he told me that I was to drop them. Hindley gave three reasons for this. He said PIE was an organization with cachet and that its work in this field was respected. (A: Humph.) "He said this was a renewal of an existing grant and that under normal Home Office practice a consultant such as myself would not be involved in the decision-making process. And he said PIE was being funded at the request of Special Branch which found it politically useful to identify people who were paedophiles. This led me not to pursue my objections. At that time, questioning anything to do with Special Branch, especially within the Home Office, was a 'no-no'.

"I was under the clear belief that I was being instructed to back off and that his reference to Special Branch was expected to make me to do so. Hindley didn't give me an explicit explanation of what Special Branch would do with information it gleaned from funding PIE, but I formed the belief that it was part of an undercover operation or activity. I was aware a lot of people in the civil service or political arena had an interest in obtaining information like that which could be used as a sort of blackmail."

Now you understand, with all the present scandals which have resurfaced with Prince Andrew, Epstein and all the other ones, all these people who procured under aged children and so on for sexual favors for very important people and wealthy folk of course, that kind of scandal is going on too, and they will fight that tooth and nail as well. The big boys just don't get touched, the really big boys don't get touched. You know, it's always really been that way. But when you have a culture that's so corrupt at the top, and especially all throughout your positions of power, I'm afraid, folks, it's completely over, no country is safe, as they've all been done in basically. And no country is immune from this kind of thing. Corruption is all through, of all kinds of corruption is all through governments. It's the norm. For you to expect it to be run properly, to benefit the public, that's pie-in-the-sky, and a different kind of pie, but it truly is. Because you cannot get anything good coming out of corruption, as they are all filling their pockets like crazy too with your tax money. And the politicians all have two or three homes, that you all pay for, so they can always have their home in the constituency halfway to the federal government office and so on, and one in the main

city as well.

Now, onto a different topic to do with the Internet, we know that censorship is coming. And nothing is ever portrayed to the public in a direct fashion, and often it's done in an opposite direction of what you would expect in fact, by denials, for instance, things like that, as they go ahead and work around forms of censorship. We know what they have discussed with CISPA beforehand and all the rest of it about giving licenses to those on the net, even to get on the net, especially bloggers and so on, and that will come, there's no doubt about it, there is to be one world authority in charge of all information down the road, even though the net itself should belong to no one. But in the world of power, as it has always been, you've never had freedom, and you never will actually, you'll be under the impression your free but you're not. But you think about the Sony hack as they called it, and that was such a farce to start with, and definitely inside information was put out there. We know that there's different characters involved in Sony who definitely want censorship in different ways. Of course I'm sure the various agencies within the US, the NSA and so on, want to get in on the act too and use this thing regardless of who started it, it certainly wasn't a North Korea, to use it to their advantage, as they always say. They're trying to revive different forms of censorship. Here is an article and it's from RT USA.

FCC chair likely to take Obama's lead on net neutrality
rt.com / January 08, 2015

Speaking at an electronics show, the United States' top telecom regulator dismissed complaints that tougher regulations would discourage providers from upgrading their networks, seemingly indicating he will back tough net neutrality regulations. (A: So you're thinking, well okay, he's going to stay where he is and not go any further.)

Federal Communications Commission Chairman Tom Wheeler told audiences at the Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas that net neutrality proposals do not currently go far enough to protect consumers. Policy analysts took that as a hint that Wheeler is leaning towards President Barack Obama's position on net neutrality, calling for Title II regulations for broadband providers that would regulate them as if they were utilities.

"There was an effort made to say that Wheeler and the president were pulling in opposite directions on this, which made for good headlines, but wasn't exactly the reality," said Wheeler. "We're both pulling in the same direction which is no blocking, no throttling of applications and transparency on how do we get there." (A: Now, that's not true because they've been doing that for years actually, I go through it all the times on uploads and even downloads.)

Wheeler said that Title II reclassification would not harm investment, and that he was moving in that direction. He also said the commercial reasonableness test that he previously proposed was flawed – that test would have scrutinized deals struck between internet providers and content companies such as Netflix in order to speed up services to customers. If they passed the test, the deals would have been approved, potentially creating the so-called "internet fast lanes" prioritizing service for those who paid more.

(A: Now, that's definitely coming. Definitely, definitely coming because that was the agenda that Jacques Attali talked about in one of his last books I think it was, where he said that, eventually there would be two classes basically of people in the future, those with massive broadband and fast, like the fast lane, and those with much, much slower speeds and so on. And he said those with the fast would be the winners.)

The FCC has been working for nearly a year on new rules governing how Internet Service Providers (ISPs) manage web traffic on their networks. Cable service companies don't want more regulations or reclassification. Internet users have been pressing for broadband service to be treated like a utility, and for web traffic to remain on somewhat equal footing, disallowing ISPs to prioritize certain content.

We know darn well they already prioritize certain content. So under we're here to help you verbiage basically, you know darn well they're pushing for much, much more. And when you have an organization running it all, the carriers and everything else, they can then order the carriers to, don't let that guy through and don't let this guy through, he said something or whatever we don't like. And they simply never have to

admit it, it's all done verbally, by somebody phoning up the head and that's how things actually work in real life, there's no paper trails.

And also this article:

Netflix reaffirms policy against Canadians accessing U.S. site
thestar.com / Michael Lewis / Jan 05 2015

Many Canadian Netflix subscribers use free or fee-based services such as virtual private networks (VPNs) to circumvent barriers on accessing content available in the U.S.

Netflix, Inc., has restated its policy against virtual border crossing, a practice that has seen a growing number of Canadians bypassing regional blocks to access the streaming service's U.S. catalogue.

And it says here that what Canadians are doing is not illegal, so I guess they want to make it illegal. Who knows? Who knows? And here is another article too...

New Law Bolsters Cybersecurity Protection
ect.coop / Cathy Cash / January 6th, 2015

A new law strengthening cybersecurity protections critical to the electric utility industry and cooperatives is on the books. The cybersecurity measure focuses on codifying government responsibilities for addressing threats to critical infrastructure.

"We applaud Congress for passing legislation to reinforce how the Department of Homeland Security works with the private sector on cybersecurity issues through its National Cybersecurity and Communications Integration Center," said NRECA CEO Jo Ann Emerson.

The homeland security center coordinates and shares information on cybersecurity with federal agencies, state, local, tribal and territorial governments and across critical infrastructures, such as the electric utility industry. The National Cybersecurity Protection Act of 2014 provides the department with guidance for cyber incident response . . .

So they really are upping and upping and upping things under, again, terrorism, isn't it. That's what they're doing. And that's why down the road they're going to issue definitely, it will be a license that you have to buy to even be on the Internet and so on and so on and so on. And remember, any license can be refused.

Now, I've prattled on for years about our supposed experts. Supposedly in this day and age we have the best experts on the economy, that can be possibly trained and found with all their multiple degrees and so on, and often the relation aspects to each other, you know. But regardless of all that:

Bank of England was unaware of impending financial crisis
(A: Of 2008. You see, unaware of it, they didn't notice it coming.)
bbc.com / 7 January 2015

Mervyn King was governor of the Bank during the financial crisis.

(A: I wonder if...) A month before the start of the financial crisis, the Bank of England was apparently unaware of the impending danger (A: It makes you feel better now, eh? It makes you feel safer doesn't it, eh?), new documents reveal. In a unique insight into its workings, the Bank has published minutes of top-secret meetings of its governing body, the Court, between 2007 and 2009. The minutes show that the Bank did identify liquidity as a "central concern" in July 2007. However no action was taken as a result. The documents show that the Bank also used a series of code names for banks that were in trouble. (A: They probably would've never been found out, you see.)

Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS) was known as "Phoenix" (A: Mind you, the Royal Bank of Scotland's was actually, I think, 80% owned by the London government, the government owned it.), and Lloyds TSB as

"Lark". Following publication, Andrew Tyrie MP, the chairman of the Treasury Select Committee, was highly critical of some of the Court's non-executive directors. He said they had failed to challenge senior executive members, like the then governor, Mervyn King, whom some accuse of failing to prioritize financial stability. "The minutes show that during the crisis the Bank of England did not have a board worthy of the name. This mattered. And it still matters," said Mr Tyrie.

John McFall, chairman of the treasury select committee at the time, told the BBC: "They all missed the wider picture. They missed the interconnectedness of the whole financial system. (A: What? These are the experts that run not just the country but a good part of the world?) when Lehman went down it was a real catastrophe."

The minutes show that in July 2007, the Court - akin to a company board (A: So, private again.) - spent time discussing staff pensions, open days and new members of the Monetary Policy Committee. (A: And probably their big bonuses at, you know, Christmas.) Northern Rock queue Thousands queued to take their money out of Northern Rock. (A: It shows you pictures of the folk trying to get money out of Northern Rock.) Members heard that the Bank was working on a new model to detect risks to the financial system, but there was little suggestion of any impending trouble. (A: You feel more confident now, don't you?)

Less than a month later, on 9 August, the French bank BNP Paribas came clean about its exposure to sub-prime mortgages, in what some believe was the start of the financial crisis. Six weeks later, despite some turmoil in financial markets, Court members were told to have confidence in the triple oversight of the Bank of England, the Treasury and the then Financial Services Authority (FSA).

"The Executive believed that the events of the last month had proven the sense and strength of the tripartite framework," the minutes asserted for the 12th September, 2007. The next day the banking crisis began in earnest. (A: [Alan laughing.] Oh, they never see it coming, eh. Isn't it lies, though? Isn't it lies? It has been exposed too that the different banks had meetings knowing that the governments were going to bail them out anyway, so they kept going until it happened. Corruption is everywhere, eh.)

On that day (A: That the crisis began in earnest, they all came out in the newspapers.) 13 September, 2007 , members of Court were called to an emergency meeting, just as the BBC reported that Northern Rock had applied to the Bank of England for a rescue loan. Since the news was no longer secret, thousands of customers were in the meantime queuing outside Northern Rock branches to withdraw their money. By then the minutes show that Court members were in no doubt about the risks posed to the economy by the failure of Northern Rock. "Both the Bank and the FSA were in total agreement that if Northern Rock was allowed to fail it would create serious economic damage," the minutes record.

Now, what other pieces of nonsense that are pushed out for us to absorb and argue over and worry over, and all the usual kind of things that are dishing out every day for us to... It really is meant to get too anxious and so on, etc. etc. The point is also to overwhelm you and make you think there's nothing you can do about anything. That creates apathy which of course is a great method of tyrants wanting to control everybody, when you're apathetic. But literally, for well over 100 years there has not been one generation that was given any peace it all. It was either warfare going on or they give you economic crashes, or layoffs and things like that, or downturns, all the fancy names they have for their little games. You never get peace to enjoy life, of course, and that's a very important factor in controlling populations. Because if you were abused you always turn to the abuser for help, so they keep abusing you and the people are automatically shouting to the government, help us, help us, and they're only too happy to bring in new laws and taxes and everything else. So it's quite interesting how things really work. It's very simple, really, that this has been done for such an awful long time, and we take it for granted and think it's all normal, until literally the state is supreme. The state is God, that's the whole point of it all, and that's what the humanists said way back, a long time ago, that the state would become God, in other words, and all the experts that run your lives would be put in place. Well, that all has happened as well and getting worse all the time.

But you take these stories that are dished out:

Euro sinks to fresh nine-year low
bbc.com / 8 January 2015

The euro has hit a fresh nine-year low against the dollar, in part after a surprise decrease in German manufacturing.

If the European Central Bank moves to support the region's economy with quantitative easing (A: Which means devaluating your currency, because devaluing your currency is supposed to help foreign buyers buy your products, etc. etc., that's if you're producing at all, and most countries are not producing, except for debt, because the factories have all gone to China, and were helped to go there by your governments all signing on to the World Trade Organization and the GATT treaty and so on.), or buying government bonds, as the speculation suggests, this pushes a rate rise even further into the future, making the Eurozone less attractive for investors. (A: So there you go. And the Greeks.) The results of upcoming Greek elections could also be spooking investors, he added. On Monday the euro reached a nine year low after ECB (A: This conglomerate, this new Soviet, super Soviet, advanced Soviet that they call the European Union, the president said) president Mario Draghi indicated the bank could soon start quantitative easing. (A: Which means devaluing your current again and putting up all your internal prices. So that's well on its way.)

And then the usual thing. I've seen this article so many times, every year I think they publish it. It says:

Most Americans are one paycheck away from the street
marketwatch.com / Jan 10, 2015 / Quentin Fottrell

Americans are feeling better about their job security and the economy, but most are theoretically only one paycheck away from the street.

I won't read it because I mean, we all know it's pretty well true. But again, you're encouraged to spend all the comes in, that's been a lifestyle since the days of Edward Bernays since he pushed that whole idea to keep buy, buy, buying all the produce, and folk try to live up to it too. And it says that, any emergency that pops up like a car repair or something like that or an emergency room visit, can just wipe them out altogether.

Then you have in the Economist, it's quite interesting, it says [Alan chuckles.] It's interesting in so many ways for those who understand. But it says:

The Economist leaves Janet Yellen
off its list of most influential economists
theguardian.com / Jana Kasperkevic / 31 December 2014

If the current Federal Reserve chair is not an influential economist, who is?

The Economist: “The irony of Yellen’s exclusion from the list is that it is because she is too powerful (A: ... that’s what they’re saying...), rather than not powerful enough.”

Ben Bernanke ran the Federal Reserve and can’t get a new mortgage. (A: Oh, ho, ho, ho...)

Fed chair Janet Yellen says income inequality is un-American. (A: Really? I don't think she's even from America.)

The Economist’s annual list of the most influential economists is out. Except, this year, something seems to be missing: the most powerful economist in America. (A: What is an economist anyway when they're never right? Although they never get poorer themselves, if you notice, eh.)

Janet Yellen, who was sworn in as the first woman to chair the Federal Reserve in February, did not make it on to the list. Sadly, neither did any other female economists.

The worst part? Ben Bernanke, who preceded Yellen as the head of the Fed, made it on the all-male list again this year. He came in fifth.

In 2011, the magazine named Bernanke the economist of the decade, noting that when “it comes to real power … no one can compete with Ben Bernanke, the chairman of the Federal Reserve.”

The way that the economists on the list are chosen is based on “how much attention was paid to their utterances in the mainstream media, the blogosphere and in social media” during the three months leading up to December, according to the magazine.

As such, it comes as a shock that Yellen did not make it on to the list. When the Federal Reserve chair speaks – be it Bernanke or Yellen – people pay attention. In fact, this year, when speaking at the 2014 World of Business Forum, Bernanke explained that what the Fed chair says could have an impact on the stock market. (A: Well, they've proven that in the past.)

“I realize that it isn’t my words that matter; it’s the fact that the Fed chairman has influence on monetary policy – it does mean you have to take care [with words]”, he said. “Moving the market is not a great thing, because if you are communicating clearly and people understand what you are doing, then you shouldn’t be surprising the market very much.”

Yet even Bernanke’s reputation suffers outside the wonky-economist community. About 17% of Americans still think that Alan Greenspan is the Fed chairman.

According to the Economist, Janet Yellen was not included in its ranking because the central bank governors were not considered for the list.

“We made a decision not to include serving central-bank governors, because their pronouncements are also the official views of the institutions they represent. Janet Yellen is the most influential economist in the world because she is the chairwoman of the Federal Reserve; Mario Draghi has the same position in Europe because of his presidency of the European Central Bank,” said the magazine in a statement. “The irony of Ms Yellen’s exclusion from the list is that it is because she is too powerful, rather than not powerful enough.”

So there's your… It's such rubbish anyway, isn't it. Such rubbish, really. I mean, economists apparently never see crashes coming, supposedly, and it's the best we've got, were told, and we're supposed to believe that. It's all a racket, folks. Money has always been a racket and often run by a particular group of people, who tend to keep it in the family you might say.

Here’s other article too and it says:

Judge tells jobless EU migrant shoplifter to stop stealing
– and claim BENEFITS instead (A: This is in Britain.)
express.co.uk / January 3, 2015

A JOBLESS migrant who racked up a string of shoplifting convictions just months after arriving in the UK was told by a judge to stop stealing – and claim benefits instead. Pomalecki admitted stealing perfume on more than one occasion. Dawid Pomalecki began stealing perfume after moving to Britain, from Poland, in October last year. The 20-year-old was "unaware" of how to claim benefits and was penniless, a court heard. Just weeks after arriving in Nottingham, he was arrested after being caught shoplifting designer perfume worth £144 from House of Fraser on November 17. He was conditionally discharged on November 28 after admitting theft at Nottingham Magistrates' Court. But the very next day Pomalecki stole perfume from Boots (A: That's a big pharmacy chain.), followed by another £144 bottle from House of Fraser on December 6. Then on December 11 he stole yet ANOTHER bottle of fragrance from Boots. (A: I wonder who he was selling them to.)
He was finally arrested but on Tuesday was spared jail once again despite admitting three thefts. (A: I wonder if they'd do that if someone was a British citizen.) Pomalecki, who is virtually unable to speak English, was handed a community order and ordered to carry out 60 hours of unpaid work. District Judge Tim Devas asked the thief what his plans were for the next few months and he replied that he was going to get a job. (A: Yeah sure. A job doing what, you know? I mean…)

Judge Devas asked: "Now what's going to happen to you if you steal anything from a shop again?"

Speaking through a Polish interpreter, Pomalecki replied: "Well, I would be punished more severely, and whatever sentence you give me today." Boots was just one of Powalecki's targets. "Take some advice about benefits or anything else but you must not commit any other thefts. For a number of reasons, including your age, early guilty pleas, nature of the offences and the time you have spent in custody, I will deal with you by way of a community order with unpaid work of 60 hours. Don't even think about stealing anything, otherwise I might send you back to Poland." (A: They won't send him back, regardless.)

Judge Devas also increased the compensation owed by Pomalecki to £193. (A: A fine, I guess, or compensation, so you can work that off in community service.) David Gittins, defending, said Pomalecki was "unaware of the benefits system" (A: Which is a joke, they've been advertising it abroad.) and was likely to stop stealing "in the near future." (A: I wonder what he's going to decide, maybe a whole bunch of more thefts to do first.) He added: "He has no income." (A: Well, where's all this money going from the stuff he's stealing, and obviously selling?)

Johnathan Isaby, chief executive of the Taypayers' Alliance, said: "The benefits system should be a safety net, not a comfort blanket. The judge's advice should have been to look for work, not for welfare."

Well, that's why most folk are flying into Britain right now, actually, and have been doing since the 70s in increasing numbers. You know, big business really, really rules. And of course all big businesses have lobby groups lobbying government all the time, official lobbyists, full-time, full pay. And that's all... You can't get in to see the Congressman and so on in the US, or any country really you can't get in to see the politicians. But they can get in immediately. In fact, they often have apartment complexes around the Parliament buildings just for the lobbyists, you see, because business rules, big business rules, and lots of money changes hands, it's not just, can we have a friendly chat with you Mr. MP.

But here is an article about tests and the Ebola vaccine.

Ebola Vaccine Tests Resume
Restart Follows Pause to Assess Reports of Joint Pain
(A: In the vaccine people, you see, you got the test trial.)
wsj.com / Marta Falconi

ZURICH—A Geneva hospital said Monday it was resuming tests of an experimental Ebola vaccine licensed by Merck & Co. after a three-week pause in the trial to assess reports of mild joint pain in volunteers. In a statement, the University Hospitals of Geneva said a second test group of 56 people will receive injections of the vaccine, which is known by the code name VSV-ZEBOV, at a lower dose than the initial group of volunteers.

So they're going to have a lower dose. But you'll find that with a lot of different vaccines, they can end up turning your immune system kind of haywire and they will attack the joints, for life often, you know, that's the way it is. It ends up with a form of arthritis where your own white blood cells will attack your joints and things. But you know, big corporations like this, they don't give up when they put money into anything, they don't give up or toss it out and say this is bad. Even the thalidomide that caused a tremendous outbreak of deformed children, whose mothers took this particular drug when they were pregnant, it was a terrible thing, they were born missing limbs and the ones that they had were really short, sometimes a hand would stick out where a shoulder should be and things like that. And they brought that back eventually under another guise of different treatments. They never stop.

Then we have an article too about:

Take your mandatory flu shot and shove it!
nypost.com / December 15, 2013

(A: Interesting too, that came out where the CDC and the World Health Organization said, oh we always choose the most prevalent flues that we think are going to travel across the world that year. And they have never been right yet. And they have admitted again, the ones that they are giving them right now,it doesn't have that prevailing flu that people are getting. But they're telling folk to get it anyway, the shot. And one of

the articles that I was reading even said that, we've got to keep the people taking their annual flu shot because once they make a break in their training, see they're training you to take shots all the time, a break in their training and they might skip it next year. Anyway this article here goes on about:)

Last week the city Board of Health voted to force annual flu vaccinations on all babies and children under age 5 who attend day-care centers and preschool programs. Here, Sophia Ling, mother of 3-year-old Oona and a fitness instructor in Staten Island, tells The Post's Mary Kay Linge how the risks of the shot outweigh the benefits — and wants nannying bureaucrats to stop trampling parents' rights.

(A: See, government now and all the arms of government are used by the big corporations now, aren't they, just to get the products out. Can you imagine government mandating, if you started a little company to make, say, buckets and, say, spades or shovels, that they HAVE to buy your brand. Isn't that wonderful, oooh, everybody would have to buy one, ooooh, eh, you don't have to start advertising and spending money on that and trying to con folk into buying your product, they get the government to do it for them by passing laws.)

When I first heard about the new flu vaccine rule, I was upset but not surprised. The Board of Health did this so quietly, with no input from parents of young children. (A: That's standard these days.) The unanimous vote was cast before New Yorkers had any chance to protest. (A: Well, they couldn't protest if they didn't know.)

I don't respond well to being bullied on a matter of personal health. I also dislike the underhanded way this is being forced only on our youngest citizens. Parents of toddlers aren't an organized political faction — we're a bit too busy keeping our [children] safe and calculating the distance to the nearest potty. To get more facts about the flu vaccine, I turned to the Staten Island Natural & Attachment Parenting group on Facebook. Moms banding together are a powerful force. I followed links to documents from the Centers for Disease Control, vaccine manufacturers and research studies. The more I learned, the more livid I became. In any given year, the CDC admits, the flu vaccine may be totally ineffective against the flu. That's because scientists have to guess which strains of the flu virus will be going around each year. Some years, they guess wrong. (A: Actually, it's most years that they get them wrong actually.)

At best, the flu vaccine may be 60 percent effective. (A: That's what they tell you, without being studied by the way, they don't do studies on them.) To me, a 0 to 60 percent efficacy rate equals one thing: fail. Plenty of people who get the flu shot come down with flu anyway. (A: And it's true.) It happened to me, actually — the only time I got a flu shot, during my pregnancy, I was sick for a week. Not only that, the CDC says, "the flu vaccine works best among healthy adults and older children," less well for babies and toddlers — the very people being targeted by the city's new rule. Safety testing on children, especially babies and toddlers, has been limited. And the side effects of the flu vaccine are very real. The manufacturers' warning labels give a long list of potential risks — everything from fever and headache to convulsions, blood disorders and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Last flu season, at least five young children died as a direct result of the flu vaccine, according to the federal database that tracked adverse reactions during the 2012-13 flu season. So, am I willing to roll the dice and hope that Oona is one of the lucky ones? No. (A: She's not willing.) In my judgment, the potential risks to my daughter outweigh the possible benefits. (A: And she goes on and on and on.)

But that's how it's done, you're just simply not told anymore. They discussed the laws and you get policy, because it's policy that you can't get into preschool. I mean, it started with universities and then it went down to the colleges, high schools and so on, you've got to have shots to get in, a policy. And believe you me, these schools are get backhanders too from the big Pharma companies for putting those policies through. Again, this one says:

New York City Requiring Flu Shots for Preschoolers
nytimes.com / SHARON OTTERMAN / JAN. 2, 2015

And then this one here is to do with:

Sydney venue cancels talk by anti-vaccine activist Sherri Tenpenny

sbs.com.au / 8 Jan 2015

A Sydney venue has cancelled talks by Dr Sherri Tenpenny, an American anti-vaccine activist who is scheduled to give a series of lectures across Australia in March. The Kareela Golf & Social Club in Sydney's south has cancelled talks by osteopath Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, an anti-vaccine activist who was due to hold a seminar at the venue. General manager of the Kareela club, Dennis Skinner said he didn't want to be involved with the controversial subject. (A: So somebody leaned on him. The big Pharma boys would do it, and their own health system, national health system.)

"The club as a venue, we don't have a position for or against this, we just decided the subject matter was too controversial for us to be involved in," he told ABC. The Kareela Club has since been criticised by Dr Tenpenny supporters, with many leaving bad reviews on their Facebook page.

And lastly we have one on Obama care of course:

ObamaCare fines rising in 2015, IRS prepares to collect
FoxNews.com / December 30, 2014

(A: It really is a huge tax, isn't it, for the IRS to even be involved in it.)

Don't have health insurance? Get ready to pay up. The ObamaCare-mandated fines for not having insurance are rising in 2015 -- and for the first time, will be collected by the Internal Revenue Service. The individual requirement to buy health insurance went into effect earlier this year. But this coming tax season is the first time all taxpayers will have to report to the IRS whether they had health insurance for the prior year. The fines for the 2014 year were relatively modest -- $95 per person or 1 percent of household income (above the threshold for filing taxes), whichever is more.
But insurance scofflaws face a sharp increase if they don't get covered soon. The fine will jump in 2015 to $325 or 2 percent of income, whichever is higher. By 2016, the average fine will be about $1,100, based on government figures. The insurance requirement and penalties remain the most unpopular part of the health care law. They were intended to serve a broader purpose by nudging healthy people into the insurance pool, helping to keep premiums more affordable. But the application of fines in 2015 could renew criticism of the law, at a time when Republicans are taking control of Congress and looking at ways to undercut the policy. According to government figures, tens of millions of people still fall into the ranks of the uninsured.

Unclear is how many would actually be assessed a fine. The law offers about 30 different exemptions, most of which involve financial hardships. Further, it's unclear how aggressively the IRS would go after the fines. (A: They'll probably get SWAT teams after them eventually. I'm not kidding about that, that will come down after a few years.)

Many taxpayers may be able to get a pass. Based on congressional analysis, tax preparation giant H&R Block says roughly 4 million uninsured people will pay penalties and 26 million will qualify for exemptions from the list of waivers. Deciding what kind of waiver to seek could be crucial. Some can be claimed directly on a tax return, but others involve mailing paperwork to the Department of Health and Human Services. Tax preparation companies say the IRS has told them it's taking steps to make sure taxpayers' returns don't languish in bureaucratic limbo while HHS rules on their waivers. TurboTax has created a free online tool called "Exemption Check" for people to see if they may qualify for a waiver. Charges apply later if the taxpayer files through TurboTax. People also can get a sense of the potential hit by going online and using the Tax Policy Center's Affordable Care Act penalty calculator.

And I'll tell you another thing too, once it's routine and everyone has accept it, you'll find then that they will really mandate from the government, they will mandate all the shots, etc., and keep increasing them, increasing them. That's what they used the National Health Service in Britain for, they start making it an authority, you understand. It's not a service, it's an authority. They all start off as services and become authorities. It's quite something to watch this nonsense go on and on and on. Quite something.

And like all reality, which is bunkum, I'll just say this last little thing, I wasn't going to, but it says:

First Ebola boy likely infected by playing in bat tree
bbc.com / 30 December 2014 / Michelle Roberts

(A: Remember, they gave you the same rubbish about the AIDS, oh it could be a cave where bats live, could it be this cave here? Etc., in the documentaries they made at the time. I guess it's bat man, eh. It shows you.)

Bat being captured to be tested for Ebola Other researchers have been testing bats in West Africa. The Ebola victim who is believed to have triggered the current outbreak - a two-year-old boy called Emile Ouamouno from Guinea - may have been infected by playing in a hollow tree housing a colony of bats, say scientists. They made the connection on an expedition to the boy's village, Meliandou. They took samples and chatted to locals to find out more about Ebola's source. The team's findings are published in EMBO Molecular Medicine.

It's quite amazing. But I'll tell you another thing too, I mean, this is not the standard Ebola that's been coming into the States there, that was out initially, there's a lot of different symptoms and so on. So I think it's all probably produced in labs like so many other things are too. And of course, the agencies that will deal with that kind of thing, they're doing it all quietly, will never admit that to the general public. So were given, you know, bats are causing it, etc., which is enough to drive you batty.

But these articles, as I say, are like fillers, they just churn them out by machine I think, probably computers, it gives another two dozen fillers, and they'll push it out in the papers, for the general cattle to lap up and yap about. Because you really get fed up with the articles they put out there and the way they are presented to the general population. Maybe we are becoming more and more stupid, because they are getting more and more ridiculous all the time. And they all know what is really is going on, as you all know. All reality is pretty well hidden from the general public and were managed, truly, just like the herd management that the health services call managing us all with the vaccinations. They call it herd management, that's how governments now run all of our lives. We're just the big herd, and different factions of the herd have special agencies forcing them to go along with the rest of the herd and things like that, because it's herd management anyway that you look at it.

Well, from Hamish and myself from a very freezing Ontario, Canada, because of global warming of course, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

France Satirical Mag Charlie Hebdo Sued by Islamists for 'Blasphemy'

French Muslims to sue Charlie Hebdo over Mohammed cartoons

How paedophiles infiltrated the left and hijacked the fight for civil rights

Paedophile at the Home Office: He boasted of storing PIE files where police would never discover them

Secret service infiltrated paedophile group to 'blackmail establishment'

FCC chair likely to take Obama’s lead on net neutrality

Netflix reaffirms policy against Canadians accessing U.S. site

New Law Bolsters Cybersecurity Protection

Bank of England was unaware of impending financial crisis

Euro sinks to fresh nine-year low

Most Americans are one paycheck away from the street

The Economist leaves Janet Yellen off its list of most influential economists

Migrant shoplifter told to claim benefits rather than steal by judge

Ebola Vaccine Tests Resume - Restart Follows Pause to Assess Reports of Joint Pain

Take your mandatory flu shot and shove it!

New York City Requiring Flu Shots for Preschoolers

Sydney venue cancels talk by anti-vaccine activist Sherri Tenpenny

ObamaCare fines rising in 2015, IRS prepares to collect

First Ebola boy likely infected by playing in bat tree

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Jan. 15, 2015 (#1484)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
realitybytesradio.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 15th of January, 2015. Today we have our regular guest Alan Watt on the line. Are you there Alan?
Alan: Yes. I'm here.
Neil: Okay. You've mentioned many times about how the population is stampeded. Now everybody imagines a stampede as they have seen in the wildlife movies where the wildebeest is being chased by the lions. Or they have seen riots where people are crushed or whatever. But the stampede you are talking about is more of a mental stampede from what I understand. And this kind of technique if you like has been used for a long, long time. And do you want to, do you have any kind of insight into where this kind of technique stems from and what is the psychology behind it?
Alan: It's ancient actually. If you go back into ancient times when people lived in tribes, they had... It's a natural thing for tribes to have a leader, an elected leader. And the tribesman, the chieftain, generally has no more than the rest of the people. He's given respect and after he has done his year or two years or whatever, someone else takes over with the consent of the whole tribe. That's how it used to be pretty well across the world. But with the rise of commerce, with the early commerce, they were again the psychopathic types you might say who could see how they could aggrandize themselves and benefit themselves if they only had rulership over the people and become hereditary basically as a shortcut to it if they could have their offspring take over, etcetera, etcetera. And you find the earliest signs of this again across the Middle East and in Asia where commerce came in with eventually out of wherever, who knows, they decided on a kind of standard of money. Money is the key to all of this. Money takes you off of a natural system of say a collective survival and hunter-gatherers or even early agriculture and barter. It takes you from that to the middleman. The middleman then has the power. And the middleman will always accumulate wealth. So if the middleman can also eventually manage this introduction of something to exchange which is called money, whether it is weighed or whatever or minted, and have the people accept it, then he can eventually take over and decide the value of that currency. That's been ongoing for thousands of years. And across that particular area that's where they understood this in early, early times. From then on through the Phoenicians, which also was related to all of the groups in the Middle East, they traveled the world again through trade and they tried to introduce their coinage everywhere too. Initially they would do straight barter with folk they'd meet in different shores and islands and eventually they'd say no we don't want that, we want you to give us this stuff and start using this stuff. But to get this coin you'll

have to give us "x" amount of fur or grain or whatever it happens to be. And that's what they did. That's what a lot of the ancient wars were about. When they took over a country eventually and got a hierarchy in that country, like a king established, instead of a chief you create a hereditary king with a family; then he is out for his own self benefit and his family's too. To live better than the rest of the people you must live off of the people. I don't care how else it is done; it's as simple as that. And so they worked with the middlemen, the money managers, and so on. They had to work always down through time together and create these long family lineages and so on.

But in the early times you see with the Phoenicians you had the hundred year wars with even the Spartans, etcetera, as they took over island after island and used the countries that were indebted to these moneymen come merchants. They'd use that money and the debt that was owed them to force the kings they'd help to establish to use their people, their armies to conquer the next country that would then force the money system upon them. And that took well over a hundred years you know. And once they got coinage in there, early coinage of silver about 800 B.C., then they realized that they'd have to force that currency across the world which they did in ancient times. They found these coins by the way across the world even on the east coast of early America. So this world has been well traveled many, many thousands of years ago, long before Columbus.

But anyway the idea was: how do you rule all of these people? Once you get them into an artificial system it's easier to rule them because they will then believe that they'll lose their ability to even have self-preservation survival. An artificial money system or any money system brings a new system of living in, an artificial system. If you create a city for instance, and all the kings wanted cities, then everything in that city is an artificial system. They are not hunting, they are not gathering, they need money to bring in all of their foodstuffs. They have to get an army so they need money to pay the army, etcetera, etcetera, and so on. So this whole thing is a perpetual self-motion machine. It keeps going and going and going once you've got it established.

Even Plato talked about how cities eventually would dominate the world, these city-states. And they could change the culture within those city walls all the time because they still have workers inside the city working now for money in artificial systems as we are today all sitting in offices and things, and people are working on computers and they hate their jobs but it's completely artificial. It's unnatural for a human being to do. But we are taught down through the centuries that this is all quite normal and we accept it.

Now those with the money system and those who understand how it works use that as a big clout on the general public to keep them in line because the more you abuse a person or a whole population, you keep them fearful, and you have taught and trained them that this is all quite normal this system, then when something is threatened, oh a financial crash, or devaluation of your currency and poverty, then the people and the various groups that represent the people stand up and say oh do something to the very guys who are abusing you. And this is the system they have used all along is fear, fear, fear. So the more they abuse you, the more the people are trained that you really need them and their experts. And the experts, as you are well aware, how come things never get any better? We always have, the bankers always plunder us. This combination of bankers plunder the public at least twice a century, every century, at least twice, sometimes three times a century. We bail them out. There is nothing new in this at all. This has been going on for a long, long, long time. And because they also run what's called the establishment, the whole system of normalcy at the top, the law system, everything, then nothing is ever done to really change because they want to do it again and again and again.

But with the money system and with this commerce thing you have powerful elite groups and the money system all combined together, completely interwoven. They also use those armies to go and plunder other countries under thousands of guises. And if you want, if you've got, like the New American Century Project that we knew about in the 90's, where they had all the countries who the U.S. wanted scheduled to be taken out starting with Afghanistan. I mean they published it on their own website with Paul Wolfowitz and all the rest of them. Afghanistan and Iraq, then they wanted Syria. And Israel too wanted the U.S. army to go straight from Iraq into Syria and take Syria out at the same time, then Libya, and then Iran. And they have accomplished almost all of those goals and they are still underway to accomplishing the last parts of it. This was published years before 2001.

Neil: I think Venezuela and North Korea are on that as well.

Alan: Oh yeah. Long ago North Korea was too. But you have to understand too that you are trained to think of yourself as a nation, every country is. And you are taught to be proud of your nation, etcetera, etcetera. That's the first lie you are taught because the bankers who might live in your country, some of them, also tell the top politicians, in fact they make sure they get them in, their boys get in, and they have their plans, this ongoing world domination thing, of taking over the whole world and getting it on one

currency system down the road. And in the process they will also want to incredibly enrich themselves. It's never enough, they can't satiate their hunger for power, these people. They don't say well I've earned fifteen trillion dollars this year worldwide so I've got enough. It doesn't work that way. Power is an aberration. This kind of power is an aberration. But unfortunately in this system it's the normal aberration, so much so, that those down below, all the working slobs, are taught to try and emulate it too. So they give you that kind of culture, a psychopathic type spillover culture, that trickles down and becomes your culture with the nonsense that anyone can make it, etcetera.

But when they look at other countries, like I say, and draw up their plans for war for the future. And they mean it; they don't draw them up in these big think tanks just to toss ideas around. Then they've got to get a valid excuse seemingly to the public to go and invade those countries. But they don't call it invasion, they always call it going to liberate them or spreading democracy. And the ancient Romans said the same thing; they were going and spreading culture everywhere. They were civilizing the people. And of course we saw that after 9/11 in Afghanistan and Iraq and so on. They actually used the same terms, they were bringing them democracy into nations where this was a foreign concept. And we forget it ourselves that a hundred-odd years ago democracy say in Europe, in Britain, was also a foreign concept. You didn't have what you think of as democracy today, back then. They may have called it a democratic government but it simply meant..., in fact a hundred years ago in Britain you had to own land to go and vote, and so many houses, etcetera. Tenants couldn't vote up until about World War I, inside World War I. That's where they gave them the vote by the way, the folk who were landless.

So, democracy's understanding keeps changing as they push the con and keep pushing the con. Even Winston Churchill wrote in the early 1900's, this strange concept of democracy, the certain elements coming into Britain were trying to push, and at that time they had different covers, one of the covers was Marxism. So what you've got is the pretence of democracy. You've got this terrible indoctrination of the population, more so I think in the U.S., of a classless society, which is an absolute laughing joke you know. It's completely laughable. But if you train the public like that you can always get them to join up in hordes and go off and fight for any excuse you give them. And when you go off and fight, what you do is accomplish your missions, which is you take over the lands, just like Britain did with its empire. The public pay for the armies to go off, the shipping off of armies and all the ammunitions and everything else that goes with it and logistics. And you put down railroad lines; you put in airstrips today, things like that. We pay for it all and then private contracts are given to the boys who want to get the mining out, the diamonds, the gold, they've got oil, all of these kinds of things. It's private enterprise that rules it all and we pay for it all under this guise of democracy, spreading freedom and spreading democracy and all that nonsense. You have to retrain your mind to actually believe what it's actually seeing instead of being told what to perceive and what you are seeing. {Laughs}

Neil: Yeah. You mentioned fear earlier on and we did a program on that a while ago, but war certainly is the big tool to stampede people in many different ways. And the most obvious I suppose is refugees. And that again brings its own problems for neighboring countries or not necessarily neighboring countries but in the form of mass immigration to countries which again are alien to their own culture.

Alan: Yes. It's called geopolitics again. That's what they call it at the top. We tend to be short-term thinkers. Most folk are short-term thinkers. Women are more long-term thinkers than men. Men can understand small projects that need to get done immediately and then they will go to it and get it done. Women can actually plan ahead, even years in advance. But at the top, since we've been so well studied, they've used a combination of both techniques at the top through the intelligence services, the big agencies that run all the other agencies, etcetera, etcetera. The Rand Corporation, many corporations involved, big think tanks on constantly studying humanity. And now with the internet there they can keep the pulse on the public and see what's working and what's not working in propaganda technique.

So what you've got is the ability to say okay we'll start off here like a chess board. Here's where we want to go but in the meantime we'll make these moves, these moves, these moves, these moves, and these moves and eventually we'll get to our goal at the end. It's an ongoing game. I call it the never-ending story because it's meant to go on and on and on, like George Orwell said in "1984".

So we see the immediate, all the propaganda is always for immediacy. You've got to attack Iraq. Now even in Canada they were doing studies in Canada in different psychological associations, universities, and they noticed how the media that started off bashing Afghanistan initially for 9/11 and Bin Laden and so on quietly over the course of a year once they were in Afghanistan started to focus and tried to, almost in a subliminal way until it was overt, get the public used to the idea that Saddam Hussein was involved in it. And even though during that period when the inquiry came up and Bush Jr. said, well Iraq had nothing to do with it. He said Saddam is just a bad man and he's better out of the way. That was good enough but the fact is the

American public have been trained that Saddam was the ultimate bad guy for a while. And so you had the schizophrenic attitude, no it was Bin Laden that got the war started and then it shifted, the gunsights, to Iraq and blamed Saddam Hussein. That's all it took in the media, constant subliminals, and then into overt blaming until the public in America accepted having to invade Iraq you see. That's how you do geopolitics. And then of course and after at the end of Iraq, when they were through there, Israel came out in the newspapers and television and said well, and they told the U.S. just go straight now into Syria. All their enemies they want to be taken out too you see. Why do it yourself when somebody else is going to do it for you and pay for it all too?

So it almost came to that. Instead it didn't work and they had to spend a few years and work up this Al-Qaeda nonsense that was all funded by the West to invade Syria which is still ongoing today. And now they keep changing the names to confuse the public who'll catch on to what's going on. But it's the same group, totally funded by the West, and that's how you do geopolitics. And then eventually they'll only have, if they get Syria out of the way, they've only got Iran to do and then they'll have all the oil fields, all the minerals, all the aquifers, the water and so on. It's just incredible the wealth they are giving to private companies who didn't put a penny forward in to get this war going. They get all the rewards but that's how the British Empire was actually built. It's never changed and we tend to think again, stop thinking in countries, like oh the Rothschilds live in London. No, no, the Rothschilds lived in the U.S. and London and other places too, different branches of their family. And they will use whatever country is handy at the time to get their geopolitical strategy through, including funding the United Nations, funding the World Bank, and all the rest of it through the CFR and the Royal Institute for International Affairs which they set up and own. They were the guys who financed Alfred Milner and guys like that, that set up the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the private organization that literally drafted up the United Nations treaty and everything else. They drafted up the European Union amalgamation. They drafted up the North American NAFTA agreement too. They came on television, their branch here in Canada, the CFR admitted on television and on an hour-long show, new show, CBC, that they drafted it up and gave it to them all to sign, the three amigos to sign into law, Mexico, Canada, and the U.S., a private organization that most folk haven't heard of. You can't just join it because you have to be asked to go and join the thing after you have been well vetted. I mean they've studied you for years if they bring you in and the public have no vote on anything that's going on here, including the CFR. Why are private organizations running the whole world's commerce, money and everything else today while we go through this farce that we are democratic? I can't even use the word democracy anymore. It's a complete, it's not even a dead joke now, it has disintegrated way under the earth. {Laughs}

Neil: Yeah. It is funny you mentioned the CFR and people being stampeded in it. I just think of Gerry Adams was invited to talk there so I mean he's part of that group as well. And of course he's touted as this great republican leader and now he's in mainstream politics and everything. I wonder who he was working for, eh, you know.

Alan: Oh absolutely. I always knew that with him.

Neil: Yeah and McGuinness. And okay, the effects on the general public obviously through the media, through the news, the television. I'm thinking like 9/11, all these disasters, the 7/7 tsunamis, where it's on the TV like constantly. In particular what's happening now with this Paris thing, how that's been blown out of all proportion in terms of you know the small event that it was. I'm not you know simplifying the fact that people were killed or anything but you know it's a fairly minor tragedy if I can put it that way compared to some of the horrors that Israel has inflicted on Palestine for example, or the one a few weeks ago where the Afghan army mislaid a rocket and fired it into a wedding party and killed 40 people. We don't hear world leaders on the street about that you know but this thing is playing on the public psyche and basically stampeding them down the road to more and more of a police state and to accept a harsher police state.

Alan: Well there is no doubt about it, to introduce anything which takes away freedoms and rights from the people, again it is ancient, you must terrify the people that you are all going to get killed or massacred in your beds by these nasty people. And so if they don't create the instance themselves, which is a very common thing down through history, if they don't create it themselves, then they will certainly aid and abet it happening to some little group. They will give them, they will finance them, they will help them get to their target in order to use the whole event. You see there is a lot of strategy to do with the Soviet Union during the Cold War and it was fantastic to see how the psychology worked in the Soviet intelligence and MI5 and 6 because they were both the same. And the idea on the Marxian side or Soviet side was to go into countries that are third world or they are sinking first world countries where there is lots of unemployment, agitate the people but don't help the people, attack all charities because charities give aid out to the people which alleviate their misery. We don't want their misery alleviated, make it worse and then they will get

really angry. The whole point is to get people really angry and uprising to overthrow. And then the group that was behind it all would jump in, just like the Bolsheviks jumped over all the socialist groups and became the leaders of Russia you see. They used them all to get the leadership, it was a strategy. And what the Soviets also said was, if we can get them agitated until the West, the so-called Fascist countries, responded in an overt heavy-handed manner by taking away all the rights of the people, we can then point and say, see, we told you so, that's what's really running your country, these monsters and power-mad crazy people, you know. And then they'd revolt again. So this was the psychology of getting, using the masses of people to do your bidding for you. Even down to making their misery worse to make sure they would revolt.
In the West it was different. The West got into psychological warfare and cultural warfare, very important, both countries did it. And under the cultural system they hired people who were running the entertainment business in the U.S. primarily. Some in Britain too which is an in-group clique you might say. And they made them ambassadors for culture for the West and of course the European countries and even going into the Soviet Union with certain shows and so on. And what their idea was, this is what they told the people in their intelligence reports, the idea – and the CIA ran it from the U.S., it was called America's Cultural Cold War, you know – and the idea was to show how liberated so-called democracy in the West was when it came to ideas and freedoms. So they pushed nudity, they pushed all of these things, you see we don't have all these barriers that you have there, you're inhibited in the Soviet System, etcetera. And the idea was to make the ones in the West, in the Soviet System, the youth especially, try and emulate things like the pop music and then the rock music, etcetera, and wearing the mini-skirts and so on. And so the Soviet Union actually adopted that technique, the early music television series. They put their own version on in the Soviet Union, not as raunchy but getting there you might say, because then they had to keep their people happy. Massive cultural wars go on like that as outside forces change your culture internally.
So there is a lot more involved in intelligence work and long-term strategy and geopolitics than just the immediate thing that you see in the paper today. When you get back to the immigration policies you were talking about for refugees, they are well aware that they would bring problems into their country, the home country. Britain for instance in the 70's, they opened the floodgate under an agreement by the way from the 1930's and the Royal Institute for International Affairs, that was all the British Commonwealth Countries, that eventually they would bring in, they'd open the borders up for people from India especially, that was one of their biggest prizes, was India. And they would bring straight immigration right into Britain. In the 70's they brought them in on mass. They were just flooding in. And that was to deculturalize the people at home. That was part of the reason. But down the road they knew, down the road they knew in the 70's, because I knew the strategy, I said if they ever end up attacking those countries in the future, their homelands abroad to grab whatever wealth there is that they want, I said there is going to be riots here because you've got ready made people who are different, they are different from say northern hemisphere people. It's a completely different ethnology involved in it and anthropology. They're in-groups, big families, all connected and so on. That's a different system than the northern folk and how they developed and they will keep their culture for generations that they brought over from their homelands, as opposed to the one they adapt. They might not even adapt into yours; you'll have a kind of pretense to an extent. But down the road if they ever attacked say Muslim countries, then they could be guaranteed to have a population at home of Muslims that would then riot at home. Then you can push forth laws that would affect everyone say in Britain, even people who have their genes go back for thousands of years in that area. So we saw the same thing in the U.S. as well with 9/11.
Neil:Yeah. We were talking about movies and programming, but I think it was "Children of Men" where they showed you just that scenario and they based it on Muslims. At the end of the movie all you've got is these hordes of Muslims walking through the streets with kalashnikofs in the center of London. And they showed you the train station with the cages with all the immigrants in it and you know the dogs and the heavy-handed stuff. That movie was made, now was it ten years ago, ten years ago at least, and now here we are. You know we are not far off it. Another coincidence. And I mean I can't imagine what would've happened in London if the Charlie Hebdo thing had happened there. I would imagine that they would've shut London down completely.
Alan: But here's the thing though. Back in the early 80's there was an attempt, something happened in London in England; there were a few terrorists that took over I think it was the British Petroleum tower building in London and that eventually got publicized widely when you brought the SAS. Nobody had seen the SAS operating in their own country before. And they were abseiling down the lines, they were all dressed in black and they smashed through windows and so on to rescue the hostages. And at the time they said it was Iranian terrorists that were involved. And even the SAS admitted they were supposed to kill every terrorist in there. They didn't want anyone to stay alive to say why they had come or who sent them

or anything else you know. They didn't want it getting out to the press.
Neil: The same thing has happened in Paris.
Alan: That's right. Well the thing was too though, after that the Iranian embassy had to move back to Iran. We are forgetting too this was all in that era where Britain had been heavily involved and running Iran and getting the oil out for years and years and years and they put the Shah in and all the rest of it. And the Iranians were not happy with all this stuff, all their money getting taken out of their country, etcetera, and even their top people getting put in power by outsiders. So this was during that whole phase.
Now the Cold War was still going on as well and so they didn't want a ruckus. Even when a policewoman, they broadcast that, she was out directing traffic outside the embassy while the Iranian staff were told to leave and for some reason one of the Iranians opened fire with an automatic pistol, machine pistol, and shot this policewoman. Now, there was a lot of oh that's terrible, oh what a shame, and so on in the media, but there was no call for say martial law techniques or everybody must be monitored now or the domestic population of Britain must give up all their rights, because the Cold War was still going on; Russia would have used that. Now you don't have that anymore and it's out in the open where they always wanted to go. So if they don't actually create the incidents to create more and more martial law technique and total surveillance, etcetera, then they certainly aid the groups that they know want to do these things. They certainly aid them financially and with weapons and all the rest of it, there is no doubt about that.
Neil: Yeah and again you know we are getting it constantly, well I don't watch TV, but on TV and in the media it's constantly front-page news any time there is any slight incident involving "terrorism", there is always a Cobra meeting or you know somebody is having a special meeting to discuss how they could prevent it in the future. And it's as you say twenty years ago or whenever that Iranian embassy siege was, well longer than that wasn't it, maybe thirty years ago, you know there was none of this. There were no calls for shutting down London or you know patting everybody down at the train stations or whatever. But these Oyster Card things that people have got in London that track you everywhere you go on the bus and the train. You have to go through barriers with them. I don't think we are too far away in London from certainly seeing barriers at the end of the street where you've got to go through these things. And that's obviously what they are for. I mean they are a form of an ID card basically.
Alan: Well the oldest idea was always to, if you were going to say we are going to keep you safe but you can have no rights. You can't have one without the other. It's as simple as that, that's what they tell you. And back in the 90's, the late 90's in Canada for instance, I knew something was coming up, the big plans. I knew about the American Century Project and their own website as I say it was up there. They published it twice with their whole agenda. I think '93 then '97 they republished it. And I thought well how are they going to get the world from this supposed flaccid era of peace to all of this and to take these countries over without a blowback? And of course in '98 I think it was, 1998, the attorney general for Canada, a guy who was always appointed into jobs, Allan Rock his name was, suddenly put out an Omnibus Crime Bill which when analyzed, and the reporters said this too, this is completely an anti-terrorist and martial law type bill. And in '98 nothing seemed to be happening to the general public, we were lulled to sleep and all the rest of it and kept entertained. And then as soon as that was signed and put through he went off to work in the United Nations right off the bat immediately. But it was never explained to anybody, including the journalists, why this kind of martial law thing was coming out, indefinite detention, etcetera. There was, you see the plans were already made. All the intelligence services knew here's the agenda, the New American Century Project, here are the wars we are going to have in two or three years. Here is the blowback you get. Blowback is when you create radicals because if the folk start bombing your country and you see your family is constantly bombed up over ten, twenty years, youngsters growing up are going to be incredibly radicalized and full of hate. That's natural in all wars, especially extended wars, and so blowback is when that will create the enemy that you didn't have in the first place. I mean really if none of this had happened and you didn't have the New American Century Project and you didn't have this constant power grabs of oil and wealth from say Africa, diamonds, gold and all the rest of it and all the minerals and yada-yada-ya, and the oil across the Middle East. If you didn't have all that problem going on with private wars going on, commercial wars, you wouldn't have had this physical war. That's just basically it.
So it was all planned. They knew the blowback they'd get. They knew they'd come down to martial law after 2001. It was all set to go on the books already and then even all the plans, and the think tanks had ten years to work on the plans before 9/11, ten years to think on ways, okay when this happens how could we gradually get the folk to accept being monitored in everything they do, where they go, and so on, step by step by step. And they talked about different events they would need, to introduce each part of it to the public to get them to accept it.
If you do it too suddenly, all at once, there is blowback from your own people, your internal people. But if

you do it step by step by step and bring in all the experts and really hype it up, then the public will adapt and adapt to each step, until they are getting x-rayed at airports and everything else. And it worked pretty smoothly in actual fact right up to the present time. And now as I say an incident that, why should the whole – you see the Soviets called this technique collective punishment. If they wanted their own people to be, say if they had wanted them disarmed, if they had wanted them disarmed internally in Russia they would get someone who went crazy with a rifle and then they'd ban it for the whole population. That was called collective punishment. And it's a technique that the West has been using since, and actually since before, but definitely after 9/11. And really hype up the story until it seems to be everywhere. They do constant ongoing psychological evaluations of the populations.

Back in the 90's I think it was called the year of the gun was the big topic because there were gangs, Jamaican gangs, Trinidadian gangs and so on all fighting each other in Toronto for drug territory. And they were all shooting each other. There was hardly a day that went by in the paper that you didn't hear something until the public got used to it again. But after that year they did surveys on all the populations of Toronto and after one incident where a white girl was shot, it was great publicity for them. She was shot by a Jamaican who was an illegal immigrant who had been caught three or four times and revolving-door justice and put back on the streets. They wanted this guy to do something eventually and he did. So he shot this white girl who was out, she was engaged and getting married that next week or something, beautiful story for the papers and the media and to get outrage going amongst the people and then the anti-gun campaign kicked in on the act. They said we have to ban all guns from all people, including all hunting guns, and so on, and so on, and so on. That was used, one person was killed, therefore let's ban all hunters, etcetera, and register all hunting rifles, etcetera.

So that's how they work out this thing. But they did a survey in the population and because they hyped that same story for months they found that the average person in Toronto, just from that one repetitive newscast and theme, were terrified to go out at night, even though the chances of anything happening to them were the same as it had always been, one in millions. They literally, the psychological impact of creating fear and trepidation of just walking outside your door had massively increased. And that's what they can do with the media, the way it is psychologically presented to the public, introduced to the public, and then the repetition to the public. You can actually get them to adapt to any fallacy that you want.

Neil: Yeah. I mean you've discussed it on your own broadcast before and called it learned helplessness. That's basically where people are so afraid of doing anything that they feel helpless about anything, even managing their own affairs at home.

Alan: Their own personal lives. It creates apathy.

Neil: Yeah. Well I mean apathy, we are well aware of how apathetic people have become and whether it's a combination of what you were just talking about there and the fluoride and all the rest of it. People have certainly, I'd say, given up hope. And I can't imagine being a young person these days and looking at the world and thinking I've got a great future ahead of me.

Alan: I know.

Neil: You know. And you know you drive along the road and you see all the little school children coming out of school and you look at them and you wonder what kind of future they are going to have. But then again they have been kind of stampeded down the road of technology and they've become almost addicted to it. I'd almost say I'm addicted to it. I spoke about this to Aaron Franz and somebody else the other day there about it and you know this is the big comfort now. This is all they've got to look forward to is basically playing games for the rest of their lives because there is going to be nothing for them to do. There are so many consequences. I don't see any jobs for them or any kind of long-term career they can go into which hasn't been kind of, I don't know how to describe it, infiltrated by the system if you'd like. I mean not even doctors are having to go do surgeries anymore; you can phone them up on Skype apparently and get a diagnosis. Everything has been taken down to the basest level.

Alan: Well they did studies on the future back in the days of H.G. Wells in his heyday when the big Fabian socialist society that was just a branch to run the left wing, a branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs/CFR. They did lots of studies on the population about the creation of apathy, what we are talking about here, and what would happen if eventually we got to a generation where they say well there are too many of you, we don't need you anymore in a post-industrial society. This was all discussed way before World War I and II you know and then ongoing discussions right to the present. If they got a population to accept apathy and being ruled by experts, that was the whole push for the Fabian Socialists, rule by experts and social workers and advisors. Experts of all kinds your whole life and monitored your whole life too. Make it so unpleasant and give life less value, so you must devalue life itself, which they have done an awful good job at. And then you bring in voluntary euthanasia for the desperately ill and terminal. And then you

simply up it like Holland there too, well if you are depressed why not kill yourself you know blah, blah, blah. And now they are even allowing teenagers to make that choice now.
So, this was all discussed long ago. We are just living through a script right now. That's what we are living through, a script. And every facet of society has been catered to in the script. Ongoing studies and updates on how to manipulate each group and gender and everything else and age group. And they are pretty well getting to that point there. If they make life so, look at all the Sci-Fi's they put out there about end-time catastrophes and everybody living in rubble except a small elite. At the end of those movies you go, oh, blah, it's so blah. And that's an idea of where they would like to bring your mental state, into the state of every day is the same and apathy, it's apathy, apathy, apathy.
Neil: Yeah. In terms of the techniques they use, do the exact same techniques work in every culture, or do they have to kind of doctor it a little to push certain, I'll call it, races or cultures in a certain way because the culture doesn't allow them to be kind of so easily talked into doing certain things?
Alan: What they did actually in earlier writings in fact, it's interesting to see the earlier writings, because around 1900 the big organizations that formed say the Alfred Milner Group and his group joined with the Cecile Rhodes Group which is Rothschild's Group too. And they merged together into the Royal Institute for International Affairs. But they did studies on that kind of future. And what they had found already, Britain remember was into India and Africa and all across the globe dealing with other cultures to dominate them under the pretense again of oh we're bringing in civilization to them and order, etcetera, and as they looted the countries. But what they found was if they could, the easiest thing to do they said was to look at the gender, what works with a woman in one country will work with a woman in any country. So don't just look at them as a group of people, start looking at gender and age groups, etcetera.
But they also said the same thing that Marx said before that and Lenin said afterwards and even Mao Tse-tung said for China, what they said was, the only thing that could defeat this technique of gradualistic change, planned change for domination, was a religion. We forget that religion was an embodiment of the whole culture of the people. If you look at the Muslim people, the religion is their culture, you can't separate the two. It's their way of life, it keeps their order and everything else going amongst them and so on and you can't separate it.
In the West they have already destroyed most of the religion. And already the new religion is the state as you know because this is what they said, when the religion in the West dies down, dies off gradually, the state will already be accepted as the new, and science and the experts are accepted as the new bosses basically. That's harder to do in say Muslim countries because their culture is their religion. This idea of democracy is an alien concept to them. So they have to alter.
What they are trying to do across the world is use, go for the very young. That's what the United Nations and UNESCO is all about, get to the very young across the world, try and westernize them through things the young are very hyper about like sex. When their hormones are kicking in when they are really young that's all they are thinking about. So start showing them stuff they'd never see in their own country, make sure they all have the cell phones, etcetera. And make sure they can all watch music television or movies and give them lots and lots of sex. And they will turn against their parents; they will be different from their parents. That's what they hope for. And to an extent it will work with some but not perhaps all in a culture which is very bonded together with a religion which is their culture as I say. And for them it's worked for thousands of years fine.
So they had to tweak it and tweak it and tweak it. The other way is to bribe leaders and try to take over that way, straight forward bribes just like how Britain set up Saudi Arabia for folk who don't know that, Britain set it up, London did I should say. And Philby was involved in that too by the way, the double agent. But they set it up and in Saudi Arabia they made sure that those guys at the top, the Saudis, the princes and so on, would be awfully rich and they would have dynasties, and when dynasties form unfortunately they start to lose their identity with the general public. And they become an elite group and then they're your man basically for life as long as you make sure they're safe as well, they will play ball with you. So if they can't try and bribe you outrightly, if they can't bribe you, they'll try and have a coup as the CIA is in charge for doing and simply eliminate you and replace you. So that's the real world we are talking about. This is the real world.
Neil: Yeah. You were talking about dynasties, I mean it's not in just in those types of countries that those exist, I mean in Ireland particularly in my experience, you've got families there who have been in politics for centuries and they are still there. Their sons take over the seat and that's it. And the same in Britain. Well I don't know if it's to a lesser extent but a less obvious extent maybe in Britain. But in Ireland it's in your face that it's just families running the country. So and the parochialism there is incredible. You know people will, it doesn't matter what they do to you over there, the people will vote for you. You know, I don't know

if it is something in the Irish psyche or whatever, they're just like very complacent, and they got away with it. And that again is a corruption of society in itself. And that it breeds that kind of corruption at lower levels.
Alan: It does. You'll emulate, you definitely emulate what you see above you, especially if you are young and you catch on quick, you can emulate what you see at the top. And they become your role models in fact. If you are a good crook you'll become one of them.
Once, remember every top family starts off, say in ancient times or even the Middle Ages, by slaughtering the folk around you to take power and then you install yourself and after a generation you're the good king or the good queen or the best queen or whatever. And it's the same thing with crooks, like the Bronfmans who ran the whiskey trade you know, the illicit whiskey trade all through Canada and the States during prohibition and made millions and millions, incredible empire. And give a generation after that, put them in the best universities, and they are respectable. The Kennedys were the same, they were involved with the Bronfmans in fact with the whiskey running, and they became respectable. So it's all to do with image making but the reality is it's all started by gangsterism and brutal force and slaughter.
Neil: Yeah. Well I suppose the Rockefellers are another example of that, the great philanthropists of modern times.
Alan: They blew up competitors' wells. They had gangs going around blowing up what they called wildcat guys who were independent. They'd blow their wells up and all the rest of it. And his idea, remember he was part of a worldwide group in his day, Rockefeller, he was put to the States for that reason, and his family was anyway. It's the same with the Bronfmans by the way, there is a great history of how they came into Canada and then they sat doggo for a long time and then were activated and poof did they take off. But you'll find that standardization is the key. Rockefeller as he was getting rid of all the competition in oil, said we'll get the shareholders all onboard with the same one company and that's why they called it Standard Oil, to standardize prices, price fixing, and everything else. And if you didn't join him he'd put you under by every means possible and he did. Great documentaries have been put out in the past about him. And even today David Rockefeller has talked on the history of his family, he justifies it all saying well if this guy had taken our offer he would have been okay you know. He has no regrets at all.
Neil: It's almost like the Godfather movie and the horse's head in the bed, isn't it? He made them an offer they couldn't refuse.
Alan: It's exactly like that. And then you've got also, you've got the fact that it isn't just there. Rockefeller got into the standardization of the American culture. And he took over the culture industry across America and helped finance it. He created the American Medical Association, standardized the Big Pharma, he's still got five Big Pharma companies worldwide. And they run the Medical Association. They run the training for the doctors what they are going to get taught, what they are going to believe right down to the same old treatment for cancer, radiation and poison chemotherapy. They have standardized everything. You see they don't come in and say we are going to get rich, no, they came in with a mission to take everything over until they monopolize everything that you need to survive, food, water, medicine, everything, and work itself in fact, and government.
Neil: Yeah and of course that the American culture as you said was created and that's the culture that they are now trying to spread everywhere. I don't know was Japan one of the early experiments of that because they seem to get into all this Elvis Presley stuff and all the teenagers started clipping their hair and the leather jackets.
Alan: It's a fascinating story, a fascinating story with Japan. As I have mentioned before, after World War II they immediately set up because they had been planning it to set up these cultural influencers they called them across the old warring factions, or they were their enemies in fact, they were enemies. They completely rebuilt the whole culture for Germany, right down to you couldn't get taught history before 1945 you know. That's a big feat when you think about it and they called it the Denazification of Germany. Any old ideas that led to had to be completely eliminated from memory. And the youth were told a whole different, different story.
In Japan, Harry Hopkins was involved along with FDR, during the war they planned what they would do with a post-war Japan. And they said they would set up a banking system run by the U.S. but going through the government to dish out grants to the private companies that used to be part of the war machinery in Japan and they are still going today. But they would completely obliterate the warrior mentality of the culture itself. They'd use it as a big experimental basin. And they did, right to the present time they are still doing it. And they also tried to see if they could utterly destroy the sexual bonding of men and women there. The sexual deviancy in Japan, what we think of as deviancy, has been promoted from the top. You can go into restaurants and see anything you want to see and get anything you want to see. It's just incredible how

they have completely destroyed a rigid strong culture and given this completely strange culture that's constantly malleable according to the direction of those who control it.
Neil: Yeah, there is a great documentary on Japan called, "Love and Hate in Japan". I don't know if you have seen that one, it's on YouTube. There's a guy there and he's living with this younger girl, and she's home to be an escort agency. They've got like two or three jobs each you know just to try to survive in this shoebox. And he was quite an activist back then in the 80's I think it was. And he had like ten thousand people in the street and they really clamped down with the kind of martial law and everything there against him. And that's well worth the watch. And it shows you where they are trying to take us. Literally these people were living in what can only be described as a cupboard you know and it was awful.
Alan: What was the title of that one?
Neil:"Love and Hate in Japan". Yeah, I mean it's very kind of frank, it kind of ends in a happy ending but yeah I mean if anybody wants to see where they are taking us with this Agenda 21 wish, here it is you know and of course Japan was the first place to come out with, I believe it was the first place to come out with these kind of horizontal cubicles you just crawled into and slept in overnight underground.
Alan: That's right. Well they were like beehives. And also getting back to the sexual thing too, they introduced there first of all the whole concept of transferring your sexual attention to very lifelike dolls, a booming trade in Japan. Until, and you know they have done documentaries on that too, and these are very wealthy folk often that have a room full of these life-sized very womanly looking dolls. Not these blow-up rubber things, I mean real professionally made. And to see if they could transfer their affections onto something which is actually inanimate and really non-human. You'll project a humanness onto them, humanity onto them, but just to see because what they can use in one country if it works, they find out the technique that led to it working, and then they could use it anywhere in the world. That goes for all their goals basically.
Neil: Yeah that's the way they are in Japan, they kind of see it as the home of robotics so I guess that's a logical step forward for them, isn't it?
Alan: It is, yeah.
Neil: More than this way. But again that's, I mean how do you persuade somebody to have affection for a machine? I suppose people like their cars. You know they don't want to get rid of that particular car because they love it or whatever or whatever it may be, their favorite piece of jewelry, or whatever. But inanimate objects. I guess in these days these things won't be inanimate, will they? But I mean how do you train the human mind to do that?
Alan: It's quite simple if you read Charles Galton Darwin, who was a physicist in the 1950's in Britain. He put out the book, it was called, "The Next Million Years", talking about the need for the elite to so distance themselves from the masses who would gradually die off and be controlled and so on. They'd have to do this; it was their duty to do this. And he said that the first thing is to break the attraction between men and women. That would depopulate naturally right away. And then too if there is no family structure, man, women, children, you won't stand up and fight for them when they are being oppressed. So you'd be stuck individually with Big Brother talking down to you just like the screen in Orwell's 1984. And government would be more effective, H.G. Wells said the same thing. But Charles Galton Darwin says, he said that gradually through techniques which they knew because they worked with Bernays by the way and he knew Bernays because Charles Galton Darwin lived in Manhattan for a while, he worked on the Manhattan Project, he was one of the scientists there. And he had lots of debates with these guys and big dos, big think tanks, how to bring the people to accept materialism and rather have materialistic things like a car instead of having a wife. And then how to get women to want cars and not have men. Then too how they could gradually introduce through the creation of movements, appearing to be social movements, that there is a lot of deviancy amongst society which was called deviancy then, homosexuality, lesbianism, and so on, and then gradually make that a normal part of society until there was no normalcy left for them at all. And if you can get it into the school system, get them trained early into this kind of thing none of them would mate up in a natural way. And they wouldn't have offspring. That was the main goal of it, not to have offspring. You'd also masculinize the women so that they wouldn't be attractive to the men, they could be more aggressive. But he also said, it's so interesting what he said, by the use of hormones, he said, we can alter the mentality of the male, he can become more effeminate. And he says you can also make the women more aggressive. So almost a role reversal, so he is talking about bioengineering the people. And this was in the 50's and I guarantee you if that was being said in the 50's at the top think tanks they were already trying it out in different areas. And he said the same thing, we'll put it in the food and the water, etcetera.
Neil: Yeah. I mean the ultimate result of all this psychological stampeding if you want to use that term is that your 2.4 children family, your average family or whatever, they are all going in different directions. You

know the woman has now become the man, the man has become the woman, and the children don't know who they are or where they are going.
Alan: The children are brought up by the state. That's what the state says. They give them their new values, it's pushed at school. It's all social indoctrination. When your schools are teaching, are sexually indoctrinating them into different techniques and so on of thinking or behaving, when they are into that kind of thing this has nothing to do with education as it used to be. This is complete social engineering.
Neil: So as I say, like your mum, dad, and the children are all at different points of the compass, all going in different directions, and there is no cohesion whatsoever and that's the ultimate goal.
Alan: And it's worked awfully well, hasn't it?
Neil: Oh yeah. Yeah, we see the results of it everywhere in the family breakdown and societal breakdown of course. Okay Alan that's our hour done. Thanks for coming on again. And we'll be on Monday again with Thomas Sheridan talking about the music industry. I know there are a lot of people that are looking forward to that one so I look forward to that one myself.
Alan: Okay.
Neil: And I'll talk to you later. Take care.
Alan: Thanks very much.
Neil: Cheers. Bye.
Alan: Bye now.
{Closing Music ♫}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Jan. 18, 2015 (#1485)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Carbon Scam's Goal with Persistence
Is to Tax and Control Your Existence"
© Alan Watt Jan. 18, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 18, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 18, 2015. You know, you've got to really admire the power that goes into managing us all, this power, this consortium let's put it that way, this consortium of internationalists with all their agencies, even our own governments of course, they're private at the top, of course, but they do own governments, they own continents, they own the economies of countries, they own the banking systems of countries, the currencies of countries, they own the military-industrial complex, and they set forth the agenda. Their thousands of think tanks work on each part of the agenda right down to the minutia to do with individuals and what groups or cluster you fit into, your friends, etc., why you do it, etc, and how they manage all of us basically. They know when they put out a new idea that's going to rip you off or whatever it happens to be again. The public, they know how many will respond, who will to stay asleep to it, not bother, and the ones who will argue about it and complain. So everything is minutely managed and that's what happens when you live through an incredible agenda.

And we never get a break, really. When you think you're getting a break, be careful, because so much is going on behind the scenes in preparation for the next big bash on the head that we all get. And there's always many reasons, and many benefits that they get out of one, say, one particular thing for instance. As an example we can use the slump in oil prices right now. Now, it's not really a slump in oil prices as we know. There's big companies there that aren't losing a penny, I guarantee you. It will be paid by the taxpayer, any losses they say that they are incurring during this geopolitical strategy, as they lower the prices of gasoline. If you notice, oil itself in the stores, if you buy oil for your vehicles and so on, it isn't dropping it all, neither is heating oil, things like that. So this is a managed strategy that really, is very, very incredibly reminiscent, when you read the Rockefellers and so on of the oil wars back in the early 1900s, right through the 1920s and so on, as they put all competitors and what they called the wild cats under.

Everyone, for instance, goes to the bank to borrow money and to invest and to build up their companies, and they basically set their prices for selling, the old wild cat guys did, and present oilfields too, on making X amount of money, with the frackers doing it today too. And just like in the 1920s, or 1910, they're going

under dramatically because they expected a certain return to pay back their debts, as they were paying it off, and eventually they had hoped to be winners of course. That's not going to happen with a lot of them because, just like the Rockefellers, they're being taken over by the big oil companies today for peanuts or bankruptcy sales basically. So you'll have one giant monopoly owning all the gas and oil, etc. down the road, not too far off actually, not just in your country but across the world. Because these oil companies are international as you know and they have the power of their bought and paid for governments behind them who all go off and conquer countries to grab the oilfields. They've done that for years and years now and we've all live through it and watched it happen. But it hasn't stopped yet. They're putting under Venezuela right now, they're having some riots in the streets to do with shortages of everything, because they also have a big debt to pay back to different bankers. They were expecting certain returns and when the bottom falls out of the market they can't get that kind of profit back to pay off the interest on the debts and so on, and down they go. That's how it works. It's very simple.

Economic war is an incredibly powerful, powerful instrument, been used many times. And during that, it's not just to do with big profit and taking over as a complete monopoly, that's part of it because they have to have total monopoly over your food, water, all energy, etc. etc. But they also want to alter the way of living, your way of living. And believe you me, when they set oil prices back to where they were, it won't be to where they were, it will be way over where they were, with a whole bunch of new laws too, social laws and tax laws, etc. to do with you, to bring you into austerity according to how much energy you're are using, just to live. It's an existence tax if you like, another existence tax, and this one is for a living tax, if you live then you give off carbon dioxide, everything is produced for you to eat, etc., it will give off a certain amount of carbon in production and on and on it goes.

You see, you're the problem, that's how you're being trained to believe, that you're the problem for weather changes and climate changes and global warming, as we freeze our butts off here in Ontario with commonly 30°, 40° below zero. And it doesn't matter, it's your fault, you see, and you're going to pay for it and pay for it. And because of that you'll be brought into this new austerity system. Austerity, remember that term austerity? Most folk have forgotten it but the big think tanks that were set up to work on it, they haven't forgotten it. And neither have all the NGOs that are all bought and paid for and set up in fact and founded and funded by the big foundations that are owned by the big corporate leaders of the world.

Because we're run, again, by systems set up under this term democracy, which include so-called minority groups that are social activists. And it's very interesting how you see them affiliated with United Nations. And the ones that they don't wants in, certainly don't get registered at the UN. But if you are for all the UN policies and agendas, which is massive big business, international big business, then you accept all of the tenants of United Nations: transsexualism, trans-humanism, all of these things all come into it and again, austerity, for everyone across the world... Except for the few that have to run the world, all the bureaucrats and so on, they'll live awfully well as we go down the tubes into austerity. It's quite interesting. And the manufacturers, at least the big corporations that own the manufacturing, the guys who own monopoly manufacturing, they will run the world's goods and services, etc. etc. It's quite fascinating to live through it and watch it all happen.

But don't fall asleep because you think that you're going to have gas prices low forever. This is a geopolitical strategy, it's a social strategy, and it's also a strategy to lull you into sleep because you're really going to get hit very shortly with things you never imagined, believe you me. And we'll touch on some of that tonight. Now, here's one example here on the presentation of the same article really, the same topic. This was from the National Post. It's by Rex Murphy, who is often on CBC television giving you his little spiels. He doesn't go into it very deeply so it's meant for the general population, this article. He says...

Rex Murphy: Plummeting gas prices are a welcome break for Canadians — until a carbon tax comes along
news.nationalpost.com / Rex Murphy | January 17, 2015

(Alan: And you say, okay, he telling little bit, there's a carbon tax coming. And they've been waiting for it as you know, your governments, local governments too have signed on to this a long time ago with United Nations and the big corporation that runs the United Nations, in fact, set up the United Nations.)

Can you not, just one time, allow a little of the benefit to flow down and let those who most need and

appreciate some small break have that break?

God must have loved the common man: He made so many of them. Whether that quotation can authoritatively be ascribed to Abraham Lincoln or not, it does catch the tone of the man as his persona has come to be known through history and legend. A great part of Lincoln's appeal, both to the people of his time and to the generations that followed, was his fine-tuned respect for "the common man," his experienced understanding of, and respect for, the daily life of the majority of his fellow citizens.

(A: And for Ontario, we have premieres that are like governors of states in the US.)

Premier Kathleen Wynne is leaving the door open to a new tax to combat climate change, just months after saying a carbon tax was not part of the Ontario government's plan.

(A: In other words, when the prices were up high, and the prices in Canada are generally higher than elsewhere, they couldn't really push it then, you see. So this is how politicians work, they wait until there's a slump, because they knew this was coming up, this geopolitical war to put countries out of business with their petroleum and so on.)

After winning a majority government last June, Wynne said a carbon tax was not something the Liberals planned to introduce, even though she wanted a new plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

The province could adopt a cap-and-trade system that lets the worst polluters buy credits from companies that burn less fossil fuels, or impose a tax on all carbon emissions, including gasoline burned by automobiles.

If I did not fear flutters in the yuppie dovecote I would say Abraham Lincoln had more than a little bit of Rob Ford in him. What I will say without perturbation is that Lincoln loved the little guy.

Despite the shower of gloom and menace that shadows each day's news, the little guy (and gal), at least on our side of the globe, has been getting a small break lately. For the first time in ages a tank of gasoline costs less per gallon than a handful of diamonds, or the cost of pimping up Neil Young's now-electric 1959 land-yacht Lincoln Continental. For the first time in ages it's possible for many people to travel to work, drive around on a weekend, (A: I wonder where they get the work, that's gone too.) take a driving holiday, hitch up the trailer, without offering up the children and the house as collateral/hostages to a bank before pulling up to the local Irving's.

Both in Canada and in the U.S., the fall in gasoline prices has worked a real miracle, done a thing neither government nor industry has had the will or means to do: It has given people who actually work for a living, those who have the low-paying jobs — the clerks and secretaries, teaching assistants, fresh graduates toiling as low- or no-wage interns, taxi drivers, maintenance men, janitors, those waiting tables or clearing snow, fishermen and farmers — a break. De facto, the decline in the price of gasoline means a little bit of real money — finally — in the pockets of those who so very rarely have it. (A: Well, it's not taking into account of the fact, through this planned devaluation of your currency since the last bank crash, everything has shot up in price too, even your vegetables, etc., everything, common staples are just out of sight. But anyway he goes on to say...)

For them, it's the equivalent of an accidental tax break. The oil crisis, whatever else it may be doing, is giving a break to the one set of people all the political parties should be most sympathetic to, and whom they laud with pieties on every occasion. So here's a time to act out the pieties, and make sure this little bonus stays with the people who need it most.

But will it? Already in Ontario we are hearing from politicians, "well, now is a great time to put on a carbon tax." I beg furiously to differ. These politicians and warmist campaigners have no soul. Can you not leave Lincoln's "common man" alone? Just once? Please? Can you not, just one time, allow a little of the benefit to flow down and let those who most need and appreciate some small break have that break? Must their one parade be rained on?

Now, this is just a little bit of it. So it's just the governments who want to put it in, or people in the

government who want to put on carbon taxes, eh... That's how you read this one here. But then you go into this article here and this goes into, from CBC news and it says...

Ontario could see carbon tax: Wynne
cbc.ca / The Canadian Press / Jan 14, 2015

Ontario Premier Kathleen Wynne makes an announcement during a press conference at Queen's Park in Toronto on Tuesday, Jan. 6, 2015. Wynne refused to rule out a carbon tax for Ontario on Wednesday, Jan. 14.

After winning a majority government last June, Wynne said a carbon tax was not something the Liberals planned to introduce, even though she wanted a new plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

The province could adopt a cap-and-trade system (A: Same kind of thing again.) that lets the worst polluters buy credits from companies that burn less fossil fuels, or impose a tax on all carbon emissions, including gasoline burned by automobiles.

When pressed today about following British Columbia's lead (A: British Columbia's like California, I mean, the people with the cash always tend to go towards the West Coast, you see, the north and south, Canada and the States. That's where all the NGO leaders love to live as well, you know, it's better climate for them all, etc. They like the warmth, as the rest of us pay the carbon taxes with heating fuels in the winters and stuff, that we're really going to get stiffed with this one.) and imposing a carbon tax, which included a seven-cent-a-liter levy on gas, Wynne refused to rule out the idea.

The premier said she wasn't prepared to say what options the province may come up with, but added Ontario must do its part as part of a national strategy on climate change. (A: Well, it's not national, it's international.)

Wynne said Ontario wants to balance its desire for economic growth with the need to lower greenhouse gas emissions.

Environment Minister Glen Murray is preparing a report on the various options to put a price on carbon emissions.

Like they haven't been all drafted up, you know, years ago and just waiting. Because it has been done, years ago, even how to introduce it and when to introduce it. Well, there's going to be a price war shortly as they put countries out of business to produce gasoline, and then once the monopolies have taking it all over they can jack it up. But in the meantime, they can slap on the carbon tax. Because the general public will say, oh what's 10 cents a liter for a tax, you see, when it's so much cheaper than it was. Then once they bring it back up to normal, plus the tax on top, then the tax will expand, what's on the books, every year, and you're screwed again. That's reality. That's reality, folks. So that's what's going on there.

Here's the thing now, there's an organization, and I've read this years ago when it set up in about 2006 and 2007 and so on.

Western Climate Initiative
wikipedia.org

(A: Now, I said so many times in the past that nongovernmental organizations are recognized as the new type of democracy, back in the early 1900s when they talked about bringing real democracy in to countries in Europe in Britain. A lot of very good minds at the time said that democracy, the way it's laid out, would only apply eventually to those with the most voice, and the most voice would be comprised of lots of citizens that would not be the general population, it would be special, nongovernmental organizations where they have the numbers, of the activists that are always pushing, and they will de facto represent the general public, because the general public say nothing, you see, they are the silent majority. That's how the Soviet Union was set up. The Soviet Union had NGOs, nongovernmental organizations, but with the government appointed leader. And we have the same thing here but they don't tell you that the leaders are appointed by

the big corporate foundations who trained them and set them up to start up their organization, to give the appearance they are speaking for the people, or union, or whatever it happens to be, as it was in the Soviet Union. And there are good reasons why it's the same, because it worked in the Soviet Union.)

The Western Climate Initiative, or WCI, was started in February 2007 by the governors of five western states (AZ, CA, NM, OR, and WA) with the goal of developing a multi-sector, market-based program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. (A: ...right. And the governors certainly signed on to this, like a policy, not as a law, and it's all from the United Nations.)

The Western Climate Initiative, or WCI, was started in February 2007 by the governors of five western states (AZ, CA, NM, OR, and WA) to evaluate and implement ways to reduce their state's emissions of greenhouse gases and achieve related co-benefits. These states and future participants in the initiative (collectively know as WCI "partners") also committed to set an overall regional goal to reduce emissions (set in August 2007 as 15 percent below 2005 emission levels by 2020), participate in a cross-border greenhouse gas registry to consistently measure and track emissions, and adopt clean tailpipe standards for passenger vehicles. By July 2008, the initiative had expanded to include two more states (MT and UT) and four Canadian provinces (BC, MB, ON, and QC). Together, these WCI partners comprised 20 percent of U.S. GDP and 76 percent of Canadian GDP.

The most ambitious and controversial objective of the WCI was to develop a multi-sector, market-based program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Detailed design recommendations for a regional cap-and-trade program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions were released by the WCI in September 2008 and July 2010. By December 2011, California and Quebec adopted regulations based on these recommendations.

(A: Now, where is it set up in anybody's charter that allows, say, a Canadian province and a state in the US to adopt policy that affects all of their citizens? And no one has stopped this or complained about it, because you see, you really don't have nations. You've got to keep thinking you do have a nation until world government is eventually announced way down the road, and it might not be that far off now, they might just do it after the next big massive crash, which is planned, to bring in the new system, a complete system of utter slavery, [Alan chuckles.], I mean, obvious slavery. Obviously a few, actually most folk won't notice it is slavery, because they will be here to save you and so on, and bring in a new system and way of living, as you are registered and monitored and guided from birth to death. That's really what it's about, folks. Now this goes on to say...)

(The WCI has no regulatory authority of its own.) Key administrative aspects of the regional cap-and-trade program are being implemented in 2012. (A: So, it's got no regulatory authority of its own, it can only put this forth as, what, a suggestion? Meanwhile they've got all the limits per year, how much to get reduce per year, way into the future. Hum? And it gets implemented, just signed into the law or something by governments? Why isn't this all over the news? All the time? In detail? Why don't they have specials on this for the people? Because they don't want the people to really understand it, that's why.) Power plants, refineries (A: Here ya go...), and other large emitters must comply with the cap in 2013. Other greenhouse gas emission sources, such as suppliers of transportation fuels, must comply with the cap beginning in 2015. (A: I mean, it's just... a coincidence, you're just into 2015 and what's getting hit, hey? Your fuel, it's going down, and that's when they were to implement the carbon tax.) Among other things, the Western Climate Initiative lays the foundation for a North American cap-and-trade program (A: That's the Al Gore projects, and the Rothschild projects and so on, where really the carbon taxes are going to get collected and go through the Rothschilds private bank in Switzerland, a part of the new economy. Of course there's the fact that these big corporations will go through Al Gore's company and other companies like that and swap all their carbon credits, etc. etc., and make profits off of it, while you pay for it all. It's quite something, isn't it? See, many of these big corporations actually have deals, they have deals with all your governments. The United Nations actually made a little loophole, as all the countries were told that the countries were to set all these national parks aside, but big corporations will have drilling rights and prospecting rights in these places. So they get given these massive tracts of land for nothing, that they can speculate on, let them sit for a while, and then put that down as a carbon sink. It cost them nothing to get it but when it comes to their tax time if they put down how much carbon is sinking, etc, and changing into oxygen and all that by the plants and the vegetation, and if they say it's more, more actually, if it's actually producing more or cleaning the air more than what it's taking in, they'll actually claim money from the government at tax time and make a profit

on it. You understand, the ordinary folk never win here, it's not meant for you to win. If you think the ultra-rich are ultra-rich right now, just wait a year or two when this thing is really under full steam here. You wait and see. And you watch the rest of us all plummet down to what it's meant to be, for the managed society.),but it says the Western Climate Initiative lays the foundation for a North American cap-and-trade program not only in its design and implementation, but in its potential acceptance of greenhouse gas emissions offsets from projects across North America.

Some observers described the entire project as greenwash designed to avoid committing to the Kyoto Protocol, and cited evidence that much more drastic cuts, up to 40%, could be achieved without affecting investment yield in equities, a good indicator that such cuts would not affect economic prospects in the economy as a whole. Some watchdogs expressed concerns over why WCI was set up in the state of Delaware as an anonymous shell company that would evade public scrutiny.

The CEO of CARB James Goldstein is also listed as the Chairman of the Board of WCI Inc. (A: ...naturally...) and the head of the auction oversight group. (A: And they give you a link for that too, the auctioning and trading of these carbon credits, etc.)

Several U.S. partners, although active participants in the design of the program, announced in 2010 that they would either delay or not implement the program in their jurisdictions. The partnership was therefore streamlined to include only California and the four Canadian provinces actively working to implement the program. As of January 2012, regulations have not been issued by British Columbia, Manitoba, or Ontario, although a carbon tax in British Columbia will be increasing to $30/tonne of CO2 equivalents (A: I love how they... I mean really, it's a lot of nothing, but they transpose it into something else, equivalents you see, all this nothing into nothing equivalents.) in July 2012. Several WCI partners also remain active in the International Carbon Action Partnership, an international coordinating body for several such regional carbon trading bodies.

(A: But it all comes under the United Nations. And as this expands, and once you've all accepted it, you see, and it's normalized, it's just always been there like gravity, they'll expand it to include so many other things. But it's also to include energy, the energy that was used to make that bar of chocolate, and the rapper, everything, and down to a tax on you personally as well. And your heating of course is going to just go through the roof, if you can manage to get heat at all. And of course the monopolies will say it's got to be their gas, or it's got to be their oil, or whatever, sanctioned by the governments that will approve it because they are all bought and paid for, and there will be no competition of any kind, it will be big prices and you'll be really into poverty. But the big corporations, because they can give you less and charge more, just like electricity right now, won't lose a thing, they'll profit as they actually give you less and less and less.)

Alberta and Saskatchewan object to cap-and-trade and in July 2008 called WCI's plan a "cash grab by some of Canada's resource-poor provinces." (A: No, it's not. It's a global thing, this.) However, Alberta has legislated a small restricted carbon charge of its own. The objections seem to be more related to the reporting and disclosure requirements that would be much higher for a North American project than for one based strictly in Alberta. Monitoring of the carbon-intensive Tar Sands, for instance, is inadequate according even to Alberta's own government. Industry funding to other independent monitoring was also cut.

Well, they should toss it out because this is all part of a big world agenda, that isn't just to do with profit and taking over, it's total monopoly, as they bring you into a completely new way of living and bringing you into austerity, folks. Because as I say, everything that you have to buy to live, your basics, everything, is going to have an energy/carbon tax applied to it. And it's just monstrous what is happening. This is a big social agenda for control.

Now this other article on the same thing...

Western Climate Initiative, Inc.
wci-inc.org

Western Climate Initiative, Inc. (WCI, Inc.) (A: ...Inc, because it's a corporation.) is a non-profit corporation formed to provide administrative and technical services to support the implementation of state and provincial

greenhouse gas emissions trading programs.

(A: So here you are, a nongovernmental sort of thing...)

The Board of Directors for WCI, Inc. includes officials from the provinces of Quebec and British Columbia (A: Now, is that why they were put in, these officials? Of course it wasn't. And should the public object? You're darn right they should object.), and the State of California. The services provided by WCI, Inc. can be expanded to support jurisdictions that join in the future.

WCI, Inc. Activities: (A: Their agenda...)
•Develop a compliance tracking system that tracks both allowances and offsets certificates
•Administer allowance auctions; and (A: ...that's their carbon credits.)
•Conduct market monitoring of allowance auctions and allowance and offset certificate trading. (A: That's to do with cash for all these guys.)

The Board of Directors of Western Climate Initiative, Inc. is pleased to announce that Greg Tamblyn has been retained as the organization's Executive Director. (A: Remember, they have CEOs for these NGOs and everything, folks, like any other corporation.)

Mr. Tamblyn has over 10 years' experience developing and managing renewable energy and environmental programs.

(A: By the way I was thinking there, if you've read any of the top magnates of the world and how they got their money, they are all gangsters to start with until they became respectable in the second-generation going to the best universities, and with all of that loot they've got. You'll find that, for instance, the Rockefellers, the three brothers were into governments and private companies. They ran the military-industrial complex, a good part of it. They put their own boys from their own foundation into governments, all over the place, and all different branches, including the military operation, parts that deal with military, the State Department, all that kind of thing. They had all got their start at Rockefeller, and they were all getting retainers too, quietly issued to them, to make sure that the strategies of a private family were being carried out nationally and internationally, via the government, including wars. And when that was all getting found out they decided to start creating all the NGOs, nongovernmental organizations, to make it appear that they're not related to any of these big foundations, and really, from the general populace who are concerned... That's how they do it. It's worked awfully well. So the right wing think that all these NGOs are communists, and oh, old hippies and things, rather than the fact that it's big corporations that own them, big foundations are the fronts for the corporations, and they're really bringing in a fascist system under the guise of fighting big business. What a joke, hey! Isn't that beautiful, the strategy of it all. Isn't it? And folk fall for this. As I say, otherwise you would know, you see, all this is another Rockefeller agenda or another... name one of the big families, who run the countries. It's a great cover for them all to get what they want. Because these families, by the way, are not independent of each other. They all belong to certain, well let's just call them, clubs, put it that way.) As Executive Director, Mr. Tamblyn will be responsible for coordinating administrative and technical support for the emissions trading programs of WCI, Inc.'s participating jurisdictions.

Mr. Tamblyn comes to WCI, Inc. from the Renewable Energy Institute International (REII) where he served as Executive Director (2007 – 2014). As the Executive Director at REII, Mr. Tamblyn was responsible for managing renewable energy projects and the day-to-day operations of the organization. Prior to his appointment at REII Mr. Tamblyn worked on various environmental and renewable energy projects throughout the United States.

WCI, Inc. was formed in November 2011 as a non-profit corporation to provide coordinated administrative and technical support to state and provincial greenhouse gas emissions trading programs. (A: I mean, even that whole greenhouse gas thing... is baloney. But you see, the more they say it, and speak it into existence, the more folk think it's all real. Without the normal greenhouse gases, folks, there would be no planet at all, we'd all be dead, it protects us from ultraviolet and radiation and so on. And this CO2 they jumped on, it's one of the smallest trace gases there is in it, and it's got to be there for plants to grow. But facts don't matter. Anyway, this guy who is in charge of this nongovernmental organization, this nonprofit, is making...)

The annual salary for this position is $130,000. (A: Yep, that's what he got in 2014. That's not including his massive expense accounts; I'm sure some of his dinners will be about $5-$10,000 each.)

But anyway, this is the reality, folks. We're run by what would appear to be do-gooders, you see, do-gooders, that you don't elect, we don't elect any of them and for some reason they simply just have the ear of governments. They can put things forth that are signed into law, by what you think are your governments, and I say, what you think are your governments. It's quite something. Quite something, folks. And that's how we're really run. Joe Blow, the common man, as Rex Murphy said, honestly isn't told a fraction of the information he should know, and how things actually work, and what things really are that are getting presented in the newspapers, never mentioning world agendas, or anything else, or these private corporations and private nongovernmental organizations that have their top leaders, the CEO leaders all funded and put forth by private foundations, owned by the same guys who own the military-industrial complexes, tax-free foundations by the way, who are there to help the world, you know, and poor folks across the planet, and to run the world properly, you know, manage it properly like the pharaohs managed their slaves in Egypt.

Now, it's quite interesting to see how most folk, the so-called silent majority, most folk want to follow, they will obey rules and regulations. They have lots of entertainment to escape into as things get worse and worse and worse. And down through history it has always been smaller groups, naturally, who have made changes, some for better, some for worse, it all depends on what happened and how you look upon it too. But we've had small groups really running the world for a while, of the ultra, ultra-rich, and they're getting more and more rich all the time, more and more powerful the time, and now they have these thousands across the world of nongovernmental organizations as fronts to push their agenda through, of course, and their control of everything. Because the old socialist idea was to have an expertly run society. And socialism, believe you me, was funded by the extreme right wing of the era, the real capitalists who thought if they could force people to do and buy and so on and live the way they wanted them to do, then apart from getting awfully rich, if you are supplying all the authorized materials etc., and goods, then you could also govern their whole lives into a more uniform standardized society.

Now, we're in the age of the control freak, this is what it's all coming down to of course. In some countries they monitor children two to three months after birth, like Scotland where they give you GIRFEC, a state authorized guardian you might say, because parents aren't guardians anymore. The state is supreme and that guardian will make sure that that child gets their, eventually, monthly psychological evaluations to find out their views about things and if they find out something wrong they'll either drug them or tweak them in one way or another to get them back on track into the officially approved program of thought, you see. Because thought control is a big part of it. In fact, most of it is thought control. Independent thinking is really frowned upon by those with this very old and very well organized agenda that encompasses every part of living. Believe you me, it's not just to do with pollution.

But from this article here, it's very good, a very good article, it says...

All Over America,
Government Officials Are Cracking Down On Preppers
theeconomiccollapseblog.com / Michael Snyder / January 8th, 2015

(A: Now, preppers in the States, as you know, and elsewhere, are folk who are trying to live independently. Many of them in fact started off as new agers and thought they'd get off the grid and things like that and be self-sufficient, a dream, a dream that's very, very old. Because at one time very long ago we were all individual survivalists trying to live from day to day, hunter/gatherers, etc. And it's all changing today. You cannot be independent. You must need the state, which is a front for the big corporations who rule the world, the state must tell you what to do, what to buy and how to live and all the rest of it, from birth to death. That literally is it, the planned society.)

Why would the government want to punish people that are just trying to work hard, become more self-sufficient and take care of their families? There are approximately 3 million preppers in the United States today, and often they appear to be singled out for punishment by bureaucratic control freaks (A: ...that's all the agencies we've got now.) that are horrified at the thought that there are families out there that actually

want to try to become less dependent on the system. (A: Actually, they use these families, and news articles, as they attack them with SWAT teams and things like that, to terrify the rest into compliance. That's how it works, you see.) So if you use alternative methods to heat your home, or if you are not connected to the utility grid, or if you collect rainwater on your property, or if you believe that parents should have the ultimate say when it comes to health decisions for their children, you could become a target for overzealous government enforcers. Once upon a time, America was the land of the free and the home of the brave, but now we are being transformed into a socialist police state where control freak bureaucrats use millions of laws, rules and regulations to crack down on anyone that dares to think for themselves.

For example, people have been burning wood to heat their homes since this country began. And this is still very common in rural areas. But the Obama administration does not like this at all. (A: Again, forget the front man they put in, folks. This is an ongoing intergenerational agenda, you see. Politics is the farce. Do you think Obama is making decisions? I tell you, none of them can make decisions, because they are not allowed to. Their bosses above them make the decisions all for them.) The Obama bureaucrats at the EPA fear that our little wood stoves may be contributing to “global warming”, so they have outlawed the production and sale of 80 percent of the wood stoves that are currently in use. The following comes from a recent Forbes article.

It seems that even wood isn’t green or renewable enough anymore. The EPA has recently banned the production and sale of 80 percent of America’s current wood-burning stoves, the oldest heating method known to mankind and mainstay of rural homes and many of our nation’s poorest residents. The agency’s stringent one-size-fits-all rules apply equally to heavily air-polluted cities and far cleaner plus typically colder off-grid wilderness areas such as large regions of Alaska and the American West. (A: So there's no exemptions no matter where you live. And again, that's to get folk to move too, because you believe you me, they've got the whole future mapped.)

While EPA’s most recent regulations aren’t altogether new, their impacts will nonetheless be severe. Whereas restrictions had previously banned wood-burning stoves that didn’t limit fine airborne particulate emissions to 15 micrograms per cubic meter of air, the change will impose a maximum 12 microgram limit. To put this amount in context, EPA estimates that secondhand tobacco smoke in a closed car can expose a person to 3,000-4,000 micrograms of particulates per cubic meter. (A: So they want it down to 12 micrograms.)

Most wood stoves that warm cabin and home residents from coast-to-coast can’t meet that standard. Older stoves that don’t, cannot be traded in for updated types, but instead must be rendered inoperable, destroyed, or recycled as scrap metal. (A: And I read that about last week or the week before from a different article too, down to the 12 microgram limit, which makes it impossible, you see.)

There are other preppers that try to use very “clean” methods to power their homes, but that is still not good enough for some government control freaks.

For example, one prepper down in south Florida that had gone “off the grid” was recently ordered by a court to connect back to the grid or face eviction from his home. The following is an excerpt from a recent article by Guiles Hendrik...

Think you are still free to make choices in your life? Do you think the government will allow you to live independent of their utility monopolies? If you think so, try opting for renewable non-grid tied power and utilize environmentally friendly composting toilets and your own self-sufficient water supply. Today, those life choices could land you in jail if you live in South Florida. Take the case of Robin Speronis.

Robin Speronis has lived off the grid, independent of the city’s water and electric system. A Florida court ruled this off-the-grid living illegal last week and has given Robin until March to connect her home to a municipal water line or face possible eviction. Further, officials in the city of Cape Coral have justified this by deeming Robin’s home “unsanitary,” citing the International Property Maintenance Code. (A: So there you go, an International Property Maintenance Code, again, the United Nations. No one gets to vote for the United Nations, but they're running your lives, as a front, again, for the big foundations, which are just the front, really, for the agendas that the big international feudal overlords of international corporations sink the tax money into. In other words, tax-free, these foundations, because they're helping you, they're making

social changes, you see, so they don't get taxed for things and they sink all of their money into there.) First of all, since when did we begin to locally recognize “international codes?” Where in the US Constitution does it provide for international jurisdiction over local codes? Ironically, this “international” code mandates that homes be connected to an electricity grid and a running water source, even though most of the world lives without reliable electricity and municipal water and sewer. Further, the code is outdated and obsolete because it was written without consideration to both old and new technologies that relegate the need for grid tied power and municipal water as unnecessary and expensive; especially, in locations where it simply isn’t feasible to have grid tied utilities. Nonetheless, Speronis’ home does in fact have power and water through far cheaper and more environmentally friendly means — solar panels and rainwater, but that reality is ignored by the local government.

Incredibly, most Americans still seem to believe that we live in a “free country”. But we don’t. Our lives are very tightly constrained by literally millions of laws, rules and regulations, and more are being added every single day.

Even some of our most basic fundamental rights have been seriously eroded. One of these is the right to make basic health decisions for our own children. In New York state, children that have not received all of the designated vaccines can now be banned from attending public school, and this requirement was recently upheld by a federal appeals court...

New York State’s requirement that children be vaccinated before attending public school does not violate their constitutional rights, a federal appeals court in Manhattan said on Wednesday.

In affirming the requirement’s constitutionality, a three-judge panel of the 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals also upheld a previous ruling by a federal judge that students exempted from the requirement for religious reasons can be barred from school when another child has a disease preventable by a vaccine.

The decision was the latest to go against three parents from New York City who say their religious rights were violated when their children were kept out of school as a result of the immunization policies. The parents’ lawyer, Patricia Finn, said her clients planned to appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court.

So what are we free to do without government interference these days?

Not much.

In fact, in some states we can’t even sit on our own land and collect the rain as it falls from the sky for our own personal use.

If you do this in the state of Oregon, for example, you could go to prison...

Gary Harrington, the Oregon man convicted of collecting rainwater and snow runoff on his rural property surrendered Wednesday morning to begin serving his 30-day, jail sentence in Medford, Ore.

“I’m sacrificing my liberty so we can stand up as a country and stand for our liberty,” Harrington told a small crowd of people gathered outside of the Jackson County (Ore.) Jail.

Several people held signs that showed support for Harrington as he was taken inside the jail.

And of course these are just a few examples. Almost every single day there are more stories in the news about government bureaucrats cracking down on preppers. They almost seem to relish the opportunity to go after the “non-conformists”. (A: Well, they do, that's what they're told to do.)

But the good news is that the number of Americans that are seeking to become less dependent on the system just continues to grow.

So what about you?

Are you a prepper? (A: ...and so on and so on.)

So really folks, it's an ongoing agenda, they're never going to stop at the top. They have what they call, the force of the law. And they also, we know for a fact, that many folk, as I say, the silent majority in certain areas will simply move. They'll migrate to the thronged cities; they'll go on the city's power supply. Because they're getting taxed off the land and persecuted of course, and they can't burn their stoves, and if you can't get it way up yonder where ever they live in the rural areas, or its awfully expensive, more expensive, and move into the cities, then they're taking public transportation. So it's all been thought out years ago, how to get everyone to do what you want them to do, from the top. And that's what the think tanks work on of course. They do all these computer studies too and simulations, they can get pretty accurate accounts of how many will actually move en mass, how long it will take them, the different classes that will move first, second, third and so on and so on and so on. So you're living in a plan society already, nothing to do with democracy, nothing whatsoever to do with democracy. The appearance of democracy should not include specialized nongovernmental organizations that no one gets a chance to vote into anything, never mind make decisions for them that affects their very life, living itself, life itself. It shouldn't be allowed.

But again, your mainstream, which is all, again, part of the corporate elite, it's just the promoter for all of that kind of stuff of course, and so is the general media as well. Because they don't tell any citizen. They don't do specials on all of this. They don't give airtime for someone with a counter to the agendas, with a different viewpoint and so on. Under the fair policies act, etc. they only give it to the ones who are authorized to come out and go against you, and you can't go against them. So that's the technique that's used in this world today, and it was well thought out a long, long time ago, folks, a long time ago. As I say, the think tanks work on all these policies daily, daily, full-time, thousands of folk sometimes just in one big think tank, you know. They also use university studies and all these things, just ongoing, ongoing, ongoing, to see how the cattle are responding, and different sectors of the cattle are responding, and how to get the rest of the cattle to respond and do the right thing, which is to do what you're told.

Now, I've talked many times about the techniques that are used to take your rights away and about collective punishment, if one person does something wrong in a nation then you punish them all. But it's always for a bigger agenda that they won't tell the public. Of course, we know that the whole agenda before 9/11 happened was all written in the books and the step-by-step process of all the things they wanted to do and would need little excuses to push and push and push and take more rights from the public. And it's ongoing. With the shootings in Paris, etc., that's been a big part of it. This stuff that I'm going to read, really, has been on the books for a long time. Because I can remember before 9/11 happened when there were documentaries on Canadian television with the top security people at the time, and the agencies at the time, with some, I think they went over to Israel and they were working with the Israeli Special Forces and officials on security and coming terror and all the rest of it. And some of these guys when they were talking, these Canadian guys in charge of the agencies, you talk about fascists, I mean, they put the guys around fascist governments in the past to shame, really. But this article here is from RT and it says...

Erosion of free speech?
Cameron pledges to ban Snapchat and WhatsApp
rt.com / January 12, 2015

PM David Cameron may block encrypted messaging platforms Snapchat and WhatsApp if the Conservatives win May's general election, as part of the party's agenda to bolster surveillance powers following last week's terror attacks in Paris. (A: It doesn't matter who's in, folks; it's such a joke even having a party in Britain now because they're all the same, literally.)

During a meeting with Britain's security chiefs, the Prime Minister pledged to halt the use of communications platforms that can withstand snooping from security services who are in possession of a warrant. (A: Well, it won't matter. They've been doing it all along without warrants, as we all know, hey. We all know this.)

Such software applications focus on popular messaging and social networking apps that deploy encryption techniques to protect users data.

WhatsApp, Apple's iMessage and FaceTime, which encrypt information in this manner, could all be targeted

by the Conservatives' proposed policy.

Cameron also pledged to revive the Tories' much-criticized "snoopers charter" to aid security officials in monitoring online activity.

(A: Literally, we might as well just... I wish the governments would just pay for the computers and iPhones and all the rest of it, right. But they're called 'personal' computers, making you think it's yours... it's yours. If they forced you to get it you'd be suspicious. But because it came out as a 'personal' computer, like yours, and it really pushed, oh, 'your' private information... oh, private. It was all lie from the beginning. Everything was worked out long before they decided to give you the computer.)

In April 2014, the European Court of Justice ruled against the introduction of the Snooper's Charter, warning it would culminate in privacy rights violations. The Court outlined a more moderate data retention program that would aid criminal investigations.

But in early July it emerged the government was seeking to push through emergency legislation, which would flout the Court's judgment and re-legislate for the blanket retention of data. (A: ...they've always done this though.)

The snooper's charter subsequently caused a rift in government, however, after it was blocked by Deputy Prime Minister Nick Clegg on the grounds it posed a risk to civil liberties. (A: Then they go through the usual stuff.)

But the fact is, it was planned a long time ago, step-by-step. And again, you also get the same thing from RT...

'I am not bothered with civil liberties stuff for terror suspects'
– Boris Johnson
rt.com / January 12, 2015

London Mayor Boris Johnson (A: He's quite a character, and quite a family history he's got too by the way. In fact, I think it was his granddad and great granddad were members of the Milner group for, causing revolutions abroad.) said he's "not bothered" with civil liberties when it comes to terrorism suspects, while he attended a vigil in Trafalgar Square in solidarity for the 17 people that have lost their lives in terrorist attacks in Paris.

Johnson said: "I'm not particularly interested in this civil liberties stuff when it comes to these people's emails and mobile phone conversations. If they are a threat to our society then I want them properly listened to." (A: Well, this is just to make sure we're all even more listen too, that's all, in more detail in other words.)

He argued that security services should be able to monitor the emails and phone calls of anyone who posed a threat to Britain. . . (A: Now, there's a broad term, 'a threat' to Britain... If it's a threat to the agenda it's a person with a different point of view, that's all, a point of view, as they keep expanding what they mean by it. It's like terrorism, they keep expanding the meaning of it to include so many things, especially any opposition of the mind, you see.)."

The government is pushing for the Communications Data Bill to be re-introduced, which would give the security and intelligence services greater power to monitor terrorists.

They're all getting in on the act of course, as you well know...

Surrounded by surveillance: Is everything spying on you?
Computerworld.com | Nov 22, 2011

Depending upon who you listen to, GPS tracking shouldn't be your only concern when you are out and about on the streets. The ACLU hammered license plate scanners as 'logging our every move,' a different investigative report concluded your car is spying on you, and some even claim the street lights are out to get

you.

The ACLU reported on the "widespread tracking of citizen's movements" via the use of automated license plate readers (ALPRs). "It has now become clear that this technology, if we do not limit its use, will represent a significant step toward the creation of a surveillance society in the United States." (A: Well, it's already here, folks. And this is from 2011 by the way, this article here.) The ACLU does, of course, regard GPS tracking without a warrant as intrusive on privacy, but license plate reader "technology is rapidly approaching the point where it could be used to reconstruct the entire movements of any individual vehicle."

Well, we know that the little box and so on and the GPS, they've been tracking you for years actually, right down to what your speed is on the roads that you're on and so on, and they know the roads that you're on as well, things like that.

Lawmakers move to block black box recorders in cars, DVR snooping
Computerworld.com | May 1, 2012

And then it talks about what we already knew and they were using before it ever broke into the media.

IntelliStreets
illuminatingconcepts.com

Extremely smart street lamps, IntelliStreets system, are another tech coming under heavy fire as many of its critics claim it's Big Brother tracking your every move. ABC7News reported, "In each lighting fixture or each lighting pole, there is processor very much like an iPhone. And it takes inputs and outputs and talks back and forth. And the poles actually talk to each other." That's not all because when you step "into view of the street light, there is a camera that spots you... it can even take your picture. The system is also capable of recording conversations making critics cry invasion of privacy."

After lighting contractor Illuminating Concepts (A: ...this is the company.) took a great deal of heat over its IntelliStreets system, it responded with Big Brother or Big Idea? "Those crying foul over our Intellistreets lighting, security and information system seem to have two consistent qualities: they know little if nothing about the overwhelming merits of our potentially life-saving technology; and, everything they ever learned they learned from the 'Big Brother' movies." The company said the grant money came from the Department of Energy, not DHS, (A: Well, the Department of Energy is also part of the military-industrial complex, that's the guys who own it.) since the IntelliStreets are energy efficient. (A: Okay so it's energy-efficient, so that makes it okay, right? Humpff. There's no argument at all.) "In its fullest form, Intellistreets also includes the collection and reporting of information immediately and completely so that first responders (police, fire and EMS) (A: ...so it’s there to help you, you see. That’s why it’s there, folks, you see, they’re just thinking about you, they’re always thinking about us, the poor souls, we’re all helpless, you see.)

Yet the Center for Democracy and Technology (CDT) has long warned about the dangers lurking in digital signage, "ads with eyes" as it continues to grow smarter and more interactive. The World Privacy Forum [PDF] (A: ...included here by the way and I'll put it up tonight.) warned that "digital signage systems fitted with sensors, cameras and facial recognition systems for the delivery of targeted advertising risk creating a 'one-way-mirror society'."

There's electronic data everywhere, touching nearly every aspect of life, and digital evidence may be the star witness in a criminal case. MSNBC was talking about when it comes to your car collecting evidence via a vehicle's "event data recorder" which is like a "black box" on a plane and then witnessing against you. Yet others say "that e-evidence might just as easily create an unshakable alibi."

We've looked at war texting, an SMS attack to steal a car, at how the Nissan Leaf secretly leaks your location and your speed to websites (A: So some vehicles are way ahead of others of course, with the ability for more information that's given to whoever is collecting it at the other end.), and there are cars with an IP address, like the Chevrolet Volt, which could potentially be vulnerable to client side attacks. SNOsoft Research delved into hacking your car for fun or profit (A: ...another link there.), which pointed out it's not really that difficult to program a car to kill a driver. (A: And that's very true, the intelligence services have

been doing this for years.) So you see? It's all how you view things and perhaps your paranoia level.

So what you're living through, folks, is an incredible agenda which leads into social control. Incredible. And nothing has been missed in the day-to-day living of every single type, and I mean type, personality type of individual. And it's to get worse and worse and worse, until the totally controlled society, where you'll have no say in anything... If they accuse you of anything, thought crime, whatever crime it happens to be, all the new crimes they are creating, you'll have no alibi at all because in somewhere or another some camera has got a picture that could be evaluated as you doing this or doing that, or you could be putting something in the trash, who knows. But this is the life they're bringing in for you, under the guise of keeping you safe.

I mean, there's nothing government will tell you that's ever true. I really mean that. Anything they tell you, they might tell you in a roundabout way what they're going to do, they give you a totally different reason why they're going to, but they won't tell you all of it, what they're going to do in one particular area, or the real whys, and there's consequences to it all. That's what public relations are for, and every department in government has public relations and they hand out things to the press to make sure that we get the perception about whatever topic it is, that we're supposed to believe. Every company has the public relations, whole departments of them, who sit and psychologically evaluated how to push something across to con the public. Police forces all have them too, public relations officers, people who spin truth to make it more palatable, by omission or spinning. That's the real world and that's how it really does work, folks.

I've said for so long that the groups that are out there, don't join the ready-made groups because many of them were set up by the opposition under the guise, we're here for you. And if you start one up for yourself you'd have to have a whole incredible intelligence network to make sure that no one infiltrates it, because you will get infiltrated and someone can take it over rather quite easily, especially the one that works the hardest for you, that's what they do. Read all the different techniques about infiltration of the communist system during the Cold War. In America and Britain and elsewhere there were hundreds, hundreds of front groups, front organizations that were to all lead the culture along an agenda, their thinking and so on, and in the universities, into thinking in this particular way, or that way, whatever way it happened to be. And they had Christian groups and everything conned into it because they didn't come along and say, hey we're communists and we want to change you and use you. They give them a good reason, to help something or help somebodies or groups of people, and you say, well that sounds good, I'll put money down on that and help. Nothing has changed. The ones at the top know what they're doing, who lead all these groups, they know, they're well-paid and well-funded, incredible pensions and everything else. But they love to use lots of followers...

Only by becoming an individual in the first place, understanding what's going on, can you actually achieve anything at all. And believe you me, it won't be easy. But you have to learn to speak your mind in every situation. That doesn't mean you get into an argument, you speak your mind. You have to learn to be calm. Learn it. And don't overdo something, just say what you know about something. Eventually it will come down to, literally, persecution across the board.

But since all the organized nongovernmental organizations have thousands and thousands and thousands of followers, as I say, the top branches of them are all well-funded and well-paid, full-time salaries, they even have office blocks a lot of them, pretending that they're really speaking for the people. No, they're not. We don't elect them. We don't vote them in. And most folk don't even know who they are. But their names are always in the paper, the name of the groups, NGO. Just like the United Nations itself wasn't voted in by any citizen of the general population of any country. It was set up as a front organization, by the same guys who already owned the banking world, they owned governments including Britain, especially Britain at that time, and had for a long time. They helped fund the whole setting up of the communist opposition, because it's got into two opposing forces, to create social change and political change, and educational change. And all during that era that was opposing communism, it was being taught in universities across the States and Britain and elsewhere, quite openly, by professors brought and from the Eastern countries, and all getting funded and getting passed through immigration without a problem, right into those positions, to teach the socialist doctrines, through social sciences and things like that. All effective and it worked awfully well. Only one group runs the world, one group.

But as I say, you've got to claim your individuality. Be yourself, for goodness sake. Be yourself, that's all.

And find out who you are, really who you are, not the yes men that takes the opinions that are downloaded to you, do you want this one or that one. For every topic they give you a left and right, take this opinion or that opinion. And answers are never quite that simple. And the answer you give might have nothing to do with either of the ones they offer you.

Individuality, when it goes, there's nothing left to save. Nothing left to save whatsoever. It is true, the majority will, I've always said for me personally, the main enemy I have, which is totalitarianism, and those involved in it, it's also a good part of the general population that go along with it all because they don't want to know. That's why the big boys have always decided to use this thing called democracy, the majority of the public will go along with anything they're told by the leaders. To have a quiet life – they'll think, I've got a quiet life – so they let it all go. Then eventually as the numbers increase of those who let it go, the general population, then they'll say, well why aren't you getting this license or whatever it happens to be? To drive or whatever, it doesn't make any difference. Or paying this fee or whatever, why aren't you doing it? The rest of them have accepted it. And they'll say, 70% of the public have accepted blah, blah, blah, therefore we're making it a law. And that's why democracy works for the big boys, to use it as a con game. Sad, isn't it? But we're so well understood.

And I don't give you the cheerleader rah-rah-rah thing, or a show, like a wrestling show of entertainment. I just give you the bare facts, that's all. Because I'm not selling anything, I have books there and that's about it. I'm not selling all kinds of products. This is not a business. If I wanted a big business I would have one and I'd put on the show and I'd sell you all kinds of gimmickry. My job here isn't to terrify you, is to lay it on the line, folks. Because really, personal responsibility comes into all of this. It's always personal responsibility, because what you say to others and what you say to your family members, what you say your children really does matter. And they may not agree with you now, the children especially, because they're getting a totally different indoctrination at school, and they're getting all their values from the state as Huxley and others said would happen, that was always the agenda. As they go through life and experience the difficulties of life they'll start to identify with you, and say, oh they were right after all about this and right about that. That's how it is. Because whether or not they liked it at the time, you planted a seed there of a different opinion, a different way of looking and perceiving things, that's what's important. This is long-term strategy. Because where we are today, and all the things I've talked about tonight, were planned a long, long time ago, in detail, folks, step-by-step, stage-by-stage. And no one has the right to rob us of our minds.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario Canada, where it still freezing, 30 to 40° below at nights often, because of global warming of course, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Rex Murphy: Plummeting gas prices are a welcome break for Canadians — until a carbon tax comes along

Ontario could see carbon tax: Wynne

Western Climate Initiative - Wikipedia

Western Climate Initiative, Inc.

‘Democratic outrage’: Cameron pledges new anti-strike laws

‘I am not bothered with civil liberties stuff for terror suspects’ – Boris Johnson

All Over America, Government Officials Are Cracking Down On Preppers

Busted! Your car's black box is spying, may be used against you in court

Erosion of free speech? Cameron pledges to ban Snapchat and WhatsApp

Surrounded by surveillance: Is everything spying on you?

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Jan. 19, 2015 (#1486)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER AND THOMAS SHERIDAN)
realitybytesradio.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 19th of January 2015. We have our guests on today, Alan Watt and Thomas Sheridan, and we are going to be discussing the Music Industry. Are you there guys?
Alan: Yep, I'm here.
Thomas: Hi Neil.
Neil: Hi Thomas. Good you are both clear. Great, okay I suppose briefly just introduce yourself and your actual experience in the music industry in about you know a couple of minutes if you can and then we will take it from there. Anybody can go first.
Alan: Yeah well I could start if you want.
Neil: Yep, sure.
Alan: Yeah, I think everyone tends to go into the music industry pretty young. And you have your basic ideas, everyone else has, of what it's all about which is generally all completely wrong. And if you are any good at all you are picked pretty quickly by the image-makers and then you get into the real inner core gradually, there are definitely different layers of it naturally depending on what your abilities are. But if you are good at songwriting and music construction, etcetera, you can get picked to go up the ladder a little bit. And again it's kind of an apprenticeship because you find out; you are told really, what they are after. Music isn't spontaneous as we are taught it is and it just comes out of nowhere by a little garage band. What's to be popular is planned in advance by a machinery. I call it a machinery of experts that run the culture business. And it really is a culture business. And make no mistake it has nothing to do with spontaneity as such. It's very well directed. They know where they want to take the culture. How they want to alter culture, that's a big, big part of it. And I guess from really from the, it's actually earlier than even the 60's, but from the 60's onwards they knew what they wanted to do with altering the western society all together, not just movies but definitely music. Music is a very, very ancient technique of changing and getting through to the youth especially, for many reasons and even for using for revolution in ancient times and even through the 17 and 1800's through opera even.
So you find, you start to catch on to what's what. And if you are cleaver enough you'll catch on not to sign your name off the bat to anything at all because you get to know the different bands especially if you are doing session work and things like that. Many of the bands actually are put together out of just a lineup of guys that are picked. The cover story that's brought in how they've known each other for years is generally very often fake, not always. And they are given lots of publicity if they want to give them a hit or two, or

maybe more in fact.
But the direction of the music is always, and what's going to get bought for a song for instance, is told. You are told what they want basically right down to political correctness as they update society right down to you can't mention gender specific words in a song. And so "her" has to go and etcetera, until it couldn't be sung by anybody. This comes from the top believe you me. It's not so much what the people would like in a bar if it was all left to bar music or club music, it's what's going to be made popular and famous from the top down. And my experiences really were quite something right down to seeing at one point a panel of people at the BBC in London who picked what was going to be the number 1 for the week or the next month even. And there were a whole bunch of people around the table all about middle aged, some of them older, dressed in tweed, including the women. And you think they have nothing in common with the particular music that's getting pushed but they were the ones who were deciding what they were going to push to be number 1, 2, 3, etcetera, for the next coming week and then the month as well. And it was astonishing to get this insight into how the whole machinery actually works.
The idea is to get a lot of youthful guys especially at that time and now it is women too, young girls, to try to emulate what they see as a star. It's almost like winning the lotto. The working class people are taught that you might get up there if you are good at sports or something like that, you might get a one in a million chance of getting up there making money. But so all the youthful characters join in, it doesn't matter what kind of music is getting pushed out, and they try to emulate it thinking if they emulate it they'll simply just get up there and be stinking rich, have all the women, and all the rest of it and have a great time. And it doesn't work quite like that at all.
The guys who pick you up, the big managers at the top, the ones who work for the record companies immediately get you right down to signing on their contracts. You must produce so many albums a year, things like that. Plus they'll put you on tour and you are worked off your feet because you can hardly get any sleep because you travel, you're doing live shows to promote, and at the same time too you have to put your albums out as well. Often they can't do it so what they do instead is they'll, if they have an album due, they'll hire someone to write their music for them. You'll often sell it for peanuts by the way, it depends who comes to you and asks you, you know, for 12 to 16 songs and then it goes under the band's name of course. And in actual fact someone else, not even the person who wrote it, owns the copyright for it all. That's very, very common.
There are also places they can go to in certain countries, like France. Paris has got a place where a lot of musicians can go there and in a little club you might say and you put your songs in and someone will buy them for a big band or whatever it happens to be, or for a solo artist they are going to make popular. At the time you don't know who they are going to give it to so you don't know how much to ask for. So there is not really as much money in it as you would think. So the whole system is really rigged from the very, very top. It's a completely closed system at the top, this machinery, and that's how I found out as I went through the many, many years, that's what I found over and over again. It is nothing like it is presented to the public.
Do you have anything to add to that?
Neil: Okay. Okay. Thomas what was your start off and experience?
Thomas: Yeah I would basically concur with everything Alan said. Now my first encounter with the music industry as opposed to being a guitar player in bands of the industry now was, I was about eighteen and I was walking down the street in Dublin with a guitar case in my hand having just come back from a rehearsal with the band I was with at the time. And this well dressed quite nice guy, a guy I'd say was in his early 30's, very well spoken, obviously highly educated, a guy from money background. And this is something that when I went to New York I found the same. That the people, there is a strange aristocratic subculture in all this within management, within the music industry, in these promoters and king makers and star makers. And he was very well spoken, obviously highly educated, and he told me would you be interested in joining a band he's putting together. And I was like, yes. I mean I was very impressionable and very gullible and very young and naive back then. And I had a few talks with him over the phone and I met him for coffee a few times and he told me that, I was telling him, look I'm not that good of a guitar player. He said you don't have to worry about it. That's not important, you look good. And the first thing we'll do is we will do a photo shoot with my wife who was a photographer. And so they were putting a band together. There was no interest in really the standard of my musicianship. I was picked purely on the basis that I was young and pretty and I had a guitar. And they were putting together basically a Duran Duran, Spandau Ballet, type group to cash in, in kind of a business sense, in that way.
Now when I met them they were very, very charming and these people are actually still heavily involved in entertainment although they have moved into theater today. But they were, you know, they were part of

that whole entertainment industrial complex. And they were very charming, but there were times I could see that they would switch the charm off and they would be kind of cold and hard. Like I could remember one time when they were planning the group. I left before the thing actually took off but it never went anywhere, it fell apart. But she was, his wife, was giving me basically, and this ties into what Alan was saying, she was giving me information and she said, "When we put you out there", she said, "…you just keep your f…in' mouth closed. Say nothing and only say what we tell you to say." And that was a real kind of a wake up call for me because you see before that point I thought like playing in a band or being in the music industry was like being a footballer. You know if you can play football and you were good at it you got to play for a team, you may become professional, you may become very rich, and you may be very successful. That was the beginning when I, that was the point where I realized that the music industry did not function that way. You were basically a product. And your product was placement, was putting you in the right delivery package that they were putting for the pubic. And that was a continuum, both that, the idea that a musician or singer was simply a product in a product placement package coupled with the fact that the people behind this that I've met as I progressed through it all tend to be highly educated, from top universities, Cambridge, Ivy League schools in America, and so on. And that's basically it; from that point on I realized that the whole thing was basically a theater that was designed. That fame and success and accolades was totally based on doing exactly what you were told and keeping your mouth shut and doing what they wanted from you.

Neil: Yeah I mean you...

Alan: I could add to that if I might. Yeah, I've been present in the groups they helped too some of these people for bands, etcetera. And I got to know the ones... You see there are different ranks, it's almost on a need to know basis to the different levels that you get into. And I got to know some of them and I'd seen them already pick stars, to make stars. And what they had for one guy who had a few hits, he was told where he was picked out of a lineup of guys who all had to be the same height. They wanted only guys that were a certain height and build to turn up for the auditions. And they already had all the drawings of what he was going to wear. This leather outfit, very tight fitting, diamond bracelets on his wrists and things like that. Right down to the posture he would hold the mic at. They all had to have a gimmick, a shtick you see. It's all done beforehand, before they even pick the person that is going to go out there and who is going to do the acting you might say. And eventually they picked one of them, I knew him, he was a club singer. He was pretty good at being a club singer and I met him afterwards too. And he was told he would make three hits and then he would just fade away, etcetera. The songs were already written in advance, right down to the timing of when they would be released, every six months or whatever it was and that's really how it's done.

I've been present too when they picked people for say London rock musicals, things like that. And I've been involved in writing some of that stuff. But it's nothing like the people are actually taught at the bottom level. You are kept in utter ignorance. That's the way they want it. But there is more than that to it. There is a big massive social agenda behind it. And that got me interested in finding out what is this big machinery behind it all. Why is it so intense, the control of it all? Why don't they let people at the very bottom put out their own stuff and see where it goes by itself? No, they want to lead everybody and actually what it does is it kills originality at the bottom level because everyone starts to copy you see.

Now they had already tried this. There is a whole history to it. They tried this with the folk music era. The revival of the folk music started, as you know in New York. It was heavily communistic. And they set up camps across the U.S. and Canada and Britain too where students could go and get trained and picked if they are all kind of Marxist or Trotskyist as they liked to call it back then in the early 60's and they trained them. And they also had managers who would turn up there and they would pick the ones who they were going to promote in the folk business.

And I remember there was a famous scene where they had the big folk festival with Bob Dylan. Bob Dylan was also groomed for years for his particular part in all of this to change the culture for the counterculture they called it. And everything at that time was acoustic. It was almost, it became a religion, it was acoustic. And there were some bands there with electric guitars at the time but it hadn't caught on yet because it wasn't pushed. And so during that one concert he pulled out his electric guitar and started playing for the first time. So when Bob Dylan, who they already made a star with acoustic, and I mean, made a star because a lot of his songs weren't even his. There was a shock in the whole folk music era you know like a traitor had happened. What do you do when a star is now a traitor? But in actual fact he was leading the way for them all to go into purely electronic. And some of the guys, even the guys from The Weavers, who were also part of this big communistic counterculture, and I mean communistic. They wanted a kind of communist, not quite the same as the Soviet System, they wanted this society to come in and it

was getting funded by the way from the very top with professors from the university by governmental money and heavily involved was MI5 at a very high level in Britain and the CIA in the U.S. especially. They got the idea you see from during World War II, what were they going to do with a post war Germany and a post war Japan? And they put teams of people at work to decide what kind of culture to bring in. And how is culture guided and created and so on. Well it's always music, movies, dramas and things like that. And young folk emulate it as well as what you are gong to teach them through education. And it was so successful that they thought they'd also use it on the West because the whole world was to change. You're still thinking nationalistic but the CIA and these boys were internationalists from the very beginning. It was to bring in a world culture, but a directed culture of people who would behave and do what they wanted them to do and not cause waves and just go along with the flow. That's what it was. So if they directed all culture, all thought you might say, emotion and so on, you could control a whole world this way. And so they adapted that and brought it into the States under the Communistic League. And believe you me it wasn't the Soviets that were guiding this, it was inside the U.S., very high rich people. The Rockefellers were involved heavily in fact. His different foundations, the brothers, the three brother's foundations funded an awful lot of this stuff down to the buildings they would use, where they would be taught and everything else. And their big camps for teaching students what the agenda was.

And that's what confused the people, they always thought in left verses right. They couldn't understand that above all of this is one system controlling both sides of it all. And but music was an awfully, awfully important part. And so what they did to change it over from the ones who were pushing the communistic free love and all of this kind of thing, to destroy families, etcetera, let's all be happy and one, they brought in all the electronic stuff and they created Laurel Canyon for instance in California and Frank Zappa was set up there. And Laurel Canyon, this was to guide the whole music industry for the whole of the U.S. And top established stars were brought in and other ones, people I've hardly heard of, were brought in and were made famous there. And The Mamas and The Papas went there to learn their stuff. Frank Zappa ended up kind of running the show. He was a big guru there eventually. And you'll find that the big players who were given authority by the CIA in the Pentagon to run this whole counterculture, Stewart Brand and people like that, who was into cybernetics and counterculture, etcetera, and a whole bunch of these people were pushing LSD as well. LSD was getting thrown out by the bucket loads literally on tours with the group that preceded the Grateful Dead. There is a whole history to this. Folk think it just evolved all by itself and nothing, nothing is further from the truth.

I'm sure most of the readers and listeners know that the LSD experiments were always led by the Military Industrial Complex for control purposes, to see what it did and so on. The CIA ran experiments with LSD, full time experiments, hiring prostitutes all over the U.S., having cameras in all of the prostitute's rooms and so on, houses, and they'd bring in all these johns, thousands and thousands over many years to see the effect as the prostitute would slip different drugs into their drink including LSD. I mean why so much? It was amazing and then they brought out a different guy, I think it was the guy who was involved with the previous group to the Grateful Dead, that actually pushed the LSD from a bus. And it was, The Merry Pranksters, it was called. And these professors went along with them like Stewart Brand and so on. These guys were all top military guys, Stewart Brand, and Kesey was another guy too. He went around with him as well. He was also military. They were dishing out bucket loads of LSD and promoting this whole free culture thing, so much so that the Unabomber, if you remember the Unabomber, he knew these professors and these guys who were top authors and star makers. He knew these guys who worked in universities and so on and who were directing this. And they had experimented on him when he was a student in some experiments. And when he realized what the whole agenda was to be, was to literally bomb out a whole generation, to completely destroy all the morals, all the culture that was to bring in a new manmade planned culture for everyone, he thought it was horrific. And that's what started him off in fact when he was a professor himself. So that's why he attacked certain people. And if you look at the names of the people who he was attacking, these were the very guys who are involved with the military. They were given military hangars to experiment with the strobe lights, drugs, and so on, and then get a youth in to watch them dancing to see if they would end up losing all inhibitions and have literally orgies and things like that, to see if that would work. Then they could put it on the whole population. This was an incredible massive experiment that was going on.

But they had learned it all as I say from the guys who were put in charge of America's Cultural Cold War. That's what they called it against say Russia, but definitely against Germany. That was their big testing bed, and then Japan as well. And they said if it works there, and you can literally shape a whole culture to be obedient and so on, we can use a different method to make them obedient and so on in the West but use some of the same tactics.

So that really is how they, it's so involved actually you could write stacks of books on it. But I've seen it at work. And I've been pulled in at times as well where bands couldn't, couldn't keep up and get their albums out for their contract. If they didn't do, produce and so on, they'd get threatened with lawsuits. And what actually happened generally was they simply worked for free for the next few years to pay off what would have been supposedly the claim from the lawsuit. And they'd pull you in, or pull me in or some other guy, and you'd have to go in and write their stuff for them and arrange their music and sometimes play their tracks for them and even play most of the music for the whole so called band. And you got paid a set fee. And even then I refused to go through contract. The only thing you could do is sign a nondisclosure so you wouldn't say that you ever had anything to do with it. But you got a set fee and that was it and that suited me fine because I wasn't owned like these guys were all owned.

Neil: Thomas?

Thomas: Yeah. When pop music was really starting to mean something in people's lives. And that was when the music industry was really taking off. That's when you had the growth of things like the School of Performing Arts in New York, places like Julliard, and governments taking a strong interest in this. And they were both very heavily and still are influenced by the New School in lower Manhattan. Now the New School was basically the Frankfurt Institution or the Frankfurt School transported to the United States. The purpose of this was not to harness up and coming talent in pop music. You see we always, what Alan was saying about Laurel Canyon and that's true in the folk music. You forget that pop music has been very, very engineered too from day one, even more so. And places like the School of the Performing Arts, this was not to develop talent, this was to get people at a young age, we are talking about high school age now, who were say good singers, good songwriters, had an ability to actually reach people, they'd be spotted very, very quickly. They'd be put into these schools and they would get a highly academic education into music that really was not necessary because what they were basically doing was pop music. They were just singers and songwriters that were developing. But their main thing was to do two things, was to filter out any one of them who probably had a mind of their own and would actually probably write pop songs that could actually you know make people think for a change. And on the other hand to get the ones who would actually finish these high schools like the School of Performing Arts which we know from the TV Show and the Movie, "Fame", were to be to socially engineer them. If you watched you know to have them make sure that when they graduated as a pop singer they were actually singing about themes. Basically cultural Marxism delivered in a very kind of namby-pamby manner. And to produce songwriters who would write lyrics and themes within songs that would actually apply to the social agenda going forward. Particularly to dumb down, to take any kind of deep meaning out of lyrics or do anything like that. To reduce them down to sort of childish metaphors or kind of simplified emotionally mushy statements that have no real depth to them while wrapping it up in this idea that they're somehow, they'd be elect. They are the best of the best in America. They have been brought to Julliard, they've been brought to the New School, they've been brought to the School of Performing Arts to be the best and to be the elite. And then they would play on their narcissism and their egos, of kids at an age when you are narcissistic and highly egotistical and bring them up this way. And then if any of them had any success from these schools which in reality very few do. And the ones who did have success from these schools were people who had parents that were already famous, such as Liza Minnelli, her mother was Judy Garland. But anyone else really just ended up probably just playing guitar in an off Broadway production or they were lucky if they got into an orchestra.

And it was a way for the establishment to grab and steal and corrupt just like they have done with the visual arts. To take the ones that really have something to say and have them working for them and to also filter out anyone who could be a potential troublemaker.

From very, very early on the music industry was instantly recognized as a social engineering tool and that's why they integrated it so much into education. I mean Harvard, you know Harvard University, has a big performing arts section. And that's the same thing there, they don't want to take people that could probably be top singers and to move them into things like Broadway shows and stuff like that where they will never really say anything. Or they'll be singing Stephen Sondheim lyrics which will just promote the agenda as well. It's really; people have no idea how deep it is. It's very, very deep. There are no accidents in the pop music industry.

Alan: It's even older actually than that because Hollywood in the 30's was putting out a lot of musicals of its day and movies. And so these movies again really affected, they are aimed at the young. It's always aimed at the youth you see. And there was one, I can't remember the name of it, but it's a song and dance type musical and you see a young couple at the end of a table, a long table, and forming an arch. They are at the very end of the table forming an arch all along the table as other young dancers, stacks of them in a long row with their legs apart, and the young couple are looking through between their legs to the camera.

And the song they sang was, "Stay Young and Beautiful if You Want to be Loved". The message was to separate the genders because when you are young you think that you are always going to be young. You can't relate to the older. That was the whole message because we are going to give you a new… This is your world now and you are going to lead it. That was the message. And of course they were actually led by the nose quite simply. But they didn't know it, they were egotistical, etcetera. But that was the message. And they put lots of movies out like that at that particular era. Then during World War II they really hyped it up with the kind of Jazz, kind of Jazz sound, and the kind of early swing you might call it where they could swing the girls over their back, they would wear shorter skirts and you could see everything once they went over the guys back. That was to get the sexual thing going. And the idea was that if you could destroy monogamy, a person who would just simply team up and mate and bond with the person they were going to have sex with, then they could literally control every individual as an individual instead of having to go against the whole family unit. That was always the enemy of centralized government. Families are simply a small tribe, when they go after one person the whole family can stand up. If they have a lot of culture in common then the whole community will stand up for them against the government. And that had to be destroyed. And lots of books were written in the early 1900's about this problem -- How to control all of the people and to eliminate that type of problem with families standing up with common culture? Destroy the common culture, isolate the individuals, and then it's easy to do. But always go for the youth, because the youth if you keep feeding them egotistical ideas they will believe you. Yeah, this is our time. This is, you know, talking about "My Generation", like the song goes, and things like that. These were all put out on purpose for a very, very big agenda. It's easier to lead young and egotistical and ignorant youngsters, because we are all ignorant when we are teenagers and in our early 20's. We have no wisdom. We haven't matured yet. But you think you have. You think you know it all. And it's easy to be guided by guys they put out there to be your leaders and the guys you are taught to admire that say the cool things or even obnoxious things, etcetera, to scare society. That was well understood by psychologists that were well working onboard with this big cultural agenda.

And then back in the 60's again the Royal Institute for International Affairs, which is the homeland for the Council on Foreign Relations, had an international meeting in London. And you can see the old newspapers from the day where the whole idea was who is going to guide the culture of the world, would it be Britain or London that does it through their movie studio, Pinewood, etcetera, or Hollywood? And it also included all the music industry for the future. And at the end of about a two week meeting and many, many speeches they came up with the idea that the culture industry, the government of Britain through its culture industry department and the U.S. cultural division as well from government, the federal government, and local, even state too, they also have a cultural grants hand out. They would make this happen mainly inside the U.S. and Britain would follow. But they still would have some coming from Britain to get the people onboard so they came out of course with the Beatles and the Stones and things like that.

Theo Adorno, from the Frankfurt School, remember he was heavily involved with the setting up of the Beatles' image and so on. He tried beforehand to bring atonal music in. I was amazed when I traveled across Europe to hear this old jazz stuff. And it was kind of atonal jazz. And that was from the beatnik era. They tried the beatnik era before they brought in pop music and rock music. That was the first longhaired guys, they all wore long pullovers and had sweaters on. And they were always stoned out of their skull. They lived in these dingy clubs, dark, but they were all very cool. They were all cool cats you see and played sax, etcetera. And I listened to this kind of atonal creative jazz that literally I couldn't stand for more than a minute. But it was to destroy all the culture that was before. And that was out at the same time as they were destroying art itself, you know the painters, and bringing in these, the Picasso stuff. And making a big revival of Picasso to make everything disjointed so you had nothing solid and nice to hold up your culture, to hold on to. Everything was to give you this feeling of total change or even falling, falling through time you might say, into something new, which you couldn't understand. This was a massive psychological operation. And then when you added the drugs to it, the drugs were meant literally to make them far more suggestible and incredible studies were done on them while they were under LSD just to see if they could still function. What they really wanted was some kind of drug that would make them function well with still mathematics and so on but be emotionally flat. But they couldn't get that with LSD so they tried various other drugs and concoctions too. And they are still doing it through regular pharmacy.

But it's fascinating to see the massive machinery involved in creating not just the music stars but the acting stars as they call them because the people follow the stars. It's an ancient saying. They put them up in front of you, all the youth will follow that man or that woman and you'll want to be like them, dress like them, and act like them, etcetera. They are the new role models, which supersede the family, the mother and the dad, and so on. And when they're cool and even kind of nasty, or beyond being the cheeky level,

the youngsters will use those terms too and to be cool and have shock value, etcetera. Then when they came… I remember talking to Gerry Marsden. And he did "Ferry Cross the Mersey", old stuff in the early 60's and he was an old fellow when I talked to him. And he said, he watched it, I mean he was through it. He went through it and sang some good songs at the time, very popular, but they were standard songs. He was talking about his home and the ferry across the Mersey, things like that, and he said that's how it used to be in the clubs. The folk went because it was still man and woman meet up and so on and often they would end up getting married. That's how you met people, a mate to get married, he said, and it was happy youngster stuff. There was nothing nasty about it, counterculture, or anything. And it had a romance about it you see. But when they brought in this new music and eventually gone into the rock, that was out the window. The whole idea remember was to separate the bonding aspect from mating up with a partner and simply have sex for sex's sake. And once that happened enough times they wouldn't bond for life with anybody and they'd have no children basically.

So it's fascinating to see this and what happened then in the 60's was what they tried in the 1920's before by the way during the prohibition era. Before prohibition there weren't so many young people at all that went into bars. It wasn't an exciting thing to do. It's only when you make it a bad thing to do and you have to sneak in and know Joe at the door and so on and get snuck in to drink this illegal booze that it became a place that you wanted to go. You see you are bad and you are a renegade. And they also brought in the mini skirt then, in the 20's, for the Charleston dance and so on. They promoted the sex and massive drinking then, and brought coke in as well by the way at the same time in big quantities in these clubs to get them hooked on coke. It didn't work because there was so much sexual fallout from it, from unwanted children and venereal diseases and so on. They didn't have penicillin remember. They didn't have the pill. And so they had this big fallout. It just didn't take with the general population. So they simply went back to the drawing board and brought it out in a better fashion once they had the pill, they had the antibiotics. They could cure some of the diseases they'd catch, not all of them mind you, and it's been a smash hit ever since. And it's pushed from the top.

In London you think about the whole establishment of the BBC in the 60's. No one worked in the BBC without coming from Oxford from the top to the bottom. You had to be from Oxford so it was kept within the establishment you see. It was a government owned station. And yet the BBC with their Oxford accents brought out the guys at the beginning to promote pop music as they called it. And they brought guys on who were falling off their chairs that they just made a number 1 hit of because he is drugged out of his skull. And you'd see this guy with a suit and tie with an Oxford accent saying oh, aren't we naughty, tee-he-he, as the guy is falling on the floor. So this is all aimed at the youngsters for goodness sake. Why were the upper elite pushing this on the rest of the population who didn't belong to their class? They were talking to a guy from the east end of London who was dressed in some rags and stoned out of his skull who had just fallen off his chair and it's a big laugh, it's a joke, like we are really bad. We are bad by falling off the chair and being utterly stoned out of our mind. That was to make it exciting for the youth. And it worked awfully well, awfully well. There is so much to all of this you know.

Neil: Yeah. I mean that I think is the main, the Bill Grundy interview with the Sex Pistols as it were. I don't know if Thomas you were in that kind of genre of music. What would be your perception of that now considering the fact that John Lydon has admitted that he was getting into parties and houses of parliament before he was even in the Sex Pistols?

Thomas: Well I have mixed feelings about it. It's, I think watching that clip you know back then when I was a kid I thought it was funny. I mean I just thought the whole thing was funny that this member of the BBC establishment basically destroys his career live on TV. But another aspect too is that people need to watch that clip again and how that thing all really kicked off was that Siouxsie Sioux who was only about, she must have been only about seventeen at the time, sixteen maybe, from Siouxsie and the Banshees who was there with them. She said to Bill Grundy I love your show; I'm a big fan. And then he started making these kind of sexual suggestions to her like I'll see you back stage afterwards. And this is like an older guy in his fifties. She was like a kid. And how it all began was Steve Jones reacted to that by saying you dirty old bastard, this kind of thing. And so we were getting an insight into the kind of culture that spawned the likes of Jimmy Saville in that you know basically any teenager that walked in the door, the Top of the Pops, or anything like that was fair game for you know whatever you know pedophile was working there you know they'd tap into them like Saville or the rest of them.

Now so on that level I thought it was quite revealing and quite interesting. Then I found out later on about Johnny Lydon and you know the whole thing about, I just don't know what to say, yeah he probably was selected, who knows. I mean it's just, yeah, you know it's like, I used to, when I was that age I used to think that the old farts were like the Rolling Stones and the Led Zeppelin's and stuff like that. And I thought

you know I was very well aware from an early age of the likes of the Stones and Led Zeppelin were very average and the only thing keeping them going was this mystique built around them. For instance like the Rolling Stones and Led Zeppelin just played basic American blues. They just, it's led belly, it's John Lee Hooker, it's classic American blues sound. But because they have this mystique surrounding them of being dark or being sinister somehow. You had the Stones with Kenneth Anger and his whole connection with Crowley and the OTO. And on the other side you had also you had Led Zeppelin with Jimmy Page and his connection to all that stuff as well. And so that was the only thing that made them interesting. Because apart from that they're just basic you know white guys copying you know old time American guitar blues. There was nothing original about it. There was nothing original about it.

Alan: There was a very good reason. There's a very good reason for that though because remember you see the U.S. tried to get when they set up all of these camps across the U.S. and so on to train the youth from top universities to become future leaders for the Marxist system and neo-Trotsky system. It was to be blended with the West basically which has happened. They, through the acoustic era, they tried, what they were hoping for inside the U.S. was to get the black population to do an uprising. And so they had to popularize what they called black music. In fact that's how they trained initially Bob Dylan, he's from New York remember. Not from New York, but he's not from the Deep South.

Thomas: Wisconsin.

Alan: Yeah and so for years and years he had to practice and practice this kind of pseudo nasal kind of back in your throat singing that was kind of what is he, is he from Mississippi or where. And they did the same thing in Britain with the Rolling Stones. I mean here is a guy again who was trained as an economist, Mick Jagger, and he was put out there with this, again his outfit, his shtick and so on, and his drugged look. And the imagers immediately put out that he's a star before anyone had heard or even listened to any of his songs. Massive machinery went into action but he had to sing again. They used to call him the Deep South Londoner you know because that's the accent they tried to give him to popularize the black music. Well when they found out in the 60's and after some riots they had in parts of New York by the blacks who were against what was happening, they were getting used, as many of the leaders caught on to by the communist party, U.S.A. Then the party changed its tactics and brought in the rock stuff and then they thought that would do and we'll sing it just like a renegade kind of white man for a change. And then they started that. And after that, I was there when they changed the image from the heavier rock bands to the new narcissistic system. The longhaired, very well groomed long hair, cleaner looking, very tight fashionable but expensive clothing you know and a bit stupid looking. Even the leotards and all that to change the whole image of the man until he became more effeminate. And that was really what the push was about. And so many of the bands that were forming at that time were actually, they actually recruited guys who were homosexual. That was well known in the industry. And you met straight guys who couldn't get in, they were excellent musicians and top guitarists and so on and keyboard players but they couldn't get in because that was the image that they wanted to push forward and so on before they came out with all the punk. So every stage of this has been well managed. And then when you find this communist party is totally in cahoots with the powers in the West. Remember that the Reese Commission that they had in the U.S. in the 1950's to find out why the big foundations like the Rockefeller Foundation and all the other ones were funding what appeared to them, to the government, to be far left parties, communistic parties. And Norman Dodds who was sent out there from the congress, the U.S. congress, he published in his own book, he said, I met the chairman of the Ford Foundation, and he said, we take our orders right from the White House he said. He said and the goal is eventually to change, so drastically and radically change, the culture of the West to that of the Soviet Union. They'll be a merger between the two down the road. A complete different social system, a different cultural system. And remember the communist system is total central government and authoritarianism. Well that's what we have today, the appearance of a kind of freedom but it's all artificial and given to you because you are copying singers or stars or whatever it happens to be and lots of entertainment to choose from but you've actually have got a massive centralized government which is becoming more and more authoritarian all the time. It wasn't just for the U.S.; it was for Canada, Britain and the rest of Europe and so on.

So it's a long-term geopolitical strategy. And to do so you had to alter the cultures into a now unified culture, which will not stand up. Because the people cannot bond the same way they used to, they don't have the same values; they don't have even the same… Well I used to call it ancestor worship.

When you look back at your hometown before they demolished them and built skyscrapers or whatever. That was where your grandfather walked along this road here or he went down this little stream there and fished, and his great-grandfather before him. And so you had this continuity. Today the continuity had to be destroyed. So a building is up ten years sometimes and then they knock it down again so there is

nothing to relate to between one generation to the next. This was all part of this massive strategy. You know thousands and thousands of think tanks working on different parts of it and millions of pages written, professional pages, for professionals to learn and how to implement this whole strategy.
But going back to even Plato's day. Plato talked about the culture industry. He called it the industry in the translation from the Greek. And that included drama, and not just the architecture too but drama, drama was licensed back then. And the traveling troops were authorized to come out and do certain authorized plays in different city-states across Ancient Greece. That's so many islands, thousands of islands. And everyone had to by law attend them, even the slaves had to go and watch them because they said that's where the youth are going to get their morals for a strong morality, a continuity of culture, and a continuity of control. They also talked about… Plato even said that musicians should be licensed because they have the ability to stir up the youth to rebellion with the emotional impact of music coupling with the right kind of words and so on and themes.
So this is a very old tradition. We are taught at school that we just evolve from generation to generation and that things just happen. That's the accidental view of history. And nothing is further from the truth. The 20th century into the 21st century were to be where all sciences over people, all sciences, were to come to the fore and rule on behalf of the dominant minority of the wealthy financial families. So scientific control is what this is all about. And you must, you must always grab culture completely and you direct it where it is to go.
Neil: Yeah. Thomas, Alan has said many times that you can't help liking the music and he just mentioned the scientific aspect of that. And you know there are bands supposedly out there telling us how it is. You know I mean Muse comes to mind although I'm not a fan of theirs at all. But I mean there are smaller ones like, Killing Joke, and even smaller, Anti-Flag, for that. I mean Thomas do you think there are any bands out there at all who are for real?
Thomas: Not famous ones I don't think. And if they are they always get corrupted. And I mean the whole punk rock thing was supposed to be about anarchy and within no time you had like the Clash Sandinista and you know wearing t-shirts with Brigate Rosa on it, you know the Italian Red Brigade, who were basically a communist group that were created by Propaganda Due, the Vatican freemasonry. You had like the red wedge things. You had someone like Paul Weller who actually didn't really come out from a political stance in the beginning ends up you know hanging out for a while with people like Neil Kinnock and Billy Bragg I mean hardcore Marxists. Although to be fair he did pull himself out and said that it was all a con that he was led into. But this whole thing of stirring up constantly, stirring into Marxism. It always has to go with Marxism. It's always some kind of Marxist end game in all this stuff whether it's pop music or visual arts or even like within alternatives to this thing we have now, you know Russell Brown steering them all back into Marxism again. It's always true, you know I've always found it interesting that there are 33 revolutions per minute for an LP, and are they telling us something there?
But it's just, now it's always frustrating to see someone that enters the music business that may have something to say and then it's gone. It's whipped off into fluff nonsense or into the Marxist thing. I mean I actually think that this stuff about live, even bands like U2, yeah they are a big corporate machine now; they are a big established machine. But I've seen interviews, if people go back and look at old interviews of the likes of Bono on early American tours; they were really, really ripping into the establishment to a surprising level. The next thing you know they are being put on their blues phase, their blues thing and then the next thing you know they are playing for presidents. It's almost like what Bill Hicks said about when somebody gets elected that they take them to the President of the United States. Then they take them into a room behind the oval office and they showed them what really happened in Dealey Plaza that day to JFK. It's the same thing with the music business. You may have integrity, you may have the right feel, the right drive in your soul but there is a certain point where you get to and it's as clear as day, and where you get, what was his name, Bob Dylan again, said it to Ed Bradley on 60 minutes, "I made a deal with the devil, the biggest, baddest guys of them all." And that's what happened. You're given, like in the mafia, like any kind of criminal cartel; you are given an offer you can't refuse.
Neil: Yeah. I've actually got…
Alan: And along with these offers too, along with these offers comes… Hello?
Neil: Carry on. Carry on Alan. Carry on.
Alan: Yeah I was going to say that along with the offers I mean it isn't just financial or doors opening for you, or things being taken care of for you like lawsuits would come your way that would get deflected away all together. Things like that. You also get superior healthcare that's not available to anybody in the general public. You can get up to the higher levels of things like that, life extension to an extent you might call it. That's why they live so long. But so there are many different things that they're given or it comes their

way.
But when you go into who they put out to get this whole thing really kicked off in the 60's, this new more organized systematic technique they were using, well planned, and with the Pentagon involved and so on. If you go into, even Morrison, they always made a big deal about Morrison and the Doors and so on. When the ships in the Gulf of Tonkin in the U.S., warships, were supposedly, had possibly had torpedoes fired at them. This is the con that got the war started you see, they wanted a war. The navy admiral in charge was Admiral George Steven Morrison. That was his father.
You'll find if you go through the top guys they put out to really kick this off, every one of their father's was deep into, high up in the Pentagon. Frank Zappa's dad himself was up in the top military establishment for chemical and biological warfare. They were all, even Madonna, you know that came out and said oh I turned up in New York alone or something with a pair of ballet shoes and five bucks. That's lots of rubbish because her brother came out and said no, her dad is from a long military family. That's where we all came from, high up in the Pentagon.
So this is a standard thing. So many of them are actually picked and groomed very early for their acting role as leaders of the culture industry. Because you put out the leaders there, you debase the morality even more, and all these young fans, especially women start copying Madonna and then they bring out later on Gaga and the rest of them and so on, and just push the envelope step by step. This was all planned before they even picked Madonna that you get right down to Gaga. I'm sure even the names were picked before they even picked out Gaga. This is how detailed and well-organized all of this system is.
Thomas: Yeah. It always amazed me too how it, like you said, that they are picked from the right families. In the case of Jim Morrison there is a photograph of him as a clean cut youth on the bridge of his father's battleship literally months before he ends up as this longhaired rebel. It's a very, very strange transition in such a short time. He goes from like straight-laced army brat to rock god, Dionysian sex god, overnight. That has always been a very weird one for me. And another thing too I was just going to say, if you don't come from those families they have a tendency to kill you off.
Alan: Absolutely.
Thomas: It's like they don't want genuine people with talent working, like with talent and ability, who are popular. They seem to have a very high mortality rate.
Alan: That's right, yeah. And Zappa himself too was groomed and given lots of TV spots again in a suit and tie when he was about thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, sixteen, every year he was given little TV spots on silly little shows just to get him used to the audience and managing himself in front of people and so on. But suit and tie, short haircut, and the whole thing. So this is standard stuff, yeah.
Thomas: David Bowie as well. He was a regular on American shows like the Dinah Shore Show and so was Alice Cooper and they were supposed to be counter culturists. And here they were entrenched with Bing Crosby and the very heart of the American tender time of establishment.
Alan: Uh huh, yep, that's right. And even to start Bowie off. Bowie started off with a traveling road show. This thing had about six or seven tractor-trailers, these massive American type trailers, doing his tours with all the incredible... no one had that back then. The cost of it alone and the transportation of it all and the hundreds of technicians all involved that's how they launched him. And he comes on the stage dressed in a little pouch, for his you know what, and nothing else on. Dressed up with paint all over this face and he's down on his knees on stage and everybody was shocked saying what the hell is this? And who really launched him? The BBC did it again. They did massive shows on his road show all along to make sure it was launched. And to make, to launch this new, here's a new kind of male, he's not quite this and not quite that. What is he?
And going back even further to set it up again step-by-step. They started it off with Elvis Presley. And Elvis Presley was taught how to..., they called him Elvis the Pelvis. To get the pelvis going, a really disgusting thing in that era at that time. It's nothing today because we are so debased. And he was trained by the same guy, the same guy who controlled the whole group, Johnny Cash, all of them. He made them all stars this one man. And the same thing happened in Britain at the time you had Cliff Richard under the one guy. There was a guy called Brown as well, he had a few songs, and different ones. They had the same technique with a small clique in every country. All in communication with each other who knew the techniques were given a complete authority of the establishment and the governments to launch these people into the new culture.
Neil: We had Tom Jones of course in Britain who was kind of the counter to Elvis Presley for British folk I guess.
Alan: Yeah and they launched him as a descendent of a miner which was nonsense. {Laughs}
Thomas: When Elvis Presley appeared on the Ed Sullivan Show the publicity machine made an enormous

deal out of the fact that the camera couldn't show below his midriff. They only showed the top. Now that was to deliberately generate this, as you were talking about earlier on, this idea of the forbidden fruit. The young people want to see the bottom half of Elvis. And it's all..., so they deliberately create the forbidden fruit in order to attract people towards it. Their very deed was what worked on psychopaths.
Alan: Absolutely. Always make it bad. You must make it naughty and bad you see. Yeah.
Neil: That's the Sex Pistols in a nutshell, isn't it? Ban them. Ban them from the public venue and then everybody wants to see them.
Alan: Yeah.
Thomas: Another one for me is the disassociation kind of thing they use. Very much in the idea of like Orwellian newspeak in these cultural memes. You have all of these Vietnam War movies that show, that were made after the war, and they always show the American troops walking in slow motion through the jungle to ironically it's always an anti-war protest song. A rock song. You know this kind of thing played by some band like Creedence Clearwater Revival.
Alan: Yeah, that's right.
Thomas: Or a Marvin Gay song. So you have this association of the anti-war kind of rock anthem being used as a promotional film to glamorize war when you have Charlie Sheen and people like that walking in slow motion through the jungle while you know they are playing something by Jefferson Airplane. You have the dichotomy of the two together that causes a kind of psychic association in people, where people who are into that music now believe that it's somehow cool because you are in the army marching to a song that's against war. It's total Frankfurt School stuff.
Alan: They've actually put out documentaries, yeah they put documentaries out now and I think they even use them in recruitments but it's from actual footage of guys inside the armored military vehicles that are really racing across the dessert and it's got this heavy metal music in the background wah-wah-wah-wah-wah. And they are all tough and you know and this is... Yeah you create what you want. It's so easy if you have the power and influence in society to create the kind of special areas like troops or whatever that you actually want. And you also have a culture within the country that will emulate that too. You see that with the rap music. You had years of the promotion by the way. Blacks didn't promote this stuff from the top. If the culture industry did not want that stuff to get pushed out there you never would have heard of it. But they pushed it from the very, very top. The whole culture industry did at the very top and then you end up with, "kill cops","kill cops", all this stuff and then you start getting this happening and you see where it is today. This is all to get the dissention, the tension built up inside the U.S. therefore they want a time when there will be definite riots combined with economic problems too, etcetera, to bring in again the next part of the new society. There is no doubt about that at all in my mind.
Thomas: One day when they stood up the African American family in the United States, they brought out songs like "Papa was a Rolling Stone"to entrench the idea that daddy will never be home. And so they grew up with this culture of listening to this song, "Papa was a rolling stone", you know that kind of thing, "...where he laid his hat was his home". And so you grow up believing that like if you are an African American in Detroit or New York or New Jersey or whatever, you actually grow up believing well daddy's not home because I'm aware of a song called, "Papa was a Rolling Stone". And then they try to make it; oh it's a statement of the times. No it's not, it is predictive programming.
Alan: Yes it is. Yep, that's cool and why get a job and try to get some self-respect, never mind other people's respect, get self-respect in a way that's not going to harm you or other people and actually benefit you and give you a bit of peace as well. Rather than get self-respect inside a kind of gang just because you are the coolest dude and baddest dude there is. But that's what's been promoted for years now and that was all deliberately. Because it wasn't white men that promoted the rap believe you me. It's not blacks that control the culture industry. But it was the white men that pushed all this, and I say white, and there are more things involved in that too that tend to lead the culture industry as well within it.
Thomas: Yeah there are {inaudible}.
Neil: In terms of like black music in general I mean if you go back to the old image of the black congregations in the churches I mean was that like a purely good thing? Was that a genuine kind of thing that went on and that had to be co-opted because it was actually the foundation of whole communities?
Alan: You are dead on.
Neil: I mean we have gone from there to gangster rap. I mean gangster rap has got to be the most promiscuous and disgusting thing.
Alan: You are dead on. Here's what they came out with, they came out with this in the 60's again from the communist party in the U.S.A., and in their own writings if you go back you will find all this stuff. And they talked about, they couldn't get the blacks to revolt because of this, how they held on strongly to this what

was to them traditional Christianity and gospel music and so on. That was what was holding them back. They couldn't get them to completely revolt so they simply tried to eliminate all of that and get the young women. You see it's mainly their moms and so on who always kept that tradition of Christianity and here's how it should be, right and wrong, and so on. And so they had to get the young women to be ultra, ultra promiscuous as well. That's why you had the MTV and all that promoting, the dancers that were pretty well humping each other on stage you know in front of the camera. That's really what it was all simulating. Then get the young women into it too, bring in an atheistic culture, and then you control them completely. That's been awfully successful.

Thomas: Well what they called those gospels, they used to call them Negro spirituals. That was basically the whole song, body of songs by the likes of Pete Seeger and Peter, Paul and Mary. All they did was take those old southern church songs and put them on a real namby-pamby acoustic guitar version and suddenly in one fell swoop the whole tradition of indigenous and natural and organic Black American gospel music, bang it's gone

Alan: That's right. That's right.

Neil: Can we say the same thing about the traditional black blues musicians who were actually singing about kind of their own lives as such?

Thomas: Oh yeah it was that piss take that they made of the Beatles, the Rutles, that Eric Idol made, that "All You Need is Cash". There is a very funny but very telling scene in it. It goes, here I am in Louisiana, the home of the blues, music written by black people played almost exclusively by whites. And that was really you know it was a satirical funny way of looking at it. Absolutely all these things like Irish and Scottish and English folk music, the spirituals in America or the blues, they were all rooted in real organic musical traditions that bonded communities together that spoke of people's pain. That spoke of people's... their desires in life to transcend the situation they were in. And every single last one of them, you know you look at like Irish folk music it's ended up with Michael Flatley on a stage with about a hundred you know girls in short skirts dancing around him. You have the spiritual stuff is all, rappers now have that. Well they are rapping about what they are going to do with the hoe and stuff like that. You have like these spirituals singing gospel in the background.

And the folk music well that was destroyed years ago. I mean even bands like the Pogues, I mean I never got the appeal of them. They just took Irish folk music and just basically played it directly as it is and added this sort of drunken element to it. To always keep this idea of the Irish man as being this hapless drunk. You know this stupid drunk. And if you look at them they were all people like Shane MacGowan. You know the leader of the Pogues was an English public schoolboy. And here he was playing the professional drunken paddy on stage.

Alan: Yeah you have to remember too that even during the 70's they were bringing guys in from wealthy families, very wealthy families, Oxford and Cambridge and so on who decided because their dads were so powerful and rich and well connected, they wanted to be rock stars. And they were teaching them how to speak in cockney, with a cockney accent, the London accent, to try to get the image. And in that they were successful with quite a few of them actually who went national with the money behind them and acting lessons and so on. Plus if you mime pretty good and get other people to sing for you and even play for you and that's happened by the way too. I've played in stuff where none of the guys in the band actually played at all but they went through all the video disks and appeared here and there and played to tape.

But everything is, what you see on television is a show. It's show business. It is show business, it's not real. Even exposés on something is not real either, including how bad the band is or how drunk they got last night, or dived out of the second floor into the swimming pool. That is all staged stuff. They use stunt men, things like that and written in advance. I mean you wouldn't believe how it's all show business. All of it, yeah.

Thomas: The cockney accent thing is interesting because I've seen videos of Jimmy Page from Led Zeppelin and Mick Jagger from the Stones when they were about in secondary school and they sounded like Prince William. A few years later, "oh we were down in the east end and we were giving it up large", this is kind of like a mock-me thing going on.

Alan: That's right.

Thomas: And again there are so many examples that even the classical violinist Nigel Kennedy, who they made kind of a pop star out of back in the 80's and 90's. I've seen he does the same thing, "I'm Nigel Kennedy. I played a violin.", you know this kind of thing. There are also interviews of him when he was a kid and again he sounds like Prince Harry or Prince William.

Alan: Yeah. That's right. And I've met the Stones because they were in the same recording studio as I was at one point up in Scotland actually. David Balfe ran it and he had hits himself. I mean he came from a long

lineage of cultural experts believe you me in this industry. And he had about three or four different names. And that's the first thing you learn is to use different names. But they were going in at the time and I had a chat with him and you are certainly right on how they actually speak in reality. And it's the same with most other ones as well. And I've met Jimmy Page too in his house when he got it up in Scotland. He had a big party there for different people and we all went and that was Aleister Crowley's old house in fact.
Thomas: Boleskine Manor, yeah.
Neil: I remember you mentioning Alan that you were invited to, was it a women's event like a women's lib event or something? And they approached you to do certain songs in certain ways or something.
Alan: No, it was actually just… I thought it was just a club in Toronto and I just popped in, I didn't know what it was, I thought I'd go and get a beer actually. And there were some guys singing up on the stage and so on. It was a small club, maybe a couple of hundred people, and I thought it was just a local thing. And someone said to me can you play? I said a little bit, I always say a little bit. And they said can you give us a song? So I went up there and sung some songs and so on and this women approached me and said can you sing something radical? I said what do you mean radical? What kind of radical song? And what would you say is radical? How do you define it? And it was all anti-establishment stuff. And the place was called, TheTrojan Horse. Now they had different Trojan Horses, interesting name too, all set up by the Canadian government and funded by the Canadian government for counterculture. And the people who were set up to help run it had to try and pick people to turn up at different rallies and things and do really radical anti-establishment songs paid by your government for goodness sake. And I was rather astonished at that. And when I was there I turned up a second night about a week later and there was a woman from the federal government who worked for the federal government, came in, they all knew her, she was lesbian by the way because she hugged every female there in a strange way and one of them told me that she was. And I thought what the hell is this? And the Canadian taxpayer was funding all of this. And all across Canada these kinds of clubs. Britain had the same in some areas too by the way.
I had to find out when I was really young why every government since World War II, the Soviets, France, Britain, Germany, all of the countries, the U.S., Canada, Australia, why we all had a Department of Culture. If you the people are the culture why would you need a Department of Culture? Well this was for culture guidance and creation. And they give out the grants to novelists, to film producers, film writers and musicians, songwriters. They put out the grants for guys often who couldn't get a job anywhere else because they've got a chip on their shoulder or something. But they will put them out there as long as they put these things in their novel, these topics to upgrade, update, just like a computer program the new morality of the public and put it in even cartoons.
You've got your U.S. state ones and Canadian providence provincial ones; they give the grants out too. They call them to artist grants, and so do the federal governments as well. They all do this so all of culture is actually guided, if it's to be successful that is, because you can only be allowed to be successful.
Neil: Yeah I know there's a club in London that does all this kind of thing.
Thomas: Yeah it's all been taken care of.
Neil: There is a club in London; I was going to come back to you Thomas on this one. I know there's a club in London that runs these kinds of events that have musicians or comedians or whatever, and it is run by the likes of Charlie Skelton and these kind of people who are supposedly at Bilderberg protesting you know all run to the Guardian Newspaper. But are there any such venues in Dublin or Ireland in general Thomas?
Thomas: Well it's the same as anywhere else. There is even a Rock-n-Roll high school here where kids are, the government gets, it's like a Julliard kind of thing that they you know they put them into and they teach them what they expect of them in the music business. And like nobody, nobody comes out of it famous or interesting. It's the same idea again. They are programmed to be Rock-n-Rollers as long as they are doing what the teachers, who are all like professors and very few of them have musical backgrounds, are telling them to do. It goes on everywhere. I'm aware of nothing in the music industry; it is dead now really compared to what it used to be when I was a kid. It was much more organic and natural here years ago but nowadays it's just as horrible and commercial as everywhere else. And again it's the same thing. One constant I've mentioned in the beginning there about a constant that I've encountered in this is that it's always well to do people from old families, old money families, aristocrats. And it always seems to come back to protecting them through this weird kind of dissociative idea of bringing in Marxism and so on. And yeah, it's been like that. The people who run the music business here they are all rich. They are all people with money. I've seen it all my life and that will never change. I mean even the people again; you've got a much better chance of making it in the music business if your parents are billionaires. Like Carly Simon, she came from the Simon & Schuster Publishing Family. And there is so much of this that goes on. Just like Jim Morrison because these people come from the families and it's already known what's expected of them when

they get into power so they don't have to be trained. I often found it very interesting how even in the 60's in England when you had all these bands forced to make it and everyone from these small places, like the Kinks, how they all bought stately homes of the aristocrats in the countryside. Basically what they were doing was plowing that money back into the aristocrats so if they were someone from the working class, from the east end of London, say someone like Steve Marriott from the Small Faces. It was almost like they were expected to purchase with their millions a home, a stately home, a mansion in the countryside, from some lord or lady so immediately you have a transference of cash from the elite into a working class person but then they were expected to transfer that money back into the elite again. It always goes back to this kind of like aristocratic culture, sub-culture, pulling all the strings.
Neil: Alan?
Alan: Yeah, that's pretty well how it is. You'll find though that in the early 1900's, that's when the big push was on from within the U.S. to destroy all culture. It was quite open in New York. In fact you can go in and get hundreds of books published by the U.S. communist party based in New York City. They published all the books, they republished all the Lenin books and so on, Stalin and various others, right to the very end and got away with it too. No one came down on them and said you can't do this or it's going a bit too far. Supposedly advocating revolution to destroy your entire culture and way of life. That's what it was about. To destroy your culture and way of life. All culture and everything to do with culture had to be destroyed and then remade in a new image.
And so they came out with the Nuevo Art at the time, the early art which was like the Picasso stuff, you know the schizophrenic faces that were torn in half and things, an eyeball at the top and one on the chin. And they called this art. And here's a technique that they used, they already had the clique at the top of cultural leaders who owned the art industry, and once again some guy who is called an expert says oh this is a fantastic creative work of art, worth millions, you see. And it's a piece of rubbish; the king has no clothes idea. But because it's someone so wealthy and talks in his very posh accent and all that then he must be quite right. So you look at this thing upside down, you stand on your head and you try to look at it too, and you say well I guess so. "Well you're just not seeing what he's trying to portray there." and nonsense like that. Well the Guggenheim family started to buy all this stuff up to make it popular. A big, big part of the U.S. culture. And today they still fund it all too buying this rubbish and promoting this as the greatest art ever made. Everything that was dissociative, disjointed of culture, morality, even sanity itself was pushed out there as the best that we have.
All of the old paintings that gave you a nostalgic emotional feeling, or something of beauty had to be destroyed and that went along with movies now too, you see how debase they all are. You wouldn't want to live in some of these movies, the places they show you, because they are so debase and they curse and swear and it's just the F-word every second sentence, and but that's what they have been pushing, pushing. And then the music thing too, they take you right down to rap. And at the very end when you take it all down you wrap it up and that's why they called it rap.
Neil: Thomas? We've already actually gone 20 minutes over what we were supposed to do so I don't know if you guys want to carry on a bit more. I suppose the obvious question is, what's coming next? I mean where do you see the music going now, Thomas?
Thomas: Well I think the music industry is basically dead in terms of actual, anyone from the bottom trying to make a living from it, because the record companies don't put money into bands anymore. You know they deliberately create a free download thing in order to sort of take the economic power out of up and coming bands giving them an impetus to try and make it. And the only money that's in the music industry now is in the acts that are picked. It's true in the shows like American Idol and all the other rubbish and they are put out there. They are the only ones given the promotional budgets. The days of the singer who actually, or the band who kind of from the bottom got a record deal or whatever, as bad as that system was there was still a chance to actually progress somehow. That stuff doesn't exist anymore, that whole economic aspect has been taken out. The future as far as I can see is that the music has become appalling. It's unlistenable now and I know it's not my age because I've always been listening to all kinds of experimental music all my life and I'm not like close minded or locked into the one kind of sound. I'm very open-minded musically. The music that you hear on the radio these days is just bizarre. It's just repetitive sounds and tones repeated over and over again. There is often no melody or harmony. There is no hook. It purely, it sounds schizophrenic in fact it's almost like designed to create, to make people schizophrenic. So in terms of the music industry, it's gone. It's always been a disaster but it's finished now. There will always be people who will want to create from their heart or from their soul, we'll never hear from them, they'll always do it. Hopefully that alone may continue with instruments and so on but in terms of actually a great future for some kind of big music scene or the day when true talent is allowed to shine that's never

going to happen because it's more controlled than ever. They didn't have to license music as Plato wanted, all they had to do was take complete and total control over what the musical output was and filter everything. And they've been doing that for so long now that I can't see… What's next, what's next is more drivel, more trash. And hopefully as a counter-insurgency to that more young people will say it's clearly garbage, it's clearly controlled, my friends and I are going to make our own music, sing our own songs and follow our own path. So there is good and bad but in terms of the music industry I think imagine the worst. You know they are always going to push for the worst. We are on, you know as this civilization continues to deteriorate we will go the way of Rome. When Rome first began the coliseum used to host basically boxing matches and poetry recitals and plays. Towards the end they were having you know people with Downs Syndrome being torn apart by wild animals. Well I can guarantee you that's eventually where the musical industry will take it. That will be the end game. They will, it's been done before, it will go increasingly shocking and increasingly shocking to what we will look back to the days of hardcore gangster rap and we will think it's innocent and wholesome compared to what's coming down the line.

Neil: Alan?

Alan: And it's also the end product of a war. It's a war, it's an agenda. And the war was on all of society not just in one country but across an awful lot of societies. When they brought out again the Picasso type nonsense it was, the movement was to make apathy, nihilism, the yuck feeling of nothingness left in you when you looked at this rubbish. There is nothing of beauty to look at, etcetera. So the beauty that makes you human and all the emotions that go with it that also add to the culture, that gave you your culture in the past, has been pretty well annihilated until you had this lost sound and young lost voices almost whaling again narcissistically. It's not for us or we or we all share this or whatever in common, it is to do with bringing in a narcissistic I am me, exactly what Bertrand Russell and others talked about, they would bring in. They have done it awfully successfully. You are not really connected with other people right down with again, with the internet war, the texting. They would rather text each other at the table rather than talk to each other. It's all worked superbly well you know. And whatever they want in culture is promoted from the top as being you've got to do this if you want to be in the in crowd. If you don't do this you are not in the in crowd. So if you sit and still talk across the table at a restaurant or whatever you are square, you are odd, you're a weirdo. If you text each other then you're in the in crowd. It's been awfully successful unfortunately this whole war. And unfortunately when you have lost so much and folk cannot relate to anything that made you strong in the past, that gave every generation a vision of hope and prosperity or whatever it happened to be, then you are in a state of apathy like the Sci-Fi movies they started churning out about the future back in the 70's, 80's, and 90's. You are living amongst the rubble of a depressed economy, everything's gone, you are living in rubble. You have this private global army that's bashing you in the head all the time or killing you because they are the winners. You know they can always hire the hoods to be in the military in such a system. That's what they have been projecting for years and really that's what they want I think.

When you look at the big think tank for NATO that did the report, and I put it up on my website at cuttingthroughthematrix.com in the archives section. It is 90 pages; they came out with it for the NATO countries and the British Military. This is the big think tank that projects the future, what's coming down. They are going to turn the world eventually into city-states, no more countries. And these will be privately owned city states by a very rich elite run by, on behalf of the elite, you have the scientific, the expert run community society. And in the meantime you'll have what Huxley talked about in "Brave New World". You'll have the kind of barbarians living outside the gates living in the rubble of what used to be rural areas and all the rest of it but they will die off over the years until they are all gone. That's what they also portrayed in so many movies that also coincided with a kind of debased, degenerative, nihilistic music they have been throwing out there for years.

It all comes together at this time and they want the new financial system to be brought in eventually through another big crash, which is all organized of course by one group of banks that run the world. The World Bank set up by the private organization, the Royal Institute of International Affairs. They set up the IMF, they own that too. They own the world's money supply basically and the lending supply.

So they are going to bring in a new system, very degenerate because the future is for a much smaller population of those they deem fit to go through it, not all those who presently exist and have the right by being born here to have the same rights as everybody else. They don't believe in that at all. They are very eugenically oriented. And if you look at the real Trotskyist, Marxist type, they keep calling themselves now, it's the same eugenics elitist movement as the wealthy financial elite already had. It's one and the same thing. There are no sides in this. There is only one monopoly for the world monopoly.

Neil: Yeah. Well I mean I have heard quite a few lyrics over the past, well the past decade I suppose,

talking about too many people and you know all this kind of stuff. I think of it like a new model army, they talk about this stuff, people starving because there are too many of them and all this garbage you know. And Thomas, the final word to yourself.
Thomas: I kind of really think I don't have much more to say. I think we covered it comprehensively. I'm just glad that, you know for me the biggest dilemma is I'm a music fan and I love music. But I also, I never, I don't buy into the pop star, rock star thing. If I hear a piece of music and I like it, I will like it. If I hear a band that I don't really care about I do not, I have always never... I've been fortunate that I have never been really influenced by the lyrics. To me it was just a nice guitar sound or a nice drum sound. That was enough for me. So I think for those of us, we should you know enjoy music if it makes you feel good. Enjoy it if you like the sound but always remember that you don't have to buy into the whole package. You can just take what you hear, what you like, and discard the rest because the rest is probably... What the good music is, is a lure and a bait to get you into the control system. And that's what they are after. Don't take the lure, don't take the bait, just enjoy it for what it is and detach. And that to me is what I would say is the best way to deal with that.
Neil: I know you are still playing Thomas. And Alan, I heard you say at Christmas that you haven't picked up a guitar for a year, so I hope you do this year sometime and we'll get a tune out of you at Christmas.
Alan: Yeah.
Thomas: Yes.
Neil: Okay.
Alan: Okay.
Neil: Okay folks. Thanks so much guys and hopefully we'll do this again sometime on a completely different topic. We'll leave it at that.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

{Closing Music ♫}

Jan. 25, 2015 (#1487)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"You Won't Relax with Carbon Tax"
© Alan Watt Jan. 25, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 25, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 25, 2015. I've often said we're the most informed slaves who've ever existed. Because the big boys and all their thousands of foundations and organizations and NGOs and groups and world meetings and so on, they publish what they're up to and where they're going. It's quite fascinating until you get bored with it all. Because you'll have it your whole life long, and if you were born in the previous generation you'd have it then too, and then the ones that come after will have it as well. They like to publish what they're up to. And if you go into, for instance, the World Economic Forum and scan all their affiliates, and the fact that they choose and groom young global leaders for the future, and who chooses them? Well, the big magnates of industry, those who decide what the future's going to be. And the gangs at the top, they've already got them all picked, and they train them and so on, and they will be the spokespeople for the future to take over from the well-known names of today, who always get immediate access to the press and the doors are open for them where ever they go. It's quite interesting. It's the same as Common Purpose just for the European Union, all picked as young leaders and so on by the group and groomed for their sort of communistic/socialistic/fascistic future of this world system they're bringing into play.

Really there's nothing that's going to stop it because the general population don't get a vote on any part of it whatsoever. It's kind of extra-governmental you might say, although your governments are all involved in it. But it's amazingly vague in a sense that it's not written into the laws of any country that they must go along with anything at all like this and so it's done by simply the fact that everyone acquiesces to it, the fact that these new organizations, some of them are pretty old actually, have some sort of governmental or international authority and we just simply accept it as that. Those organizations then write the laws that get signed into treaties automatically by our governments because they're told what to do by the big boys at the top, the big magnates of power and finance. And this is how it's all done today.

So we truly are informed. There's no such thing as breaking news or who breaks news first. As I say, it's all

out there, it's all published for you if you want to dig into anything at all and you simply repeat what they're up to and so on. Most folk don't really care because it seems to be so vague to them. It's above their heads, it's above their ability to do anything about, that's what they feel. Because it is supranational in a sense and your politicians will all feign ignorance of it and pretend they know nothing about it, and there's nothing they can do about it either.

So this is the con game of the joke of democracy, that we're taught that we actually have. It's something that we vote folk in, that's the only right that you have actually is to vote folk in. And it's where they present folk to you to vote for, who you will vote for, if you vote at all. Lots of folk in countries today don't bother voting, they know it's a complete farce and that everyone is handpicked long before you hear their names to choose from. So this how it truly is in the system today.

There's only really a few interesting, again, stories, they give us lots of stories. Remember, a story doesn't mean truth and very few stories are completely truthful. They're generally spun and many of them are fake altogether. There's a lot of psy-ops going on too, military organizations putting out fake news and reports and so on too, that get right into the mainstream media, and again, they're parroted by the general public. So this is the matrix that you're living through today, by deception and mind-bending stuff, and lots of predictive programming to get you used to what's to come along in the future. In fact, that's why, for instance, like the World Economic Forum that publishes so much of its data to the general public, because the word eventually gets out to the general population and you come to expect the things that they're talking about to come to pass without really thinking too much about it.

It's interesting, the whole technique of forming words, and into sentences, and speaking it out, and the level of the person who's speaking it out happens to be at, can influence the whole world. It's quite fascinating altogether, and how if it's a person you've been trained to believe is very important, then it has more authority, it carries more weight with it, whatever he saying, and you're influenced by it whether you know it, or like it, or not. That's how it really is.

But the system of democracy is a joke. It was known to be a joke a long time ago by the people who gave you this fake democracy. They said themselves, democracy really means that the biggest groups will have the biggest voices and those groups will eventually help run the world, but on behalf of those who already did, the ultra-rich, and the elites, and CEOs of massive international corporations, the big gang at the top if you like. And that's exactly what's always been happening.

Now, it's interesting about how many folk who are involved in the military-industrial complex, from all different ranks and stages and areas and sciences and so on, where you have biological warfare experts, etc. And that movie, you always think back to 12 Monkeys, for those who remember the movie. It's a centered around a guy eventually who worked in a laboratory who had a disdain for the general population, too many people, the old humdrum story; the drumbeat that's been going on forever of the eugenicists and so on, and he releases a virus which kills an awful lot of the people, etc. I'd often thought long before the movie that, how do they keep tabs on their employees? Because when you think about the power that they have, these characters, incredible power where they're working in all these top secret laboratories creating stuff and, again, making fantastic sums of money, from the taxpayer, to find better ways to kill everybody, including the taxpayers. And this is our rational system that we live in.

But who is watching these guys? Do they get psychological evaluations every week, or whatever, or month? Because really, I mean, if you're working at that all the time you have to be a bit strange to begin with mentally, obviously, or psychopathic. And by the way, they do choose a lot of psychopaths to work in these fields that involve getting paid awfully well to find better ways to kill masses of people very quickly and efficiently. So how do they keep check on these guys? Really, it's just like 12 Monkeys. There has to be some kind of safeguard upon them, surely. And again, if you're psychopathic at all, they're paid awfully, awfully well, the scientists, because governments want to make sure that they pay them so incredibly well and with so many benefits of all kinds that they'll never take a bribe from an outside source for smuggling something out, for instance. But that doesn't guarantee it won't happen.

But when you take an article like this one, which came in from Canada. In Canada it's generally... we're kept very quiet in Canada here, because folk don't ask many questions, they're pretty passive. But once in a while

you'll get something coming out of the media and this is about a man called Christopher Phillips who is based in Nova Scotia, Canada, but he's actually from the US and he worked in the military-industrial complex in biochemical and bio warfare industries. An oddball story but it says

Christopher Phillips, ex-bio weapons specialist, back in Nova Scotia
cbc.ca / Jan 22, 2015

Christopher Phillips is back in Nova Scotia after being arrested on a Canada-wide warrant in Ottawa.

Today, Nova Scotia RCMP charged the biochemical weapons specialist with possession of a weapon for a dangerous purpose related to the chemical osmium tetroxide (Alan: Now, is that true or not? You see, it could be something else but this is what they're telling us it is.) , according to a police news release. He was also charged with uttering threats to police to cause bodily harm or death.

The offences are alleged to have happened between Dec. 26 and Jan. 21.

The 42-year-old Cole Harbour man was transported to Nova Scotia from Ottawa on an RCMP plane.

The Christopher Phillips arrest in Ottawa led police to search this Nova Scotia cottage. (A: They're searching anywhere he's been for any dangerous stuff.)

Police and hazardous materials teams are using this red tent (A: ...they show you the tent.) as their base to investigate the Nova Scotia cottage linked to Christopher Phillips, who was arrested Wednesday on a Canada-wide issued by Nova Scotia RCMP.

Nova Scotia RCMP searched two properties linked to Phillips this week and said there is no site contamination at the Cole Harbour property where a suspicious package containing hazardous chemicals was found Tuesday in the garage of the home. Homes around the house were subject to an evacuation order.

(A: And again, this man Church, I guess he's in charge of the investigation...)
Church would not confirm whether chemicals listed in court documents were found in the Grand Desert cottage.

The next story is on the same topic and it says...

Christopher Phillips's past told through U.S. court documents
History of mental illness, legal troubles and financial problems
cbc.ca / Susan Allen, Melissa Mancini / Jan 23, 2015

Christopher Phillips, 42, was arrested in Ottawa Wednesday.

Court documents filed in the U.S. shed light on the complicated past of Christopher Burton Phillips, a Halifax man accused of threatening police and possessing dangerous chemicals.

Phillips, 42, appeared in court Friday in Halifax. He's charged with uttering threats and possession of a weapon for a dangerous purpose.

(A: And they go on again about the same dangerous chemical, this osmium tetroxide. It's is very dangerous when it's tetroxide, the osmium itself is a metal but when it's mixed with another chemical I can become awfully, awfully dangerous.)

According to documents filed in Washington state, Phillips served in the navy during the 1990s. While serving in 1992 he injured his feet and required several surgeries. The documents say Phillips was receiving monthly veterans disability benefits of $3,750.

The injuries caused Phillips chronic pain and led to an addiction to painkillers, according to the documents.

“Phillips has continued to struggle with an addiction to opiate narcotics and with various psychiatric illnesses including mood disorders, anxiety, depression, and suicidal tendencies. His addiction and illnesses led to marital problems, numerous lawsuits in various states, adverse media exposure, and the eventual closing of his ophthalmology practice in 2007,” (A: So he had a few degrees.) the documents state.

A psychologist who testified at Phillips’s bankruptcy proceedings said that at her first meeting with him in 2008 she diagnosed him with major depression and general anxiety.

Phillips is an American-trained doctor, with degrees from Oklahoma and Boston. He practiced as an ophthalmologist and owned an eye-care clinic in Washington state starting in 2007. Complaints were brought against Phillips by at least five patients. (A: So he lost that particular job, but he worked as a biochemical weapons expert I guess prior to that.)

In an internal memo obtained by CBC earlier this week, RCMP described Phillips as a biochemical weapons expert.

While working in the United States, Phillips sued at least six media organizations.

(A: He obviously has a lot of mental problems.)

Phillips was formerly married to Olympic gold medallist Shannon Miller.

His current wife, Dr. Gosia Phillips, is a neurologist and sleep expert working for Capital Health. (A: This guy had sleep problems too, maybe that's what he meant, I don't know, so anyway, we don't know all about that. But it shows you that these guys are walking about there, and who's monitoring them? Apparently his wife called in that he was up to something, and that's what started this manhunt and they caught him in Ottawa or on his way to Ottawa, one of the two.)

But we'll never get the whole story, or what he was actually carrying, and what his purpose was, etc. It will be withheld I'm sure from the general public, that's how things really happen. But you know, they have thousands of these guys all over the place. You really hope they're, rather than spying and all the general population, they're doing more spying on these particular characters who work in these fields and who know exactly what to do to kill thousands or maybe even millions of people. Because the governments make sure through your tax money that they've been trained awfully well. That's the sane world that we live in today, isn't it. That's what we're told. That's what we’re told and we’re told to believe it as well.

Now, the European Union and the United Nations have been pushing for years and years and years for global taxes. It really didn't fly so well in many countries so they came up with the idea of course of global warming, carbon taxes, man-made global warming, all these kind of things, and now it's man-made climate change and to get a universal tax across the globe. And it's pushed, too, by all the big corporations because they can trade carbon credits and make actual profits on them by doing so. But it's to go down to change the way of life for you, that's the big agenda, of every individual down the ladder, at the bottom of the ladder, across the whole globe. So that's carbon taxes for you and energy taxes, etc, it's all the same thing.

It also means great money income for all governments too because they can charge these carbon taxes on everything, and get taxes off of taxes, on top of taxes, which they always do as well for all produce, etc., all sales and you name it. So all the energy that, they claim, it took to manufacturer whatever it is you purchase will be passed on to the consumer in taxes, and then a part of that goes to government, the rest of it will go to supposedly international bodies and the big carbon traders for this new derivatives scam across the globe. The carbon bubble you might call it, a bubble of nothing which is in your imagination. And it's a great scam because it cost the ones at the top nothing at all and they get lots of money for nothing, you see. It's better than even the money scam that we have today, run by a few as well, the same few.

But here you have the push now for carbon taxes now that they've lowered gasoline to its present state. Simply because of the wars that are ongoing... It's an economic war, remember, to put countries under who have counted on a certain income and investments to pay off their all rigs, things like that, or their fracking industries, across the world, to make them pack it in. Venezuela is already pretty well going under and other

countries are following suit. Many other guys had started off different rigs themselves through investments and so on and they have fallen apart because the investors can't get the returns they expected now that the bottom has fallen out of the market. It's economic warfare, a big, big part of US strategy of course. I say US but it's really just an international strategy by London, the US and a few other countries. This says...

After the oil price crash, it's time for a carbon tax
EurActiv.com / 19/01/2015

(A: You see, they float the idea for years, they call these trial balloons, for the ones they did in years gone by, to get you used to it coming. And the more they say it, again, speaking it into existence, the more it embeds in your mind, kind of vaguely, through osmosis, as they say, without thinking through it too much, until when it comes along most folk say, oh well, you know, I guess it was inevitable. They don't ask why it's inevitable and what it really even is. Because it is bogus, you see, it's a scam. Anyway it says here...)

The reduction in oil prices should be a good opportunity (A: Remember what they always say? Out of this crisis we've got an opportunity to do something, for our agenda; that's a standard CFR spiel.) for Europe to put in place the appropriate tools for combating climate change (A: So again, as always, you've got an existence tax which they call carbon tax.), and to start the transition to a sustainable economy (A: meaning austerity for all of you.), writes Alberto Majocchi.

Alberto Majocchi is Professor of Public Finance at the University of Pavia (A: a very famous place, eh.); Board Member of the Centre for Studies on Federalism. This op-ed was first published as Comment of the CSF on 19 January 2015.

In just over a year, the price of Brent crude has plummeted from $107.78 to $47.44 (A: ...per barrel.), a fall of over 55%. At a time when the European economy is suffering from a severe recession (A: Let's stop calling it a recession. It's a depression, for God sake.) and looming deflation has yet to be dealt with by courageous decisions from the European Central Bank (A: and it's the banks that are going to profit too. The central banks pass all the carbon taxes up to the Rothschilds private bank in Switzerland for carbon taxes. Who put that idea forward? Lord Rothschild. [Alan laughing.]), the reduction in the cost of energy should be a positive shock from the supply side and provide a boost in production. The problem is that Europe is primarily suffering from a lack of effective demand, so that measures which only act on the supply side are not sufficient. But the reduction in oil prices should also be a good opportunity for Europe to adopt important decisions to put in place the appropriate tools for combating climate change and to start the transition to a sustainable economy.

The European Union has already been leading in this area for some time. The European Council meeting of 23-24 October 2014 defined an ambitious strategy regarding climate and energy. Maintaining the same target set in 2007 for an 80% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2050, the Council decided on: a) a 40% decrease in emissions of greenhouse gases in 2030 compared to 1990. The annual reduction in the cap on emissions under the Emission Trading System (ETS) (A: ... an Emissions Trading System, eh.) will be taken from 1.74% to 2.2% in 2020. The emissions from the ETS sectors must reduce by 43% compared to 2005. . .

Well, regardless of all these ridiculous percentages, the fact is you're all going to get suckered, folks, and screwed silly until you're utterly broke in austerity. The carbon tax is to be put on all manufacturing and processes basically, where any carbon at all, they claim, is released in the production of it, even in the electricity costs, how it was made and so on, to make that wrapper for your chocolate bar. So you're all going to get well and truly screwed with this, and it's a fantastic slavery technique, but it's an existence tax, really. Remember, you're carbon-based units, human beings, that's what we are. So carbon tax is simply an existence tax, for all of you who don't get it. Slavery under another name. We've had many forms or covers or camouflages for slavery, it's just the same darn thing again. Then we have this one here too...

Carbon pricing set to cover 80% of Canadian economy
Ontario to join Quebec and British Columbia in enforcing price on fossil fuels, despite opposition from prime minister Harper Canada's federal government has fiercely resisted any efforts to impose a national carbon pricing system.

rtcc.org / 14 January 2015 / Ed King

Over 80% of Canada's economy could be covered by a carbon tax by the end of 2015, (A: See how fast they get it in? While the going is good, you see.) after the province of Ontario announced it would release plans to price greenhouse gas emissions.

Ontario environment minister Glenn Murray told local media he would unveil the strategy later this year, promising it would be "efficient, effective and economically positive."

The province, home to 40% of Canada's population, will join Quebec and British Columbia in enforcing tougher climate laws. (A: Well, this is the end of it, I'm tell you, it's time to move.)

British Columbia's tax of C$30 per tonne of carbon is credited with a 16% fall in fuel consumption across the province, although cement makers are among those claiming they have lost business as a result.

(A: Remember too, that includes your heating oil and everything else, and even propane heaters, it doesn't matter what you're using, it's just going to be carbon taxes, and your gasoline.)

In an interview with RTCC last month, Murray said he had been in talks with California and Quebec with a view to linking up with their existing carbon markets.

"We have given up that the national government will eventually introduce a price on carbon," he said.

(A: And that was a big effective tool that they used a while back. They didn't want the federal government getting blamed for anything so they started to get the so-called elected leaders of their provinces in league with some of the US leaders of the individual states to come together and form little coalitions. Again, there's no law that this can be done, and we accept it as though it's all law and everything else, as we get screwed into the ground. This is how things are done now, by private clubs basically.)

"Our prime minister Stephen Harper announced he's never going to have a price on carbon so we have to look at that at sub national level."

California and Quebec are members of the Western Climate Initiative, and could soon be joined by Washington State. (A: Now, I mentioned all of that stuff last week as well.)

So it's a done deal, folks, and this big world community who are owned by the big Masters of the universe, who control money and finance and corporations and all the rest of it, have given them their marching orders to do it now. It's quite simple. And we have no say in anything here, you know. And folk won't get out and protest anything, in any organized group, because they can't get organized, without incredible financing, and the authority the United Nations. That's why the big boys created the United Nations, to make sure that only their NGOs and so on would have all training to push things forward, and there can be no opposition without the cash and the organizational abilities and leaderships, etc. And this article here too is from the Hindu and it says...

Carbon tax to meet climate concerns
thehindu.com / Jairam Ramesh

India can display bold leadership by imposing a carbon tax on all fossil fuels in proportion to carbon dioxide emissions.

Oil prices have plummeted since June 2014 by almost 60 per cent. This has obviously proved to be a bonanza for oil-importing countries like India just as it has seriously hurt oil-producing nations like Russia and Iran. The fall has been unexpected (A: utter nonsense. Nothing that happens on a scale like this is unexpected. It's part of strategy and various warfare techniques of economic specialists, you see.) and what has added to the mystery is the behaviour of Saudi Arabia, the traditional "swing producer" in OPEC which has chosen not to cut production in order to boost prices. (A: Well, they are in cahoots with the US, they always have been, and the big magnates of the world.)

The main reason now being adduced for the oil price decline is the re-emergence of the U.S. as a major hydrocarbon producer because of exploitation of its substantial shale deposits. Lower than anticipated demand, especially from countries like China, and anemic economic growth in Europe have added to the pressure. As to the response of Saudi Arabia, the best guess is that it does not want to lose market share like it did the last time when it cut output to keep prices up. (A: Then they go through their usual bamboozling nonsense, that really is, it's not worth reading. But this next paragraph says...)

Revisiting an old idea

Whatever be its backdrop, the current oil price scenario offers the right moment (A: again, the right moment, or seize the moment, right...) for the international community (A: What is this international community? Are you part of it? Do you get to vote in or say on anything? Do you even get invited to anything to talk, or even listen? No. It's as fake as, you know, the bubble for carbon dioxide.) as well as for major carbon emitter nations to revisit an old idea that has been around for quite some time as a way of dealing with the challenge of climate change — and this is a carbon tax. Economists mostly agree that such a carbon tax is the way to go, (A: Really? Really?) but it has faced tremendous political resistance, especially in the U.S. A couple of days ago, however, the influential economist Larry Summers, who has been a close adviser to both President Barack Obama and former President Bill Clinton, came out publicly in its favour, pointing out that a tax of $25 per tonne of carbon would add just 25 cents to the price of gasoline. There have been other intellectually weighty voices in the past who have advocated a carbon tax, (A: And they go through the different ones who've prattled on about it, all paid mouthpieces.) William Nordhaus being perhaps the most prominent amongst them.

It is the political resistance to any form of taxation (what the late Sukhamoy Chakravarty, the distinguished Indian planner, had called the emerging fiscal sociology) (A: Because it is, it's a sociological plan really, you know.) that has led to systems of cap-and-trade being adopted to deal with the emissions problem. The EU has such a system, the Chinese have seven pilots and have announced a national initiative beginning next year, and the Americans too are putting it in place for carbon emissions from power plants. A cap-and-trade system (A: the Al Gore projects and yada, yada, yada.)

So it's all going to get passed on down to the consumer at the bottom, as you well know, and you're going to get mightily, mightily screwed, totally screwed, and your life reorganized into a new way of living. They've been prattling on about this together along with the carbon taxes for years. It's to bring you into a new way of living, and there will be expert management of every facet of your life, even where you're going to live and how you're going to live, what you can heat yourself with and what you can't, and ultimately if you can even heat yourself or not depending on if you can afford all the taxes on it. And don't forget, that once they've got this little economic war with the gasoline at its present level, and they have shoved on the carbon tax, once they jack it back up to what it was, plus an increase of course, naturally, you'll also have a higher carbon tax on it then as well.

So it works together to eliminate the automobile, basically, for private ownership. Don't forget for a second Agenda 21, the agenda for the 21st century, where it said they'll have you all in your little assigned communities, they'll eliminate rural living for people unless they are absolutely essential, which means you work for big international corporations who own the big international farms, and you will have no private vehicles, they said, it will be essential vehicles only allowed in such communities. That's what they have to achieve all this century and they're rushing ahead with all these con jobs in the meantime to get us there, folks. It's quite simple. Everything is, ha, an awful lie. By the way, it has been an awful lie for your whole life long, pretty well everything you're taught, on a big scale. And then...

Ontario PCs launch petition against carbon tax
torontosun.com / Antonella Artuso / January 21, 2015

(A: So again, at the federal level they have to go through the usual Punch and Judy show of, we want it, no we don't, boo, boo, and all that nonsense, to keep the party image going that there's really parties that are different.)

An online petition opposing a new carbon tax has been launched by the Ontario Progressive Conservatives.

Interim Leader Jim Wilson made the announcement Wednesday in Sudbury, where a by-election is underway to replace NDP MPP Joe Cimino.

“Ontario families and businesses can’t afford another tax,” Wilson said in a statement.

The Ontario Liberal government is considering some form of carbon pricing, with a decision expected this spring.

Premier Kathleen Wynne (A: She is the premier in Ontario.) has said the government needs a real plan to respond to climate change. (A: Yeah, except for the sun is doing it and all your Geoengineering projects are doing it too.)

The PC petition, found on their website ontariopc.com, says that Ontario taxpayers have already been subjected to a health premium of $300 to $900 per person, a $2-billion Smart Meter program and Green Energy subsidies. (A: That's okay, we’re just, you know, the cattle at the bottom, and what else are cattle for, eh. The chattel)

“A carbon tax scheme would increase the cost of everyday goods including gasoline and home heating,” the petition says. (A: And it will also increase the goods being transported to the stores including all of your food, folks.) “(The provincial government should) abandon the idea of introducing yet another unaffordable and ineffective tax on Ontario families and businesses.”

PCs in Sudbury to oppose carbon tax 54
thesudburystar.com / Ben Leeson / January 22, 2015

It's nothing to do with saving the climate or anything like that, nothing. It's a great fantastic excuse for something else, to manage your lives completely from birth to death. But what can you do about it, again? Because pretty well every politician is in the back pocket of the big boys at the top, they really are. But what can you do in this present system? What can you do?

So many stories are to do with geopolitics and when you hear about the fracas in the Ukraine and Russia and the Western involvement inside the Ukraine, it's all geopolitics. Incredible geopolitics going on. Everything is to do with economics, by the big club at the top, you see, who want everything, all the worlds resources, a world slave population under lots of experts whom they will pay off of course and actually paid to monitor us all, watch us and train us all, and you know, tweak our brains as we grow up to make sure we're all PC and we don't think of any nasty stuff. Now, PC is not progressive conservative, it's political correctness, you see, whatever is the plan to be en vogue for the next 10 or 15 years before they push it out, maybe even its opposite, you never know, because that's what they do with us, you see. But this article here is about Joe Biden's son, which some folk I'm sure have followed for a while.

Biden's Son, Kerry Family Friend
Join Ukrainian Gas Producer's Board
wsj.com / Paul Sonne / May 13, 2014

(A: So the big boys as always, who are involved all these big things, obviously to do with carbon trading with Al Gore and all the rest of them, they are all involved in taking over the world's resources as well, as well as us. [Alan laughing.] So here they are, here's the Americans involved in the Ukrainian gas producer’s board.)

Vice President Joe Biden’s son and a close friend of Secretary of State John Kerry's stepson have joined the board of a Ukrainian gas producer controlled by a former top security and energy official for deposed President Viktor Yanukovych.

The move has attracted attention given Messrs. Biden's and Kerry's public roles in diplomacy toward Ukraine, where the U.S. expressed support for pro-Western demonstrators who toppled Mr. Yanukovych's Kremlin-

backed government in February.

In other words, they don't want Russia having all the resources, that they've got planned. Remember, they had these pipelines planned, oh, probably about 20 odd years ago or so, long before Gulf War one, all across the Middle East and into Europe and the Ukraine and everywhere else, and Kazakhstan is a big player as well, where the Rothschilds who are involved with various pipeline projects, etc. So it all measures in together towards their geopolitical/economic strategy, you see, and personal profit. So it's all in the family, as always, you see. And this one says...

Ukraine's gas company Burisma enters Kazakhstan
timesca.com / 22 December 2014

ASTANA (TCA) — Burisma Holdings, the largest independent gas producer in Ukraine, has signed an agreement with the Kazakh national oil and gas company KazMunaiGas (KMG) and thus become the first Ukrainian company to develop oil and gas resources in Kazakhstan together with KMG, the Ukrainian company said.

(A: And again, Rothschild is heavily involved in all this too, from other articles I've read.)

The agreement stipulates that Burisma Holdings together with KMG will conduct seismic and drilling operations for hydrocarbon exploration and production, as well as explore the possibility of building infrastructure in the territory of Kazakhstan.

It's quite amazing. They also mention Biden's son and all the rest of it in this article as well, that's two articles on that. Then when you go into, argh, Scotland. Now, Scotland really has been in the toilet for London for a long time, used for various projects and for warfare purposes, as shock troops in lots of wars over centuries. And it was looted from the beginnings of the discovery of North Sea oil. I can remember at the time they said that they wouldn't need to put refineries in Scotland, they were going to pipe it all down to ones in England, to make sure the English had work down there. And also they wouldn't give it to British companies to take the oil out of the North Sea, they would put it up for bids from all different groups across Europe and elsewhere, and Norway and different countries to do the oil drilling. Scotland was just a handy base for the rigs to be built in places like Ardrossan, places like that, by American companies. But it says here...

Scotland's struggling North Sea oil industry WILL be bailed out by British taxpayers, Osborne admits
dailymail.co.uk /Tom McTague / 20 January 2015

-Chancellor said he had already cut taxes on North Sea oil to protect jobs
(A: I wonder whose jobs?)

-But Mr Osborne said he would take 'further steps at the Budget' to help

-He revealed Scottish MPs face ban on voting on parts of the Budget

-New spending powers for Scotland will be unveiled on Thursday, he said

Scotland's struggling oil industry will be bailed out by the UK Government, George Osborne revealed today.

But Mr Osborne also revealed that MPs representing Scottish constituencies could be barred from voting (A: [Alan chuckles.]) on parts of the Budget after the election – once the Edinburgh government had been handed sweeping new powers over tax and spending. (A: That will be the day. What's the point in giving them sweeping powers over tax and spending when they've already polluted and bankrupted the nation?)

The Chancellor, appearing before MPs on the Treasury Select Committee, said the Government's support for the North Sea oil industry was only possible because Scotland had rejected independence in last year's referendum.

He said the problems suffered by the industry ‘reminds everyone of the risk of independence’.

The Institute for Fiscal Studies has revealed that an independent Scotland could have been up to £7 billion worse off as a result of falling oil revenue. (A: Well, they would've been an awful lot richer if it was their oil in the first place for all those years, since about the 70s.)

Mr Osborne lambasted predictions made by the SNP during the referendum campaign about the finances North Sea oil would generate for the nation as ‘wildly optimistic’.

So, the fate of Scotland was decided an awful long time ago. As I say, it's just a satrapy of the London banking boys, and that's what colonies are, it's really just a colony. That's what happens when you're taken over long ago. And then…

Russia’s Gazprom Says Will End Gas Transits Through Ukraine
atlanticsentinel.com / Nick Ottens / January 15, 2015

(A: Because, naturally, they know the West is wanting to grab all the… I hate to call it the West. I even hate calling places like England, England, because they are not run by themselves, they're run by the same bunch that run all the other countries too around there. London, they're run from there, you know, the city, the big square-mile city that runs a good part of the world through their heavy financing and so on.)

Russia’s energy monopoly Gazprom announced on Wednesday it would stop transiting natural gas through Ukraine and urged its European customers to link up with a future pipeline to be built in Turkey or lose access to supplies.

The announcement came from Gazprom chief Alexei Miller during a visit to Moscow by European energy commissioner Maroš Šefčovič. The Slovak diplomat said he was “very surprised” by the statement.

Miller’s veiled threat came less than two months after Russia canceled the South Stream pipeline which was supposed to pump Russian gas to Bulgaria’s Black Sea port of Varna before extending overland through Serbia, Hungary and Slovenia.

The $40 billion project fell victim to the European-Russian trade war that started earlier in 2014 when Russia occupied and annexed Ukraine’s Crimean Peninsula. (A: Well, they had no option but to do that actually.) The European Union and the United States responded by imposing economic and financial sanctions on Russia.

So it's just economic warfare going on, by the gangs who control the US and Britain and every other country, and the bunch that control Russia really. The citizens wouldn't have anything to do with anything, do we? We just pay up when we're told to.

And also this article is to do with Canada again and Canadian oil producers. From the Globe and Mail it says….

TD expert tells Canadian oil producers to brace for a second shock
theglobeandmail.com / SHAWN McCARTHY / Jan. 23 2015

“Oil prices are likely to remain subdued through the first half of the year, and follow more of a U-shaped recovery pattern than the V-shaped pattern that typically follows such sharp price declines,” she wrote. (A: …this woman.) The Toronto Dominion economist expects the U.S. benchmark to average just $53 in the second half of the year, and $65 next year.

Crude prices fell another 70 cents Friday to $45.60 and lost more than $3 on the week amid further evidence that global crude stocks are growing rapidly. The United States Energy Information Administration reported this week that inventories are at an 80-year high for this time of year.

So it's just a temporary thing as big global agendas are carried through, folks. That's all that this is, that's all

it's about, and the opportunity to throw in a carbon tax. Well, the general population will think, well, you know, what's a few cents since gas has gotten so cheap. Because the average person will think that gas is going to be cheap forevermore, that's how people think, short-term thinking. And the major media is not going to say this is an economic war going on right now, and it ain't going to last long, and you're going to get utterly, utterly shafted at the end of it all. So that's how things really work in the world, everything is done for good reason and we are never given the real reason at the bottom.

We're living in an all-out war, upon all of us, across the world actually. Remember, to destroy all that was to bring in the new, that's how you must do cultural destruction. What you think is normal, normalcy, it must all go down the toilet and a new system of zaniness appears. It always appears zany at the beginning when they're really pushing for various things to come in and replace all that was, you see, to manage your minds, to make you almost schizoid in the way that you perceive things or think you perceive things, because your perception is actually tampered with all the time to ensure that you perceive things the way that the Masters want you to perceive things, your interpretation of things. Here's a typical article here and it says...

23 Hollywood Moms (A: Well, where else?)
With Same Sperm Donor and One Crazy Vacation
hollywoodreporter.com / January 14, 2015| / Kalee Thompson

(A: It's all fun, you see, that's how it's presented. Hollywood moms, you know, the ones that are kind of better than all of you. Hollywood moms, you know, the one who, well you don't want to know how they start their careers, believe you me; you've got to have awfully good strong backs and things.)

And that's just one fertility story out of Hollywood, where a booming baby business has sprung out of gay-friendly parental rights and existing new technology (Pick the eye color! Freeze your ovaries!). (A: Isn't that wonderful? You see.)

When Sarah Fain, a TV writer-producer for The Shield (A: ...whatever that is.) and The Vampire Diaries (A: Oh, very intellectual stuff.), decided at 37 to be a single mom, she started online sperm-donor browsing. "It's like online dating, only you don't have to have a relationship with the person," she says. "It's not: 'What if this is the love of my life?' It's: 'This person doesn't have Alzheimer's in their genetic history.' (A: So it's all about getting the right KIND, you see, of eugenics tampering and all the rest of it.) " Fain lined up a fertility entourage that included a therapist (A: ...oh naturally, naturally), acupuncturist (A: ...well, naturally...), nutritionist and private chef (A: ...well naturally...) for when she was too busy developing shows for Warner Bros. to cook. (A: Just too busy being a super mom, you know.) "I did acupuncture, herbs, teas; I juiced wheat grass daily for months because my reproductive endocrinologist [RE] said anecdotally people who did got pregnant," she says. (A: They didn't get pregnant if they were lacking certain things.) Despite her efforts, Fain required two years and nine rounds of intrauterine insemination (or IUI, which involves "washing" the ejaculate to up the sperm quality before injecting it into the uterus, at about $1,500 a pop to conceive). At age 40, Fain had a girl named Violet.

When Violet was a toddler, Fain took her to a music class, where "two women walked in with two boys about Violet's age," she says. One of the boys looked familiar. Fain went home and checked her Facebook group comprising 15 families who had conceived with her same open donor. (Open donation, in which the donor's info can be released on the child's 18th birthday, is a growing trend.) (A: See, they've got everything worked out now.) She recalls: "There they were," just a mile and a half away.

Now they all have dinner every Sunday. (A: Isn't that magic, eh.) "They're my family," says Fain. In September, the Facebook group rented a vacation house. "Talk about crazy — there were 12 2-year-olds," says Fain, who adds: "It's one of those things that feels incredibly bizarre for half an hour. Then it feels totally normal." (A: See, they adapt off the quickly in Hollywood. You can't have any hang-ups about anything in Hollywood, about anything at all, I really mean that, you get no work if you do.)

At a time when Apple and Facebook are picking up $20,000 tabs for employees (A: Now, who is pushing Apple and Facebook, right? Remember, Facebook too are trying to get all your DNA and everything else, all the knowledge about you. Do you wonder why? And the schmucks give it to them too.) to freeze their eggs as well as offering other generous high-tech fertility benefits, it's clear that professional women have more

and more options with assisted reproduction technology. Many of them will need it: At least one in eight couples overall suffer from infertility (A: [Alan chuckles.] Generally it does when you're trying to conceive and its two women.), and much of that is due to delayed childbearing. Even as the U.S. birth rate is at an all-time low, multiple births have skyrocketed from fertility drugs and in vitro fertilization treatments involving multiple embryos. In 1980, there were 70,000 twins born in the U.S.; in 2012, there were 131,269, along with 4,598 triplets and 276 quadruplets.

Credit goes to the 450 high-tech fertility clinics in the U.S., 75 of them in California. (A: Well naturally, eh, naturally. Everything starts in California.) The Centers for Disease Control keeps statistics on IVF success rates by age (A: ...interesting... Why is it the Centers for Disease Control?); many clinics in Los Angeles beat the national averages. "California is widely regarded to be the most friendly state in terms of assisted reproduction," says attorney Richard Vaughn, whose twin sons with actor husband Tommy Woelfel (A: ... whoever that is.) (Mr. & Mrs. Smith) were born with the help of an egg donor and surrogate mother: (A: And then they go into all of their lovely, lovely chat stuff and all of that. I'm sure they are on Oprah and all of that kind of stuff too, you know.)

Even so, media coverage of glowing older celebu-moms — from Halle Berry, who just had her second child at age 47, to Laura Linney, who gave birth to her first child in 2014 at 49 — can mislead. "My concern is when celebrities in their mid- to late-40s announce they're pregnant," says Guy Ringler of California Fertility Partners, one of Southern California's most in-demand clinics. "It gives many people false hope that you can get pregnant at any age. It's not realistic." (A: Many have even, they can save your eggs and everything else too, you know. You can even get other surrogate moms to carry the egg and all that through pregnancy.)

This is getting everybody used to the idea of eugenics and Brave New World where you'll get born, planned by the state down the road. One thing leads to the next, to the next, to the next, you see, that's all it is. And it's always done in this rah-rah happy, happy thing, if you're a multimillionaire.

That article reminds me of the Frankfurt school whose sole goal really was to destroy all the culture of the West, completely, right down to, they even said, necrophilia, then they would know they had won. All morality had to be utterly destroyed to bring in a new system of domination over the people, you see, by the proper people, so that they could control you properly. The proper people, you see, and they've done awfully good job of it and mainly through places like Hollywood and so on, because people follow the stars. They're such idiots, they do, they follow the stars, they don't see that they're tripping over things on the road as they're walking along following the stars. And they want everyone to fantasize about that life, you see, always fantasize about it.

You wouldn't want to be like these people, these robots, you see, where everyone is their friend, and darling, and they are all sharks on each other down there in LA. That's all they are, sharks on each other. That's why they have this pretense of friendliness because each one can help you on, get parts in this and parts in that, or a better job in something, whatever it is. It's just... they're all sharks on each other. They're not real people as such, you see. They are avant-garde in everything sexually, because they have to be in that business, you can't have any particular moral stance on anything at all, that's old-fashioned, you see, or what used to be called normal, you can't have it, you're blacklisted right away.

It's like that... I will not put this link up, but it's in the Guardian. I didn't even bother looking it up. But it was sent to me where they were showing a fashion show in Paris, where apparently there was a fashion parade held recently, and I guess you call them, metrosexuals on the runway had these, some kind of pants with the cuts away at the top of the legs or something, so that they could show their little pee-pees off to the public. You know, they don't call it gay Paris for nothing. But it's... This is what it's come down to, folks. You know, it's just, fashion has nothing to do with wearable clothing, is to with art, you see, it's art... It's always called art. And they try to get as much exposure [Alan chuckles.], literally, exposure, as much as they can, literally in any way that they can [Alan chuckles.] by doing things that are outrageous. But I mean, this is what it's coming down to. It's just, oooh, bizarro nonsense of exhibitionism. Really, that's what it is. And so you have a Hollywood moms in a big PR thing to make this the trendy thing to do, you see. For women who've got money, this is the way to go, it's trendy. And then the idiots follow the stars, as they say. And then you got this nonsense in Paris and so on, as culture goes down the tubes.

Mind you, I mean, I guess in this day and age folk can join in any kind of club they want to and go and see a lot worse than that. And this is called freedom today. The problem is, this kind of freedom often lends itself to a lot of perverse tampering with children and things like that, you see, this is the problem with lots of it. But, that's what we accept in this day and age. Eventually there will be no such thing as pedophilia, anything like that. And under the Rights of the Child, as they expand it, from the United Nations, their goal is to literally introduce masturbation, common masturbation in schoolrooms, that was discussed a few years back – I read all the articles on the air at the time; go into the archives in my website and you'll see that. And then they will say, well it's wrong to not allow a child to have sex with someone, if they choose to, even if they're five years old. I mean, this is where it's all meant to go, for those who don't quite get it. And it will, in your lifetime you'll see this, pretty shortly actually.

And here is the new thing too as they go through. This terrorism is wonderful, isn't it? It's the greatest anti-freedom movement of all, this war on terror, to make sure that under the guise of terror you can take all rights and freedoms away. This articles from RT and it says...

'Thought police':
Academic freedom threatened by anti-terror bill, MPs warn
rt.com / January 12, 2015

Universities should be exempted from new counter-terrorism laws because they will 'restrict' freedom of speech, the government's human rights watchdog has said.

The Joint Committee on Human Rights said government plans to make universities legally obliged to refer suspected would-be terrorists (A: ...this is like pre-crime.) to the authorities would undermine academic freedom.

Under the bill, Home Secretary Theresa May would be given authority to force universities to ban speakers who are considered "extremist."

(A: Now again, it's like the word terrorism itself, extremist can be extremist views, on anything an extremist. Remember, speaking the truth under a totalitarian regime is a revolutionary act, according to George Orwell, something like that, you know, to paraphrase it.)

The warning comes before the Counter-Terrorism and Security Bill's second reading in the House of Lords on Tuesday.

May's bill, introduced to Parliament in November last year, is likely to receive boosted support in the wake of the terrorist attacks in France last week.

Committee members are concerned about a legal duty that would require universities to refer students at risk of becoming terrorists (A: ...again, pre-crime.) to external anti-radicalization programs.

(A: You see, everything is going to come down to reprogramming you if you're not politically correct, on anything, and I mean from birth to death. That's what the GIRFEC project in Scotland is and they have the same program coming out in other countries too, a government appointed guardian who will take psychological tests and so on every month to make sure that you have no extremist views, or you haven't perceived something, because of an instinct you've had, that will make you anti-this or anti-that, you know.)

Universities would also be required to ban 'extremists' from speaking on campuses.

Parliament's human rights watchdog, made up of MPs and peers, says it is "concerned about the implications for both freedom of expression and academic freedom as a result of the applicability of the proposed new duty to universities."

Failure to comply with the new duties would result in direct intervention by the secretary of state, and "ultimately, a mandatory court order backed by criminal sanctions for contempt of court."

The committee also argued that terms such as ‘extremist’ are ambiguous. (A: Well naturally, that's what they're meant to be, you see.)

“Broad terms such as ‘extremist’ or ‘radical’ are not capable of being defined with sufficient precision to enable universities to know with sufficient certainty whether they risk being found to be in breach of the new duty.”

Dr Hywel Francis, Labour MP and chair of the committee, said: “As open and rigorous debate about ideas is itself one of the most powerful tools in the struggle against terrorism, and the extremism which often breeds terrorism, this is surely counter-productive.”

Martin Hall, former vice-chancellor of the University of Salford, also voiced opposition to the proposed bill, calling it “draconian.” (A: Well no kidding.)

But you understand, that's to be across the whole of society, and every little tweet that you put out there before and every email you sent out with some view or whatever, it's all being checked out, folks, check out meticulously, for the future for when they come calling on you, for having some extremist viewpoint on something or other, under the guise of terrorism, until you can't say the truth about anything at all. That's what is coming down to, and it's meant to, step by step by step.

They call this progress, you know. You always find that strange word called progress; we're in the age of progress. And various organizations are we're progressive, or going towards... What are we going towards? Is there signpost somewhere that says you have reached progress? No. It's always going towards progress, as we have all these weird things happening that destroy everything that used to be, to bring in the new. And the state is going to be God. And the world state is a super God, you see. Super God. Oh wow.

Birth to death, you can't have a thought of your own down the road without them getting into and tinkering with your brain and find, oh we can't have that, you can't hold that opinion. And they will tweak you, you see, so you don't have it anymore. And we'll all be the same, like clones of each other, prattling the same nonsense, and being awfully smiley happy, because we're all politically correct, you see. Isn’t that just wonderful? A wonderful nightmare, isn't it.

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, where it's incredible awful weather, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Christopher Phillips, ex-bio weapons specialist, back in Nova Scotia

Christopher Phillips's past told through U.S. court documents

Carbon pricing set to cover 80% of Canadian economy

Carbon tax to meet climate concerns

Ontario PCs launch petition against carbon tax

PCs in Sudbury to oppose carbon tax

Biden's Son, Kerry Family Friend Join Ukrainian Gas Producer's Board

Ukraine's gas company Burisma enters Kazakhstan

Scotland's struggling North Sea oil industry WILL be bailed out by British taxpayers, Osborne admits

Russia's Gazprom says will end transits through Ukraine

TD expert tells Canadian oil producers to brace for a second shock

23 Hollywood Moms With Same Sperm Donor and One Crazy Vacation

Thought police': Academic freedom threatened by anti-terror bill, MPs warn

---

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Feb. 1, 2015 (#1488)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"The Agenda's Been Slow but Now Here We Go"
© Alan Watt Feb. 1, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 1, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February 1, 2015. On the night of September 11, 2001, I said on the radio that the hardest thing from now on that would happen to you, would be to hold onto your sanity as we go through massive changes. Because the changes were planned and published, often, long before the event, the changes that would follow to supposedly cope with the events and the damage control, etc. But long ago they were talking about doing all the things, of biometric scanning, the total communications over everybody's communications and copying them all, etc., and watching every single person, long before 9/11 came along. Because with every advance in technology, in fact, even the introduction of particular technologies, it's vastly changes the behavior and the way of thinking of society.

If you think about the changeover from the horse and cart to the steam engine for instance, the steam engine allowed massive freight to be carried into very remote areas, where existing villages were overwhelmed with the sudden influx of people, etc., etc, and they can also start other businesses and export stuff by train out of the area, mining stuff and so on. Other villages cropped up alongside the rail road tracks and that's all people thought about at the time was prosperity, what was opening up. And your behavior would adapt to the circumstances from an agricultural/agrarian society into a manufacturing society and so on. And those at the top with the big money and investments planned it that way with factory towns, etc. Not the cowboy era, believe you me, it was mainly factory towns that sprung up all over the place. Then you have the introduction of the automobile and the expansion of roads and the building of the roads across areas that had not existed before, except perhaps the occasional trail here and there for a cart.

With it all too comes governmental departments and bureaucracies to maintain these things through taxation and so on. So your behavior always changes and you adapt to the changes, and eventually it's normal to you. That's why some generations look back on the previous generations and see them as old-fashioned and quaint, they can really fathom how they lived. Somehow they also think they must have been awfully unhappy, stuck in rural areas and they couldn't travel very far and so on. Nothing is further from the truth. In

fact, the more isolated they were often and the slower news would take even reach them, the happier they were, they couldn't get so brainwashed by a big commercialized media.

So today you have the Internet, and you've had television for years that drastically, drastically altered behavior, because all governments used it as a tool to modify behaviors, to drum up patriotism when they have future wars on the table ready to go, things like that, and then during wars they would churn out the movies, paid by your tax money often, about the military might and how it was great fun and all the rest of it fighting the bad guys. So all technology is used. It has its own particular effect on society. But it's also used by those who know how to rule over the minds of people, from the top. And we live in a very Machiavellian time, more efficient that Machiavelli could have ever dreamed upon in his age, where again, it was town crier's that went around villages with propaganda and decrees from the top, they even use the churches too to put out what they claimed was news at the time, of impending invasions and of wars and why they had to go off and fight, etc. etc.

So everything is used by existing powers, and the existing powers expand their powers and grow massively during times of conflict and change, etc. During the 50s in the 60s government was all gung ho for science to take over, to rule society in the sense, to rule society and guide society with all these miracles that would be introduced into the general population. Microwave ovens and the television, eventually color television and so on, and the space programs, then all the science fiction movies which were flooded out the public to make some think it was, oh we're going to end up in space one day, every child thought he would end up in space going out for adventures and so on. And of course nothing of the kind materialized, and it wasn't intended to either.

So fiction is heavily used by manipulators of the future. Always. Always, because we've got to remember that mythology itself is a very, very powerful tool, when it can be brought up from the darkest depth of ancient times, and back to the present, completely altered in fact. In fact, when we talk about this today in the movies they turn out using all mythological characters, the people who were alive in the day when these myths originated would not understand you at all because it would be nothing like the actual core gossip or beliefs or myths that were getting created at the time.

So we live in constant changing times and every possible thing that's worked on people in the past, and various religions too, are used over and over again. You can create religion, which is just a common belief system, pushed from the top again, in any direction you want. You can have an atheistic/atheized religion like a communist religion, the future utopia, a heaven is going to be brought on earth by everyone being monitored and training themselves, self-monitoring, very puritanical in the sense, so make sure you had no counter revolutionary thoughts and you would all work for the greater good of the state. That was a religion, the Marxian religion. So never, ever discount other people's belief systems, and never go by the mainstream media because unfortunately they are a tool of the power structure that rules the world.

You'll be amazed at the amount of wars, for instance, that Britain during its Empire days got involved in, one after the other, in far-flung places, which they really did not understand at all. They didn't understand the peoples, they didn't understand the history of the peoples that they were going to dominate. They didn't understand the way of life of the village, to the city, or whatever, in places like India, and even Afghanistan, and across Africa either. Their answer to it always was just throwing missionaries, try to, what they called, civilize, or tame them. If that didn't work they'd bring in the troops. So all techniques were used including threat of power and use of force, and then actual massive use of force to try and make it work. Trying to make square pegs fit into round holes, that's the sadness of the so-called experts' plans, they always end up with incredible consequences, and long-lasting consequences, and catastrophes.

But it's not just at home. When you get involved in war, and I've said this many times over the years, you will become in many respects what you're told your enemy is. You will become as vicious, you'll use the same techniques, which means that your moral, your initial moral authority that you had been told that you have, because you're always the good guys, when you invade somebody, that will dissipate as well until you become barbaric. In fact, you become more barbaric than your supposed enemy you're fighting. And that comes home with the troops. It also infects the culture back home through all the propaganda too, when the fallout starts to begin and they become hard and bitter and more brutal towards each other at home as well. And governments themselves grab more and more and more power to try to contain this mess that they've

made, that has ripple effect across the board, not just the countries that they are in to get their oil or to get their goods, or their jewels, or their diamonds, or their gold or whatever, for their big businesses at home, the big corporate businesses, and a few private families. But it infects you back home and you have incredible fallout from it all. It really is incredible fallout.

In a system that pretends to be Democratic, and I really mean pretends, they always have these kind of problems because in the so-called democracy you have a kind of laissez-faire capitalism to an extent where they will invest in anywhere, including slave nations, if the profits are good. And then if they abolish slavery they simply give them a pitiful wage. And often they will move these people, these workers across into islands, that still goes on today, and the workers put themselves down as paying off their transport to get to this island they're going to work in, and mine or whatever it is, and of course they never pay it off. They can't pay it off so they're stuck there most of their lives digging in these rotten mines. So slavery does exist today in many forms, in one form or another.

Unfortunately the world is run by gangs. We are taught, for instance, that the countries across, say, the Middle East and Asia are primitive. And they are not primitive. They are very ancient, and have survived awfully well under the circumstances and climate, etc., and conditions. We are always taught that we are the moral authority, and troops are given the most basic indoctrination possible, so that they don't think too deeply about what they are being sent to do. They don't know the history of the peoples, the cultures of the people. They're taught to go in there and bring democracy, democracy. This thing called democracy. It's a foreign concept to people abroad, never mind increasingly to the people at home.

But as I say, in democracy how can you have big business literally running the financial system of the country that you live in, and in other countries too, and creating their own world Empire by their own means, and governments going cap-in-hand to borrow from them all the time, which gives power to the lender, always, always to the lender, and terms to the lender? And terms are not just paying it back with massive compound interest, it's also suggestions on how governments should handle their affairs, including the political affairs at home and abroad. And there you have private groups, or clubs you might say, a very powerfully wealthy people, and they have such pull and organizational abilities. They have massive bureaucracies in the corporations, and think tanks, often bigger than governments, and so much at their disposal to work their future plans in, and how to even use governments, and whole nations, for their own private businesses, including the troops and so on as well. It's a sad, sad state of affairs.

And this loose term of democracy is always elastic because it expands and contracts all the time, and gets stretched in all different directions, according to the needs of those who already rule, or any of the new groups who rule. Like the bankers when they were given total power of deregulation back in the 80s under Reagan and Thatcher at the same time, then of course when you end up with the crashes in 2007 and 2008. Then you find you have just as many gangs and criminals working inside the big massive banking system as the gang lords that they'd installed over in Afghanistan and places like this, that your own governments installed by the military. And you don't understand the people at all in the countries that you're over there fighting in. So you have a loose term called democracy. And when the bank crashes came the governments didn't know what to do about it. Rather than arrest them all, which meant the whole collapse, total collapse of the banking industry, and the economy, and your governments, they just simply threw money at them. And you have to accept that you're ruled, economically, by big powerful interrelated gangs. That doesn't give you much confidence for the future, does it? But meanwhile, so all the old myths, that why you existed as a nation, etc., the myths and the symbols have fallen flat, it doesn't work the same way anymore. It doesn't work the same way.

After World War I, for instance, it was so many millions of guys that were getting thrown over the trenches, every single day, 64,000 died in a day, more the next day and so on. Throw in another battalion, throw in another battalion, that was the mentality of the officer corps at the time, who ruled the show at the top in the HQ's. Like a scorecard, how many did we lose today? Oh, 100,000 or 200,000, you know, throw in another one. And out of that mythology that they created, they had to create it to keep it going, and win, try to win this war, the financial powers of the West had to invent these new mythologies and say, well it's for a future utopia, it's to bring in a more equitable world and more equality back home... Because we were measuring in the West from the feudalistic system, where this term democracy had never been applied to everybody at all, the majority the folk had no vote if they didn't own property and so on.

So you think you win a little bit here and win a little bit there, but the big boys already had it planned when most folk got the vote, or all got to vote, they would simply create, through their big clubs of course, like the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations, private institutions that would then work with the governments to unite the banking systems across the world, the economies, but still own it privately. And the public wouldn't elect these different organizations, they simply put themselves in place and worked with the powerful elite, because they were the powerful elite, they were members of it already.

But I always think it's so ironic that, for instance, the US funded the initial setup of the guys who would become Al Qaeda to fight the Russians when they went into Afghanistan. Then when the US went back into Afghanistan later to fight the Afghanis, they then funded different factions, warlords in other words, and they'd overthrow what they thought were the worst warlords by replacing them with other warlords, who'd behave in the same natural historical way of warlords all down through time, in Afghanistan. And they didn't understand it at all, what they were doing. They didn't understand it at all. As I say, so they've just fed the fire which should never have been started in the first place, and kept feeding it and feeding it until now it's out of control across all of these countries. All starting with Western interference long ago, and again, the domination of who's going to own the oil of the world.

This term interdependence is quite fascinating. You see, under the big corporate big business structure that we live under they look to the future all the time. That's why they employ all these futurist think tanks to try and see what's coming up down the road. And to keep power in their hands, just like the government would do, big private corporations, private corporations make their big plans, geopolitical plans way in advance so that they will never lose power. And because they were importing so much oil for such a long, long time from the Saudi's and different countries, they wanted the monopoly of it for themselves. It was a nuisance to have the people inside those countries owning the oilfields. It was an awful nuisance, when they could have it all to themselves in the West. And through many different techniques and trial and error they've tried to do this very, very thing. As I say, since the 1980s, since the banks deregulated, the gangs inside the banks have planned all of these wars, and use the governments, and the troops, to try and grab all the oil for themselves as well. It's a sad state of affairs. So when you're interdependent, whether you like it or not, if we live in a world where you didn't need oil, as I say, every invention has its consequences, everything is going to be promoted as an invention into the general public, into society, into transportation and so on, has got massive consequences. And if it's run on oil and gasoline, petroleum derived from oil, then anything that happens across the waters which produces that oil can fluctuate and have devastating consequences back home.

So therefore, we live in a very unstable society. Governments have abdicated more and more power, long ago actually, to the big banking system, and the banking systems themselves, as I say, often have such big bureaucracies they are equal to some governments across the world, and bigger budgets too, some of them. Yet we still push this term called democracy. Even though with democracy when it was initially thrashed out in the public, and in Parliament in Britain, they came out with the idea eventually and said, well democracy can only end up having special interest groups, heavily funded by interested parties, which they now call stakeholders. So, private parties, groups, of very powerful people, fund so many of the NGOs, for instance, that demand climate change taxes, and taxes for everything and so on. This is part of the agenda for the global controllers who want total domination, not just over the Middle East and everywhere, but over the whole planet, folks, the whole planet. Not just over groups of people, but the minds of each individual across the world down the road. Actually, it's already here. Everything you think, everything you utter, every little comment or quip has to be recorded, if possible, as you are monitored from birth to death to make it safe for the Masters of the world to go on into the future with their own offspring who will inherit the power and be exempt from all this monitoring and so on.

The chaos that ensues, they say, like Rockefeller said, you can't make an omelet without breaking eggs. That's what we're going through today as they're smashing up the eggs, and a lot of mess, a lot of eggshells in amongst the omelet. And this is all accepted at the top, as we go into more intrusion into our private lives. And this is only the start of it, I'll touch on this tonight in fact, because everything has consequences, as I said. Never read the superficial lines in the news and just take it at face value and say, well they'll still be nice about things. Because you see, that's not how humanity works.

Now, here's an article that has exactly to do with what I'm talking about, to do with the consequences of things. And the consequences of what happens in one country, if they are all on board with the agendas, will be the same as another. You simply have to look at Britain to see the incredible police state that's formed in Britain. At the same time, they're still living, everyone's coming in from all the countries that are supposedly radical, supposedly radical, and using that as an excuse to watch everyone inside Britain, and they expand its powers once it's on the books. Well in Canada, here's an article about the recent debate, it was actually all drafted up long ago I'm sure, the next step of anti-terrorist legislation for Canada. I'm sure it was all done. They do it in phases, you see, so you accept one phase, well you get used to it and go back to eating the grass, you know, like cattle in the field, and then they bring in the next phase, and the next phase. It's all planned that way, because they understand how the general population tick.

B.C. citizens should be wary of new anti-terrorism laws, officials say
theprovince.com / Nick Eagland / January 28, 2015

BC (Alan: British Columbia, this is the west coast of Canada.) Civil Liberties Association Policy Director Micheal Vonn, July 11th, with files of cases in the association's Vancouver office. (A: And that was when they first came out with some of this stuff.)

The federal government is expected to introduce new anti-terrorism legislation Friday (A: This was last Friday.), but experts say British Columbians should be wary of how it could affect their freedoms. (A: ...well naturally.This is like a carbon copy, probably just taken off of the British paper that they put out years ago.)

The bill is expected to include provisions making it easier for police to arrest suspected radicals, a retooling of Canada's no-fly list, reduced privacy limits on passport application information and a crackdown (A: This is interesting...) on communication aimed at radicalization, according to recent comments made by Prime Minister Stephen Harper and media reports.

Micheal Vonn, policy director for the B.C. Civil Liberties Association, said while details of the bill aren't yet clear (A: ...and that's what they do with these massive omnibus bills that are thousands of pages, and deliberately made that way.), she's concerned about how the introduction of "more extraordinary powers" to police and national security without "appropriate accountability and transparency" could hurt British Columbians.

"We're looking at provisions that will undoubtedly violate the rights of Canadians and not make us one bit demonstrably safer," Vonn said.

Now, that's just one little article, it goes on and on but they get the feedback from the BCC Muslim Association. But who's talking to the average person here? Why do you have to belong to any group to say, this isn't right, this isn't right? I mean, it really is ridiculous, you know. And then out comes this one.

Canada's anti-terror bill needs to balance
religious expression and inciting terror, Kenney says
thestar.com / / Jan 28 2015

OTTAWA—There's a fine line between legitimate religious expression and inciting terrorism, says Conservative cabinet minister Jason Kenney. (A: Well, that's what he's paid to say.)

It's that line the government will be walking — carefully — in its new anti-terrorism bill, expected to be unveiled Friday.

The bill is the government's much-awaited legislative response to two attacks carried out on Canadian soldiers last fall by men believed to have been influenced by radical Islam — attacks the government considers acts of terrorism.

Though police already have the power to go after those suspecting of being on the verge of committing terrorist attacks, the new bill is partially aimed at stopping the seeds of those attacks from germinating altogether.

“Our objective is not to diminish legitimate expression of political or religious views, but rather incitement to terrorism — and there is a fine line there that the legislation will try to draw,” Kenney said in an interview Tuesday.

“Obviously there are some malevolent religious influences that can add to the process of radicalization towards violent extremism, and we have to be extremely mindful of that.”

How to effectively combat radicalization is a struggle facing governments and security agencies the world over.

The RCMP is currently rolling out its own strategy, which includes working more closely with community groups in order to identify and divert people who may be susceptible to extreme views that could eventually lead to violence.

(A: Well, they've used that in Britain now for years, so they're just copying what has been done, you see. This is the sad thing, when something goes awry and people panic, near the top, they tend to look at what's been done already elsewhere. And if it hasn't worked elsewhere it doesn't matter, they have to grab it and try and use it. And it won't work anywhere else either. And too, what it is aimed at then becomes almost watered-down, because it's expanded to include anyone with an opinion contrary to any government policy or specific government policies on anything. If they don't like you, in other words, they can go after you. That's humanity. Humans are not anything but, anything but some perfect species, believe you me.)

But the police need broader powers to deal with those doing the radicalizing, suggested Conservative MP Tim Uppal, who is also the minister of state for multiculturalism.

“If the police are doing their investigation and they come across people who are trying to radicalize others, before any type of violence . . . I think that’s somewhere where we do need some action,” Uppal told The Canadian Press recently.

The other problem facing legislators is how to handle radicalization online, which many acknowledge is the primary source of information for young men and women who later end up joining violent causes.

“We need to be able to follow up on and see how we can ensure that we are able to either stop those messages that people are getting, or at least be able to follow up on it in some way,” Uppal said.

But Liberal public safety critic Wayne Easter said he wonders why existing anti-terror laws of various kinds haven’t been put to full use.

Now, here's the reason why you haven't 'been put to full use'. When legislation is introduced it has to be pushed from the top to radicalize the police forces and all the other agencies, that are overseers you might say, of society. Because there's no doubt it radicalize them, there's no doubt whatsoever. And they introduced things piece by piece of it for years until you accept, and accept, little bits and little bit, and then they push for the big one. Because this is going to change all relationships between the public, believe you me, and police and so on who will become ultra-paranoid. It will also... Unfortunately it's going to go the way of the US with these massive internal armies and agencies, with all their SWAT teams and everything else, who just storm in and, anything that will be left alive at the end of it, they'll ask questions later. This is the way the cowboy mentality that gets pushed. Really, there's so many Canadians who really hope that it's not going to happen the same way here, and I hope it doesn't. Because if I saw the signs of that happening I would just move immediately to some other place, and anywhere at all. Because then, once that starts it's never going to stop, you understand, for your whole life long. And do you want to live in a police state your whole life? Do you want to live in a society where every little comments you've made is going to be misconstrued, by someone who has got it in for you? Or an agency, which again, just like the ticket police, has to fulfill its quotas? And that's what happens too, you know, the drug enforcement agencies do this in the States as well, they've got quotas to meet and so on and it doesn't matter if the guy is innocent or guilty, if he's left alive, you know, he'll be charged for something and put on the books and so on.

We don't want that in the rest of the world. We don't want this at all. But unfortunately the Masters who are in cahoots with each other across the world, I think they actually do. I think they actually do. Because they themselves at the very, very top never had any belief whatsoever that democracy would ever work. And there's lots of University papers and books that have been put out over many, many years on that very, very fact. The Club of Rome said the same thing in The First Global Revolution, one of the books they put out. They said that democracy would never work, there's too many conflicting parties, conflicting groups, all demanding their own particular rights, that nothing would ever get done. And at the top when you're run by big massive commerce, that runs everything, our whole way of life is based around commerce, your whole culture, everything is based around commerce. So the masters who rule commerce want more and more say in how things all work, including how you behave, and how you think, and what you think, and what you believe and everything else. They want to make sure they can create you like a robot, and that will come down the road too. So the omelettes are being created, the eggs are bring all smashed, and you're living... The generations who are living now have this for their whole life to contend with. Because the big boys never stop their plans and say, this is not working, this is not working.

The old myth that they pushed out there, and it really was a myth, that if you just simply bring people into a country they'll all adapt, for prosperity, and get along. It hasn't really worked. I think it was Angela Merkel who said the same thing in Germany a while back, it had failed. Because people tend to drift and create their own communities, they like their own kind unfortunately, and the more there are of them, because they are familiar with their own culture, naturally, they want to keep it, rather than come into this new kind of materialistic culture that's been fostered and created by Hollywood, and another group who wanted to dominate everybody else.

A lot of folk won't like what I'm saying, but this is what is. It's realistic, this is realism that I'm talking about here. Not mythologies, not idealism's, it's what IS. It's not over my head, I'm not guessing at any of this stuff. There's lots of publications from universities, as I say, and many professors and many so-called experts at the top who have published this stuff, even so many members of the Council on Foreign Relations who helped set up this structural system, including what's taught in universities and colleges and schools, which give you your idea of reality. It's not yours at all. It's not yours whatsoever.

So what's happening in Canada is not that they're really putting out new laws that they have not enforced before. It's the order to start enforcing, and that changes the mentality of the agencies that will start to enforce it all. It puts pressure on them, they must produce, they must show results of what they're up to, and that can cause absolute hell on the road to it. I read recently about, it was called the Rise of the Warrior Cop, in America, and the drug wars and all the other things that they used for the rise of this military kind of policemen. And this is what they're going to bring across the whole world. And that's not right. You cannot possibly still call yourself democracies when you have this going on. Because there's folk in the States who get killed all the time, who have nothing to do with drugs, the wrong places get raided, and whole families wiped out. It's been happening for years and years and years, and yet it goes on. It just goes on and on and on.

That's why you should always never demand anything from governments. Never demand it. The average person is taught to say, oh that's wrong they should do something about that. Who should do something about that? Because if the government gets involved you might regret it, because it always goes awry. Always. This is the history of the world, when force and government work their big ideas across nations. Now, this article goes on to say...

RCMP commissioner Bob Paulson has said Zehaf Bibeau appeared “lucid” and “purposeful” in spelling out his motives (A: This is one of the guys that did the shooting I think.), which Paulson described as being rooted in his religious beliefs and opinion of Canada’s foreign policy.

Paulson initially said he wanted to see the footage released to the public, but has since signaled that may not happen.

Easter said he’d like to know if the message on the video lines up with what federal officials have said about it.

“Maybe it doesn’t match with the prime minister’s messaging,” he said. “Now, that would be a sad commentary, if the commissioner of the RCMP is being led down that path. But we’ll see.”

That's one article and in this article here it says...

Canadian Government Continues to Expand State Powers While Leaving Privacy by the Wayside
eff.org / January 29, 2015 / Kimberly Carlson

The Canadian government is scheduled to release new security legislation on Friday that would grant even more power to its police and domestic security agencies. This proposal comes in response to a string of "lone wolf" shootings of soldiers in Canada last October.

This isn’t the first over-broad anti-terror legislation we’ve seen proposed or enacted in Canada—and that’s what’s concerning. The country has been playing catch up post-9/11, hastily increasing state surveillance powers, particularly during this past year. Bills that grant a broad range of policing and intelligence powers to government agencies, as well as speech restrictions on ordinary citizens, have already been brought forward, but we have yet to see the implications of these laws as many of them are still navigating through the legislature or just coming into effect now. Tamir Israel, staff lawyer at the Samuelson-Glushko Canadian Internet Policy & Public Interest Clinic (CIPPIC), cautions the Canadian government in creating yet another set of new powers before the dust has even settled on the last set of expansions.

Top Canadian judges agree, saying there are laws in place, such as provisions in Canada’s Anti-Terrorism Act, that were crafted for this purpose. Retired Supreme Court of Canada Justice Frank Iacobucci, cautioned about:

the "spillover effects" that any rush to expand police powers would have on freedom of religion, association and expression; the possible "tainting" of Canada’s Muslim community, and the risk of "overreaching" by security intelligence agencies when sharing information in a global fight against terrorism.

To pile on more anti-terror legislation is simply reactionary and a recipe for disaster. (A: Then all the other politicians are getting in on it.) Prime Minister, Stephen Harper, however, asserts that this proposal will contain the proper tools Canadian police and security agencies need in order to keep citizens safe as new threats arise.

Why the need for more legislation if the country’s state surveillance powers are already so robust? Documents released by The Intercept this week from the files leaked by Edward Snowden show that the Communications Security Establishment (CSE, formally known as Communications Security Establishment Canada or CSEC) is already using very invasive surveillance tools. The revelations that CSE tracked millions of downloads each day, all over the globe, as part of its foreign intelligence spying and Five Eyes information sharing initiative exposes an overreaching surveillance state with little to no oversight.

You understand, when you have these kind of reactions from governments and orders are issued that must be fulfilled, this part about little or no oversight will get worse and worse and worse as the various agencies are told to perform, to produce, to show how many arrests they've made or whatever. And I'll tell you another thing too, unfortunately, there's a lot of middlemen in the ways that they use, and assets that they use, that will lie their teeth off to make up the stories. We found this in the past too, tickets for convicted, that might be in the hole for years and years and years before it's admitted that the CIA asset or whoever it happened to be, whatever country that use them, this little snitch got time off himself or got paid for all the stories he made up. This is what happens when the need to produce results to show their superiors overrides factual evidence. And then you're in a sorry, sorry state, believe you me. And since government goes around corners on square wheels, to stop all this and change it can take generations, if ever again. And personally, with the Internet and so on, I don't think it ever will. I think this had to go along with this, again, the introduction of this technology into society, how we adapt and it changes us as it goes along. It changes society, your behavior, everything, and it also allows all this nonsense to continue as well. Sad, isn't it, but that's where we are with all of this stuff.

Now, you combine the last article with this article from the Globe and Mail and it says...

Canadians support increased security powers, poll suggests
theglobeandmail.com / DANIEL LEBLANC / Jan. 29 2015

OTTAWA — A majority of Canadians are ready to give new powers to the government (A: ...this is from a poll. And polls are a joke, as we all know.) to combat security threats, including blocking websites that promote the proliferation of terrorism, a new poll suggests.

Over all, the Globe and Mail/Nanos Research survey found the federal government has strong support to introduce legislation that would limit Charter rights such as freedom of expression (A: ...so there ya go, freedom of expression...), within certain limits (A: ...which is... as vague as they want it to be, because they can use it for anything...), after a series of attacks at home and abroad.

The prime minister says he is looking at strengthening powers to "survey, detain and arrest" after an attack on Parliament Hill Wednesday. Stephen Harper says Parliamentary security will work with other police agencies.

Now the thing is, the way that even this is worded, it gives them wide scope for everything really. Why aren't they just aiming it at, and be specific and say, okay for this group here because that's where it seems to be coming from? rather than putting a broad ban across the whole network with people just simply voicing opinions about other things altogether that the government is up too, and not liking that either? They have reasons for this. They're not stupid, completely, at the top. They're not stupid, it's not that. It's not that. And I know where it's all going to go too, because you understand, I don't know if people know this, but in Afghanistan and Iraq and different places where the British and US military have been for a while, when they go into villages and they make every male take biometric scanning, their irises, fingerprints, everything, DNA, you name it. And they want this globally, including at home too. And big business is always involved in this system, which we think is democracy, guiding it. They're always guiding it, you see, for their own sales, etc. And these big businesses have been supplying the militaries of the world for a long time with all the biometric scanning stuff, that's portable stuff too that they can carry into the battlefield with them. So they want to do it at home as well, for your own safety, naturally. And it's great sales. But this says...

IBIA and SIA Declare Support For New Biometric Exit Provisions
findbiometrics.com / January 21, 2015

The International Biometrics and Identification Association (IBIA), (A: Another big club, you see, a big business.) in concert with the Security Industry Association (SIA) (A: The guys that make all the war industries and so on.), has issued an open letter in support of provisions set forth in Section 13 of H.R. 399, the Secure Our Borders First Act.

The statement, addressed to Michael McCaul and Bennie Thompson – the chairman and a ranking member of the House Homeland Security Committee, respectively – comes nearly a year after the IBIA voiced its concern that the mandate for biometric exit had remained unfulfilled. (A: In other words, they have not gotten all of the orders that they wanted. [Alan chuckles.] Nothing to do with right or wrong.) The new letter makes it clear that the original vision of a comprehensive border security regime is still unfulfilled, but that the Biometric Exit Data Systems provisions contained in Section 13 of H.R. 399 are a solid first step in the right direction.

Biometric time and attendance solution concerns Air Canada workers
biometricupdate.com / Stephen Mayhew / January 27, 2015

...you see. And the next article here, it says...

New security bill aimed at
combating 'lone wolf' attacks coming this week
cbc.ca / Jan 25, 2015

Legislation will expand powers of domestic security agencies in wake of last year's attacks.

(A: So, lone wolf attacks, you see. Now, a lot of the lone wolf idea, or ideology, comes from people who are mentally unstable, which they are well aware of, often with a history of having been treated for schizophrenia and various things like that, paranoia. And if it wasn't one thing that would radicalize them, it might be something else. They're given a cause, you see... or they grab a cause. And they can be used, actually, by existing groups to commit various acts as well. This says...)

Harper said the new legislation will "contain a range of measures to ensure that our police and security agencies have the tools" necessary to combat domestic threats.

But so far they haven't got the whole bill, I guess it was passed on Friday, and we'll have to wait and see. It will be such a long thing, it will take months to go through all of it. We might not even get it all dished out to the public for a long time, it might even be a year or two before we get access to it all; we'll get some very, very basic principles and so on.

Just to change topics, you remember, everything is thought out at the top through think tanks and so on, how to use everything to their advantage, a particular thing that happens or, say, the gas prices going down. Immediately the big car or truck manufacturers get in on the act, oh we're going to go back to the 70s or 60s and time to buy a truck, not telling you that this is a temporary little geopolitical war glitch that they've got on the go to lower the prices. And also the reason a lot of the prices are lowered right now, by the way, is that, you see, this is the ideal time to put in a carbon tax on top of it, and up the price, the taxes on gasoline. Because you won't grumble, you see, if your gas goes down to about, under one dollar, you'll say oh well it's still cheaper than it was before. Believe you me, once they've got a carbon tax on it, and up the percentage of taxes on gasoline, and then the jack it up to the old price and beyond, then you'll really see it, folks. Because you are getting trained to go into austerity, remember, that's part of the world solution, they claim, is experts running your lives to make sure, because you're just too stupid to manage your life yourself, you see, and so on. But this says...

Hoping for a heist at the gas pumps
thestar.com / John Barber / Jan 25 2015

Clawing back just a few of the many cents per litre the price of gas has dropped recently would set provincial (A: ... this is for Ontario.) finances on a whole new course while still allowing drivers to benefit from historically low prices, writes John Barber.

What could be dreary about a month that brings a fresh jolt of pleasure every time you pass a gas station?

(A: Then they give you the usual kind of happy, happy, happy stuff before they hit you, that it's time for government to get more money, to keep it afloat of course, so they can fix the roads and that, which never happens, etc. You all know, it's the same in the States and Britain and everywhere else across the world, the same cons are used.)

But that unexpected and unearned happiness makes this the perfect time for a significant and long-overdue hike in provincial fuel taxes. (A: Oh, it's overdue? Really?) Clawing back just a few of the many cents per litre the price of gas has dropped in recent months — even recent weeks — would set provincial finances on a whole new course while still allowing drivers to benefit from historically low prices.

(A: Whether it's local, provincial, in the US it's state, or federal taxes, but very little of any of it will ever go to the reasons that they're supposed to. That's why we're in the mess we're in. And they've always got new projects on the go all the time, and there's a lot of money, through the corruption, that just goes missing all the time too, folks. But we're always given some fairytale story, because we like fairytale stories, you see, we feel safer about it, that it's to help us all that they put more taxes on. Because I mean, government always needs more taxes, doesn't it? You know, their wages keep increasing, and they have to keep up with the cost of living, up at the top.)

Last summer we were paying $1.25 a litre or more. (A: I think it was more, way more, up here.) Today we're paying 82 cents. With a stiff new tax hike, we might be paying as much as 87 cents. (A: Up here it's about 97 cent to a dollar, where I live here, and this is still Ontario.) Could any tax grab be more painless —

or potentially beneficial — than that? (A: So that's how they word it to the average Joe... Ah so, what's a few cents?)

And then again, another article from the Globe and Mail. Because this is how they use it. Remember, never let a good crisis go to waste, get your agenda through.

A provincial patchwork is the worst way to tax carbon
theglobeandmail.com / DAVID MCLAUGHLIN / Jan. 27 2015

Canadian climate change policy is characterized by inadequacy at the federal level and fragmentation at the provincial level.

Now, two major recent Liberal announcements will exacerbate both these problems.

First, Ontario premier Kathleen Wynne announced her government would implement a provincial carbon pricing policy. Then, federal Liberal leader Justin Trudeau (A: He's trying to become what his dad was.) stated that it was up to provincial governments to implement carbon pricing policy, not the federal government. As prime minister, he would “co-ordinate” provincial actions rather than formulate his own.

(A: So it's not a matter of, should they even do it? It's either going to be federal OR provincial, you see. That's how they do these little fake, you know, arguments.)

The politics of this are plain. Mr. Trudeau has deftly but significantly undercut his own previous declarations on carbon pricing in an attempt to shield himself from Conservative party attacks. . . (A: Than they go into the usual nonsense about politics. This is a done deal, folks. It's been... We signed agreements year after year for donkey's years, and a donkey's life is a long time, and donkeys years are, oh, awfully long. They keep signing us deeper and deeper; we're committed to it. You never got a vote on any of it, and you never will. That's democracy, you see.)

The policy ramifications are also plain. It is the provinces that are filling the federal policy vacuum on climate change with all its attendant economic and environmental consequences. Ontario will join British Columbia, Quebec, and Alberta with some form of carbon pricing policy (likely cap-and-trade) touching an increasingly vast swath of economic activity.

It would even be your standard of living activity, right down to can heat yourself or not, and what with. So that's how they introduced this kind of nonsense, they try and make it as though, well it's just going to be the corporations that will start trading more and more carbon credits to each other, etc. etc. And then the Guardian says...

10 signs the stars are aligning for a climate deal in Paris
theguardian.com / Adam Vaughan / 21 January 2015

Following Al Gore and Pharrell Williams’ Live Earth 2015 announcement, we round up nine other reasons to feel happy ahead of crunch climate talks in Paris in December.

So it's all, it's a done deal. It's a done deal, folks, as you’re brought into austerity. It's not just to take money off you, it's going to decide how much you're left with. And what you're going to be left with is pretty well zilch once your bare essentials are paid. That's what I'm talking about. I kept saying, you're going to get put into austerity. How do you think they're going to do it? All this was also discussed at the World Economic Forum, where it's thousands of dollars for a ticket, of course, as they keep all the general population out, because they’re so Democratic. But all the NGOs are funded, again, they're just fronts for the big international corporations that run the foundations that pay them, you see, they pay these NGOs to get their policies through, by demanding, just another lobby group really, the governments do what they're told, by the private corporations.

And then this one here [Alan chuckles.] This guy just, ha, he just can’t fad off into the sunset. It's Prince Charles of course and it says...

Prince Charles:
global pact on climate change could be Magna Carta for Earth
theguardian.com / Fiona Harvey / 26 January 2015

A new global pact on climate change, due to be signed this year in Paris, should be a “Magna Carta for the Earth” (A: See, it's not just for little old you.), Prince Charles has urged.

He said this year marked potentially the “last chance” to save the world from the perils of global warming (A: I can remember when he said we had months to do it, a few years back, it was only months then that we would all die. But here we are. You know, lies don't matter, they can always regurgitate them, especially when you're someone like Charles who has no imagination.), with the Paris conference and the United Nations’ plan to replace the millennium development goals with a new set of sustainable development targets. (A: ...as they keep upping the quota.) “We simply cannot let this opportunity go to waste.” (A: Never ever forget that term. That's from the Council on Foreign Relations, the Royal Institute of International Affairs which is their parent organization, all private clubs by the way, that help run the world, and they run the government, and they put their own members into politics all the time, and they vet anybody who runs for politics, to make sure that it's always one of their guys in, regardless of the party. And you vote because you think you're Democratic.)

He told a meeting of forestry and climate experts in London: “In the 800th anniversary year of the Magna Carta, perhaps this year’s agreement of the new sustainable development goals and a new climate agreement in Paris should be seen as a new Magna Carta for the Earth, and humanity’s relationship with it.”

But he warned of difficulties ahead as the negotiations build up: “[This is] an absolutely crucial opportunity, if not the last chance before we end up in an irreversible situation, for the international community to establish a new set of interlocking, coherent and ambitious frameworks governing human development, poverty (A: He doesn't give a damn about poverty. His folk have been living off the public for, phew, generations... hundreds of years.), disaster risk reduction, the natural environment and climate change. (A: ...you know, the weather that is always changing.) We could, and should, see an agenda set for the coming decades that is capable of transforming the prospects for humanity by improving and nurturing the state of the planet upon which we all depend.”

His insistence that 2015 will be a make-or-break year for the climate, and environmental sustainability, were echoed by Mary Robinson, former president of Ireland and now the UN’s special envoy for climate change. . .

...jobs for the boys and girls, eh. They just go on and on, eh, from one little international job to the next. We’ll make you the President of Ireland, and then we'll put you up at the United Nations for the planet. And you know, that's how it goes, folks. These people are little pawns in the game, the yes men, paid awfully well to get their Master's voice put into words in bills and action and law. And that's democracy. That's democracy in action.

And you have to think about it too, that the Club of Rome writes... That's another division of the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations, you know, a big massive think tank designed also to change the policies and cultures of the people by introducing indoctrination in different areas, into the schools, to add to all the other indoctrination's that you're given. But they did say that, there were too many conflicting sides and groups and parties. Well, they make sure of that you see, because they are all [Alan chuckles.] given all their groups are paid by big foundations, that's where they get the big massive paychecks from. So they don't believe in democracy anyway.

Everything is privately owned that rules our lives. And we have pretty well no say in it at all. None at all. The only say we'll have shortly is, how do you want to freeze to death. Because you'll say, well I've got to use wood to heat. Oh you can't anymore, it's a law. And you say, well I can afford the oil. Well it's not our fault sir, you know there are alternative fuels. And then you go back into this loop again, well I can't afford the alternative fuels. You go back into a constant loop, you see, where they're not saying you've got to die, but they know darn well you do have to die. You see, that's how it's done.

And you have been taught that humans are rational? There are so many lying deceiving humans out there. Most folk have a bit of it in them, maybe more so today than ever before. And especially folk who are paid to force it upon you, they have to lie, because they never take responsibility for what they're going to cause. And then you're in chaos, real chaos. And it's a sad state of affairs, indeed.

But I've never seen anything sent out by governments saying, would you like to go along with this agenda or that agenda or this policy, and so on? And if not, why not? And what are your criticisms of it? Before anything goes any further and gets passed into laws. You see, that would be democracy. But they can't even risk that because their excuses for what they do are so flimsy to start with they know they couldn't succeed.

Charles Galton Darwin said in his book The Next Million Years, that slavery has always existed in one form or another, it's all to do with how you perceive it, you see, and he says, we're now in the process of creating a more sophisticated form of slavery. And that was back in the 1950s that he wrote that book. He was already part of that the global futurist organizations planning, with the big movers and shakers, the future, and the cultures, and the changes for the world, along with many other well-known figures. And they were not kidding, folks. You don't pay people millions of pounds for an individual salary to put jokes out to the public.

Well, I hope you're climate change is treating you better than mine. Mine is just, oh, as I say the Fahrenheit scale that most of the US uses, I'm hitting 30 below quite often at night here. And I check the major media for the area, including the federal weather report stations and so on, and I'm telling you, they are under-reporting every night by 10 to 16°. Every night. Because it doesn't look good when you're globally freezing, and yet they're telling you, you open the papers the next day, you're actually warming. We live in a zoo, a manufactured zoo of Machiavellian proportions and beyond. And beyond, because everything is now deception, said by very straight faces, by well-paid "experts".

I hope you hang in there and you keep your sanity. Don't fall for anything. Don't go nuts. And don't be terrified to death, just be aware of things. As I say, you've got lots to think about. And especially if you're young, it's probably time to look elsewhere in the world to live, that might have a few years left before the big boys decide they want something in that country and take it over. Snatch the few years of happiness you might be able to get.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

B.C. citizens should be wary of new anti-terrorism laws, officials say

Canada's anti-terror bill needs to balance religious expression and inciting terror, Kenney says

Canadian Government Continues to Expand State Powers While Leaving Privacy by the Wayside

Canadians support increased security powers, poll suggests

New security bill aimed at combating 'lone wolf' attacks coming this week

IBIA and SIA Declare Support For New Biometric Exit Provisions

Biometric time and attendance solution concerns Air Canada workers

Hoping for a heist at the gas pumps

A provincial patchwork is the worst way to tax carbon

10 signs the stars are aligning for a climate deal in Paris

Prince Charles: global pact on climate change could be Magna Carta for Earth

---

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Feb. 8, 2015 (#1489)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Planned "Progress" Ignores Public Redress"
© Alan Watt Feb. 8, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 8, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February 8, 2015. Agendas are very boring, especially if you're not let in on the agenda or consulted or given your opinion on anything. It's quite amazing how most folk of course will just accept the laws and rules and regulations and the changes that are, really, forced upon them from above or outside their control. And most accept it because, really, when everything comes down to it, everything is a choice, a choice as to what you want to understand even. Because a lot of understanding is scary to people, especially when you're brought up in a fake reality. By fake reality I mean they've taken everything that's been presented to them by authority figures as real, from the schooling system and everything you're told in school and believe in school, from the television that gives you the cartoons for children with lots of propaganda already built into the cartoons, they get grants for writing all this stuff in, and even in children's novels, preparing their minds for all things to change in the future, and as they're growing up they'll accept it because it's embedded in their psyche somewhere, if it's possible then it will happen, you see. So we have predictive programming going on all the time, from thousands of sources. Especially today with the communications we have, way beyond television and cable TV and so on.

Nothing has been overlooked by those who are always in control. And don't ever believe it's just outside of their ability to control everything. They can control everything, and they do. The big boys in all ages have always controlled information. Always. For, oh, centuries in the feudal systems in Europe we find the church and the state were definitely combined together. Occasionally there were spats between people who wanted to overthrow a prince and take his place, and sometimes the Vatican would disapprove of it and then approve them once they are in, as long as they toed the line of the religion, which is also part of the culture and so on.

Most people forget that all peoples in all countries in all ages, really, followed culture, given to them at birth naturally, and thought it was all quite natural... It exists all around you therefore it must be quite a normal

thing to exists, that particular culture that you're born into. Then everything around it will reinforce the fact it's normal, including the dominant system of rulership and the religion that often works hand-in-glove with it too. And again down through the ages the dominant religions would have spats with changes as nobility wanted more and more power for themselves, which really meant more and more loot and cash, because everything depended upon taxing the people, you see, everything.

To live awfully well in the world you have to become, technically, it's a beautiful doublespeak, a public servant of some kind, you're there for the greater good, and the servants get paid an awful lot more than the people they tax the money off of. Of course the folk who are paying for it all, who are always conned into believing they are the masters, especially in democracy, believe all this. They believe it. Especially today, it's more evident today than ever before.

The people, again, will suspend their incredulity on things when they read things in the paper about politicians giving themselves the right to do insider trading, because they get all the inside scoop on where to trade and what countries you're going to have little wars with or tariffs or embargoes on and so on, so you can get in on the ground floor. Or, say, if the government wants to take over areas for a new military base, things like that, so you want to get your relatives and friends in, if you're a politician, into the real estate business. Buy the land is cheap as can be, even pass laws to get the folk off the land by different techniques, and then you get the land for almost peanuts, and then you sell it to the military when that particular offer comes up. That even went on in Thatcher's era. There were scams in the newspapers in Britain that exposed all the time about her husband Dennis, Margaret Thatcher's husband, who always just happened to get the right place, and got it all for peanuts, before the military wanted this new base of this kind of that kind or whatever. Now, again, the US government gave themselves the right to do insider trading, trading which is illegal apparently for everybody else. And these are your servants, you see.

So reality is a constant reinforcement of the illusion that you get given to you, initially from your parents if they've swallowed the whole reality as it was presented to them. Then it's reinforced by you what you read, in cartoons or comics that you read. Then you go into school. Then from school onwards you're indoctrinated into this reality with dates, times, figures, famous generals and big battles and so on, which really, most folk don't give a darn about because it seems irrelevant, and technically it is because all wars have been economic wars, for someone to get more than he already has, you see.

In feudal times it was quite evident about this. Queen Elizabeth the 1st is a fascinating history, good Queen Bess they called her, and how she taxed the people. When the Spanish, I mean the Spanish Armada came in. It wasn't just because England had gone so-called rogue or Protestant. It was because the English fleets, the privateers that all gave obedience to the Queen and gave her treasury a share of the loot, would be attacking the ships coming back from the Americas, as it was called then, with the gold and so on, and they were robbing them. They were buccaneers and privateers, freebooters, all kinds of names for that, and they were authorized to do so by the Queen of England. So these guys including Walter Raleigh and so on, became incredibly rich with the privileges they were given by the crown. And they plundered places. They were given lots of land, and in England too, that was taken off the peasants, all the common lands.

In fact, I think it was Walter Raleigh when he was made a Sir or Lord or something, he was given a big massive tract of land in England. The commoners were kicked off their common grazing ground to raise their own vegetables so they wouldn't starve to death since everything else was taken from them, and some of them moved back in afterward. I think they called them the diggers. But they wanted to go back and live on the lands and grow their food and so on, as common law had always had, going back to the Magna Carta and so on, even before that. And most of them were slaughtered in fact because of it.

So history to me, when I look through history, yeah we should get fascinated by discoveries by certain people and so on, and even in the scientific areas, things like that. But the history of the human race has been, really, plunder and pretty bloody, so that a few can always rule over a majority of the people, who makes things, actually make things that are practical or needed and so on, and the big boys take them off them and sell them. Things like that. So you can't live awfully… See, you can't with higher than anyone else, unless you've got a whole bunch of them working for you, under you, doing all the stuff that you would normally be doing yourself to survive. Very simple.

There's been many cons throughout history of systems. You'll find the same cons are used over and over again, when it comes to ultra-patriotism, when you want to go conquering countries and colonizing and being empirical, as they call it. It's always the same techniques that are used; very simple propaganda techniques of blaming the guys that you want to go and invade, in order to steal all their stuff. Not that the country is going to benefit from it, but those who control the country and the financial systems, and who already live off all the rest of the people, can live at a better standard of living.

Communism, by the way, used all of these statements and facts to try and get their system in, but that was another front. Communism is a front too, by the way, it was a front, well-funded by the ones in the West who already controlled the West. In Communism you found the same elite at the top, living off the rest of them, having everything that the rest of the people could not have at all. They had all the stereos in the 60s and so on from the West. They had everything. They had all the, some of them even had Western cars, things like that. They had no restrictions. They could get out of the country and then back into the country. Their children could go abroad to Switzerland for schooling and things like that. You found basically it was the same kind of elite.

In fact, most defectors, like Yuri Bezmenov, admit that at his position in the KGB, belonging to a KGB family, it was inter-generational just like any elite in the Politburo and so on, you find that they always have the same story. It wasn't financial reasons that made them moved to the West as defectors. It was that they can get more done for themselves personally in life by joining the West for that period. Then a lot of them too, were found out to be double agents and triple agents and all this stuff. In the world of spies, believe you me, you couldn't trust anybody. So if anybody tries to con you into being an asset of any kind, don't go into it, folks, because you'll end up being a patsy or something. But you can't force folk to think about things for very long or in any depth at all these days. Because sting operations are always being set up with people who are a bit slow, put it that way, or gullible, very naïve, or have a genuine beef with something, and they don't understand the big powers at play. They are given, again, the basic essential propaganda that everyone's given, and they simply get angry about things, and that gets them nowhere.

You'll find that, as I say, in the Middle Ages even the peasants, all they knew was the religion, the order of things, given to them by religion, and the government. They had the town criers that would go around on horseback and dismount and read off their decrees to the people, all from the top again. So all they knew, really, was their little area they lived in. They might only have a 1 or 2 miles radius that they had ever lived in their life and traveled around in. Because in the serfdom days you couldn't move off the land and go strolling. You had to stay within boundaries, or you were marked, basically, and you could be executed or hung on the spot, because you were owned. They don't like to use the word slavery, they like to call it serfdom, you see. It sounds better to our ears today, which again, have been far more sophisticatedly dumbed down to accept the present reality.

See, in the old days guys on horseback who were knights and all the armor, etc. and armies, could go around slaughtering willy-nilly. It took a lot of guys' paychecks for an army like that to keep it all going. Today it's much easier. You have a completely different technique, generally, to use domestically upon people, and that's the indoctrination process, which I've mentioned many times and quoted it and read off the books of many authors of the past, who are often dead, who gave us our present reality. They planned the future. For their part of their lives, they planned the future. And that included fashion by the way, what kind of music you listened to, how they would radicalize things to destroy the old, bring in the new, and also mind bomb you with so much stuff that you would be an eager participant in what was called changes, you see.

The 21st century, this one that we are in today, is to be the completion of a complete world order run by very old families, a few newcomers into it if they have managed to stay on the straight and narrow and not get lost in the drugs, booze and all the rest of it. So some new multi-, multi-, multi-, multi billionaires or trillionaires are allowed into it. But traditionally it has been within the proper families, as they say.

I've mentioned Caroll Quigley many times who was a historian for what became the dominant group for running the world. He wrote mainly, but not always, he wrote mainly on the American branch of it which they call the CFR, the Council on Foreign Relations. Did he gave some of the start-up story to it too in his book Tragedy and Hope. He also admitted that the parent company, or organization, a private club, was the

Royal Institute of International Affairs. It sounds very, very authoritarian, very legitimate, but these are private organizations to which the elite of a country belong. It has many layers in it too, just like Freemasonry. In fact, Cecil Rhodes whose initial organization set the basis for this transformation into these organizations, talked about it being closely copied after the Jesuit order and Freemasonry, he said, because it would have a system of degrees and a need-to-know basis; that's what he was really referring to, a need-to-know basis.

But Carroll Quigley in the 1960s was given access to the archives section for the CFR for America's. He went through some of the history in Tragedy and Hope, his book, and also in another book he wrote called The Anglo-American Establishment, outlining the goals and plans to bring in a world order, run by, really, the proper people you might say, how they would have a guise of freedom for the people but in reality it would be run secretly, all major decisions were done secretly by very powerful rich consortiums of powerful people. And it hasn't changed today.

You'll find many of their members, in fact, like Woodrow Wilson the president of the US who was put in time for the Federal Reserve and World War I, who was really a puppet, a mouthpiece for Mendel-House. But Wilson put a book out about the new world order and the new order of things, and he also mentioned something that George Bush Senior and George Bush Junior brought out as well in their speeches to the public, which went over the heads of the public because it wasn't explained by the press who are in on the big secret and the big joke, where they redefined freedom, they called it 'the new freedom'. Well, Woodrow Wilson wrote that in his book in the early 1900s.

So the same agenda goes on regardless of the parties that you bring in, because Quigley also mentioned that since about the 1800s an organization had elected it's presidents to be put into the US, and prime ministers in Europe, since the late 1800s. So it doesn't matter what party that you think they belong to, it's only important that you follow a party because they will always be guided along the same track, because the guys at the top are members of the Council on Foreign Relations. Many people inside the bureaucracies are also members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

So we're living through an exact agenda. They talked about bringing in a world order. They didn't just mean a world order of peace, etc. or prosperity. They meant the world where the proper people, themselves, would dominate completely. And many of the members, the high members were into eugenics, big time. They funded the programs in eugenics. They funded the private foundations, the nongovernmental organizations, for research purposes, the Cold Spring Harbor in the States, New York, to do with breeding. If they could just breed the people to be more docile and more compliant than they wouldn't have problems in the future.

So we're being trained in this day and age that we're now civilized, you see, so they don't really have to come down on you like the old days where they would just send in the knights to slaughter a few dozen peasants to keep them in line. It's done psychologically today, the victims themselves don't know and therefore they are guided quite easily, and they are complacent, as always, the agenda stated they would be through massive psychological technique and indoctrination.

Now, if you go into Carroll Quigley again, who was the official historian for the American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations. They couldn't call themselves the 'Royal Institute' thing because 'royalty' wouldn't go down to well at that time in the US. This is page 950 of Tragedy and Hope and he says:

There does exist, and has existed for a generation, an international Anglophile network (Alan: Which just means it doesn't have to be the English that run it but they're based in London.) which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical Right believes the communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960's, to examine its papers and secret records.

So the organization already existed before they called it the CFR, beginning at least, at least, at least the more modern exposés of when it emerged into the surface of society under the guise of Cecil Rhodes foundation, for instance, where he was instructed by his own peer group, and actually his betters because

they were richer than he was, in fact Lord Rothschild was a partner with them, to go into countries and take over, using the British Empire system, take over all the gold, diamonds and wealth of the world. But you find too, that with the big wars, which they helped, by the way, to foment. I mean, this group literally fomented the Boer War in South Africa so that Britain could take it over, but really for private interests, for all the wealth.

So you always have two versions bringing it together. You have the government using the military under the guise of, “oh the Boers have attacked the English,” which wasn't true. It was the Jamestown Raid where they paid these guys to go in and attack the Boers, then claim that the Boers were after them, so Britain went in with the troops under a welcome excuse of protecting its citizens. But at the same time as they were doing that in the late 1800s they were fomenting anti-Germanic opinion, because they owned all the newspapers in Britain and elsewhere as well. They wanted a world war. How do you get change? You make things happen.

Marxism has the same thing. If we are evolving as a species then here's all the stages you'd have to go through to get the so-called perfect society. Well, you must force the changes through. They won't come by themselves so you make the events happen, including wars and so on. Because Quigley, again, I mean this guy lectured to the State Department, many of the top names. He pretty well laid it on the line, that you can get more done in five years of war, on a social basis and through laws and everything else, and taking rights away from the people, than you can in 50 years of peace, and persuasion and propaganda. And think how long you have been under the laws that have come in since the 90s. Like massive omnibus crime bills, that's what Canada got put through, which was an anti-terrorist thing, before 9/11 happened in 2001. And all the countries were in on it too; Bill Clinton was putting the same thing through in the States, before 9/11.

So we've been at war for an awful long time. If you go back to Gulf War One and just continue it from there to the present, that's a long time. Look at all the changes that have happened, legally, and to do with your rights and everything else, and the rights of police, indeterminate holding of prisoners forever and ever without trial and so on, all the things that have happened.

you can get more done in 5 years of war than in 50 years of peace. Because you see, all wars change the societies that are in conflict with each other. They change them at home, often in the same way, with rationing, with more obedience to the state because you're in a struggle, you're told, and so on and so on. And out of that, it doesn't just go away back to what it was before the war. No, it stays the same and gets worse.

See, once you have a herd, of any creature, stampeding in fear, and rapid change... Remember, change is good, change is good, the mantra. This is a doctrine. Once you get them stampeding to change, and you are directing the direction of the change for the stampede, then there's no limit on the changes you can bring in, one after the other. You get the people to a stage where they just run, and accept, and accept, and accept, and accept. There's no time to digest information, examine it and think about it, and make your own mind up. Therefore your country is at stake here, be terribly, terribly afraid. They used the same techniques in World War II. The propaganda in World War II was pretty well the same as World War I, “your country needs you.” The historians at the time right after them were writing about how they went off and signed up to go and fight for their country, to save their country, because they were told they had to save their country and their culture and way of life. Well, look at those countries that took part in World War I and II now. Hum.

It takes a lot to get countries in real war with each other. And there's an awful lot of preparation in the psyche of the population to get them prepared for it, sometimes years, and they don't even know it's happened to them. They're suddenly all geared up to see hatred for this enemy, it doesn't matter who they are, and off they go. It's years of preparation and, again, cultural change is always used. You take the Vietnam War with the US and you find that during that phase, that period, in came the hippie power at home, the drug culture. Again, I've gone into the history of this. It wasn't just happenstance from the people. Nothing is ever from the grassroots, unless it's a benefit to those in charge, anything else would be outside the plan, it might have changes that they can't predict and they would have to crush the grassroots movements. So in came the drugs, the free sex and all the rest of it. And again, these agendas were written down long before Vietnam.

So we're going through massive changes. Massive changes, all the time. When Vietnam was over it had already changed society back home. The opinions changed. People weren't going along with government as readily at the time as they had been before. The old way of life was kind of shattered when they found out so much deception had been used upon them. And again, the same power structure that brought it all on were still in charge, because they knew where they wanted the culture go and they were awfully successful in doing it, preparing it for a world society.

You find too, that when Russia invaded Afghanistan, and the US was already involved in it, in fact they help bring on the problems that helped bring that on altogether. You'll find that after the long war that the Russians fought in Afghanistan their culture was vastly changed and shocked, actually shocked when the people came back with all the stories of what was really going on there and so on, and the kind of pointlessness of it all. They also brought back with them lots of drugs from the area, the opium areas and so on. And Russia never really recovered from that either. People's true indoctrinated faith in the Soviet system had been shattered as well.

Now, the big boys don't, ha, make things happen and say, oh goodness we didn't predict this. They do predict this and they make thing happen to get the desired changes through. We live in a really planned society, a planned organization of humanity, everything is planned. And the plan today is fulfilling a lot of its goals. It already has fulfilled a lot of its goals but there's still more to do, but they are already under way to fulfilling them, including the unification of the world's money system completely. Well, how else can you do it unless you make it appear as though there's massive crisis and everything is out of control and it's chaotic? And that's the impression that's always given to the public, who always turn to government and say, oh do anything you have to do but save us. And out comes the old dusty agenda, they blow off the dust, which has been lying on the shelves for years, now is the time to open it and they publish their findings.

And again, nongovernmental bodies all meet, at the private meetings in Basel, Switzerland and so on, and decide the future of your financial systems. Which remember, encompasses everything, your whole way of life and everything else. And you're not an individual... You cannot be individualistic in this system in any possible way. You can save up all the money you want to find it's worthless when the big boys that make it happen so, at the stroke of a pen. You know, money goes to money have been, apparently, it just disappears, we're told, by the top experts. Like 2008, that's what they said. What happened to the money? Oh, it just went to money heaven. That's their tongue-in-cheek joke. Well, who's their God then, eh? He's sitting on a pile of loot.

But you understand, nothing is really yours. And when it's backed with nothing anyway, you've got nothing left at the end of it, it just literally disappears. You're left with lots of paper. That paper could just as well be recycled into toilet rolls, then it would be worth something. Therefore money is always devalued, because it's a built-in structure of debt money. It's printed in debt, right away when it's printed you've got maybe 60%-70% of it already going to repay loans. Loans to whom? They don't actually give you anything, except they sign a check for your boys to print up the cash. It's a racket. It's a con. Because money is a system of control in its present form. And its present form has been here for an awful long time.

That's why we're going through all this hype, at the right time, of world in chaos again, financial systems in chaos again, something must be done... That's a great quote you find and lots of big players in the past, something must be done... Then the public immediately say, yeah, yeah, please do it, do it. But that's how it's done. Remember, the goal has always been to give the power into these private organizations that already run the world, their money supply of the world, they are the lender to your governments, which are in bed with them, naturally. And you'll pay for everything down the road, so that they can all keep their massive high standard of living, which is a massive gap like never before. A massive gap, between people who actually make things and do jobs that matter as opposed to all those who run all the organizations and think tanks, and soak up your cash, and then decide for you what it's going to be worth.

Now, one of the main things that economists are told, because it's all based on optimism, isn't it, and future returns, regardless of the fake investments that they are doing, the bogus investments. They get the shareholders coming in, “oh you're all going to get rich down the road.” It's all to do with future wealth, always, future utopia is for suckers, you see. But the first law is never to have a head of the country come

out and be pessimistic about things, because then even all the petty shareholders will just draw the cash out of it and so on, and it really brings on the collapse. Because it's all bogus. It's literally a bubble built on optimism, investments. Back in 2008 when Bush Junior came out, on national television, and said, oh we could be going into a depression, even worse than the 1920s one. I mean, since he doesn't say a darn thing that isn't scripted for him, and no president does, or Prime Minister, who gave him permission to say something like that? You see. That was to make sure it all happened the way it went.

And since then we've been going through austerity measures, and your currency becomes devalued all the time, so is purchasing power, it goes down all the time and you'll see that the items that you would normally buy as staples are going up and up and up and up, massive increases. And it has not stopped yet. So you're back to the old thing that they expect you all to say, something must be done... And the big boys who planned all this a long time ago have already set up the institutions, privately. The Bank for International Settlements is owned privately. The International Monetary Fund, the World Bank are all as one club that runs all of these institutions. The European Central Bank, the central bank system was set up by them too and now they own the whole conglomeration of central banks. You see.

So therefore, we're going through a plan and you'll find again, if you go into Carroll Quigley, he talks about it, copiously, in the book Tragedy and Hope. There's a big story behind that too because the printers, the publishers eventually destroyed the plates of them, because he let a little bit too much out of the bag without permission, apparently. Although Quigley himself said that he wasn't opposed to any of its plans. He was opposed to some of the methods, he says; he doesn't explain what methods he was talking about. But he said that, on the whole it's a good plan. So he was an elitist himself. And he said himself that they have drastically changed the course of the world's history for over 100 years, he said, that it's time that the public should know about it. And he actually thought, I think, that the public would accept it, that this idea of democracy was obsolete and, you know, things like that. [Page 950 of Tragedy and Hope.]

I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies, but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known.

And maybe he was right because the public, most of what they call the silent majority, they're pretty wise, a lot of them, to the fact that this is all a big joke, the politics. They've lived it long enough, many of them, to see party after party of different persuasions come in and the same agenda goes forth. And each one comes in and signs more treaties with the United Nations that bind them to the direction it's all to go into. The United Nations too was set up by this private club, the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

So let's look at the latest something must be done story, because it's time for them, you see. This is from the Globe and Mail and it says...

New alarm bells over household debt as
Canada faces 'downward spiral'
theglobeandmail.com / TAVIA GRANT / Feb. 05 2015

(A: The downward spiral, eh. Oh we're plummeting down that wormhole, aren't we?)

As Canada’s economy begins to slow, the country’s growing household debt burden is raising new concerns as it outpaces that of most developed countries.

In fact, Canada had the second-biggest jump in household debt-to-income ratios of any country other than Greece between 2007 and the second quarter of 2014, a new study says. (A: A new study, that's how they put things out, a new study. As I say, by whom?)

Canada and Australia along with a number of countries in northern Europe “now have larger household debt burdens than existed in the U.S. or the U.K. at the peak of the credit bubble,” according to a new analysis.

The McKinsey Global Institute looked at 47 countries (A: And who are they anyway? I mean, who is giving

them authority?A global institute hey, who owns them?) and identified seven with “potential vulnerabilities” in household debt that could lead to financial instability and a consumer spending slowdown: the Netherlands, South Korea, Sweden, Australia, Malaysia, Thailand – and Canada.

The study, to be released Thursday, comes amid mounting evidence of a sputtering economy. The country’s GDP shrank in November, and last year’s job growth has been revised lower while oil prices have slumped (A: That’s the pumps.), restraining business development.

The report was based on data to the second quarter of last year.

Since then, several factors make the picture in Canada more stark: Household debt-to-income ratio rose further, to a record 162.6 per cent in the third quarter. House prices continued to climb, though the pace is slowing. And the Bank of Canada cut interest rates last month – with another reduction possible in March – moves that could spur even more borrowing.

What's a price, folks, for a house or anything else for that matter? It's someone's idea. Who can make it the price? Those in authority make it the price. Why are houses so incredibly expensive anyway for stick houses? You know, at one time people simply advertised their own houses for sale in the newspapers. Some people would come to their door and they'd look around them, ask the price and they gave them the price that they thought it was worth. So the deal was made between the buyer and the seller and the legalities were written up by a lawyer, which they still are by the way, you know, but it was a lot cheaper and the real estate guys were out of the picture. Today you have consortiums of, or chains if you like, of real estate agencies. And in the system everything is run by chains and investment companies and so on, therefore they have to jack up the prices of the houses to get the bigger cut off them when they're sold. Anything to do with money, ha, it brings in organization and the more any particular organization, the more expensive everything is going to become because they want a bigger and bigger cut of things. So in comes the middleman and that's you paying through the nose.

In the old days when the buyer and the seller negotiated the price, if the seller wanted too much for it he'd eventually get the message it was too expensive when everybody would turn them down, then he'd lower the price and lower the price, you see. But today it's all an idea. As I say, a price is an idea. And how do you get the idea? They don't have their own ideas anymore, they take it from the going price that they're told by, again, these big real estate agencies what the going price should be, you see. I mean technically you should be able to sell anything you have for whatever price that you can get for it. And if you decide on half of what of real estate agent's worth, because it's a more natural realistic price, then why not do it? I mean, anyway, you understand what I'm saying, you have the right to it.

But everyone wants more and more cash because everybody's dangling on the strings of the puppeteers who manipulate all the money system, the currency system, the purchasing power of your currency and so on. Everything that money touches in, especially, this modern system is pretty well corrupt. They'll never use that term of course, they'll say it's normal. But it's pretty well corrupt when they'll gouge. It's a parasitical system where everything is eating something below it on the ladder, so that they can have more than the one below on the ladder. They don't want to get down to that same rung on the ladder so they pass it on, they pass the buck, pass the buck, right down to the bottom. You see.

And that's an awful trait to bring into humanity but it's been here for a while. And since everyone is born with the instinct to survive, it's drummed into you, don't get poor because believe you me, when you're poor nobody likes you and nobody wants you, and you'll die alone, etc., you'll suffer. So you have an exaggerated sense of survivability to an extent. The psychopaths always take over such a system, to be at the top. And any antidote to it, which are all fake, like the communistic system, has the same system and the same psychopaths running their system, so they can live better than anybody else.

That's just the history of the world [Alan chuckles.] summed up, especially to do with money. Because money from the very inception has been a con, the way it's been run. It introduces a middleman between barter. A person who makes things and barters it off for something else and so on, a middleman eventually decides what that's worth, when he has convinced you that that little bit of gold or whatever it is or silver is worth more or less than you think, you see. Before you had a good idea, you made this thing, it took you so

many hours, I'll trade it for a bushel of so-and-so. But not anymore. It's all out of your hands. So your whole system of existence is taken out of your hands.

Today we're in massive big government, which is all part of the new freedom, and the New Society, and the Great Society, etc. etc., many terms for the same thing. I'll get back to this article and it says here...

The report was based on data to the second quarter of last year.

Since then, several factors make the picture in Canada more stark: (A: This is repetition from the last one, the one before and all the other depressions. Like they never learned? But we're run by experts and professionals, with all these letters behind their names, etc., going to Princeton and all the top universities, eh ? Really? What kind of quackery is this?) Household debt-to-income ratio rose further, to a record 162.6 per cent in the third quarter. House prices continued to climb (A: ...isn't this repetition...), though the pace is slowing. (A: How many times have you heard this throughout your life? But they are the experts, apparently, and are all astonished at it.) And the Bank of Canada cut interest rates last month – with another reduction possible in March – moves that could spur even more borrowing.

“What the financial crisis showed us is that when you have rising real-estate prices and rising household debt, it can be a deadly mix. (A: That's why this guy has got his degrees, eh? For saying what we've heard 1000 million times in every other depression. And there's been lots of them, by the way. He says, but you.) You have to manage each carefully,” Susan Lund, Washington-based McKinsey partner, said in an interview.

It is crucial to monitor household debt levels closely, she said (A: Does government? It's always broke, apparently.) – in particular, which segments by income or demographic group seem to be slipping under water. And policy makers need to tighten lending standards and reduce mortgage size limits when markets are overheated. (A: Where have we heard all this before and before and before?)

Of the central bank’s rate cut, Ms. Lund said it’s “tempting to try to spur growth through credit. But it makes the need to monitor debt more urgent.”

If left unchecked, the risk is that households will no longer be able to afford the debt they’ve taken on. (A: Again, that's why they have the degrees, eh. Aren't you astonished, aren't you impressed, eh. Oh, this is an expert talking.) They could either be vulnerable to foreclosures and bankruptcies (A: By God, I understand the degrees now.) – or they could slash spending to pay back debt. That in turn could reduce consumption, which could dent economic growth and trigger a recession – a “downward spiral,” according to Ms. Lund. (A: I'm telling ya, why isn't she running the country, eh? We would never have gotten here in this mess. This is what they put out all the time. This is a major newspaper in an article that supposed to be informative.)

The Bank of Canada has long held that rising household debt poses one of the biggest risks to the domestic economy – though it has also become increasingly focused on lower oil prices.

Residential mortgage credit grew by 5.3 per cent (A: Well, I'm not going to go through all the statistics because this is all BS, as we all know, which is bothersome stuff, you know, BS. And it says, [Alan laughing.] listen to this...)

Rising house prices have led to larger loans. (A: No kidding!?! Oh wow! I tell ya, it's no wonder we're all sitting at the bottom counting our pennies while they're at the top there counting their millions a year, eh. Just didn't go to the right universities, I guess, to get the special degrees, you know.)

The seven countries with household debt that “may be unsustainable” (A: You see, unsustainable.) have both the highest debt-to-income ratios and significant growth since 2007. (A: Right.) Canada is the exception in having better debt-service ratios, though that could change when borrowing costs rise. (A: Well, I would think it might, yeah.) In all, “these figures suggest potential risk but do not signal imminent crises.”

There is a caveat – Canada’s household debt numbers include the debt of unincorporated businesses, which

is counted as corporate debt in other countries. That may inflate Canada's numbers, though McKinsey notes that the trend lines still show continuous growth.

Now you are totally confused, eh? But this is the kind of stuff you're hit with by the experts. And this same article could go on back to 2008, and to times before that too, when the same thing happens over and over and over, you see. And the biggest borrower is government itself. A long, long, long time ago they weren't content with just taxing the people and living within a budget, they started borrowing from the same international banks, that help run the world, set up by the private organization the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs, these private lenders, you see.

So that's what they give you for like a news breaking story. And we accept it all because were given no other option, except here's how it is, you dumbos, and here's the experts talking here. And they give you a lot of good common sense advice. But you can't really get it through common sense, you've got to go through many degrees in economics to understand all this, that's why we have so many bank crashes and great depressions and recessions, which are just depressions, and austerity measures. Because our experts... if it wasn't for the experts, you know, where would we really be, eh? Well, maybe your dollar would be worth a dollar, you could purchase the same things you could purchase a year ago, or 10 years ago, or 20-30 years ago with that dollar. Because is constantly devalued, because of the experts running the system. But it's always your fault, you're borrowing too much, you see.

Now, let's get back to Carroll Quigley who, he called it the parallel government, the real government and so on. This is the guy who taught guys who became president of the US. He taught many, many people who work in the different high-level departments of the US government and State Department and foreign offices and so on. He was all for this private control of the whole planet. But he says too in Tragedy and Hope:

At the end of the war of 1914 (A: That's the 1914-1918 war.), it became clear that the organization of this system had to be greatly extended. (A: ...from the Cecil Rhodes organization he's talking about, you see, making the British Empire the model for the world, all run in private hands, not the public's.) The task was entrusted to Lionel Curtis who established, in England and each dominion (A: ...that's the British Commonwealth countries. In fact, they actually coined the term 'the British Commonwealth', the Royal Institute of International Affairs.), a front organization to the existing local Round Table Group. (A: They had many names, you see, because they're so secretive.) This front organization called the Royal Institute of International Affairs, had as its nucleus in each area the existing submerged Round Table Group. (A: So they started using these roundtable groups for discussion and then they created this organization, with many levels on it.) In New York it (A: ...the branch...) was known as the Council on Foreign Relations, and was a front for J. P. Morgan and Company. In fact, the original plans for the Royal Institute of International Affairs (A: For Britain and the Commonwealth countries.) and the Council on Foreign Relations were drawn up at Paris." (A: He's talking about that the end of World War I.) [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 951-952]

The powers of financial capitalism has another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 324]

Getting back to even President Wilson, he wrote a book, as I mentioned before, but the title of the book was called The New Freedom, published in 1913. He says, we're in a new world, in the new order, government and business must be associated closely. We stand in the presence of a revolution, and he goes on to say, it will come in a peaceful guise. Therefore, there's a long-acting... Literally we live in a script, I keep saying this, I've been saying that for years. We live through a script where it's all planned by think tanks belonging to one the club, that planned the future and how to get the public to go along with each step of it, and they even adapt it and fine tune it for different countries or cultures and so on, so they'll all get indoctrination towards what they think will be inevitability of the system coming in, you see. Everyone has had it; no one has escaped it.

Now, Professor Quigley, he talked about the foundations, tax-exempt foundations would fund much of this. They'd fund the organizations that would be pro- or against whatever system they set up, you see, demand things from government, laws to be changed, things to be like the environment that we have today, things like that, and environmentalism, to manipulate and control the people. So he goes on to say here those that

The apex of the system (A: See, it's all financial, that's how everything's controlled.) was to be the Bank for International Settlements (A: ...the BIS) in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks [including the US Federal Reserve] (A: ...and now the Bank of Canada as well) which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards. (A: The last part is awfully interesting, but we all know it.) And to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards. (A: They are all on the take.)

So this one organization, that has different names for different countries, runs and owns the central banks, it works and manipulates the economy of the entire planet and the internal economies of countries. They can cause depressions anytime they want, and they do, and they guide all politics because the top members are always theirs, always theirs. The ones that are supposedly against it are owned by them too, the Fabian Society's, etc. They run all sides of everything, all sides. I think Quigley even says, he says:

The argument that the two [political] parties (A: They always give you two, what choice do you want on this, this or that, take your pick.) should represent opposed ideals and policies, one, perhaps of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to the doctrinaire and academic thinkers. Instead the two parties should be almost identical (A: What do they talk about when they run for election? in any country now? Jobs, jobs, jobs. Prosperity. Education. Unemployment benefits. Etc. etc.) Instead the two parties should be almost identical, so that the American people can 'throw the rascals out' at any election without leading to any profound or extensive shifts in policy. [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 1247-1248]

That's interesting because that's what Thomas Jefferson warned about. He said, when you see the same agenda being carried out between changes in the house, the Democrats/Republicans, you see, the politicians, when different parties come in and go along with the same agendas, he says, know you are under tyranny. So there's nothing new in this at all, really, but it's awfully well done today. It's beautiful, isn't it? And they can always get, under this term democracy, they use all the authorized nongovernmental organizations that get heavily funded, completely funded by the big tax-free, or tax-exempt foundations, that go under the guise of charitable works, to demand from government the laws that they want changed, on behalf of the big corporations that own the foundations. Remember he said, it's a new feudal system, that's what Quigley said, where the overlords, the new feudal overlords would be the CEOs of big massive corporations.

And you have the World Economic Forum and all this kind of thing, where does it meet? Basil, Switzerland, like the BIS and so on. Everything that's happening including the manipulation of the economy, at all times, all times, is done on purpose by those who control it all, who further plans to bring in crisis, to amalgamate and go under one big system. And the public will thank them eventually, they'll be so sick of the financial depression and its consequences they'll thank them for it. And along with that will come all the new changes of the new freedom [Alan chuckles.] as Woodrow Wilson called it and George Bush Junior.

Now, one of the main organizations they use, again, privately owned by the same organization, to run the economies of the world, is the International Monetary Fund, that gives all the lending out with all the conditions that go along with the borrowing and so on to the countries. They also use the World Bank. The World Bank, what's the World Bank? It's another private organization, run by the same bunch. And there already running the world, especially Third World countries, their economies and so on, pretty openly. But this says...

Hondurans Protest World Bank Mining Plan
telesurtv.net / 4 February 2015

(A: We can all protest all we want because it won't matter, it doesn't matter what country you're in.)

The Honduras government and World Bank representatives met in the Honduran capital of Tegucigalpa to sign deals to allow foreign companies to mine in the country.

The two-day conference, called “Sustainable Use of Mineral Resources,” (A: They're all sustainable, drummed into your ear, you know, the sustainable use of mineral resources... which is just the same old mining as always.) gathered experts from Peru, Mexico, Chile, Spain, Bolivia, Argentina, Colombia, the United States and Honduras. They discussed their different experiences and promoted Honduras as a mining country. (A: In other words, they’re on the take, they're all bought off by the same Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations.)

Mines in the country currently produce profits of around US$300 million annually. But if the new plan promoted by the World Bank is approved, international private companies (A: Guess who owns them?) could raise more than US$5 billion annually.

Foreign mining companies are present in 14 of Honduras’ 18 departments. In 2012, the government granted 487 additional mining permits. Under a new agreement with the World Bank, another 839 are currently being reviewed.

"In the Syria Valley, 21 of the 23 rivers that existed in the municipalities of El Porvenir and San Ignacio have disappeared because of the high water demand required in the mining industry. (A: Remember what comes out of the mines too, it's not just clear clean water anymore.) And mining has dried the soil and in that area," said José Luis Espinoza, a mining specialist.

The disappearance of the rivers is a direct result of Canadian mining company Goldcorp’s Entre Mares mine.

"The people have the right to water and to life. We have expressed ourselves very clearly. (A: It doesn't matter what you express.) We are against mines because under the mining project, there are five water wells for our community where 12,000 people get their water," explained Raymundo Funes, a protester from the municipality of Pespire, in the south of the country.

The new agreement signed with the World Bank will double the presence of international mining companies, without any community consultation. (A: This is the new freedom and the new order, you know.) This is part of President Juan Orlando Hernandez's push to attract more investment in Honduras from international corporations.

These are select corporations, remember. If you go into the military-industrial complex, do you know how much of it is actually owned, all the big companies, all the familiar names that you have, by Goldman Sachs? Owned, and that's a whole story in itself. But anyway, you find the same thing with who they give contracts to, from the World Trade Organization, the World Bank and all the rest of it. It's their own boys. It's a big club. And the people in those countries have no more say than you do in your own country. They have no more say at all. It's quite something.

But again, this is the new freedom, the new order of things. Meanwhile [Alan chuckles.] as always, like any war. See, wars are economic too, and cultural and so on. So remember that in any war the folk who benefit are those who run the wars at the top. Not the general's and so on, they make it a cut, etc. but they don't really get into the top money, it's the big businesses behind it. And the general population just supply the troops, because we always breed them, you see, give them the proper indoctrination regardless of the country and they go off and get killed and get little gold, well, gold tin plated bits of metal and stuff to put on their chests. But they get nothing after that, they're just tossed aside. Expendable. And they're renewable as a resource, you know, breeding people, so there's always, no lack of young guys ready to go off and fight, never knowing, and actually not caring at that age either, but it's big corporations that are going to benefit from it. And the taxpayers back home will put in the railroad lines, the roads and so on for the corporations to get all their stuff out of the country, all the raw resources.

As I say, it's more sophisticated today than just sending armies in, you see. You do it through bribery, it's much more prevalent than folk would ever, ever imagine. And you do it through threats, because they do threaten people who won't go along with these big world agendas. Top men of small countries get assassinated if they won't go along with it, easy to replace, again. And that's the history of the world, the real history of the world. But you know, most folk don't care about the real history of the world, even as it's being made in their own lifetime. They are too well entertained, way too well. And remember, all

entertainment, really, is to do with indoctrination as well, of ideas, and the update of your PC list for the week, and you adopt those new opinions and everything else too, without even knowing it.

It's the way things are, but as I say, the public really have been bypassed a long time ago. The only ones in democracy who have any say are the big organized groups that are well-funded, with their own CEOs by the way, these charitable NGOs, tax-exempt, funded by the big tax-exempt foundations, they pay the wages of the ones at the top. They even have their own office towers, some of them. And they get listened to by government because the governments are told the listen to them, they are authorized.

Now, don't let this kind of information depress you and make you pack in everything, just give up the ghost. Because you see, it's really always been like this. It's just that the technique of controlling you all, making you all think something else, it's pretty well perfected. And actually was perfected a long time ago too, with mass communication, television, generations of it, and before that radio, it worked awfully well. The first ones to use any kind of communication are those already in power, to make sure the proper kind of indoctrination is given to each generation.

So once again, the only thing that you really own for yourself is your own mind, if you want to use it yourself. Most folk don't, because it's too convenient and easy to be downloaded by your entertainment and everything else than go out and look and accept or toss away things and come to your own conclusions. Because remember, truth can hurt you, when you find out that most of your reality is an illusion, your indoctrination is giving you an illusion, you can go through the same processes as when someone dies, close to you. So don't get depressed about it. Remember, it's always been like this.

And the people, if they do come to do anything or say anything or even influence people, it's one at a time. One at a time. And it can take a long, long time, even generations, for an idea to flourish. That's what they've always known, you see, and that's why they tried to nip it, all ideas, in the bud that are not authorized. Nip it in the bud right away and don't let it take off. But it also comes down to your participation. Like any good con, confidence trick, the person who is being conned must participate in their own con, you see. And if you participate in your own con and spout what you're told to spout, then you've got doublethink like they had in George Orwell's 1984, where you can hold two conflicting opinions in your head and believe in both at the same time.

So it's up to the individual. And as I say, most folk, you know, really do... They've never had instant clicks and there's your entertainment for the night, all given to them. It stops them from thinking. Thinking can be dangerous to any big organization. When they were talking about reducing the work day back in the late 1800s, from 16 hours a day, that was normal workday, including Saturdays, to a couple of hours less, they had big debates in London, England, by the owners of the big massive factories of the problems it might cause, by communicating, having time and maybe more energy. Before they were exhausted after 16 hours and they stumbled home and the whole bit and by the time they had maybe eaten a crumb here or there they were in no mood to talk about anything, never had any energy for it. But if you give them more time they might start communicating, and that could lead to problems down the road.

But nothing's really changed. Only now you've got time off but you simply take it from movies and things on television, that are produced, produced by others and called programs, rather than think for yourself. But it is up to you, isn't it. Think for yourself. And if nothing else, at the moment, you'll know what's going on and you might, might not be able to share it with other people who are still in their fantasy. But you have to accept that and learn to think inside and live inside your head at times.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

New alarm bells over household debt as Canada faces 'downward spiral'

Hondurans Protest World Bank Mining Plan

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 1, 2015 (#1492)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Thine Own Mouth Condemneth Thee,
Our Job is to Record the Proof, You See"
© Alan Watt March 1, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 1, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 1, 2015. The open conspiracy is all around you. Nothing's really hidden today, you know, and it hasn't been hidden for an awful long time. Throughout the 20th century many top writers, put out there by the ruling establishment themselves, and often written for each other since most of the public wouldn't read such thick dry books, spelled out the agenda and all the concerns of their period, and where they would like to take humanity, and if they did not take charge in a very deep way of humanity, on thoughts and speech and culture, where it might end up, which of course is out of their hands and they couldn't let that happen, could they. So they went to work and got all the top philosophers of their day on board with them to work with them. Psychologists, behaviorists of all kinds, now they have neuroscientists added to it and various other techniques too, in sciences, to find a way to bring in a culture where the public themselves, through incrementalism and change and planned change, and using the natural instincts of the herd as they call it, they could bring in a new system and get the culture and people to simply accept it, without them being fearful of what was actually happening.

One of them I have mentioned so many times, who was rather prolific in his writings, his copious writings actually, was Lord Bertrand Russell. He said that, and right at a time when, in the 20th century, so many big movements were under way of -isms, like socialism, communism, capitalism, all the isms of the day were on the go to establish their dominance, you see, over all the rest. And he said basically that there would be a tyranny, that was unavoidable and, for the ruling elite of the day to keep control and not let the lesser isms take over, although they could use techniques from some of the isms which had worked awfully well, like grabbing the children and giving a more scientific indoctrination as they did in the Soviet Union, that was later copied by the Nazi party in Germany, because it worked very, very well, you see. And he said, if there is to be a tyranny then he would want it to be, he personally would want it to be a scientific tyranny. And he said at the time too in his own book, and his lectures too, he said that a scientific tyranny would be brutal

and merciless, even inhumane, because science has got nothing to do with human emotion or value of morality or anything else. It's just what is, is, is, is, is, is, is, etc... And by using scientific tyranny they could bring in a world order of control where they wouldn't have to worry about criminals popping up in every generation, they would be spotted so quickly and even prevented at conception from going any further, right down to the breeding programs of all the proper kinds of people to populate the world. He mused, along with others of his peer group, who were also heavily into eugenics of course, the bluebloods of his era, that they themselves had the right to impregnate women all over the world and have the right kind of population. In other words, breed out the bad genes and have the good ones growing up, you see. This was all discussed. And out of that, these comments and statements and so on and that whole theory, came different stories like The Handmaidens Tail was one book that came out too.

So you've got to understand, in all ages those in power have always spied upon their own people, more than on any opposition or any enemy or country they want to take over to increase their empire. Because if you lose it at home you have nothing, it will all fall apart abroad, all your empire will fall, they crumble if the center is gone you see. So these are not new topics at all, they're simply very old and restricted, or kept in certain classes of philosophy, etc., or social sciences. Even what they are taught in those social sciences vary according to the type of University you end up going to. More is taught after University to the select few who are let in on the inner secrets and the higher understanding of human nature, and all of the tests that have been done on large-scale populations for a long, long time... the archives, the real archives, you see.

So a scientific dictatorship would be brutal and inhumane, but it would be absolutely necessary to bring it in. And we're in it today. Most don't know it because it can be certainly very brutal, but by using incredibly good psychology and understanding human nature so perfectly they can make us walk in to our sleep chambers, you might say, through the Internet and virtual reality, or through Pharma to help you along and so on. You walk into this pleasantness as you forget that you are being manacled and shackled along the way.

Now, a scientific tyranny would not be called that by the general public. They would have many names, many faces because it's comprised of many agencies and governments, and private corporations, that are assets, and often have more power than the governmental organizations. They do a lot more, in fact, because they're not responsible if they get caught on anything to the general public, they can't be voted in or out. Therefore the CIA and MI-6 and various other organizations have many, many, many front organizations which they use, very powerful corporations too, because the military-industrial complex is all part of it too of course, all owned by the same people as far as the major shareholders are concerned.

And although you're going through a brutal phase, and it is brutal because were being taught to be naïve and think, through all the movies and so on, that somehow people who go into government are more upright and more honest, with more integrity, with a greater value system and conscience than the general population. It's a fantastic myth that's been pushed more effectively, I'd say, in the US perhaps than any other country although many other countries have emulated it too. And when you have a naïve population thinking there's good people there, there's good people there looking after me and so on, you tend to go to sleep to an extent. And that's what they want, a naïve believing population, believing in the system as being awfully good. But meanwhile always having things popping into the paper of importance, or at least people in the limelight having awful accidents and just dropping dead and things like that, all over the place. That increases as you go into tyranny and it increases more and more in an age where so much news is dumped on you and you'll miss the very important things that really matter, that might set you wondering about things like why, wow, that was a strange accident for so-and-so, who was complaining about whatever it was that government was up to just the week before, and getting publicity, you see.

And the real guys at the top in a scientific tyranny, and that includes all eavesdropping and snooping, electronic and otherwise too, it includes all of that. That comes under this because it's all scientific the way it's done today. It's monitoring everyone to see what they say and do and talk about, and to see that you're safe. If you're safe no flags come up when you name comes up. Safe means you like your little world, your bubble, you like your routine inside your bubble, and maybe your little hobbies and what you do on a Thursday or Friday or whatever it happens to be, and are quite content safely going on the Internet and looking at the funny animals page for the day, things like that, and being happy, showing that you're happy, you see. But there's no doubt about it, that anything else you look at, and they've been doing this before 9/11 happened by the way. But anything else you look at, including library books, they want to know why,

more about you, why you chose certain books, that are perhaps informative to someone who's awake or waking up.

Back in the 1990s the US and Canada at the same time admitted that their security agencies were collecting lists of books, of readers, and members of libraries, to see what they were reading about, names and addresses and all the rest of it. Because you must be profiled, you see, for those in charge to have a safe feeling, so they can sleep well at night, that everyone is safe and sound and won't know too much, because you are children. Now, every tyranny in every age, including a lot of the main religions you know, the terminology is all through religions especially of the Western cultures, where you have a father God and you are the children. And the father also has prophets and so on who are the spokesman to the children, and that's how it's couched, in those words. Now, what is a child? A child is supposed to grow up, and yet the religions will keep calling you the children of God, even when you have grown up physically, you see. When do you become an adult? And generally, well, you never... You're still a child of God, you see.

In a scientific tyranny you must be treated the same way as children. And if you show that you're growing up you will have comeback upon you if you ask the wrong questions. Because you're supposed to have succumbed to your indoctrination, and the techniques they use upon you from birth, through education, and through all the stories you read from kindergarten onwards, with the special inserts on how you're supposed to behave and think about certain topics, how to speak about certain topics and all that kind of stuff, and then you are marked as safe, when you believe it all.

I've said many times, history truly is brutal, and this technique of what they call civilization and civilizing, under its glory of the past and glory of war and conquest, all this glory terminology, you find that civilization on behalf of those who talk about it at the top, how they define it, is utterly brutal, utterly brutal, as they go off to get what they want, which is generally resources and more wealth for themselves to accumulate for their own families so their own progeny can carry down through time. And that's a sad statement on humanity but that's the way it really is.

I've told people not to get angry about this, that you've been conned. And lots of folk do get awfully, awfully angry, awfully angry when you help to wake them up. Because you see, they want to have the fake world that they're standing on, the fake reality... You know, my feet are on the ground, I'm quite safe feeling and all that. They want you to replace it with something else, made-to-measure for them, ready-made, so's you pull the old reality out, you pop in this one, so they can feel grounded and safe and secure. And that's not what I do. You see, only you can find your own ground to stand on. Because it's a choice. And everyone has the choice of saying, okay I'm quite happy with my life, it's not the best, I'd like to be wealthier and better looking, whatever it happens to be, and not have financial worries, that kind of thing. But they want to be fairly secure and no one's bothering them, and no one's leaning upon them to change their ways or to threaten them with anything at all, they want that security. Because they have decided to be children, forever, you see.

And all the advertising that's pumped out at you your whole life long, what they churn out today as movies, are really things that would be designed for children at one time, it's now throughout the adult population, they watch all this stuff. And the tears come to their eyes at the right spots, and the anger will well up inside them when they see the bad person doing something wrong, as they are switched on and off, on and off, on and off, all these different neurons in the brain, so perfectly. And they are quite content being kept in an infantile stage, by people who are more professional and more well-educated, as they are told over and over and over, an expert in particular topics and subjects than they are. Therefore, you are a child when you believe that.

In some histories, in some Hollywood histories of course, where they would demonize their favorite targets, they'll show you tyrannies at work in a fictional form but they'll show you how anyone who disagrees with the status quo of a tyranny, and you voice some personal objections to certain things in the system at the time, or doesn't praise the great leader, or the very government itself, they are dealt with brutally in such movies. And we knows from wars that the Soviet system and the Nazi system, that's how it was. And they were not unique, believe you me, it goes down through history, every government has used these techniques on their people at different times in history, more overt sometimes, or more quiet and more hidden, put it that way, in other times in history. And it never changes unfortunately.

But today it's getting worse and worse. In fact, it will be an even worse of a tyranny because no government before has had such incredible powers to observe every single person in the nation at the same time, every second and minute of every day. And they make what's popular popular because they give you all the little toys and gadgets to enable them to spy on you, and to categorize you, and to personality profile you with all your hobbies and what you do on a Wednesday and all these things, and what you say in your texting and your emails and your chat rooms and so on. All managed into 'the you', the virtual you that they have somewhere in some other computer, where they actually run tests on the virtual you knowing how you will react in real life if they put you through the same test. It's perfect tyranny today, even more so since most folk will always be, in that time, unaware that it is a tyranny. And how beautifully it has worked because most folk don't care, you see. I'm okay, I'm doing nothing wrong... I watch the authorized things, I laugh at the little puppy dog stories in the newspapers, things like that that they put out there, you see. And they monitor all the folk who looking to these things as well, with the 'safe' little tick, you see.

So compliance is vital to total power. And what better way than to have voluntary compliance where the people know, even the little ideas that float around the sides of their conscious mind, the things you don't want to look at, because they've been trained in the Pavlovian style to shy away from certain topics or certain thoughts or even knowing little quips of information that has come their way, and they'll shy away from it and try to wipe it out, you know, erase it, because it makes them uncomfortable. That's the Pavlovian response. That means it's working on you, you've been well trained and indoctrinated, with such responses.

And the neuroscientists write copiously about this technique which is used to bring up what they call the great, meaning the proper, society. And most folk, as they say, are either unaware of it or the benefits, and that's how really is, the benefits of living in such a society outweigh the negatives. I'm having fun, lots of fun, as long I have fun and entertainment is supplied constantly to me, and I live in my little bubble of home to work and work to home, and the occasional little beer in between it at some bar or whatever, and to watch their favorite television shows, and to scour the Internet for basically trivia or things that fascinate them, you know, mysterious mysteries, stuff like that, then they want to be left alone and they don't want to know anything. And that's fine, that's the choice. And everyone has a choice, you see.

And unfortunately most of the opponents to what folk see as the increasing tyranny, with the use of antiterrorism as an excuse for everything, and keeping you all safe, it's all being abused. Many of them, the top ones, are actually the controlled opposition. Because those at the top never start any part of a plan without debating, like a chessboard, before the game starts, if I do this what will the reaction be from them, the populations? Oh, some will be discontent, the leaders might arise. Supply the leaders in that case, start up even organizations. And that's what you have with all these NGO organizations, so well-funded by the tax-exempt foundations, that are simply the tactical wing, by using all their think tanks and so on, for the planned social changes generation by generation until they get what they want. It's really the never-ending story.

See, most folks think there's a final goal somewhere – we're short-term thinkers, and we're trained to be short-term thinkers – a great catastrophe, bang, that's it, the Armageddon syndrome, that type of thing. Where in reality, an elite that hold onto power does it through generations, generation after generation, after generation, and they'll plan what kind of culture and population they'll want, for each generation before they're even born. And they even have a think tanks working on the blowback from big changes, that the public might not want so much, how do you deal with this? Well, you steer them as you always steer them, you put out the leaders, who speak for you, you know. And you give your authority to that person... That person speaks for me, I agree with them. And as time goes on you'll say, well most of it, or, maybe some of it, or, until you realize the person has gone off ona different tangent. Or he's just a safety valve for you who is a couch potato.

So it's all been taken care of and thought of. And I'm not being pessimistic about it all. I'm being realistic about it. Never confuse the two. Never confuse the two. This whole push for positive thinking was part of the psychological warfare, set out years ago on behalf of the elite and funded by the elite. Disregard the negative, disregard it, always look at the positive, you see. Where an entire human, a true human being, a total human being must look at all things positive and negative to everything that they do to avoid trouble

for yourself down the road. Very simple. Not to play your life away like an irresponsible child. And that was all put out there as part of the warfare, until today if you just say the plain truth it's, oh you're pessimistic. No. I'm not sunk in some depression.... saying woe is me, or, it's all over now baby blue. I'm just saying it as it is, you see. I mean, it's a fascinating time to be alive because you're seeing all the forces which are used, all down through time, which you are taught to hate as tyrannies, and every generation is taught they've got the greatest governmental system on the planet and the freest country on the planet, and they always pointed the fingers to other countries and so on. And they haven't stopped doing that and they never will stop doing that, even as you go through changes which would speak volumes to the contrary if your mind was left untampered with.

Soma doesn't have to be a drug that puts you into a happy state. Soma comes from all the positive little stories that really don't make a difference on anything in your life, that you are flooded with on a daily basis. Little ha ha things, or, did you know... that kind of stuff, or the fascinating stuff, could there be life beyond Mars, blah blah blah, you know. The stuff that grabs the imagination, it's very safe to wonder about that kind of stuff because there's no consequence, at all, to what's happening here. Everything's been thought of, you see. As I say, remember the chessboard, here are the moves we want to make, what will the repercussions be? Okay, how in advance of it all, even before the first move is made, how in advance at all do we prepare to counter this particular or that particular, or that one over there, that blowback? Set up organizations in advance, supply the leaders and they'll speak for you. And then they keep their flock, because everyone again becomes a child in a flock, you see, and they follow the leader, around in circles.

The war, and the UN has said it by the way, many times, and others have said it, is not upon the masses, it's upon the individual. Individualism has to be eradicated. That's the part that they don't like is the individual. Only, as Charles Galton Darwin said, on behalf of his own class, the elite, he was quite plain about it, very arrogant. He says, we the wild man, we're the wild men, we who rule the world, who plan the future and steer the ship of earth through its course and its destiny, we must remain wild, to make instant decisions against catastrophes and avoid them and things like that. But the population doesn't need that, they must be tamed out of their wildness, domesticated, and he mentioned different ways to do it, through food, inoculations and so on, chemicals. But we are to be tamed into docility because the state will be managing all their affairs for them, right down to social workers and so on. They won't need reasoning faculties, the state will be doing their reasoning for them. But those at the top who have all the data coming from their think tanks must make instant decisions and counter possible conflicts on the path to their wonderful future, you see. And that's how really is.

But today we are on the Internet, you see, the greatest thing ever developed for spying, and people buy everything and bring them into their homes, all the devices, knowing that they are listening to you, they're watching you, a lot of them. Yet they even admit they are watching you through their TVs and things. But the docile person is damaged, he doesn't care. Because they do know... This is the strange thing about it, they'll say that they don't know what's going on in the world. But they do know that if they just go along with the things which are permissible they'll be classified as safe and left alone. Therefore they don't mind getting watched, that's the proof that they're good. They're proving to their masters they're good. I'm good, I'm awfully good, watch me, now listen to me having a chat with my pal about the Hollywood stars, things like that. All safe topics, you see, I'm good, I'm good, I'm good, here's the proof. And that's an individual personal decision.

People fought for centuries to be treated as an alive, human, individual person to have rights. And one of the top things was the right to personal privacy. And part of it also was not to be watched by the state every darned day. And in one generation, but it took preparation from previous generations, step by step by step, they've completely turned it around. But most folk don't mind. I've always likened it to an inoculation. They'll say, well if an inoculation has worked, and it has taken, they call it 'taken' in your body, it's not being rejected if it's taken and worked, then you're safe then. That's how they phrase it. That's a whole different topic in reality of course but, it's the same if your indoctrination has worked as well. If it's taken then you're safe, but you'll still be monitored, but you won't mind, to prove that you're good.

As I've said in times gone by, major religions, which eventually become corrupt themselves, because they get bureaucracies built up internally and they alter so much to hold on to power and direct, but they can be tyrannical too down the road, eventually that falls away and some other one takes over. Today the path is

being led, as we go through scientific dictatorship or tyranny, we have the state and science as the new gods, you see, and they demand instant and complete obedience and will not tolerate anything outside of that. You're a child now of science and the state and all the experts involved in it. There's always a God at the top. And many of the top atheists have talked about them bringing in the best kind of world there could be, under their control of course, and they don't have any ancient writings to go by that gives human dignity any credence whatsoever. So that's why they call it a scientific tyranny, they would be tyrannical. But they say it's all for the good, you see. But you'll have no rights whatsoever, except the right to stay in your own little bubble, produce and consume, pay your money in taxes and things, and buy things, but be good, be good, be a child of the state, and stay as a child for your whole life until you die. Because you can't and you mustn't be permitted to grow up into adulthood and be independent, especially your thoughts being independent. That is forbidden. And hence you're being monitored all the time, whether you're being good or not. Because even if you're good who knows what might happen down the road, a stray thought might come your way and take root and you may become a problem eventually.

Every age, in other words, to summarize it all, has its deity which lays down the law, and you have the interpreters of the deity, who manage their country or the nation or the world or empire, on behalf of their deity. They manage it all down to the peons, or children, below. And now in the age of scientific tyranny, or management as they prefer to call it, or governance, you have all the scientists, authorized ones only of course, who take the big paychecks and go along with each part of their particular part of the agenda and they will say whatever is necessary to say. If you're heretic as a scientist, you're simply not heard of again. You won't get a mention in the paper or anything, you're shunned.

But we have the scientists on board and the experts to tell us what to do and think, and they give us topics and also what to believe, here's your conclusion, we'll give you the proper conclusions. And we accept them as the children do since the new state, which is really a front in itself, but the state is now God, you see. And all the ministers, which are experts and scientists, all help to manage it on behalf of the deity, the state, which is ruled by, really, a few people, very incredibly rich people, who are interlocked with other members across the world in other nations running their particular states, you see.

And they're managing it better than any religion could have managed it before. Because they've got instant access to all data. They can head every possible problem off at the pass, as they say in the old Westerns, before it becomes a nuisance. Even when they came out with the Internet, all over the newspapers they said that there would be information warfare. And they had already planned for it all, set up the organizations that would do all the different top blogging and so on, to make sure that everyone was steered off in the right direction and cause no problems. But they could be safety valves. Plus they'd find out who everybody was too and what their particular beef was with the system.

It reminds me of the Soviet system which went further than most systems because it had survived longer as opposed to, say, the Nazi system of Germany. The Soviet system, including the satellite countries in the Eastern Bloc countries, especially with the Stasi, they put a lot of information out and so on from East Germany. They talked about how citizens would be hired, shills, government shills, trained operatives, and put in factories for instance, or even stores, big stores. They would become very, very good, good little psychopaths, and be very personable, liked by everyone, would laugh and joke and seem intelligent and he would be looked up to. Then they would, once in a while, insert in a certain company something negative about the government or a particular person or a leader of the government. The trick was, here's the dilemma, they knew that those people would come to like such a person, now would go into a Pavlovian response feeling embarrassed, maybe blushing and so on, because they heard the person say this, and according to the law they should report it. Now, if they didn't report it, and eventually they all knew this because it became so widespread, if they didn't report it they would be drawn up because the shill would report to his boss, I told so-and-so and so-and-so and they didn't report it. So you would get pulled up, and for failure to obey the law and report such a person, a subversive, you see, and you became a subversive too and you were either killed or either put off to Siberia.

Today it's much, far more easy because we have instant access, as I say, with the toys they've given you. And that's why they called the computer initially the personal computer. That throws you right off doesn't it, it's mine. And they said oh, you really have so much privacy on it, and all that guff. Good word, that, it's so underused today, G-U-F-F, guff. All tricks of the trade of psychology, it's all yours, it's your personal phone

too, you know. But an iPhone has a double meaning, doesn't it. It's not just meaning I, me.

Anyway, here's an article here. It's from CBC Canada. The CSE, that's the Canadian spy, NSA variation, in bed with the NSA of course, maybe even just a department of it really as far as I'm concerned today.

CSE monitors millions of Canadian emails to government
cbc.ca / Amber Hildebrandt, Michael Pereira and Dave Seglins / Feb 25, 2015

Canada's electronic spy agency collects millions of emails from Canadians and stores them for "days to months" while trying to filter out malware and other attacks on government computer networks, CBC News has learned.

(Alan: So right off the bat they give you the spin on the story rather than go into it in depth as to what else it could be, you see. So it's trying to filter out malware and other attacks on government from email that's in from people in the public, this is their excuse. Because right off the bat, on why is an open democratic society, it doesn't even touch on it, why is it storing general questions, or people going into government websites to see what's going on? See, if you're good and your indoctrination has taken, as I've mentioned before, you won't even be bothered with looking up sites and web sites and seeing what they're up to. It's not your job to know what they're up to. You'resupposed to just have things happen and laws passed, and vaguely understand little bits of them, and go along with it.)

A top-secret document written by Communications Security Establishment (CSE) analysts sheds new light on the scope of the agency's domestic email collection as part of its mandate to protect government computers. (A: So again, they're reiterating really the end of the story, at the beginning of the story, of what your opinion is going to be as to why they do this, you see. That's how simple it is, but it's all psychology. Now it goes on to talk about this it says...)

CBC analyzed the document in collaboration with U.S. news site The Intercept, (A: And the link is in this article here. I'll put it up tonight for those who want to [Alan chuckles.] step over the safe line and maybe look something up.) which obtained it from U.S. National Security Agency whistleblower Edward Snowden. (A: Who knows, maybe this guy works for them in the first place because there's nothing that he's really put out that the average person shouldn't know. You should know all this stuff. You should know it already. Do you really need someone, in a certain capacity, to tell you what you already know? Think about it.)

Canada's electronic spy agency watched visits to government websites and collected about 400,000 emails to the government every day, storing some of the data for years, according to the 2010 document. Today's volume is likely much higher given online traffic growth.

Common online activities involving the government include Canadians filing their taxes, writing to members of Parliament and applying for passports.

The program to protect government servers from hackers, criminals and enemy states is raising questions about the breadth of the collection, the length of retention and how the information could be shared with police and spy partners in other countries.

Chris Parsons, an internet security expert (A: ...again, there's an expert here.) who viewed the document, said there are legitimate purposes for the agency to monitor your communications with the government.

"But you should be able to communicate with your government without the fear that what you say ... could come back to haunt you in unexpected ways," says Parsons, a postdoctoral fellow at Citizen Lab, a unit at the University of Toronto's Munk School of Global Affairs.

"When we collect huge volumes, it's not just used to track bad guys. It goes into data stores for years or months at a time and then it can be used at any point in the future."

CSE says "specific communications" are examined if they are "suspected to relate to a cyberthreat that could harm government of Canada systems and networks."

That automated system sifts through them and detects about 400 potentially suspect emails each day — about 146,000 a year. That system sends alerts to CSE analysts, who then can take a closer look at the email to see if it poses any threat.

You know, it's like the Old Testament, they have all the laws written down in there, basically, of the whole judicial system, and it says, out of your own mouth, by your own words, ye are condemned. And you're talking about tyranny... actually, it's not just forming into places here, and when they start using all this stuff it will make the Soviet Union or Nazi Germany look like Boy Scouts with all the data they have on every single person. And they can take anything out of context, and vilify you for, did you say this? Exactly the same thing as the Stasi came out and admitted as to how they did it in Eastern Germany. So-and-so said this, the plant said this in front of you and you laughed and agreed or whatever it happened to be. It's the same thing, folks, but worse. Then it goes on about the whole thing about the metadata and the details, who sent it, where and when and so on and why they must keep it, etc. But really, that's the excuse, is to stop them getting hacked, supposedly, or getting malware sent to them and so on and so on. But I'll put that up tonight for those who care to even look at it.

And an article from the British system, same kind of system, and this is going back to 2014. It says...

GCHQ JTRIG Tools and Techniques
for propaganda and internet deception
securityaffairs.co /July 15, 2014 / Pierluigi Paganini

(A: We're overloaded with data, but this stuff has been out for a long time. And this is, again, Edward Snowden it says.)

Edward Snowden leaked a top-secret GCHQ document which details the operations and the techniques used by JTRIG unit for propaganda and internet deception.

The JTRIG unit of the British GCHQ intelligence agency has designed a collection of applications that were used to manipulate for internet deception and surveillance, including the modification of the results of the online polls. The hacking tools have the capability to disseminate fake information, for example artificially increasing the counter of visit for specific web sites, and could be also used to censor video content judged to be "extremist." The set of application remembers me in the NSA catalog published in December when the Germany's Der Spiegel has revealed another disturbing article on the NSA surveillance (A: And the links are all here, I'll put them up tonight.), the document leaked by the media agency was an internal NSA catalog that offers spies backdoors into a wide range of equipment from major vendors. (A: They are all complicit, all the vendors, folks. I mean... But so are you, aren't you.)

The existence of the tools was revealed by the last collection of documents leaked by Edward Snowden, the applications were created by GCHQ's Joint Threat Research Intelligence Group (JTRIG) and are considered one of the most advanced system for propaganda and internet deception. JTRIG is the secret unit mentioned for the first time in a collection of documents leaked by Snowden which describe the Rolling Thunder operation, the group ran DoS attack against chatrooms used by hacktivists.

"and it also used "fake victim blog posts," (A: Nothing out there is real anymore, you can't take anything at face value.) "false flag operations," "honey traps" and psychological manipulation to target online activists, monitor visitors to WikiLeaks, and spy on YouTube and Facebook users." states the blog post published on the Intercept. (A: They are all part of it anyway, all these organizations that are part of this with the intelligence network.)

The post mentions a top-secret GCHQ document called "JTRIG Tools and Techniques" which details the operations and the techniques . . . (A: ...etc, etc.)

Many tools designed by JTRIG are introduced as "in development," but many of them as "fully operational, tested and reliable."

The document is reserved for internal use, querying it GCHQ agents may have an idea of surveillance and online deception activities which can be conducted by the Intelligence agency.

(A: And this goes on and on and on.)

The post provides the complete list of JTRIG capabilities:

•"Change outcome of online polls" (UNDERPASS)

•"Mass delivery of email messaging to support an Information Operations campaign" (BADGER) (A: …that's how you badger folks, they want likes and dislikes, and you take it off.) and "mass delivery of SMS messages to support an Information Operations campaign" (WARPATH)

•"Disruption of video-based websites hosting extremist content through concerted target discovery and content removal." (SILVERLORD) (A: Mind you, above that there'll of gold and platinum, hey. [Alan chuckles.] I guess if you're on the platinum list you won't be around long.)

•"Active skype capability. Provision of real time call records (SkypeOut and SkypetoSkype) and bidirectional instant messaging. Also contact lists." (MINIATURE HERO)

•"Find private photographs of targets on Facebook" (SPRING BISHOP)

•"A tool that will permanently disable a target's account on their computer" (A: So your account will be disabled.) (ANGRY PIRATE)

•"Ability to artificially increase traffic to a website" (GATEWAY) and "ability to inflate page views on websites" (SLIPSTREAM)

•"Amplification of a given message, normally video, on popular multimedia websites (Youtube)" (GESTATOR)

•"Targeted Denial Of Service against Web Servers" (PREDATORS FACE) and "Distributed denial of service using P2P. Built by ICTR, deployed by JTRIG" (ROLLING THUNDER)

•"A suite of tools for monitoring target use of the UK auction site eBay (www.ebay.co.uk)" (ELATE)

•"Ability to spoof any email address and send email under that identity" (CHANGELING) (A: …then you get in trouble of course, because the folk think it's you doing it all.)

•"For connecting two target phones together in a call" (IMPERIAL BARGE) (A: …they can barge in.)

The information provided in the presentation confirms the previous revelations on the capabilities of British Intelligence, the tools and the techniques available at of GCHQ are not different from the ones used by NSA and by its secret unit known as TAO. (A: And of course it will be the same with the Canadian one as well.)

In the past we discussed about Tempora Operation (A: That was the name of this operation, Tempora…), the massive tapping program conducted by Britain's Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ) and revealed by The Guardian, this last revelation on JTRIG's activities shows that British Intelligence, like US one, is working to improve its capabilities for the control of the Internet and any other channel of communication.

GCHQ refused to provide any comment on the revelation, the agency claims that it acts "in accordance with a strict legal and policy framework" and is subject to "rigorous oversight."

And naturally they will say that because they make the laws to allow themselves to do it all. Sad, isn't it. Sad. Sad. Very, very sad. And then you can go on to this article and it's from the Guardian for 2014. Remember this one? Very important to stop people talking.

Journalists and whistleblowers will go to jail
under new national security laws
theguardian.com / Paul Farrell / 25 September 2014

Journalists will be jailed. It might take a year, or two, or even longer. But journalists and whistleblowers will face prison as a result of the first tranche of national security legislation that was passed in the Senate late on Thursday.

And they laughed as they did it. As the Coalition, Labor and the Palmer United party voted in favour of this bill, which dramatically expands the powers of intelligence agencies while creating new offences for disclosing information about the operations they will undertake with these new powers, there was a jovial air in the chamber.

It's a bill that makes many broad changes to our intelligence gathering apparatus. It introduces a class of "special intelligence operation" for Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) missions where intelligence officers can gain immunity from using force or committing other offences. (A: So they are immune, these intelligence officers are completely immune. They can't commit offenses because they've got complete immunity, whatever they do.)

Reporting of these operations, which could foreseeably lead to situations where a public disclosure would be in the public interest, could land journalists and whistleblowers in jail. And not just journalists, but any person who shares or republishes this material. In addition, harsher penalties are put in place for intelligence whistleblowers who take documents or records and disclose them, partly as a response to the disclosures made by NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden.

So how would these laws work? We have many examples of intelligence reporting that could be caught within the scope of such an offence. Say, for instance, the bugging of East Timorese leaders during their negotiations with Australians were to happen today. If it were declared a 'special intelligence operation' – a process which only involves approval from the attorney general – reporting of the fact this bugging occurred, the details around it, the nature of the surveillance, could be caught within the scope of this offence. The same could equally apply for reporting the Indonesian president's phone was targeted by Australian intelligence agencies, if it were declared a special operation. (A: ...and that goes on and on and on.)

See, all the countries are on the same format, in this global system, all working together, folks. All working together. And then I'll just touch on this one. This article says...

US travellers set for EU biometric dragnet
euobserver.com / Nikolaj Nielsen / 19. Feb 2015

(A: So they will adapt to it, mind you, so fast. We always adapt, little by little. Oh well, okay, you know... And then it's normalized. You see, you must normalize tyranny and give lots of excuses so that the folk themselves will never think of it as tyranny, they adapt into it.)

US citizens and other non-EU nationals who enter Europe will be asked to have their faces image-captured and fingerprints scanned upon arrival at a half-dozen major airports.

The biometric dragnet is part of a pilot test of the EU's so-called 'smart borders' package. (A: Whenever you hear 'smart' run away. It's better to be dumb in this instance.) Passengers can refuse to give the data for now but there are plans to eventually make it obligatory. (A: Everything always starts off, you know, as voluntary. It always does that, to get you all used to it, you know.)

So I'll put this article up too. It's a longer article as well and you can read more of it too, the countries that are taking part in the initial voluntary one that's going to be compulsory throughout the whole of Europe. I think that some places in the States have it already. It's quite something, isn't it?

And tyranny is never, ever used, it's avoided at all costs, the word tyranny. Because the people must never suspect that they are actually under it. It's always... whatever is done it's always for a good reason, to keep

you safe from Martian invasions and all that nonsense, whatever it happens to be. They got to keep you safe, you know, and to show you that your tax money is well spent keeping you safe. But as I say, from the most ancient dictators and tyrannies to the present none of them had, until now, the ability of total, total, information, daily, instantly collected, on all of us, as they do today. And when they start really, really using it you might just as well say, God help us all.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, which is still freezing of course as we warm up globally, supposedly, in this fake reality, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

CSE monitors millions of Canadian emails to government

GCHQ JTRIG Tools and Techniques for propaganda and internet deception

Journalists and whistleblowers will go to jail under new national security laws

US travellers set for EU biometric dragnet

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 8, 2015
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Reality has Flipped,
More "Tales from the Script""
© Alan Watt March 8, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 8, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 8, 2015. I hope you're all hanging onto your sanity as we go through the massive changes at present, and all those you sense that coming down the pike, pretty shortly in fact, as the big planned changes are put into effect. Of course, changes on a grand scale take lots of years, many years to plan and organize and have agreements upon, and all the legal stuff put into all the different documentations for bills and so on and treaties that are signed all the time. Therefore, whatever happens this year, for instance, was planned long, long ago. It's very much like the start of the Kyoto protocols, etc. to do with climate change and global warming, blah blah blah, to get the carbon taxes all through down the pike, it took many years to get to that stage. Of course this year they'll pretty well sign the first major treaty to do with the big, big cash funding of it globally, and implement at home all the different taxes you'll have to pay for energy, carbon, etc. etc. etc. Just for surviving and living in fact, you're going to pay for it all. As I've mentioned so many times, your excess spending money, the stuff that you used to spend on all the junk that they put in the Walmarts and so on, will be spent on things that are necessities, and taxes. That's where it's all supposed to go. That also decreases your consumption, which is quite happy for those who want to have the planet to themselves, hundreds of years down the road when there's lots of resources and you're not around anymore, nor are your offspring for that matter.

The world is planned – they never change their plans – on a grand scale, a fantastic scale, all the time in fact. People think too that war is spontaneous and they happen. It isn't until you really look into all the facts and details, many of which are at least maybe 50 to 80 years later, you find out it took sometimes 50, 60 years to work up into the start of a particular war, to get everyone prepared for it, to get the groundwork laid and all the different necessities for conducting such a long, prolonged war, what it would cost, etc, and how much even inflation would be at that period down the road when you started it all and what the profit returns would be, etc. etc. Massive planning goes into all of this. Nothing in the world is spontaneous, really,

nothing. The occasional accidents are, personal and otherwise, but that's about it. Everything else is planned, like a big business plan, and that's how the world is really run.

The organized planned society has to have plans all the time. I've mentioned so many times over the years, if you just go into the guys who set up the League of Nations, the private organization that set up the League of Nations, then United Nations, and then funded all the NGOs through their foundations, because these are big business leaders that were behind it all and private groups. They had all the agenda set out then and to bring in a eugenically controlled scientific society, a scientifically controlled society where gradually and gradually they'd appear to give you more rights and freedoms in certain areas, but take other real rights away from you in the process. That's where we are today. It's worked awfully well.

Those living through those generations of change never really understand that. They've never thought about it deeply because they've never been told that think about it deeply. They simply adapt and adapt to changes. So they take the Darwinian idea of adaptation and they go with it, they run with it basically. It works awfully well and everyone's adapting all the time to the changes, even to the things which are designed to do them in eventually down the road.

Now, the greatest proof that the world is always planned ahead, of events that are meant to be passed into law and so on, is the fact that it doesn't matter how much you know about the scams, of the same groups that push for this and pressurize governments in the past for their particular agenda, it doesn't matter what you know about them and what they've done before, the agenda still goes through. So all that matters really is the agenda, that's all you have to know. These agendas you will not stop because most of the public go along with the scientific advice on it all. Even if it goes against the facts that scientists shove out in your face, it doesn't go with it I should say, with what you have witnessed yourself, then you'll adapt to it and accept their opinions as your own.

And then, I've never been a fan of the big crowd, because the big crowd can argue initially on things, eventually they become the solidified crowd with the solidified thought and they've all accepted what they've been told, and if you don't join them, you see, they can see you, they can spot you, and they call you an oddball or a Luddite or something for holding on to other convictions that are now obsolete. And how can convictions be obsolete? How can something that can be right one day be wrong the next? Well, that happens all the time. Even with what you say and the terms that you use to say things, it's by my man himself, you see, those who planned society, including your vocabulary as well, and the legal system, etc. etc. So we're constantly being manipulated with our thoughts and the way we say things, that's neuroscience, psycholinguistics, etc, and we adapt into it. If you don't adapt into, the crowd itself who have adopted will turn on you. Whether it comes down to opinions or things presented as facts, or anything else, it doesn't matter. And they eventually go along with all the big agendas because they don't have enough confidence individually, amongst the crowd, in themselves. They feel confident when they are in a massive group all baaing together like sheep, you see. That's the truth of society. Always has been though.

The biggest problem that the elite groups have had in the past has been individualism. I've always said that because it's true. They actually published articles about that, on the high levels. Even United Nations said the problem really was the individual, not the mass. It's easier to control the masses because they want to all belong, and dress and look the same and say the same things. They have no individuality, you see. Therefore, it was easy to control the masses in what they call a democracy because they will follow the experts, the authorized experts that are put out for them to follow. Bertrand Russell who was a big promoter of all this whole system, and we're living in today, including the culture creation part of it and all the changes that went from his era. All through the 30s and 40s and 50s and 60s up to the present, it was all designed by elite groups by the way. I've gone through that and you'll find the articles I've read before on the air in the archive section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. So you can go on a big journey of all this history and the only satisfaction you have is knowing it yourself.

Because as I say, the facts don't counts when missions have been accomplished, and other ones which are under way right now will be accomplished. Because there's no input from the public, they don't want that to start with. The public too, have really given over their responsibility for their own actions, individually, to the mass, which is then put forth through the government that they think they have elected. So things work awfully, awfully well for those who plan the future, you know, the men of vision as they call themselves,

amongst other terms as well.

But, we're taught to deny our own senses and experiences. For instance, I've kept my own charts of the weather this winter, as I did last year too. I've got the different stations that put out the weather reports, even from the government, and every night consistently they've underreported my area and the temperatures it went down to at night, and during the day too for that matter, but at night, by iat least 15 to 25°. Every night. And yet their newscasters will tell them on their TV, everybody watches TV, I don't, and everybody looks it up on the Internet too, and they'll tell you from about three different stations, this is what it's going to be tonight. And you know something, the next morning they never revise what it actually was. They keep it at what they predicted it was going to be from the previous evening or afternoon and that's what goes down in the books as fact, you see. So in April they'll come out and tell us, this was the warmest winter we've had for centuries, even though we thought it was colder. They can play with your head so easily today, and folk believe what they're told. But, that will come. You wait and see. You wait and see, yeah.

As I say, I keep my own temperature gauges here, my thermometers, non-electronic by the way. I have different ones outside and I measure them every night. For instance, on Friday night they said it was going to be about a minus of maybe 4°, maybe 6° maximum, Fahrenheit where I am. And all through the night and the next morning, into 8 o'clock in the morning, it was 24° below, Fahrenheit. How can you make such miscalculations? And why don't you adjust it in the morning for the official records? Well you see, you've all to be taught that the planet is warming up because of climate change. Because big, big things are planned this year. They've been years in the planning but now they must get it all signed into action, so that you pay, pay, pay, pay and change your entire way of living, folks. From everything you purchase to the energy taxes that will be on every little bar of chocolate, and what it cost to make that thing and so on, right down to your heating, cooking, everything is going to be taxed. Everything that is transported is going to be taxed into the ground basically, and I'll touch on that tonight.

But as I say, most folk will never really go along with their own experiences. Even when they're freezing to death they'll say, well they told us it was quite mild last night, it must have just been me, I had to put on about three different sweaters, you know, it must have been me. And that's how we do it, you see. That's how we do it. It's so simple, isn't it? Now, when you accept their word, on anything today, how many things are they lying to you about? Think about that. All for a particular agenda, and there's many agendas on the go at the same time for the planned society, the scientifically controlled society, by experts and social workers and psychologists and psychiatrists and neuroscientists and behaviorists, from birth to death, all coming together, all these hundreds and maybe even thousands of things worldwide coming together right now. And you're kept alive with trivia. And you're kept alive with lots of entertainment, and what the stars are doing, and who is having an affair with who and all that rubbish. Because you're being kept off of the track, the track that might take you somewhere. You're off on the way sides and that's where they want you to be, a nonparticipant, and even conscious awareness on a daily basis of how things really are where it's going and why it's all planned that way and who's behind it all.

So really, the facts don't count when you're talking to the masses as such. I mentioned the book years ago, I think it was called Straight and Crooked Thinking which went through the logic used by people themselves. It was a very old book, it was written about maybe the late 1800s, early 1900s. The author went into how if you had a tramp in Hyde Park in England, London, on a soapbox in the park spouting off his particular philosophy, most folk would ridicule him and laugh at him and all the rest of them. But if you've got the same guy and dressed him up and gave him a shave and all the rest of it, and then put out lots of propaganda to make him into a new Einstein, before you ever saw him, that's how they launched Einstein, the same way, I don't know if he was a tramp or not... But anyway, the fact is you can dress him up, dress him in good close, make him a genius before anyone has ever seen him, and then put him on some big live show, people will listen to every word he says, when he is spouting the same philosophy as he would be as a tramp in the park on a soapbox.

There's nothing they don't know about the general population, how our minds work. So when they bring out professionals, supposedly, who all have political agendas, and they know where their income is coming from too and where their careers are going if they play ball, especially today, then there's always a different agenda behind whatever they're telling you. Always, folks, that's just the way it is. But as I say, the masses

will never really want to know because they have a choice then. If they know and understand things and they don't like it, it makes them uncomfortable and they will shy away from anything which is uncomfortable to them. They seek what they are told today is positive... seek the positive and don't look at the negative. In other words, it's the same old story as they have used for years but now it's under positive and negative. It's optimism. Be optimistic about things... you know, and leave things of great decisions and weighty matters to your betters, the ones who live above you, and don't use toilets like you do, etc. etc, they're way beyond that, they're so wonderful and intelligent, etc., from better bloodlines and all that stuff. That's how you are trained to see these people, and that's what you believe as well.

But they are just people with agendas. They know where their bread is buttered, all these different scientists. Scientists come out, remember, hoping, when they come out from the University and got other degrees and so on, they hope to get grants to study something, like the mating habits of the fiery ant or something. I mean, who cares what it is. They're hoping to get grants and have a long, long career living off, generally its taxpayer-funded grants and some private corporations if there's some military use to it. So when you have a climate thing on the go that's to change all of society, then all the approved scientists that they have, who are authorized to be on board, in other words, they are all the positive ones who go along with the agenda.

What's amazing too, is that from the earliest dawn of history, from the ancient times, they've talked about the effect of the sun and the heat and warmth and how it gives us life and all the rest of it and crops grow. The sun hasn't even been factored into climate change, at all. It's absent from all their climate change models on their wonderful little computers. Because there's a different agenda here. The agenda is to blame all of YOU and to get you to all believe that you are the fault of it all and what's happening. Nothing to do with weather manipulation or anything else, it's all your fault.

It's a sad thing to say about professional people, but it's all around you. There's not a doctor around, I'm sure, who hasn't seen the youngsters get their triple MMR's and so on, and X amount of them come back within a week, the child has suddenly regressed and getting more infantile rather than starting to grow up a little. But they can always placate themselves and keep themselves happy, exonerate themselves I should say, by saying, well you know, the medical book, the medical history, the experts at the top, above them, would tell us if there's new information, so therefore it couldn't have been that that caused it. Humans are wonderful at saving their own ego, and especially their paycheck and status, and that goes for any profession, including, including the whole climate scam, folks. Because so much is riding on the scientific control of the world, and the scientists all depend on their big benefactors, the money bags at the top, who really are their employer and their boss. It's a sad thing, people will compromise anything, and they very often do, bend incredible truths to suit their own personal egos and paychecks.

I have always noticed throughout my life that once big agendas are at stake then, if they can accomplish their mission, like 9/11 or whatever, even though the Project for a New American Century group published all the countries they wanted to take down back in the 90s, they published it in the 90s, and it went all forward the same way it was supposed to go after that, after 9/11. It doesn't matter, you see, as long as the mission gets accomplished, once it starts, you see, that's it. You won't stop it or change it by knowing all the facts. And all the facts you can find afterwards, won't change anything, it's already happened, it doesn't matter, the goal has been achieved, the mission is accomplished. And lies are always used to achieve great goals, by those who rule over the masses. It's always the same story down through history.

When you think of all the terms you hear, at least weekly, often daily, on the news, until you are accustomed with terms that you don't really understand. But when they bring agendas out they bring forth that part of the plan with that term in it, it seems quite natural because you've heard it said so many times. So repetition, much-speaking makes it really happen, makes it more real and more factual and true, to you, even though you have never looked into it at all. Back in the 60s and the 70s it was going to be the Ice Age, the coming Ice Age. Of course everyone gets in on the act, the professors got in on the act then too, oh there's too many folk, and my God, and blah blah blah, and most of them will perish because there's too many folk to start with, and so on. When the Ice Age didn't materialize, and it actually warmed up for a few years, then they went back to the drawing board, gave it to the Club of Rome to think up a good idea, and they said that man would be the problem, it would be global warming and so on, that would be the problem. In both cases Hollywood gets in on the act too, to terrify you with the end of the world scenarios, you're

going to fry to death or you're going to freeze to death, etc. Make Room, Make Room, which was the book which they made into the movie version entitled Soylent Green. It really was make room... the whole point of it was that there's too many people, too many of YOU, who are not so important, you see.

This is what of course the climate treaty, to be signed this year, this big one, one of the grand finales you might say, on the whole path to all the other ones that will add to it down the road. But this is the big one to get all the money together and to really start changing how we live individually and collectively as well, and what we'll get taught in the schools and what you're going to get brainwashed with as well. This article here talks about the Paris climate talks....

Paris Climate Talks:
Canada's Contribution To UN Conference Dependent On Provinces
huffingtonpost.ca / Bruce Cheadle / 03/03/2015

(Alan: In Canada they have states which are called provinces. It's beautiful too, where even the United Nations years ago talked about working on your local areas, etc., for all these changes they wanted to come into effect down the road, and they started all the different NGOs which are funded by the big foundations, plus your taxpayers money, all private organizations by the way that help plan and push the future that you're going to live through and all the changes they want to bring in. So here you are, Canada's contribution to the United Nations conference depend on the provinces. This article here goes on to talk about...)

OTTAWA - Canada's contribution to a major United Nations climate change conference later this year will be heavily dependent on actions by provincial and territorial governments.

Provincial governments confirm Environment Canada has been collecting greenhouse-gas reduction measures (A: ...so greenhouse-gas reduction measures, right.... What they call greenhouse gases today are natural things that save you from getting fried by radiation. Anyway...) from across the country as the federal government works toward an end-of-March deadline to ante up for the summit in Paris. (A: ...later this year.)

"Canada is actively preparing its intended nationally determined contribution," a spokesman for Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq said in a recent email.

"As this is a national contribution, the provinces and territories hold many levers for taking action on emissions, so the minister is seeking feedback from her counterparts on how initiatives in their jurisdictions will factor into Canada's overall commitment."

(A: What's the point in voting for national government, or even your local government, when they're all signed on already into a private corporation called the United Nations, and all its different departments, which are set up by the biggest corporations on the planet? You see, what's the point in it? You've got no input into it at all. All the NGOs come forward, they authorize what they call environmental groups, you know, people who care about the environment, like the rest of you don't care about the environment? Everything is a joke, but it doesn't matter, the jokes are all part of the big agenda.)

Aglukkaq would not agree to an interview on the subject over the last month and her office provided no additional details. (A: So that's how much you get in a democracy, no information at all.) Nor is it yet certain the federal government will meet the March 31 deadline set by the Paris conference organizers.

But with the Conservatives under pressure for refusing to regulate the oil and gas sector — the country's fastest-growing source of greenhouse gas emissions — federal-provincial co-operation may be Ottawa's only way to save face on the world stage. (A: So they're trying to say, well it's just the provinces and the feds, you see, it's nothing to do with you, all the effects of this, it's got nothing to do with you, when they start passing all these laws and taxes and so on.)

Countries participating in COP21, as December's UN climate conference is known, have been asked to relay their "intended nationally determined contributions" this month. (A: Did you hear anything about that? Has

there been any surveys put out to the general population? You don't matter, the proles don't count, you see, in reality.) These will serve as a starting point for negotiations that are supposed to conclude with a successor to the 2009 Copenhagen Accord.

Under the Copenhagen agreement, the Harper government committed Canada to cut greenhouse gas emissions 17 per cent below 2005 levels by the year 2020 — a national target even Environment Canada has concluded won't be met. (A: Well, they could do that if they ask half of us to just commit suicide and don't breathe anymore.)

However, some of Canada's biggest provinces are meeting or exceeding their own goals for GHG (A: ... global greenhouse gases.) reductions and are increasingly taking matters into their own hands.

Quebec Premier Philippe Couillard was in France this week where French President Francois Hollande publicly stated he would "ensure that Quebec is not only present, but also that it has the opportunity to make its voice heard," at the climate conference.

There's been "a real shift in where the energy is," ever since B.C., Manitoba, Ontario and Quebec were among a group of subnational governments who met on the sidelines of a climate conference in Lima, Peru, last December, said Glen Murray, Ontario's minister of environment and climate change.

The premiers will meet next month in Quebec City at the invitation of Couillard to discuss climate change and a national energy strategy.

A wider group of sub-nationals, including California and several New England states, will meet in July in Toronto, where they hope participants from across the Americas can agree on an 80-per-cent GHG reduction target from 1990 levels by the year 2050.

"At the national level what we are hoping — and I think minister Aglukkaq has opened up the door to this now — is that those provincial priorities and plans become reflected in Canada's contribution," for Paris, Murray said in an interview.

David Heurtel, Quebec's minister for sustainable development, environment and climate change, said international climate deals can't be "coming from the top down."

"What we are hoping, and what we've demanded, is that the provincial processes already in place ... that these not only be taken into consideration by the federal government but also that we work collectively," in setting Canada's contribution for the next global climate treaty, Heurtel said in an interview Tuesday. (A: It just goes on...)

"My belief very strongly is that it will be subnational governments and corporations and the NGO community (A: ...that's all these private groups, all funded by the big foundations...) that will deliver this."

Then you go into this article here. This is the United Nations news center.

2015 pivotal for finalizing universal climate change agreement,
Ban tells Member States
un.org

23 February 2015 – This year is pivotal for global action on climate change, United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon said today in New York, emphasising that all the major advances of 2014 have set the stage for success in 2015.

(A: I hate reading these things, they're just basically handouts for the press, that's what they are. It doesn't go into all the different agreements they have already pre-negotiated with all your presidents and prime ministers, to do with you and your taxes. And here's how they phrase it...)

“Our challenge now is clear: to finalize a meaningful, universal agreement on climate change,” (A: ...Gods of

the Air indeed, hey.) Mr. Ban told Member States at a briefing on relevant progress as momentum builds towards a meeting to be held in Paris this December, when leaders are expected to reach a landmark treaty.

“Addressing climate change is essential for realizing sustainable development. If we fail to adequately address climate change, we will be unable to build a world that supports a life of dignity for all,” (A: ...all who?) the Secretary-General warned.

Joining Mr. Ban at the briefing was President of the UN General Assembly, Sam Kutesa, as well as the Permanent Representatives of Peru and France, who organized the gathering.

Today's briefing follows the 20th Conference of the Parties (COP) (A: COP they call it, Conference of the Parties...) to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (A: ...that’s the 20th conference over the years.) (UNFCC), held in Lima, last December where Member States reached the “Lima Call for Climate Action”, paving the way for a new, ambitious and universally-binding climate agreement to be adopted in Paris (COP21) this December.

(A: And when you go into it they talk about, they briefly touch on the cost, you see, the rest of it is just rubbish and we've heard it all before, and you get a lot more here again as they push all their stuff through, and down your throats. But they go on about the finance part of it, who's going to finance it. YOU are going to finance it all. It was always planned, this, 20 odd years ago, even before that, you are going to finance it all. Because the whole point is to change your whole way of living, and they needed an excuse to do it so this is the one that they picked. And that's what the Club of Rome went into, that was their job, to pick reasons for bringing this whole system in, of control, what would they believe, you know, what can we use? This is what they hit on. Anyway it says here...)

To that end, Mr. Ban urged all pledging countries to deliver their contributions as soon as possible. “Climate finance is critical, not only for catalyzing action, but for building the political trust needed to reach a universal agreement in Paris,” he said, emphasizing that developed countries need to set out a clear trajectory for achieving the goal of mobilizing $100 billion per year by 2020. And resilience must be strengthened, especially in the small island states and least developed countries.

...no time to waste and so on, all the usual stuff they tell you, you know, time is of the urgency. This is the stuff that's going to... They're trying to keep it out of your heads altogether, the cost factor of all this stuff. In fact, most of you don't even know what it's about because you've never followed any of it, you see, and what's really behind it all. But I've done all that, again if you go into the archive section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com, I've given lots of talks over the years on the facts that have been published on all of this, these particular topics and so on, where they wanted to take this, why, etc.

But this is the rubbish they give the public. And if you notice, there's no public input into this at all. There's no, none of you give an opinion on it, none of you get any say into all of this, you're just out of the picture altogether. So what's the point in voting local politicians into anything? when they are all on board already under different agreements and treaties to go along with all this stuff. And it's like this with a lot of the big agendas, the big global agenda, it's all worked the same way, you see.

Now what the West, West of Britain that is, has to learn, rather quickly, is that just like Britain that now has thousands of folk, and I've read the stories over the years, thousands dying off in their own homes, not homeless but in their own homes, because they don't have enough heating credits for the winter and they freeze to death. That's going to come across the world now as they cut out coal fires and everything else and so on. Eventually it will come down to so many credits under this guise of socialism for all and managing us all, so many credits to heat yourselves. Whatever method you use it's going to be restricted to a certain amount, except for depending on your status within the community. Are you essential to the community or are you not essential? That already happens in hospitals, how they label you for resuscitation, etc. So anyway, that's how it's all going to come down the pike with all of this, the different taxes, energy, carbon and so on, that you're going to have to pay, very shortly actually, and it will affect your whole way of living, and in every area. As I say, everything that transported the cost will fly up and you pay at the bottom. You pay for everything, the cost for all manufacture, all the way to delivery. You pay for it all of course.

And another technique they've used for a long, long time is creating National Forests, National Parks, etc. When you look at the UN treaties on these parks, they called them biospheres at one time, that was one of the things that they tried to popularize from the United Nations. But it's just the same old thing of putting vast areas of really great resources, rich resources, aside and if possible, if there's folk on it they want to get them off the land by different means and methods, and label it really as a preserve paradise basically, except when you go into the different treaties they sign at the United Nations for these, they have special designations for particular big massive international corporations to come in and drill and take out the resources, if need be, you see. It's just that you can't walk through it and so on. But this article is just one of many over the years and it says...

Colorado canyon latest Obama "monument" land grab
cfact.org / February 24, 2015 / Bonner Cohen

Continuing its pattern of bypassing Congress and acting unilaterally through administrative fiat, the Obama White House in February designated three new areas as "National Monuments."

While two of the designations — one in Illinois, the other in Hawaii — involve relatively small parcels of land, the designation of 21,000 acres of Brown's Canyon in Colorado represents a significant federal lockup of land.

The move was welcomed by (A: ..again, that religious group called...) environmentalists and (A: ...that other religious group called...) Democrats. Sen. Michael Bennett (D-CO) told the Washington Times (Feb. 19) that the canyon has "a rugged and unique beauty that attracts outdoor enthusiasts from around the world." Bennett added that "Coloradans have been very clear they wanted this protection (A: So they wanted it, the people, they wanted it, you see. Sure.), along with assurances that existing uses will be protected."

But Rep. Ken Buck (R-CO) doesn't trust the Administration's "assurances," and he scolded the President for making the designation without the consent of Congress. (A: I have to laugh listening to a lot of shows when Americans talk about their rights and so on, and the checks and balances and all of that. And you watch the way it's been run, like dictatorships, for such a long time. And now they keep talking about getting America back. I always think, back to what? to when? You know. Anyway this Congressman Ken Buck says...) "He is not king. No more acting like King Barrack," Buck told the Times. "This is not how we do things in the U.S. Actions like this lead the American people to view Mr. Obama's presidency as an imperial presidency." (A: Well, it doesn't really matter if nothing is done about it.)

Contrary to Buck's assertion, this is exactly how thing are done in the U.S. Beginning with the designation of Utah's Grand Staircase Escalante as a national monument in 1996, the Clinton and Obama Administrations have used the Antiquities Act of 1906 to create national monuments without the formal approval of state officials or members of the congressional delegation from state where designations were made. And in its eight years in office, the Bush Administration made no effort to overturn monument designation on federal land in Utah.

The Colorado Cattlemen's Association (CAA) doesn't put much stock in the Administration's assurances, either. Together with the Public Lands Council (PLC), the cattleman CAA, according to fowlertribune.com (Feb. 22), wants the following points clarified in the monument designation:

(A: Then they go through it all, what they want done, the people who are going to be affected by all this.)

•Motorized access must continue to be allowed for permit administration, range improvement, and water maintenance. (A: Well, that's very important if you got livestock.)

•Explicit language must be written into the designation that allows cattle and sheep producers to trail their livestock to and from their federal grazing allotments through portions of the designated area.

And that goes on and on. But the thing is, this is that happening for... forever. You're constantly living... I keep telling you, you're living through a script, a massive agenda, that was set in stone before you were

born. And that's how things really are run, like a big long-term business goal. That's how it's done.

This article here is about... the culture falling apart, although it doesn't say that because it gives out this rah-rah type of article here.

New sex-ed curriculum gets mixed reviews from parents
thestar.com / March 12, 2015

(A: Now, the way that the culture industry has always worked, for this particular, again, the same agenda, it's had many facets, it's all-encompassing, is to push the envelope in any particular area, a bit at a time, a bit at a time, many times in each generation, and accumulatively you can't complain about anything down the road because you've all adapted into the new normals, new normalities, new norms I call them.)

Some parents say the new curriculum introduces material at too young an age — including "sexual pictures"/sexting in Grade 4 and in Grade 7, information about sexually transmitted diseases and oral and anal sex.

(A: It gives you the usual stuff about who's complaining and so on.)

Ontario's new sex ed curriculum was unveiled Monday to mixed reviews from parents, with some lauding changes to the almost two-decade-old material as long overdue, (A: Yeah, but two decades ago they were pushing the envelope then to get the present stuff in. You understand? And it wasn't coming from the parents.) and others vowing a showdown over what they consider age-inappropriate content.

Education Minister Liz Sandals expected some parental opposition but reiterated that the materials will be implemented this fall as planned.

"I anticipate there will be members of various religions who may object to one thing or another . . . but the curriculum is the curriculum that will be taught in Ontario schools," said Sandals.

(A: It's amazing. Again too, this is the technique that they use in the Delphi technique and various other techniques. So only people who are into religions may object. I mean, you won't get other folk who classify themselves as normal in some way or another objecting either? Is that the whole idea? You see how they narrow it all down. And they've already given negative connotations to religions, you see, so you don't want to get lumped in with them do you?)

On Monday, the Liberals unveiled the first update to the province's health curriculum since 1998. So antiquated was the existing syllabus that it did not even reflect the legalization of same-sex marriage in Canada more than a decade ago. The update also covers cyber safety, including sexting, as well as consent, and puts the curriculum in line with what's being taught in other provinces. (A: So if it's being taught in other provinces it's okay here. Is that the idea? They use the same technique and excuse when they do it nationally and say, well this country is doing it, you know. Well, that makes it all right. Whatever it is... whatever the topic... These are all techniques, you see. It's like movies, I've mentioned how formulas are used in movies, to write the movies, it's always the same stories rehashed and you must go through the formula. It's the same thing with the big agendas, they have formulas, you see, and they use them over and over again.)

Toronto mom Stephanie Baptist, a counsellor with Toronto Public Health, said it's important to talk to kids about things like sexting in Grade 4 because even though they likely don't have a cellphone, they do have access to devices "early, and often" — and often without supervision.

Parents, she added, will always play a role no matter what schools teach. (A: Really?!? Now, Bertrand Russell who helped design the whole system of this type of indoctrination where the state would give the new moralities to the children, he experimented in his experimental schools in the 20s and 30s, with permission of the crown, ha, he got a charter to try that because otherwise it would be illegal, the things that he wanted to push in his little schools. He said at the time, with the proper socialist type of indoctrination, a scientific indoctrination, when the children go back to their parents in the evening or

afternoon, whatever input that comes from their parents will be totally ignored and the state will give them their new morality. So again, everything is the same formula, same big agendas.)

“Curriculum comes from the province — but the values always come from the family,” (A: What a joke.) she said.

However, as many as 2,000 parents plan to protest outside Queen’s Park on Tuesday, upset at what they feel is too much information at too young an age, as well as a lack of meaningful parental consultation.

(A: Then they give you more about who is complaining and so on and so on, different individual stories. But what they did say here is, some of the people who complained said...)

In a telephone interview, Sandals said the curriculum underwent significant consultation with many groups over the years, (A: What groups? What groups are they talking about?) and Premier Kathleen Wynne told reporters Monday the government is “very committed to this.”

Sandals said she has not labelled parents who object as zealots — that characterization has come from the media. (A: See, they all work together.)

“We understand that parents are concerned and they want to know what their kids are learning,” she said, adding materials were created by experts (A: ...again, experts, it's okay then.) and based on evidence.

The curriculum that will be in use in schools by this September is largely the same as what was proposed five years ago, then scrapped by then-premier Dalton McGuinty due to an outcry by a vocal minority of social conservatives. (A: ...a minority... and these conservatives, they’re bad, like religious folk, you see. Everything you read has got the psycholinguistics in it. And other folk are complaining about it too, another guy...)

Farina Siddiqui, co-ordinator of the Greater Toronto group Coalition of Concerned Parents, will take part in Tuesday’s protest and said it includes parents “of faith, of no faith — from every walk of life.”

“The ministry is calling us a fringe group. We are parents; we are the most important stakeholders in our children’s lives.” (A: Again, they'll be called Luddites and all the rest of it, you see.)

Wynne, who has long championed a revised curriculum, told reporters everything in the update is “age appropriate” and “it’s done in a way (A: ...children. I always use the word children instead of using this term kids. A kid is young goat, remember.) [children]can understand,” adding it’s important for [children] as young as Grade 1 to learn about “different lifestyles and different family configurations.”

(A: You see what I'm saying? See, indoctrination must always start very young. And they always make sure they update it according to their big plans for the future, what they want to change down the road, prepare those children who are going to have the big, big changes, you see, for what they are going to experience in life. We all had indoctrination's if you went to school. And what they're going to say here, and in their talks and so on, in their lectures is...)

Proper names for body parts and genitals will be taught in Grade 1 — something child-abuse investigators have long urged. (A: But they'll also use a lot of slang too, I'm sure. And they already do the slang stuff, in their lectures.)

The first mention of the concept of same-sex relationships will be introduced to Grade 3 students. (A: So when they are about the age of 8 they'll be taught about same-sex relationships.)

Grade 4 students will learn about online safety, text messaging and “sexual pictures,” as well as puberty.

Grade 6 students will be taught what masturbation is and will learn about healthy relationships and consent. (A: Well again, everything is a healthy relationship now, according to this curriculum, isn't it?)

Grade 7 students will be warned about the risks of “sexting” as well as informed about sexually transmitted diseases and oral and anal sex. (A: But along with that of course will be all the pleasures of it, at the same time, I'm sure of that, because that's really what all these big agendas are for. And if you go into the history of all this kind of stuff to do with sex, it's very, very old, with their big agendas.)

This is an interesting article here because you see, this is a guy who's been implicated in helping design this, even though the present group dealing with it say he didn't have a hand in designing this. This man is called Ben Levin and it says...

Ben Levin case casts shadow over new sex ed curriculum
torontosun.com / Christina Blizzard / February 18, 2015

Her crackdown on the mischief at the Toronto District School Board is bold and long overdue.

Her grasp of education is a result of her many years as a trustee with the Ontario Public School Boards Association.

That said, I don’t envy her the task ahead of bringing in the new sex ed curriculum.

The Liberals botched their previous attempt in 2010 to re-vamp the curriculum.

It was flawed, poorly thought out and wildly age-inappropriate. (A: I guess it’s all appropriate now though.)

It was seen as being a way of using the school curriculum to promote a social agenda. (A: My goodness, it was that blatant, that folk actually said this is a social agenda here.)

Now we find out that the deputy minister of education at that time, Ben Levin, is preparing to plead guilty to child porn charges. (A: This is the guy who helped set it up.)

According to my Toronto Sun colleague, Joe Warmington, Levin’s made a plea arrangement with the Crown and will formally acknowledge his guilt to three of seven charges when he goes to court March 3.

He’s seeking character references to support him with the hope of getting a lenient sentence.

The timing couldn’t be worse for Wynne, Sandals, et al.

Just as they’re rolling out the new sex ed curriculum, we’re reminded that the person at the helm of the ministry the last time around is facing serious sex charges relating to children.

In his column Wednesday, Warmington reports one of the charges is counselling a sexual assault.

Levin advises prospective character witnesses to say: “I am aware that during these chats he counselled an undercover police officer posing as the mother of a child to sexually assault a child for him,” in his letter to his would-be supporters.

This casts the whole sex ed curriculum in a new light.

While Sandals said she believes Levin had, “very little” to do with the development of the new program, it’s impossible to believe he didn’t have input. (A : He was the deputy minister.at the time.)

Thousands of ordinary parents — not “homophobic bigots,” as some would have you believe — raised concerns that the curriculum was age inappropriate. It introduced sexual concepts, such as anal and oral intercourse at too early an age. It was outrage over those kinds of missteps that forced former Premier Dalton McGuinty to scrap the curriculum.

Few parents argue sex ed should not be taught in schools.

They just want it to be done in a sensitive way and at an age when children have started to ask questions about it.

Late Wednesday, a spokesman for Sandals put out an e-mail to “clarify,” Sandals’, comments.

“Ben Levin had no involvement in the development of the content of the curriculum. Curriculum is developed by subject experts (A: [Alan chuckles.] ...subject experts...) and is based on research in addition to consultations with a wide array of people, including teachers, parents, and students,” said Nilani Logeswaran.

Yep, but he was still the deputy minister. (A: [Alan laughing.] That’s in reply to what she said.)

So it doesn't really matter. I mean, the parents themselves have been contaminated with years of indoctrination through their movies and what they're watching, until it each envelope is pushed. They don't really object to it because they're watching such... stuff that was banned years ago, in their general homes across the country. Because that was the big agenda, you see. I've done many talks on this before. So it doesn't really matter, does it? It's going to go ahead regardless. Then this article here says...

Former deputy education minister
Benjamin Levin pleads guilty to child porn charges
thestar.com / March 12, 2015

Former Ontario deputy education minister Benjamin Levin has pleaded guilty to three child pornography-related charges in a Toronto court.

Levin, who was also a University of Toronto professor, entered a guilty plea for making written child pornography, counselling a person to commit a sexual assault, and possession of child pornography.

He was originally charged with seven child-pornography-related offences.

The investigation that led to Levin’s July 2013 arrest began in mid-2012 after officials in Toronto were contacted by authorities in New Zealand and later police in London, Ontario.

From late 2004 to early 2007, Levin held the post of deputy education minister, and was on Premier Kathleen Wynne’s transition team as she took office.

He also served as Manitoba’s deputy minister of advanced education and deputy minister of education, training and youth between 1999 and 2002.

Levin has been back in the spotlight in recent days as Ontario released an updated sex-education curriculum.

It goes on and on, but this is nothing unusual, because that's generally the one who leads the charges, who are actually selected by their bosses, high above them, to lead these particular charges, to promote certain things in society. It's quite amusing to me because there's nothing new in it at all. I've watched this my whole life long, as they push the envelope and push the envelope. And I've read all the so-called experts at the time who said how they would have to do it and how they would have to push it in certain ways and so on and what the real objectives were. I mean, Russell himself said, if they can promote prepubertal sex, hypersensitize folk to have sexual activity and make it really addictive, almost like an addictive thing, then the people would not get married down the road and they would not have children. That was part of the big eugenics program at the time.

Now, whenever you see a name in the paper of the social advocate for something, a guy who has really been promoted at the top and universities and political departments too for your government, you have to really delve into their record. Because they are not just local, you see, or even national. They're international, and what they do nationally for their different agendas is done internationally at the same time. Now, this particular guy I was talking about is actually promoted by Harvard Education Publishing Group. One of the books this particular guy, who is now charged with this pedophilia and so on, child porn,

etc., he's Harvard. It says...

How to Change 5000 Schools
A Practical and Positive Approach for Leading Change at Every Level
hepg.org [Harvard Education Publishing Group]

(A: A very interesting title actually. Because you see, these are all 'in' words for the pervs that push everything. And the whole of society is changing, including all the green movements and the climate change movements. Change. Remember Obama's election run?... Change is good. And the schmucks didn't even know what he meant by it, you know. These are all the in terms that are used for pushing, oh, big agendas, the global agendas through. So this book that Ben Levin wrote, this professor/political minister and advisor and educational advisor, isn't just used in Canada, this stuff that he's put out there, here is the Harvard publishing group promoting all his stuff.)

How to Change 5000 Schools
A Practical and Positive Approach for Leading Change at Every Level
Ben Levin

In How to Change 5000 Schools, Ben Levin, former deputy minister of education for the province of Ontario, draws on his experience overseeing major systemwide education reforms in Canada and England (A: So he's involved with the English stuff.) to set forth a refreshingly positive, pragmatic, and optimistic approach to leading educational change at all levels.

(A: Then they go on to praise it.)

Praise

This book provides a powerfully optimistic view of what can happen when policy makers, system leaders (A: You should look into these terms, folks. Things that you think you know are, really, you've got the wrong impression of.) system leaders, and educators operate around common point of view about student learning and school improvement.

And so on and so on. So it's all testimonials from different professors across the States, Harvard and different places and so on, and Canada, the different university professors praising this guy's work, you see, this guy who is accused of various, a kind of perversion at the moment. Mind you, pedophilia and child sex won't be bad connotations in the future because they'll do away with it altogether when it becomes normalized. It will be a normal thing, and they're actually pushing for that normalization... Well, if the child consented it's okay... I don't know how you can consent when you two or three years old, but there you go.

That's the real world we live in, it's pretty disgusting. But you know, you can do anything with the general population if you understand the psychology and behavioristic techniques to use on them, psycho-neurology, psycho-linguistics, all of that kind of stuff, Pavlovian training. It's so easy to do. And as I say, you just incrementally do it to each generation, and within each generation you keep pushing the envelope, in a whole bunch of different areas, whether you want them all to live in little 10' x 10' homes in the future, get them used to the idea by promoting it as a great way to save us all, or, freezing to death to save the world. You can promote it, push, push, push, you see, that's how it's done. Big agendas, all from the same sources by the way, all of them. Any particular agenda that has gone off on a different track wouldn't even get past the gates if it wasn't authorized from the top.

And as we go down the tubes and they've got all the bail-ins approved and signed into law and in effect, they can use them. Because they knew all this when they signed the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) by the World Trade Organization and so on, all private organizations belonging to the same group that set up the United Nations. But when they passed all that and had this free trade agreement, where really the first world countries had to pay all the taxes for exports and everything, but the countries that are up-and-coming as they call them – they still call China a developing country, even though it's got the biggest manufacturing, is THE manufacturer for the world, they have to pay hardly any taxes because they got all these benefits and so on. That's why they can also keep their emissions pretty high and poison

us because they are given so many exceptions, but you in the first would countries are not allowed that anymore. They knew that we would get to this stage today where we're going to be broke in the West as all your jobs go over to China. They knew that before they signed all these agreements. It was decided and talked about and debated long before you heard of GATT, NAFTA, free trade, a World Trade Organization, or anything else. They knew what they were going to bring the Western world into because service economies can only float for a little while, when you're actually producing nothing, you're just buying things in and reselling them down to the bottom.

So the countries now are, because governments never have enough money, they've never balanced any budget, and there's no intention to ever balance any budget, never was, never ever was. But here is from the Chronicle Herald. It says Ottawa's, (A: Ottawa is Canada's capital, or government.)

Ottowa's GM shares could help balance budget
thechronicleherald.ca / ANDY BLATCHFORD THE CANADIAN PRESS / February 22, 2015

OTTAWA (CP) — The Harper government's push to deliver a balanced budget (A: You hear that your whole lifelong but they never balance anything.) despite the burden of low oil prices has attracted fresh attention to a potential stockpile of federal cash: a multi-billion-dollar taxpayer stake in the auto business. (A: Most folk don't even know that your money was put into the auto business to bail them out. Just like the banks. Even in Canada, especially in Canada, they kept it quiet that they bailed out a whole bunch of banks in Canada.)

The government's remaining 73.4 million shares in General Motors are now worth more than $3.4 billion in total, thanks to the combined effect of a solid stock price and a weakened Canadian dollar. (A: See how they work everything in to get the right prices and everything else, including devaluing your dollar, for the right things they want to do, for the big boys who own the country.)

In fact, once the Canadian exchange rate is factored in on the U.S.-priced stock, its per-share value is higher than it has been in more than five years. (A: Isn't that just a wonderful coincidence?)

The government needs to make $4 billion in proceeds from its remaining shares to break even on its initial investment (A: I love how they call it, when they bail them all out, hey, it was a government investment.), which would leave it only $600 million short if it sold the stock at current values. (A: So they're selling it off and they'll still have $600 million to pay, to break even, which of course comes from you again.)

Earlier this month, Ottawa became the only North American government still holding stock acquired as part of the 2009 effort to bail out the then-sputtering automaker.

Ontario's recent sale of its last GM holdings may add to the federal government's temptation to offload some, or all, of its own stock.

The U.S. government sold the last of its stake in December 2013.

So, these are what are called assets, where the taxpayers money is used on any big project they call that the assets, and its national assets, etc. Sometimes they'll say essential assets, like roads and things like that, which they have been selling off for years, especially in the States and now Britain. You know, the taxpayer pays for all the construction and then they hand it all over for a penny, ha, to their pals to buy off and make it private, then the public keep paying the upkeep of it, maintenance and so on, and the boys who now own it get all the profits. That's what they call public/private partnerships.

Then this article here from the Toronto Star says:

Selling off assets a bad bargain for city: Hume
thestar.com / March 12, 2015 / Christopher Hume

From Mayor John Tory on down, Torontonians nod approvingly whenever the suggestion is made to sell public assets to pay for public debt.

At first glance this makes sense, especially to those who want government finances run like those of the mythical hard-working Canadian family — one envelope for cash in, a second for cash out — that never spends more than it makes.

Despite the fact that this is delusional — personal debt in Canada has never been so high — it is the starting point for any number of political pronouncements and the reason so many seem based on fantasy.

But now the public sector isn't just open for business, it's up for sale. Faced with growing deficits and shrinking taxes — taxes are bad, bad, bad — governments are seeing what they have might fetch a buck. Ontario is mulling unloading that perennial cash cow, the LCBO (A: That's the liquor Canadian board organization. It's a Crown corporation they call it, a public asset.), to raise revenues that would help in the short run, that cost ever more.

The CBC is considering selling the Broadcast Centre, (A: That's the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.) its massive facility just north of the CN Tower, to make up for the massive cuts instituted by Prime Minister Stephen Harper.

The Toronto and District School Board is drawing up a list of buildings it can sell to cover its fiscal shortfall.

Toronto sidewalks have been rented to advertisers through a trumped up street-furniture program that delivers little more than constant commercials.

Subway stations as well as the sides of TTC rolling stock — buses, streetcars and subway — are now available to the highest bidder. A couple of years ago, the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation turned Union Station into a temporary likeness of a gambling casino.

Toronto even has an agency called Build Toronto, whose task is to find buyers for various city-owned properties. It lost money last year, of course, even though the local real-estate market is among the hottest in the world.

Ironically, perhaps, we could well come to thank Build Toronto one day for its incompetence; instead of selling land, the city should be buying it.

And this goes on into different things that have happened and what's happening as they sell off of these different things, which really belong supposedly to the general public for all the taxes you've been paying all along, you see.

And again, this article is really amazing too. When the housing bubble happened in the States, as they call it, the housing bubble, and they're selling all these subprime mortgages at vastly inflated prices, the factual prices of the homes, it's never really stopped Canada, you see, from doing the same thing. In Toronto it says...

The real cost of a $1 million home:
Toronto buyers resort to sub-prime loans as prices soar
business.financialpost.com / Garry Marr / March 4, 2015

They've been warning them for the last three years that it's way too overpriced, all their real estate. But there's too many dogs in the fight with this one, making high profits off it all to ever change it. But little shacks basically in Toronto can be worth $1 million now. They've been through this bubble before and it has busted before, but the same characters, the same dogs in the fight keep coming back with the same cons and get it going. It's quite something.

And I'd just like to, to end at all, I'll mention this article...

The terrifying "smart" city of the future
salon.com / Feb 28, 2015 / Allegra Kirkland, AlterNet

(A: This is from the magazine Salon, which is generally very left wing, it doesn't matter, the story is pretty good. It's a warning about what the smart city really means. It gives you an idea of how they are promoting at all.)

Imagine a world without waste, where the train always comes on time, where snow is plowed before it even stops falling and watchful surveillance cameras have sent rates of petty crime plunging.

And so on and so on, that's how it's being sold. But then it goes into how it's really taking away all your rights, and how really you have to have ID which is recognized in the main computers to allow you into buildings, and maybe stores down the road to even by what you need to live and so on. Nevermind all the multitude of cameras, absolutely everywhere, for constant surveillance. Constants, constant surveillance. Remember too, a smart city also means in-the-house surveillance as well.

See, tyrants at the top can never relax until they know what you've been doing every second of every day. That's what they really want, constant, constant surveillance. It's all pretty well here. It's going to be a lot worse, actually. But folk will adapt into it and it will be hell on earth. That's the big plan, you see, when all of you are monitored all the time, and the elite of course, the elite themselves are exempt from all of that. They have their places across the world in the country, they fly from one small airport to the other on private jets. They don't travel in the same systems that you travel in, or even the same roads in fact, some of them.

But this is... The future is planned. You're living through script. And people don't participate in designing their own future, their own future, their personal future, therefore others will do it for you. And they have done. That's why they like the mass man, that's why they promote this thing called democracy, and that's why they want you all to believe that you belong to the big group. You know, you dress the same, you have the same ideas, you have adopted the same views on everything, even the updates on them, and you're politically correct, you see, you are the ideal citizen. It shows you how science can create it. It's done a fantastic job on most folk, who will actually blush if certain topics come into the conversation, just the names of things. Because they have been Pavlovian indoctrinated into responses that Pavlov would admire, they work awfully well. You can go back to your default position as other ones in the neuroscience field have talked about. You're set with default positions so it stops you from going any further on a particular topic, or even thinking about it, or even inquiring about it. Beautiful isn't it, for those that rule that is.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Paris Climate Talks: Canada's Contribution To UN Conference Dependent On Provinces

2015 pivotal for finalizing universal climate change agreement, Ban tells Member States

Colorado canyon latest Obama "monument" land grab

New sex-ed curriculum gets mixed reviews from parents

Ben Levin case casts shadow over new sex ed curriculum

Former deputy education minister Benjamin Levin pleads guilty to child porn charges

How to Change 5000 Schools A Practical and Positive Approach for Leading Change at Every Level

Ottawa's GM shares could help balance budget

Selling off assets a bad bargain for city: Hume

The real cost of a $1 million home: Toronto buyers resort to sub-prime loans as prices soar

The terrifying “smart” city of the future

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 15, 2015 (#1494)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Devil's Dominion:
Would be Doomed to be Loser
Without Elect-Trick Science and Infernal Computer"
© Alan Watt March 15, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 15, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 15, 2015. I'll bet many of you today are getting a bit happier that some of the snow around you is dissipating, in some regions. Where I am here it's been a few days, interspersed, at least a few days with partial melts and just above the freezing mark. But unfortunately the months and months of accumulated snow, with all of its accumulated geo-engineering material from the chem sprays that go on, and that will evaporate into the air, very concentrated stuff. Everyone's got coughs and hacks and odd sneezes or bronchial problems. And it's not bacterial or viral caused as far as I can tell, although that can set in certainly afterwards if the linings of your lungs or your trachea get raw. But at the moment it seems to be basically just the irritation on the linings from all the packed condensed alchemists' brew that's sitting there called snow today. But that's how it goes, isn't it, the new normal.

Everything is the new normal, you see. Unfortunately most folk adapt to it and think, well, it can't really be happening because they would tell us... You know, the media would tell us. They think the media's there to do their thinking and reasoning for them, because they've been trained to do so, just like Brzezinski said in the 1970s in his book Between Two Ages. Before that folk used to be kind of paranoid and suspicious about media, knowing they were owned by big corporations of course, big media moguls that were part of the establishment and they worked hand-in-glove with government agencies and so on to make sure we learn and believe the proper things to learn and believe, that are authorized by your own masters.

You'll find today there's no real opinion pieces anymore, no true opinion pieces I should say, by journalists, etc. because all of the laws have been changed too. We forget the Levinson inquiry and the laws that came out of that for journalists in Britain, the same across Australia and different places, Canada will be no

different of course. Everything is a security matter today, you see, national security and so they can't tell you anything. But unfortunately so many of them, the journalists are quite happy to take their big paychecks and write, or just write and rewrite handouts given to them by the proper authorities.

Now, many years I've been talking either on the radio or doing blurbs or both. I've gone through the techniques used on conditioning you all along this gradual path; it's gradualism, you see. You're updated with the PC stuff, all their politically correct stuff of the particular week or year your living in today, and most folk adapt into it thinking it's all quite normal. They've been trained to think it's all normal. Many folk freak out, who haven't been conditioned and who think it's rather tragic what's been going on without their permission and things that are done to the people themselves. And yet the people themselves, the bulk of the population don't seem to care. They can't care because they were trained to think it's all natural somehow, and that superior people are managing our lives for us, what do we know, we're at the bottom, and they have adapted and accepted their updates without question. And to them they don't see what the fuss is if you bring facts and data to them, they don't want to hear it. They really can't understand what the fuss is about, they kind of look upon you as though you're kind of a curio and why are you upset about it, they've all accepted everything that's going on. And that's really how it is.

So don't tear your hair out if you can't get through to people because it's going to get harder and harder to find people who will listen. I've always said that the ones who receive information are the ones who have been open and looking for it, maybe their whole lives. Not in a desperate frantic search perhaps but they've been looking for the reasons, they just know that things are wrong. Intuition, things are just not matching up with what we're told. And when you give them information they're happy recipients, generally, to know why things are the way they are. And that's what I go about doing really, is simply informing those that want to be informed, and to stop folk too from starting to drink lots of alcohol or take lots of drugs to escape the torment inside their own minds.

Because, especially the youth who are very... When you're a young person you have a tremendous ego and you don't want to be told what to do or what to think. But at the same time the few that really think and know something is wrong can turn against themselves to try to shut their brains down to an extent when things don't match up and they can't get the answers to what's really going on around them and why things are simply the way they are, and ALL things for that matter. Therefore you can avoid a lot of pain and agony, and self-destruction at times by, here's the information, this is why things are the way they are. It also helps them go on from there. It doesn't give them all the answers as to what you do about it but at least they don't have to blame themselves, why they can't really fit in to a happy bliss. This happy bliss is promoted everywhere you look... Always look on the bright side of things, be optimistic about everything, for your gross well-being, etc, don't look at the negative things which can make you unhappy. That's what they're taught. That's what the whole society has been taught and been trained in this actually, deliberately so that they don't know it themselves.

Therefore they seek those things which make them happy, even if it's just watching hours and hours of videos, anything at all that keeps their mind off reality. Or television for instance, which of course is the biggest tool, has been for a long time. In fact, some top producers, even Spielberg said, TV was the greatest... Or the film,the film media was the greatest weapon ever invented. Because they can really change your mind about things through the emotive content and the way it's put across during the actual movie, the fantasy. They can make black white, white black, they can change any mood to be the opposite way around. You see, the technique, the technique is, don't look at things this way, why don't you look upon this thing from this perspective, which is a form of escapism you see. And they can also make right wrong and wrong right by doing what they want you to do. And your mind can get warped in many directions and accept things you should never accept through emotive scenes, carefully, carefully produced and written and so on into the scripts for movies, etc.

I remember many years ago when a now deceased preacher, an old preacher said that, Lucifer, or Satan - which are really two different things but they're brought into the same context for Christians - he said that, he couldn't take over the world without the computer, the computer was an essential element for global domination. But when you look at all the articles about your lack of security, getting less security, you're going to get less security and so on, and no privacy whatsoever, no personal security, no privacy, then you can't argue with that statement... where the state becomes God when all the other gods are kicked out of

the way by science. And the state itself, politics, or really, technocrats that run the whole system because that's really how it is run. The politicians come and go, they're they are front people to keep you voting, and keep you arguing amongst yourselves, of who to vote for next because you are so sick of the ones you voted in.

And the technocrats themselves in the bureaucracies know all their agendas. They have their own departments that have teams that go abroad into other bureaucratic departments, they bypass all the other levels of government, and they meet their equivalents in other countries and the United Nations, and help drive this whole agenda. And it's been going on all this way since the beginning of the United Nations, for those who don't know that. And even before the United Nations the League of Nations had the same technique put in place, for the technocrats and the bureaucrats to send teams to each other's countries to work together towards this common global agenda.

Now, behind many good agendas, because many, many people after World War I and after World War II, normal ordinary people were so sick of war, constant wars, one after the other, all through the last centuries and so on. They were so sick of it all that they welcomed something that they were taught, they were taught of course, wrongly, this would bring peace, world peace. And even the war colleges today still teach that for, really, their ultimate goal for the military is to bring in a peaceful world, a global system, a peaceful world. Meaning, you couldn't have countries or national governments anymore in the long run, and they have been working towards that for a long, long time. So it's always what's given as a good reason, because wars today are so expensive.

Once again, it's amazing how Britain, for instance, keeps telling you that they've just finished paying off the debt for World War I. I read an article a few years back on the air when they first declared that back in the late 90s I think it was, and now they have rereleased it again, the same statement, saying they have just paid it now. So they've recycled a bit of news, or else they had a bit of more interest to pay because it's all compound interest. But they're still paying off the debt for World War II, the Korean War and all the other wars ongoing since. Wars today are awfully, awfully expensive. When you see the price of one aircraft, for instance, the high-tech aircraft, and then all the backup services and systems, then all your spying gear and NSA and so on. It's just so incredibly... It will bankrupt you in the long run.

The leaders of the world, those who run the world, and own the world technically, are not stupid people. They have different systems to come in, each one with a phase, each one is a phase.... A system is a phase to the next step, to the next step, to the next step. So they know where they're taking us all, you see, and where they want to take us all. They're not stupid. Never think they're stupid. And they have lots and lots and lots of think tanks working on every possible outcome, every possible thing that could go wrong and how to avoid it. They have them working all the time on every social aspect as well. So nothing has been left to chance, you see, although it's always presented to you, like the last bank crash, as something that was unforeseen and it was just a bunch of greedy guys that did it and so on. When in fact they knew this was coming for about 20 odd years, they planned it that way. They allowed the thieving to go on right to the end with the overinflated prices and so on. And of course they knew that the governments would bailed us out, that was the idea at the time.

Because remember too, they want to bring you into a new system of austerity and vast, rather quick population reduction. And it's speeding up all the time, the population reduction, not just through abortion but through fewer people being born, and also disease too, which is creeping back big time because we're being exposed to so many things, often under the guise of doing good. So we have many things working against us as people but never ever think that anything that happens on any large-scale is some surprise. Because that's how it's presented to you. Most folk still think that the whole war in the Middle East started with 9/11. And it did not. We forget there was the Gulf War One before that, and we forget all the other incursions into the entire Middle Eastern countries over the last, more than 100 years, by the West, to do with oil production, etc. And the geopolitics involved of setting up the regimes in various countries, which the West wanted to be set up, to work on their behalf, for the oil industries etc. So there's many, many factors involved. And in the planning for the second invasion of Iraq and the invasion of Afghanistan took years in the planning. The project for a new American Century, which was the group that put the Bush regime in, Bush Junior's regime in, published the countries they wanted taken out back then in the 90s in fact. So never think something is a big surprise that breaks out in the general population across the world. It

doesn't happen that way. Everything takes years in the planning, don't forget that.

Now, the media, as I have mentioned, is meant to keep you living in an in-between land basically. And they do a good job at it too. For instance, even look at the names of some newspapers and so on. The Financial Post, oh they know all about finances, but they'll never mention the con of all finances and the racket of money itself and the way it's all set up. But this article says...

Why Canadians should stop stressing about an economy
that is stuck in second gear
business.financialpost.com / Gordon Isfeld / March 10, 2015

OTTAWA — Get ready for Canada's "new normal" (Alan: That's a phrase I coined a long time ago, years ago.) economy, one categorized by a long period of weak post-recession growth and slower pace of job creation. (A: So there's your conclusion given at the beginning; that's standard now.)

Many forecasters, both private and institutional, have been downgrading expectations for the Canadian economy, which — like many major industrialized countries — is still struggling to shake off the lingering impact of the 2008-09 recession and, to a lesser degree, the financial crisis that preceded it. (A: Now, you could tear this to pieces actually, because... And there's another reason for it all too but you can shred this to pieces.)

Many forecasters, both private and institutional, have been downgrading expectations for the Canadian economy, which — like many major industrialized countries (A: We're not industrialized countries now. The whole idea of NAFTA and GATT, which allowed all your big factories to get put over to China, which makes pretty well... they're the manufacturer for the whole planet today, was planned a long, long, long time ago. And those who planned it all intended to deindustrialize the so-called industrial nations. It has happened, folks. They still call us industrialized nations even though all your factories are gone, maybe a few left, small things, and a few car ones perhaps, everything else is gone. So they try to say that...)— is still struggling to shake off the lingering impact of the 2008-09 recession and, to a lesser degree, the financial crisis that preceded it.

(A: So you take the whole thing in context, so what they're saying here is that it's all to do with the 2008 to 2009 recession. And it's not. When they were shipping all the factories over to China, and at the time to the Mexican corridor, that was another one they were sending them off to. The factories were disappearing like crazy across Canada in the 90s, not 2008 to 2009. So the joblessness was going up and up and up and folk were having to literally queue up to get jobs at McDonald's. So it says,

In fact, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development . . .
(A: This is, again, an agency set up in every so-called developed nation, by the International Monetary Fund through the United Nations, and every government in the Western world has a Department for Economic Cooperation and Development, where they take your tax money, they decide where it's going to go, through the World Bank and the IMF, and your country then borrows money, puts you down as the guarantors to pay off the debt, this debt, this borrowing money, that's going to be given to some third world country. But it's not just handed to a Third World country for them to deal with that as they want to. It's to go into building factories in those countries and industry using your tax money back home. And what they do through it and with it is to loan it again to that country, even though the guarantors in the domestic country like Canada is already a guarantor if the loan falls through - which it always does, it's meant to - and they give it to big international corporations to set up in thosee Third World countries where they can get cheap labor. And again, once they default on their loans then the banks, the private lenders that the countries borrowed from, like Canada or the US, has to pay off that. They will rescind the loan to the Third World country and we were put down as the guarantors, and it's now you that have to start paying for it through your taxes, for big private corporations to have brand-new factories in Third World countries with cheap labor. And this racket has been going on I think since about the end of World War II. Anyway:

The OECD's reading — with 100 as the long-term average — put Canada at 99.9 in January, down from 100 the previous month, while the United States was unchanged at 100.2.

(A: So they love giving you percentages, etc., which actually really mean nothing to the average person. Now, the whole system supposedly, as they tell you, is based on the premise that there's always going to be job growth and industry growth. Well, the industry is gone, as I say. And in a service economy, which Canada now is, and the US to great extent now as well, and most of the European countries, that were designed to go into a service economy after deindustrialization. They buy all the things in through different middleman and eventually it's passed down to the stores and then the consumer, who all take their cuts. And that doesn't generate the kind of taxation, even though it's passing through all those hands, that the actual initial manufacturing of goods would have, you see, from the raw ore into the processed material that then goes to the factory to be used like steel or whatever else. It doesn't have that. So it's a temporary thing until they bring in the next system, you understand, which is austerity. And you can't have job growth and gross domestic product growth every year, it's impossible in a system, as they will tell you - it's doublethink in economics - because eventually you'll have a glut in the market, a glut of produce and the people can't buy it because they're too broke to start with, or they are up to their eyes in debt, or they simply don't need it. Overproduction, you see. So you can't always have this upward curve into what they call a healthy economy. Anyway, they give you the little graphs and the usual rubbish and tell you why, you know, the nonsense as to why things are the way they are.)

Consumer confidence in Canada's outlook is also wavering, along with the economy.

In particular, consumers are having second thoughts about buying big-ticket items, according the Conference Board of Canada's February survey, and many believe employment opportunities are fading. (A: What do you mean believe? They ARE fading. It's not a belief system, the facts are the facts.)

"The results showed Canadians' deteriorating confidence about their current and future financial situations (A: Because the prices are going up and up and up with inflation you see, but your wages are not...), their willingness to make a major purchase, and . . . about future job prospects in their region."

But the "new normal" for the Canadian economy may not be new at all, according to a study by the Fraser Institute, published Tuesday.

In fact, Canadians have lived through different versions of "normal" after previous (A: They call it downturns now and then recessions, rather than depressions, you know. It's like downsizing, it's not laying off, it's downsizing. It sounds... These are user-friendly words, they are more pro-happy and pro-active words, as they call it in all their new-speak, you see.) downturns, and we have often come out the other side in pretty good shape.

The Vancouver-based think-tank says "slow growth in a recovery is not unprecedented and does not augur that means weak growth will continue."

"There is reason to believe that pessimism about growth will prove to be an over-reaction to the current environment . . . (A: Well, they always give you this rubbish. And they're even comparing it with...) just as happened in the 1930s and 1970s." (A: We got out of the 1930s depression, at the last of it and we went right into World War II, and it was the world war that got us out of it, with the massive borrowing and production of tanks, machineries and so on for the war. That's the fact, folks. That's the facts. And the 1970s, in the 60s and so on, the US got out of it to an extent by mass production of, again, military-industrial complex stuff for the Vietnam war and all the other little wars they had across Latin America. But anyway it says:

"Canada is particularly well positioned to take advantage (A: They always tell you how to take advantage of, you know, opportunities, and disasters.) of an upturn in the U.S. economy, since the lasting impact of the recession upon [our] financial sector and labour markets has been much less pronounced than in the United States.

So what they have done is devalue the dollar in Canada to make it supposedly more appealing to foreign investors and so on. But the thing is, the prices go up and up and up inside of Canada faster and faster because the dollar is worth less. All this... It's nothing to do with market forces and supply and demand. It's to do with the market forces that run the market, which is not a little corner store, it's the big boys at the

top of the whole system. And believe you me, the banking system, and I'm talking about the real banks, the international lenders, control the entire system we live in, and the politics and everything else. So this prattles on about all the different statistics and all the rest of it. But they're trying to tell you that this is all quite normal, quite normal, as you go down into poverty.

Now, people have forgotten already about the program that came out of the United Nations called austerity... linked with Agenda 21, the agenda for the 21st century, and how they would have to change the consumer society, completely, and life in general, completely, for every individual who signed on to that agreement. And every country signed on to it. They have different names for it, the Millennium Project and so on, so much so that when Agenda 21 got spoken about, by guys like myself on the radio, then the United Nations put up for their own people, they put up a page telling them other terms to use so's the public wouldn't catch on. They didn't want any blowback, you see.

And Agenda 21 has been being implemented gradually across the whole board of your countries for years. Even the school systems where they first indoctrinate the youth who grow up thinking it's all quite natural, what they're told about saving water in places like Ontario, and where I am for instance that get monsoons now, we don't get rains now we get monsoons often all summer and we get, I don't know how many, 20 to 30 to 40 feet of snow in the winter and subzero temperatures, etc. But you're supposed to save water and things like that in places where you don't really have to. But reality's got nothing to do with it. See, the facts don't count with agendas. Agendas are never done for the reasons they'll tell the general population. It's generally to your detriment. And austerity has to be brought in, where you're postconsumer as the United Nations calls it, and you're spending money for purchasing things and so on, as that article was saying here, folk are thinking twice about buying different things. Your spending money for that will not going to buying things. It will go to bare essentials... taxes, permits, licensing and the goods you need for basic survival, food, your bills are going up with all your energy and so on and everything.

So that's where all your money is to go in the future, as you live in your new normal community areas. And for over 30 years now in fact you've had the propaganda push, from across the whole Western world and other countries too like Australia and New Zealand, into your community, you're part of your community. And communitarianism is the message there, you're part of the community. And you've got to be proactive in your community, and all these nonsensical terms. And pro-social by the way, which is the opposite of antisocial, you see. If you like to keep yourself to yourself and you like to have privacy, then you're antisocial. So if you're prosocial you're wide-open and everyone knows your business, and you're involved as an active member in your community by simply agreeing to everything that goes along and comes down the pike from your community leaders. It's well underway, folks.

And remember, you can look up Agenda 21 yourself, the agenda for the 21st century, and you can see all the masses of changes they have to implement and bring in until they get you off the road and all the rest of it too. And hence the eco-nonsense as well they are using about vehicles. All the techniques are being used... Oh, you can't drive anymore. In fact, you're to have no private vehicles in your sustainable communities in the pretty near future. Essential vehicles only, it says.

But anyway, as I say, these financial breakdowns, according to the status quo, and the authorized system of the con of money, and economics, the way it's run, doesn't give you any real truths on things. Then you go into this article here and it says:

Canadian household debt burden hits record 163%.
theglobeandmail.com / DAVID PARKINSON / Mar. 12 2015

Debt imbalances are measured chiefly by the ratio of total household credit-market debt (mortgages, other loans and credit cards) to disposable income, and that ratio hit 163.3 per cent in the fourth quarter of last year, up slightly from the previous record 162.7 per cent in the third quarter, Statistics Canada reported Thursday.

Credit-market debt growth actually moderated in the quarter to 1.1 per cent, its slowest pace since the first quarter. But the bigger issue was on the other side of the equation – disposable income, which grew a thin 0.5 per cent, matching its slowest pace in the past six quarters. It was the third straight quarter that

disposable-income growth has lagged debt growth. (A: So austerity is gradually coming into work, you see. Your disposable income is going to more and more essentials, but they won't say that in the article, naturally.)

The debt to disposable income ratio is a key measure watched by many analysts, including the Bank of Canada to (A: ...gouge, gouge is the right word.) to gauge the country's consumer debt burden. The persistent historically high level has been an ongoing concern for the central bank which has long flagged it as a source of potential risk to the country's economic and financial stability. (A: Then they go into this...)

However, economists point out that other key measures of the debt burden looked less onerous in the fourth quarter.

The ratio of household credit-market debt to net worth held steady at 21.9 per cent, near a six-year low, as the national net worth rose 2.6 per cent in the quarter, to a record $8.27-trillion. The ratio of debt to total assets was also steady at 18.2 per cent, also near a six-year low.

It would be premature to cite deterioration in the financial position of Canadian households, as evidenced by the above household debt metrics, as cause for concern that the risks posed by household imbalances are intensifying, said the Royal Bank of Canada economist Laura Cooper. The debt-to-income measure is not our preferred metric for examining the financial position of Canadian households.

So more and more folk are going into debt, in other words. And no wonder too, because again too, the dollar is worth less and less and everything is going up and up and up. But they won't mention that here. So everything is manipulated according to a big agenda. For those who haven't quite grasped it yet, it's an agenda, you see. Forget all this stuff, it's an agenda. When you're deindustrialized, you're importing everything into the country, and you're in a service economy, and your money is being devalued, and prices are going up and up and up, of course folk are borrowing more and more to even get by.

And then the so-called recessions, this word recession... What is it, recess? What do you mean a recession? Meaning is going to jump back into normality again? No, it's not. It's not meant to. And it can't, the way is designed, you see. Your industry is gone, folks. It's a slow decline and it's meant to be. And you're not going to go back to an era of the 60s or 70s, they are long gone, where most folk had a very decent income, the dollar was worth a lot more in the US and Canada in the purchasing power that is, real purchasing power, and they had lots and lots of work. It's gone.

Food Stamp Beneficiaries Exceed 46,000,000 for 40 Straight Months cnsnews.com / March 11, 2015

But the idea too is to make you think the problem here, it's not the governments' debt, it's the household debt. See, you're the problem. You're always the problem. It's always you. Government never balances any budget. Government's never been out of debt since they basically privatize the Bank of Canada, for Canada that is. And we can't get out of debt in the present system. But they're not blaming government for constantly never having enough. They are blaming you, you see, it's your problem with your household debt, you see. And that again always ties in with the agenda, you're the problem. Just like your so-called man-made global warming, you're the problem with it all, even though we don't have the warming in most places across the globe, just the opposite in fact. But facts don't matter when you have a big agenda.

Then we have, again, as I said earlier, the old preacher that said that, Satan couldn't basically bring in his world dominion without the computer, that snoops on everyone and keeps everything tied together, and everyone runs on it, and all your government agencies run on it too, more so than you do actually because they need to see what you are all up to. But you get these articles constantly coming out, which relate to me, in my mind all they do is confirm what you already know. And it never tells you what to do about it or what to complain about or anything else, if you want to complain. Most folk won't complain because they think it's all quite normal and as long as you're good, and don't stick your head up, and you're a good communitarian, and a good member of your community, meaning talk about sports and TV and things like that, then it doesn't matter that they know all about you and what you're doing because you're making sure that you don't say anything that says, I wonder why... And that little red flag will go up when you email and say, I wonder why this is happening. They don't want to be known that they might be a thinker. Hhhhaaa, I

got one. See, there's a flag, this person is a thinker, watch them. And I'm not kidding about that.

George Orwell's 1984 is well and truly here. And Brave New World is here from Huxley. It's here. But anyway this article says:

Internet carriers may be breaching Canadian privacy laws
cbc.ca / Emily Chung, CBC News / Mar 12, 2015

The study looked at the information provided publicly by internet carriers in Canada about how they protect customers' privacy and ranked them based on 10 criteria.

Is your internet provider handing your personal information to U.S. and Canadian authorities or companies without your knowledge? (A: Well of course they are.)

A new report looks at the stated privacy practices of 43 Canadian internet service providers and finds that most of them tell very little about what they do with your information. (A: Really? We've known for years through different laws that have been passed and so on, and they pass it all along.)

In fact, "it appears that many Canadian internet carriers are in violation of their legal responsibilities" under Canadian privacy laws, says the report entitled "Keeping Internet Users in the Know or in the Dark" released today by Toronto-area researchers. (A: I'll put that study up for you too, the link to it, the PDF.)

The study was conducted by information policy researchers Andrew Clement at the University of Toronto and Jonathan Obar at the University of Ontario Institute of Technology, in collaboration with the Centre for Innovation Law and Policy.

■Read the full report [PDF]

It looks at the information provided publicly by internet service providers in Canada about how they protect customers' privacy and ranked them based on the 1 to10 criteria, including whether:

■They inform customers when third parties request their personal information. (A: Well, they don't.)

■They tell customers the circumstances under which they agree to those requests and provide customers' information to third parties. (A: So they don't tell you that either.)

■They tell customers where their personal information is stored and processed. (A: Well, they don't.)

■They try to avoid routing customers' personal information outside Canada, where it could be intercepted by U.S. authorities, and they give you for examples.

"Generally speaking, most carriers in Canada ... score quite poorly in terms of privacy transparency — an average of two out of 10 stars, which is fairly low," said Obar, an assistant professor in the Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities at UOIT in an interview with CBC News.

Among the retail ISPs that most Canadians buy their internet service from directly, the top scorer was Teksavvy, with six stars, followed by Telus with five. Rogers and Bell had middling scores of 4 and 3 respectively, while Shaw and Videotron were at the bottom, with 2 stars each.

Many smaller ISPs scored even lower — Acanac received 0 stars and Storm Internet got just half a star.

"We hope that consumers will use the star table to determine which carriers are trustworthy," Obar said.

(A: Well, since none of them are up to the 10 mark, how can you trust them to inform you at all? And it doesn't stop them from passing on the data when it's demanded from them, you see.)

The researchers also hope the report will:

■Push carriers to be more transparent. (A: Well, good luck.)

■Encourage the government to strengthen Canadian privacy laws.

(A: Well, It's the Canadian government that's at the top of all of this open grabbing of information, and the carriers themselves have to comply, because it's a law, whatever the government wants. They are licensed by the government to exist and therefore their license can get pulled, you see.)

ISPs' lack of transparency about their privacy protection is a problem, Obar said, because it makes it very hard for Canadians to know who might be handing over their data to organizations such as the U.S. National Security Agency or Canadian government agencies and take steps to protect their privacy.

(A: What steps can you take when it's a law that they must hand stuff over? What steps can you take when they've already admitted that the agencies like the NSA are grabbing stuff out of the air and they're tapped into everything? Regardless of even if the servers even know it or not.)

A recent poll commissioned by the Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada shows that Canadians are increasingly concerned about their privacy, especially how personal information about them ends up online might be used in government surveillance.

(A: Well, it's ALL used in government surveillance. I've given so many talks over the years where they've got personality profiles on all of us, and yet it's updated daily by your help in putting stuff out with your texting and your various stuff that you use on the Internet and your cell phone and so on. It's all grabbed and used and added, you see. Remember that every, any system that eventually goes into a complete totalitarian system must know everything that every person is doing at all times, to feel safe.)

The poll found most respondents are not comfortable with government departments and agencies requesting personal information from telecommunications companies without a warrant. Last year, the interim privacy commissioner showed that nine telecommunications companies received over a million requests from government departments and agencies for data about their customers.

However, the study found that no Canadian carrier has publicly committed to inform customers of all third-party data requests.

Well, we are more advanced as a democracy, they don't have to tell us anything [Alan chuckles.] because most folk don't really request our care about it. I have to tell the truth, you know, they're not involved in what's happening in their lives, they just accept that bigger minds or better minds are dealing with all the big problems in the world above themselves, and they're told to just work and play, you know. That's how it's done. As I say, I'll put up the links to the whole reports tonight on all of this to show you, if you're really interested, if it matters in fact, as to where it's all supposedly going.

I'll also put up this article too which is again blaming the consumer. The title is... How the world became addicted to debt. You see, it's all your fault. It's not the government's fault, it's your fault. It's your fault the dollar gets devalued, or the pound in Britain. It's always your fault, you see, all that rubbish. But it says...

How the world became addicted to debt
telegraph.co.uk / Mehreen Khan / 09 Mar 2015

$27 trillion. That's the amount global public debt has grown by since the financial crisis gripped the world eight years ago, according to the McKinsey Global Institute.

The map above shows the current state of government indebtedness across the globe.

By and large, the story of the world economy has been one in which emerging markets have loaded on debt (A: ...because it's forced upon them, that's part of the deal, by the first world countries.), while the developed world has struggled to reduce the burdens it amassed in the wake of banking bail-outs and years

of stagnant economic growth. (A: So the developed world, but what they don't say here is that you also have all the debts to pay off for those countries where you have forgiven the debt from the loans that you borrowed to give to them. And the taxpayer at home has to pay it off.)

Government debt in G7 countries has grown by 40 percentage points to 120pc of GDP since 2007, according to the Bank of International Settlements. (A: Who help run the world, a privately owned organization, mind you, along with the IMF, privately owned, and the World Bank, set up by again a private organization, whose membership is the Who's Who membership basically of anybody who's anybody at all.)

The Royal Institute of International Affairs with its counterparts, it's cousins, called the Council on Foreign Relations for America and other parts of the world, set up the system a long time ago to bring in this global system, where their boys will make sure they can run the world, bypass all politics, in fact they run politics, they put in the politicians to do their squabbling and their bidding, and they run the whole financial systems of the entire world, you see. That's it. But it's all your fault because there's just all this debt here, you see. It's your fault.

Another article tonight too is called:

Anti-terror bill powers 'excessive,' Canada's Privacy Commissioner says
theglobeandmail.com /March 6, 2015 / DANIEL LEBLANC

(A: Now, in British Commonwealth countries and in Britain you have Privacy Commissioners. That's someone appointed to the task in every government, even in the provincial governments too I think there's one, and one for the federal government. The Privacy Commissioner is supposed to warn the general population about something that's going to interfere with their privacy, and they are allowed to do that. But they can't, they have no say in what to do about it, and they have no force to pass anything themselves in law or even into bills in parliaments or governments. So this says the anti-terror bill powers are excessive.)

The government's anti-terrorism bill provides excessive powers to federal agencies to monitor and profile ordinary Canadians as part of the war on terror (A: See, so national security, you see.), the Privacy Commissioner is arguing.

"All Canadians – not only terrorism suspects – will be caught in this web," (A: Well, we're all caught in it. It's not will be, we are.) Daniel Therrien said in an open-letter published in The Globe and Mail. "Bill C-51 opens the door to collecting, analyzing and potentially keeping forever the personal information of all Canadians in order to find the virtual needle in the haystack. To my mind, that goes too far." (A: It's not terrorists they're looking for, folks, you know.)

(A: It shows you the different intelligence service buildings in Ottawa and stuff like that, etc. It talks about the legislation, it will give Canada spy agency more power. It says Stephen Harper says the rights of Canadians would still be protected if the bill passes, but one of the other parties, the NDP is skeptical, etc, the usual political nonsense.)

The government's hand-picked watchdog has put together a full critique of the legislation, which he will release Friday morning and discuss in front of the committee that will start studying the legislation next week.

"While the potential to know virtually everything about everyone may well identify some new threats, the loss of privacy is clearly excessive," Mr. Therrien said.

He added that Canadians have an expectation of privacy when they provide information to the government, and that the legislation fails to strike an appropriate balance between safety and privacy.

"The end result is that national security agencies would potentially be aware of all interactions that all Canadians have with their government. That would include, for example, a person's tax information and details about a person's business and vacation travel," Mr. Therrien said.

Bill C-51 would beef up the powers of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, criminalize the promotion of terrorism and provide the RCMP with new powers of preventative arrest. (A: That's like Minority Report, the movie... preventative arrest. If they suspect... Well, you might commit a crime down the road.) But the Privacy Commissioner is decrying the fact that 14 of the 17 federal agencies that are receiving "limitless" powers under C-51 are "not subject to independent oversight."

This is the ultimate wet dream of the Stasi that they had in East Germany under communism. They could never have this kind of... oh, they would lust for this kind of stuff. And here we are, we call ourselves democracies and say we're free. Doublethink, hey. I'll put this article up tonight as well because it's worth reading. But as I say, the Privacy Commissioner has no ability to force protection for the public's benefits, at all. So I really don't know why we have them. I mean, why do we have them? [Alan laughing.] Huh?

Now, I'll also put another link up tonight from Pan Am post and it says:

Canada's Terrifying Anti-Terror Bill
panampost.com / PanAm Post Staff / March 3, 2015

Spooks Need a Tighter Leash, Not C-51's Fresh Powers

In war, as the aphorism goes, truth is the first casualty. But the latest phase in Canada's war on terror targets a different victim: any sense of irony.

This became apparent on February 23, when Prime Minister Stephen Harper's government — backing a sweeping anti-terrorism bill to expand state surveillance powers and criminalize speech deemed to potentially "advocate" terrorism — closed down debate on the same bill after only three days of discussion.

Bill C-51 — drafted in response to two recent lone-wolf attacks, including one that ended in a shootout in the Ottawa House of Commons — broadens the scope of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS), including allowing it to intercept private communications with closed-door judicial authorization.

Such a strategy is already ongoing, albeit under a different agency and on more-than-dubious legal footing. Documents leaked by Edward Snowden on January 28 show that Canada's Communications Security Establishment (CSE) has already been monitoring the online downloads of millions of internet users. (A: They've been doing it for years. And did we really need Snowden to tell us this?) This followed news in April 2014 that the authorities were effectively copying themselves into Canadians' emails, and monitoring thousands of texts and phone calls without a warrant.

(A: How can you possibly say they're there to protect you, when they're not protecting you because they themselves are showing massive invasion of privacy? Without privacy you have no security.)

Apparently emulating the United States by ramping up state powers and surveillance in the name of security is not enough. Canadian officials routinely hand over CSE data to their counterparts south of the border, and they have already agreed to share citizens' biographic data with the US Border Patrol. Ontario police have even gone one step further and given confidential medical information to US officials, leading to Canadians being denied entry simply for having suffered a previous episode of mental illness.

Such tag-team abuses have a long history. In 2003, US officials intercepted and deported Canadian citizen Maher Arar to Syria from JFK Airport in New York, where he was awaiting a connection flight back from a family vacation in Tunisia. Canadian intelligence saw him held and tortured for a year, only to be found completely innocent.

Well that doesn't... you know, the proles don't count, hey. Sad isn't it? But it's all here, folks, you know. When you have all this here there's only one thing you can really know for sure, it's going to get worse. I'm not kidding you, it's going to get a lot worse. And personally I don't think you can change it. I don't think there's enough motivation from the public, again, who have accepted the premise that if we are good nobody's going to bother us, so I'll be good, I'll say all the right things to say, I'll accept my PC updates and new normals, and that will be my new opinions and everything, the new normals, I'll be good, I'll be left

alone. And it's working awfully well. I concluded that that would happen a long, long time ago.

And this article here from the BBC's says:

MSPs debate 'super ID database' plans
bbc.com / 4 March 2015

MSPs have voted for full parliamentary scrutiny of plans to allow more than 100 public bodies to access personal data through an individual's NHS (A: National Health Service.) number. (A: That's going to be used with Obama Care too. It's not Obama of course that's behind it all. It was all set up long before, to get implemented long before he came along, it was just time to do it. You see, we're run according to a big business plan and they actually have the year stamp when they're going to introduce different things, it doesn't matter who's in power.)

The proposals would see organisations such as HMRC being able to see certain data on the NHS Central Register (NHSCR).

Opponents said the move amounted to identity cards "by the back door". (A: It doesn't matter what cards you have, it's all ID, and they're into everything.)

The Scottish government insisted privacy would be protected. (A: Oohhhhhh, good God hey.)

MSPs voted 65 to 60 in favour of a motion by Scottish Liberal Democrat leader Willie Rennie, as amended by the government.

It was one of the closest votes at Holryood for some time.

Everyone born in Scotland or registered with a GP (A: ...a general practitioner.) north of the border has a Unique Citizen Reference Number (UCRN) held in the NHSCR.

[Alan chuckles.] Why do people ever, ever believe what any politician or bureaucrat, whose the public relations spokesperson who knows how to bend... they're taught how to bend facts and rules and that to make it more citizen-friendly, so you don't get upset when they tell you something. Why you believe anything they tell you? Huh? When will we all grow up? Well, that won't happen, folks, not all of us can grow up.

Another article too and it says:

Snowden files: Inside Waihopai's domes
stuff.co.nz / NICKY HAGER AND RYAN GALLAGHER / March 8 2015

The Waihopai intelligence base looks oddly alien and out of place: huge white "golf ball" radomes like a moon station and silent buildings within two fences of razor wire, all dropped in the midst of vineyards and dry hills in New Zealand's Marlborough landscape.

(A: Now, I think I mentioned this years ago when Canada came out with... Whenever they come out and tell you something that' you're obviously going to see, these big massive... literally they're like huge, I don't know how many stories high they are, golf balls just sitting ominously there. They said it was for the occasional radar use, which of course was the cover story. They also said it would... And a lot of them were also put on areas where the government already had for weather collection data, different instruments that have been set up. But then they put big fences around the weather collection data places, very high-security type things, and said no one is to be allowed on it. Because they were also, ha, they were also going to get photons coming in from outer space and they could detect them landing on the planet, so it had to be awfully sensitive, any footsteps from the public going across that land was going to interfere with all their data. And it had nothing to do with what they were telling us. It was all to do with the NSA and the Five Eyes, and I mentioned that years ago, it just made perfect sense, and here it is out now. And across New Zealand, Australia and different places, and different places in Canada we have these big massive golf balls,

and they have them in the States as well.)

Documents about the Waihopai station leaked by US National Security Agency (NSA) whistleblower Edward Snowden show that the facility is as alien as is seems.

Everything inside the top secret station except the staff is foreign.

The electronic eavesdropping systems, the computer programmes that automatically index and search the captured communications, and the databases where details of a whole region's communications are stored: they are all standardised parts of the global surveillance system run by the NSA.

The Waihopai base functions as a cog in that spying machine, the alliance's main eye on the South Pacific region.

The Sunday Star-Times analysed the documents in collaboration with US news website The Intercept, which obtained them from Snowden. The leaked files reveal in unprecedented detail the New Zealand-based station's targets, inner workings and links to the international network of spy facilities run by the Five Eyes.

READ THE FILES IN FULL:
(A: It's got all the different fancy names, James Bondish type names for the different things that are for the different areas which they look into of course. It all sounds very, oh James Bondish, etc, etc.)

Altogether, these bases can snoop on the entire world, friend as well as foe. (A: And they do it all without any... never mind the Privacy Commissioner or permission and so on, it does it without any permission at all. They've been doing it for years.)

The leaked documents do not talk about "Waihopai". They use the station's secret Five Eyes code name Ironsand ("IS"). It's not clear why Waihopai is Ironsand.

The Five Eye spy bases around the world. (A: These are the five main countries involved.)

An NSA map shows it is one of a global network of oddly-named satellite interception stations. These stations are the eyes of the Five Eyes alliance.

Australia has a base near Geraldton, a small port city on the west coast of Australia. Its codename is Stellar.

(A: And it goes into all the different codenames and all the rest of it, which are all very, oh, that's the Stellar base, oooooh. Britain has one in Kenya called Scalpel, oooooh, it cuts into things, cuts through all this data and grabs what they want.)

The British station in Oman has the codename Snick. Britain's Kenya base is known as Scapel. Britain also spies on satellites from Carboy, a station in Cornwall, and from a base in Cyprus called Sounder.

(A: It gives you the American equivalent bases too.)
The American equivalents of Waihopai are Jackknife in Washington State on the Pacific coast, Timberline in West Virginia and Coraline in Puerto Rico in the Caribbean. The biggest of these is the Moonpenny base in Harrogate, Yorkshire. (A: ...and so on and so on.)

Well, this stuff is... What do you expect? What you expect with the system we're in? Remember, according to the Club of Rome, a big think tanks that works for the United Nations and for all the big boys, they were given the task of finding ways to change your whole way of living back in the 70s. They were given the task of finding how to take all the rights away from the public. And they actually said, they stated, so we hit upon the idea of global warming, famine, drought and the like, that would fit the bill. Man-made global warming, that would fit the bill, folks.

Because you see, we have to go into a post-Democratic world down the road. You might think it's

democracy as long as you can vote local people in, and they'll all be strangers of course that you don't know anyway because that's how it's always been. But as long as you can vote you'll still call it Democratic to an extent. But the old idea of what a democracy was is all getting brushed under the carpet, and eventually one day it will be swept out of the house altogether. And as we accept the new normal. And people will adapt to the new normals all the time.

You have no privacy whatsoever. And it's to get worse and worse and worse because they must know everything about you every minute of the day. And they must know even what little organizations you belong to, even poetry clubs, everything. What kind of poetry do you read? Is it subversive poetry? Is it opera that you're into? And some of the operatics of course in the last few centuries were actually for revolution purposes, for instance. You're not safe in anything at all. And you just might like the actual songs themselves, not the content, or the reasons that they were put out. So really, there's no safe thing anywhere. And if you have your own opinions about things, well, you're definitely not safe at all. If you're a thinker you're flagged already. They all have every thinker flagged, folks, it's not a matter of being good.

You know, in the Soviet system I've mentioned how they would set people up, ordinary individuals up, ordinary citizens, working-class, it didn't make any difference, where you would witness what would be one of the mandates of a crime under the system, it would be labeled as a crime. For instance, just to speak out and call the damn system we're living under as nasty or whatever, that was a crime; that was antigovernment, they called it. And you might agree with them and say nothing, but if you didn't report it then you'd be put off yourself to be tortured and questioned and to see why. Even if it was a set up to see who would report it and who wouldn't, and they would put a lot of spies out to do just that very thing. No one can be safe in such a system.

And the sad truth is there's never, ever, ever, in history, the lack of the enablers to tyrants, the people who carry the spears. Today it's carrying the guns and the weaponry and handcuffs and everything. There's no lack of people who'll make it all happen, as it gets worse and worse and worse. It's a sad comment on humanity, isn't it? Sad, sad comment. And everybody will say as they've always said down through history, right up to the Nuremberg trial, we're just following orders.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Why Canadians should stop stressing about an economy that is stuck in second gear

Canadian household debt burden hits record 163%

Internet carriers may be breaching Canadian privacy laws

Keeping Internet Users in the Know or the Dark

Food Stamp Beneficiaries Exceed 46,000,000 for 40 Straight Months

From bust to boom: How the world became addicted to debt

Anti-terror bill powers 'excessive,' Canada's Privacy Commissioner says

Canada's Terrifying Anti-Terror Bill

MSPs debate 'super ID database' plans

Snowden files: Inside Waihopai's domes

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 22, 2015 (#1495)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Bill C-51 and Reign of Terror?
History Shows Us Law is Used, Now don't Forget,
For Tyrants to Harm Those They're Meant to Protect"
© Alan Watt March 22, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 15, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 22, 2015. I'm sure all those south of the border there are getting happier and happier by the day as spring seems to be coming into view. And up here where I am it's still darned cold and lots of snow, and it snowed again last night just to prove the point. But at least it's getting a bit milder at night, it's still going to hit into the sub zeros tomorrow and the next day or so. But who knows, over the next week or two it should start to improve. And there's actually some very hazy chem-sprayed sunlight coming through now so it cheers up the situation a little bit for a lot of people up this way.

But you know, I've talked for years and years about the big agenda and to show you that you're living through planned script basically, an agenda. I've gone through the documentation of world planners, the big groups involved, the private clubs in fact, who also have many members within parliaments and congresses across the world, and they have members all through the bureaucracies too. That's very important, bureaucracies, to make sure that they are given their agendas too. They all must work independently of politicians to an extent in specialist departments because the heads of those departments are well schooled in what their big agenda is for their working lifetime. They hire and work and retire, and higher and work and retire, for generations.

And that's how foundations also work, the big private foundations that were put up by the big banks, private bankers and corporations who decided to use our tax money, the tax-free money that is because they have an exemption as a charitable system basically, to create nongovernmental organizations which they can then use to push, from the public's perspective it seems to come from the grassroots, and they push and demand from government to have certain laws passed, that simply coincides with the big agenda of course. The

Greening agenda, the global warming agenda, the climate change agenda, and all these different agendas, and Agenda 21, are all under the umbrella of the United Nations, which was set up, remember, by a private organization of the extremely rich people of their day.

Now, these big foundations do the same thing. They hire, they work a life's career within these foundations, many of the people, and they retire. Hire, work and retire. So they can set an agenda 100, 200 years ago and continue the same policy and goals down through centuries until they achieve the goals. Different from, say, the art of politics, as far as the public perceive it, where parties are simply voted in and out, and in and out and so on, never even knowing that the leaders of the parties all belong to the same private club. So everything is managed, naturally, if you want to bring in your particular view of the world, under control from birth to death for every citizen, with eugenics systems on the go and big plans with who breeds with whom, if they can breed at all, and give licenses to do so, all these plans, etc., and eventually creating humanoids, cyborg types, and then into the full genetic creations of the new kind of Superman, for the upper elite that is, then you must control every facet of society and culture. And culture of course is very important. The culture industry updates your culture and you copy it. You copy the words, the terms that are put out there within the movies, never realizing you're being conditioned to accept things that if you were really, really thinking about it and rational about it and reasoned through it all, you wouldn't simply accept them. You're preprogrammed to accept what comes down the pike in reality.

Also tonight I'm going to touch on another topic that I talked about years ago. I mentioned I think back in 2005 or 2006 I'd never touched a computer in my life. I had been on the radio long before but I had never touched a computer. Because I knew the goal of the computer, it was eventually to monitor every single person, all their email and what they're chatting about and so on, and also that governments would use supercomputers, which they boasted about back in the 90s, the big Cray computers, to gather all data and information about every single individual in real time. So I knew it was for the agenda's sake and not for our sake. Their little window of opportunity, as it's called, where people could chat back and forth, has been carefully monitored and scrutinize from the very, very beginning, laws or no laws, I don't care what they say actually.

You'll find that one or two years ago Brzezinski, at one of the CFR meetings, the Council on Foreign Relations, said that the people were becoming politicized, in other words they were becoming aware, consciously aware of the big geopolitical programs that were going on and agendas put out by, worked in fact, by many members of the Council on Foreign Relations/ Trilateral Commission and so on. That got out a cry at the time for one of the Rockefellers who wanted a bill to get through to censor the Internet. Now, these boys don't put ideas forward and then forget them because they're going to get backlash. They simply go back to the drawing table and find other ways to bring it in. And under the guise of antiterrorism they have changed the whole system. And you can't argue with it because it's to keep you safe, that's the excuse. And that's the plausible excuse. Remember, for everything that happens in politics there's a good reason, and then there's a real reason. You're always given the good reason but you're never given the real reason. And the same thing goes on here.

Obviously information has always been controlled. That's why folk were kept in absolute illiteracy for centuries, in fact, in many countries because knowledge is power. And the communication from old books and so on, ideas from even ancient Greece for instance, could be used to radicalize people, at least that's what was thought at the time by those in control, and bring their systems down. So they wanted the people to be illiterate, especially the ones who were at the bottom of the ladder, who had very little and worked the hardest of course.

Because everything in our system, like Marx said, which was one of the... Don't ever throw things out the window, even Marx said a lot of truths; they had to have self-evident truths to get people on board, and that's what they always use, different groups. Marx said that, all wealth comes from the workers, the people's labor, from labor itself, and it simply goes up the ladder and gets sold many times and so on, to the finished produce, and then it's taxed, and then the worker is taxed again too now. So, we are the business. I've always said that, we are the business, the public are the business.

So anyway, I always knew that the Internet would come to an end through the guises it's going through, and I'll be touching on that tonight too because it's all very real, all the laws are being passed. And laws or

no laws, they've already been doing it regardless. This metadata stuff is utter rubbish, always was rubbish. Which means... Well, they're collecting the metadata but it's only on who you contact, when you contacted them, and so on, who contacted you. Which is rubbish. They've been collecting the contents, the entire contents of telephone calls and emails and texting, all along... all along. Naturally, they would never ever forego the opportunity to know everything about you, and they certainly do.

And now an old... a technique is coming in, and it works awfully, awfully well. It's been in place for a while but it can be used across the board, and it's definitely going to be used on the Internet now, where you self-police yourself. When you put a camera up in the streets, for instance, they know this from many, many, many repeated experiments. Your behavior, if you are on camera your behavior will change when you know you're on camera. You will behave less spontaneously, you are aware you're being watched and you self-police yourself. It's a term used at the United Nations, they even used it that the League of Nations before it became the United Nations, of that's how they would train the public, constant, lifelong education also includes self-policing. The other part of that starts in youth where they use cognitive and neuroscience, behaviorism to condition the children into Pavlovian responses to certain topics and so on, so they simply get set back to what is called their default position, they won't even look into something. Even if they feel uncomfortable with it, whatever that something happens to be, they feel more uncomfortable going against their indoctrination. So never ignore these sciences and think that it doesn't affect you. It affects everybody, believe you me.

Now, the old group that was behind so much of what happened in the 1800s through the 1900s, into the 20th century, in the early 20th century it was called the Lord Alfred Milner group. They had different names of course, they didn't want their name to be known to the general public and they had what is called Roundtable societies, which they would bring in future leaders in all different areas of commerce and even local governments, up to the federal government positions, in different countries. They eventually became the Royal Institute of International Affairs, another private group made up of elitists' sons and top bankers of the day, international lenders that lent to whole nations. Their idea was to take over the wealth of all countries and bring in a world system under their control. They set up the Council on Foreign Relations for the US, the same organization actually as the Royal Institute of International Affairs. And they set up the League of Nations and the United Nations too, to do their bidding basically, where they could bring people in for conferences and gradually, through treaties, merge them together into trading blocs, and then into a global world system, with a Parliament which, again, would be under the control of themselves and the magnates, the power magnets and financiers of their time, right down to the present day.

It hasn't stopped. It has almost achieved its goal. They would use war and catastrophes and so on to their advantage. That's where the phrase comes from, how can we use this catastrophe or crisis to our advantage? And they certainly understand the science of doing this because they've done it all along. In their own private documentation, their own private archives for history, because they have a different version of history, because they know what was behind different things like the Boer war and World War I and so on. Professor Carroll Quigley was given access to them as their historian, for a while. He wrote in his own books, which were published, that this group had existed for an awful long time, every president and prime minister really since the late 1800s belong to this private organization, of all parties, it doesn't matter what party you vote in.And he said that, their goals were, he believed in their goals, Quigley himself believed in their goals, he just disagreed with some of the means by which they achieve their goals. Now, he also said in The Anglo-American Establishment, that they had been responsible for the Boer war, getting that all kicked off to take over all the land, that became Rhodesia, South Africa, and the gold and diamonds and so on, resources, wealth and power, because wealth to them is power you see. It hasn't stopped today, now it's oil and everything else, all the minerals, etc.

So it's a very old agenda, run by a private organization at the top. It runs both the left wing, the Fabian Society groups, and the right wing groups. That way when you want to try to oppose something... Most folk will join a group that exists to oppose them, and they are ready made for you like a tailor-made suit, you just slip it on and become one of the group, you see, not realizing that the one at the top has a different agenda from all the followers. That's standard procedure, standard. Even Lenin said the same thing for the Soviet system, the Bolshevik system, how to defuse all other conflicting parties, or similar parties, when they first got in and they're coming into office as the Bolshevik party. He said, what we do is supply the leaders and create the parties. Or, if they don't exist create them and put your leaders in. If they already exist

simply get your own guys in at the top, and then you can lead them wherever you want to. And that's all happened, you see. Old techniques, very old, much older than Lenin, of course. Machiavelli basically did it awfully, awfully well, as a study course on how, a how-to for tyrants basically, [Alan chuckles.], and for those that want to secretively take over systems, and whole peoples, and their rights in fact taken away from them too, under very good sounding guises at times.

Therefore the world is living in an agenda. A crisis or the threat of crisis has to exist. If there's no real facts for the threat you create bogus stuff for the facts, and you get lots of scientists on board who all agree on it. And you can't argue with science, right, that's what you're taught, and therefore you go along with whatever they say, to get a whole agenda through. Now, long ago, back in the days when HG Wells and George Bernard Shaw and others belonged to the Fabian Society, the left-wing party for the Royal Institute of International Affairs, they also set up the world Parliament Association, world parliamentarians. You can even find it in much older poetry in fact by top poets about the world parliamentarians, the Parliament of the World. They believed that they could, again, achieve this goal of controlling the whole planet, and its wealth, and all of its people's, by creating a world Parliament. Another branch of it was called the Federalist Society. They are both on the go today, still. Many associations, well-financed, they're always working quietly, day and night, generation after generation, to achieve their objectives. Then they have sub parties underneath it, subgroups that deal... big ones too mind you. They deal with the unification of the Americas, the Council of America's for instance. And of course they had the ones for Europe, the total integration of Europe. All created by a group at the top a long time ago, the Lord Alfred Milner group that became the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Now, tonight I'm going to put up links, for instance, to different articles. The first one is this one here and it says:

Call for a World Parliament reinforced at second Global Week of Action
unpacampaign.org/news/759.php

(Alan: And this is from the United Nations, and the campaign for a United Nations.)

Citizens in over forty locations around the world celebrate second Global Week of Action for a World Parliament

Between the 17th and 26th of October, the call for the establishment of a democratically elected global parliament took center stage again at events and actions around the world held on the occasion of the second Global Week of Action for a World Parliament. The week of action's announcement declares that people across the world feel to be voiceless in global decision-making. It says that "world's citizens (A: ...like the world citizens Association...) have little influence on decisions taken at institutions such as the UN, the IMF, the WTO, the World Bank, the G20 or the G8." (A: Actually, you have no say in the UN, or the IMF, a private organization, the WTO, a private organization. All of the world groups, the World Bank and so on, the G20 and the G8, were set up and all their outlines for their existence chartered and drawn up by the CFR, the American branch, or the Canadian branch, or the Australian branch, or whatever, or the British branch, of the Royal Institute of International Affairs.) Further it states that the governments represented in these bodies are "often being influenced heavily by the lobbyists of global corporations." (A: See, the people are aware of that, again, they have become politically awakened, you see. So here's their way to get around that, but making you think they're doing something about it.) An elected world parliament, by contrast, "would be an instrument to find and implement solutions that are democratic, accountable and serve the best interest of humanity." (A: Well, who decides what the best interests of humanity is? They're all on board with the idea of science running the whole show, folks. All of them, it doesn't matter what side or wing you take it from, it's always the same agenda, and always lovely sounding things, you know.)

To underpin this message, citizens came together in over forty locations around the world and displayed banners with the week's slogan "World Parliament Now!". Panel discussions on the subject were held in Buenos Aires, Argentina, Berlin, Germany, Rajkot, India, Verona, Italy, Kigali, Rwanda, and Gothenburg, Sweden. At the event in Berlin, the global coordinator of the international campaign for a UN Parliamentary Assembly, Andreas Bummel, said that it was high time to recognize that "global governance isn't working". (A: ...meaning, the way it is right now.) "It is not working because it is not delivering the results quickly enough to ensure a decent life for every human being and for a transition to a sustainable global civilization. (A: So you're back to the sustainable part too. You have the usual, the age in transition, this is the

transitory age, you see, as they push through their whole century of change agenda, which again, came out of the top boys, the same group at the top.) At the same time, the system is deeply undemocratic. Both of these problems are interlinked," he stated.

"It has been a successful action week with significant events and powerful photos from around the world. Step by step our message is gaining ground. And next year even more people will join us in our call for global democracy and universal peace and justice," the week's coordinator Petter Ölmunger concluded.

So I'll put this link up tonight for those who care it all to look into it. Most folk today don't want to look into things because they think anything... They've been trained, actually, as Brzezinski said in the 1970s, that their reasoning will be done by the media. In other words, you look to the media to do your thinking and reasoning for you, and most folk do. They prattle on one day what they've heard on the news the previous day. And if the media tells you to worry about prostitutes or young girls being turned to prostitution in Nigeria or somewhere, it's on the lips of everyone the next day. But anything that really matters to you, or your purse, or livelihood or anything, the media won't mention it. So if they don't mention it, you see, you won't worry about it. The media has to say, be concerned, be very very concerned. If they don't say that most folk will think, well if it's really dangerous to me or my way of living they would tell me. That's how the people think, that the media is there to do their reasoning for them.

Now, this article here talks about:

'Freedom in jeopardy':
Thousands rally across Canada against new anti-terror law (A: ...because now it's time to rope in the Internet, obviously.)
rt.com / March 15, 2015

Thousands of demonstrators have united across Canada to take action against proposed anti-terrorism legislation known as Bill C-51, which would expand the powers of police and the nation's spy agency, especially when it comes to detaining terror suspects.

Organizers of the 'Day of Action' said that "over 70 communities" across Canada were planning to participate on Saturday, according to StopC51.ca. (A: Now, on this particular article too, which I'll put up the link tonight, you'll get other links within the actual article itself.)

The biggest gatherings were reported in Montreal, Toronto, Vancouver, Ottawa and Halifax.

"I'm really worried about democracy, this country is going in a really bad direction, [Prime Minister Stephen] Harper is taking it in a really bad direction," protester Stuart Basden from Toronto, the Canadian city which saw hundreds of people come out, told The Star.

"Freedom to speak out against the government is probably in jeopardy...even if you're just posting stuff online you could be targeted, so it's a really terrifying bill," Basden added.

The ruling Conservative government tabled the legislation back in January, arguing that the new law would improve the safety of Canadians.

Anti terror bill is labeled as being 'too vague'

Demonstrators across the nation held signs and chanted against the bill, which they believe violates Canadian civil liberties and online privacy rights. (A: I really don't think you have any privacy rights at all, as it is, and as it has been from the beginning.)

Protester Holley Kofluk told CBC News that the legislation "lacked specificity...it's just so much ambiguity, it leaves people open and vulnerable."

One of the protest organizers in Collingwood, Jim Pinkerton, shared with QMI Agency that he would like to see the Canadian government "start over with Bill C-51 with proper safeguards and real oversight."

“We need CSIS to be accountable. (A: That's the security intelligence agency.) It’s not OK for CSIS to act as the police, which is what’s indicated in Bill C-51. We need accountability and Canadians deserve that,” Pinkerton said.

The Day of Action is being backed by more than 30 civil liberties groups, including Amnesty International Canada, LeadNow, OpenMedia, Canadian Journalists for Free Expression, the Council for Canadians, and others.

One of the biggest concerns the new legislation raises is the additional powers it grants to police and Canada’s spy agency – the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) – by increasing information sharing and allowing detention on mere suspicion.

“This bill disproportionately targets indigenous communities, environmental activists, dissidents, and Muslims, many of whom are already subjected to questionable and overreaching powers by security officials, and will make it easier and ostensibly lawful for government to continue infringing upon the rights of peaceful people,” StopC51.ca said.

The government ‘rejects the argument’

A spokesman for Public Safety Minister Steven Blaney, Jeremy Laurin, spoke in support of the bill on Saturday, telling CBC News that the government “rejects the argument that every time we talk about security, our freedoms are threatened.” (A: What an awful thing to say, that, or a statement like that, if you understand history at all.)

“Canadians understand that their freedom and security go hand in hand and expect us to protect both, and there are safeguards in this legislation to do exactly that,” Laurin said.

Blaney’s parliamentary secretary, Roxanne James, also issued comments of support, saying she was happy to answer any questions or concerns about the proposed law.

“Most people across Canada believe that if one branch of government comes across information pertinent to the national security of this country and the safety and security of our citizens, then that branch of government should be able to relay that information to our national security agencies,” James said. “That is precisely what Bill C-51 would do, and I was pleased to be able to answer those concerns.”

So, it's all coming down, obviously. But if you look at the old ideas, that I mentioned at the beginning, of the Alfred Milner group which became the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations/Trilateral commission and so on, they themselves already had information to an extent sewn up back in their day. Quigley even admits that too in his books, because the members of it were all the media moguls too and they were always putting false information into the newspapers for their own particular purposes and to sway the opinion of the general population. So naturally when you go into the age of television and the Internet it's the same bunch who still control it. Separate information from a big power would be very, very dangerous to anyone's particular agenda. So it's quite natural that they simply keep at it and at it, and make sure that whatever information is out there to the public is what they desire to be out there. Generally it's never complete information of course, and also a lot of it is simply omitted, the rest of the story is simply omitted which leads you to the wrong conclusion, which to them is the right conclusion that they want you to have. It's quite easy, isn't it?

This other article here from the Toronto Star says the Canadian Security Intelligence Service:

CSIS highlights white supremacist threat ahead of radical Islam
thestar.com / Alex Boutilier Staff Reporter / Mar 15 2015

(A: ...AHEAD of radical Islam . This is amazing. But again, getting back to the Royal Institute of International Affairs, they created the term ‘the British Commonwealth’, ‘the Commonwealth of Nations’. It sounded better than ‘the Empire’ or ‘Dominion’. So they created that term, and they still have that

organization on the go today. Because this organization at the top has members throughout all of the political establishment and the commercial elitist establishment of the Commonwealth Nations. Whenever they passed one thing in one country you'll see it's being passed in another, under a different name, but it's the same thing. And they are doing it all over the British Commonwealth system. So here you have, this stuff getting thrown in here too.)

Political ideas behind lone wolf attacks “run the gamut,” say internal CSIS documents, but they’re likelier found in the radical right-wing than in radical Islam.

Ziyaad Mia, of the Canadian Muslim Lawyers Association, says anti-Muslim sentiment in Canada is a growing concern and "some of this xenophobia is being stoked by political leaders."

OTTAWA—“Lone wolf” attacks more often come from white supremacists and extreme right-wing ideologies than from Islamic radicalism, internal CSIS documents say.

Citing recent academic research, the unclassified documents note extreme right-wing and white supremacist ideology has been the “main ideological source” for 17 per cent of so-called lone wolf attacks worldwide.

Islamic extremism accounted for 15 per cent of such attacks, the document noted, while left-wing extremism and “black power” groups followed with 13 per cent. Anti-abortion activism (8 per cent) and nationalism/separatism (7 per cent) rounded out the list, while in 40 per cent of cases there was no clear ideological motivation.

“Lone actors tend to create their own ideologies that combine personal frustrations and grievances, with wider political, social, or religious issues,” note the documents prepared for Michael Peirce, assistant director of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.

“This study confirms that the lone actor terrorism runs the gamut of ideological persuasions.”

The documents, obtained by the Star under access to information law, were prepared for Peirce’s appearance before a Senate committee on national security in October. His testimony came five days after Michael Zehaf-Bibeau fatally shot Cpl. Nathan Cirillo at the National War Memorial.

In a video recorded minutes before the shooting, Zehaf-Bibeau claimed his actions were in retaliation for Canada’s combat missions in Afghanistan and, more recently, in Iraq.

Zehaf-Bibeau’s attack came days after Martin Couture-Rouleau ran down Warrant Officer Patrice Vincent in St-Jean-sur-Richelieu. Authorities said Couture-Rouleau was frustrated after he was refused a passport, allegedly to travel to Syria and fight for the Islamic State.

These two attacks have focused the Canadian conversation about domestic terrorism on radical Islam to the exclusion of other potential threats. The Conservative government has repeatedly referred to the attacks to sell its new anti-terror legislation, which would give Canada’s spies police-like powers to “disrupt” threats.

Other Canadian lone wolf attacks, such as Justin Bourque’s shooting rampage in Moncton last June that left three RCMP officers dead, were not automatically branded as terrorism.

After three people were arrested last month in an alleged plot to open fire in the Halifax Shopping Centre, Justice Minister Peter MacKay called them “murderous misfits” — not terrorists — because their attacks were not “culturally motivated.” When reporters later asked MacKay to explain what he considers terrorism, he encouraged them to “look it up” in the Criminal Code.

But Prime Minister Stephen Harper has often referred to the threat of Islamic extremism in the House of Commons, and once mentioned the “jihadist monster” whose “tentacles” reached the Parliament buildings. (A: They actually have links to his different statements and so on in the Toronto Star.)

The CSIS documents explicitly warn that the notion the Western world is at war with Islam plays into

terrorist recruitment strategies.

“International terrorist groups place a high priority on radicalizing Westerners who can be used to carry out terrorist acts in their home countries,” the documents read. “The narrative that the West is at war with Islam continues to exert a very powerful influence in radicalizing individuals and spreads quickly through social media and online fora.”

Other CSIS documents, obtained by The Canadian Press, warned the Conservatives last September that there is an emerging anti-Islam movement in Canada, similar to movements in Europe.

And it goes on and on talking about this particular problem. But this is the story that they're giving you in The Star, which always goes left wing and so on. That's its job, because they always give you a Star and a Sun, which goes the other way. Or Mercury, you see. So they have different papers that give you different ideologies because everything is catered to. Every group you could possibly believe you belong to is catered to by a particular newspaper, that's how it's run. Even the magazines too, Harper’s magazine, for instance, was put out and why it was put out and what target group they were putting it out to inform, misinform, whatever it happened to be. That's how information is always used of course.

Now, this article is very good, well written. This article is by Clayton Ruby who is a top lawyer for Canada, for top cases. I think this is his partner too in the business, Nader R. Hasan. And this is put out by Global Research, which if you go into their history is also a left-wing group, Global Research. I think one of the leaders of it actually attends and talks to the world's Marxist associations whenever they have their international meetings, things like that. But the article itself is excellent, by Clayton Ruby, the lawyer. I'll read some of this and it says:

Canada’s “AntiTerrorism” Bill C-51: A Legal Primer, Expands the Powers of Canada’s Spy Agency, Allows Arrest on Mere Suspicion
globalresearch.ca / March 15, 2015 / Clayton Ruby and Nader R. Hasan

Six Muslim young adults stand in front of a mosque late at night in heated discussion in some foreign language. They may be debating the merits of a new Drake album. They may be talking about video games, or sports, or girls, or advocating the overthrow of the Harper government. Who knows? There is no evidence one way or the other. Just stereotypes. But the new standard for arrest and detention—reason to suspect that they may commit an act—is so low that an officer may be inclined to arrest and detain them in order to investigate further. And now, officers will no longer need to ask themselves whether the arrest is necessary. They could act on mere suspicion that an arrest is likely to prevent any terrorist activity. Yesterday, the Muslim men were freely exercising constitutional rights to freedom of expression and assembly. Today they are to be arrested.

Overview: The Anti-Terrorism Act

Bill C-51, the Anti-Terrorism Act, 2015, would expand the powers of Canada’s spy agency, allow Canadians to be arrested on mere suspicion of future criminal activity, (A: It’s like Minority Report, the movie, isn't it?) allow the Minister of Public Safety to add Canadians to a “no-fly list” with illusory rights of judicial review, (A: In other words, there's no real judicial review.) and, perhaps most alarmingly, create a new speech-related criminal offence of “promoting” or “advocating” terrorism. These proposed laws are misguided, and many of them are likely also unconstitutional. The bill ought to be rejected as a whole. Repair is impossible.

(A: And I think he's right on that, you would have to reject the whole thing. Because generally they bring out this same tactic over and over. It's like the gas prices, they say, oh gas prices are going to jump by $.40 or $.50. and everybody mumbles and grumbles, oh my God and so on. And they give it a week or two. The first statement is a trial balloon to see your reaction, and according to your reaction on the Internet and so on and yapping amongst yourselves, which they have all the data of, instant surveys are done with percentages of who is against it and who is going to be ticked off and so on, then they lower it proportionately according to what you will accept. So when they put it up only $.15, you say, oh thank God for that. Well, it's the same with these bills too. They often give you the whole shebang to start with, which

are terrifying in their completeness actually, and they'll bring it back with certain, again, very, ha, I almost call it mystical wording, which allows them to still go ahead with the same things they wanted to do from the very beginning. It's all done in courts, isn't it, what they say and the words that they use and how they are going to reinterpret it over and over. Like the American Constitution is always getting reinterpreted by lawyers.)

The bill ought to be rejected as a whole. (A: That's the only way you can do that. They can't accept it or have some compromise.)

New offence of promoting terrorism

Bill C-51 creates a new criminal offence that likely violates s. 2(b) of the Charter. (A: That's your charter of rights for Canadians.) Newly proposed s. 83.221 of the Criminal Code provides as follows:

Every person who, by communication statements (A: ...now, how vague is that...), knowingly advocates or promotes the commission of terrorism offences in general (A: Now, terrorism itself is very vague because that can be expanded, and it is being expanded, to include anything at all. Words you use, terms you use, all these things which are outlawed in Canada you can't use. It's been that way for a long time. Again, you go back to self-policing and you get trained and trained and trained throughout your life.)—other than an offence under this section—while knowing that any of those offences will be committed or being reckless as to whether any of those offences may be committed, (A: [Alan laughing.] How vague can you get...) as a result of such communication, is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years.

The new offence will bring within its ambit all kinds of innocent speech, some of which no doubt lies at the core of freedom of expression values that the Charter was meant to protect. As Professors Kent Roach and Craig Forcese point out, the new offence would sweep within its net the following scenario:

Take just one hypothetical: An academic or foreign affairs columnists opines “we should provide resources to Ukrainian insurgencies who are targeting Russian oil infrastructure, in an effort to increase the political cost of Russian intervention in Ukraine.” The speaker says this knowing that her audience includes support groups who may be sending money to those opposing Russian intervention.

Providing resources to a group, one of whose purposes is a “terrorist activity,” is a terrorism offence. And causing substantial property damage or serious interference with an essential service or system for a political reason and in a way that endangers life, to compel a government to do something, is a “terrorist activity.” This is so even if it takes place abroad. So a criminal prosecution of the columnist in the hypothetical situation described above is a real possibility under the new law. It is constitutionally unacceptable and dangerous.

The new offence is broader than existing terrorism offences in the Criminal Code in that it does not require an actual terrorist purpose. So someone can be guilty of this offence—like the columnist—despite completely innocent purposes, such as attempting to provoke democratic debate (A: ...that may be your intention...), or proposing a solution to an intractable international conflict. The speaker’s purpose does not matter; they are liable if they are reckless as to the risk that a listener “may” thereafter commit an unspecified terrorism offence.

Criminal culpability would extend beyond the speaker of the impugned words. Like all criminal offences, a person can be guilty if they aid or abet the individual who actually commits the offence. Not only the columnist, but also their editors, publishers and research assistants become criminals.

It should be noted that there are other “promoting” and “advocating” offences in the Criminal Code. The Code contains a prohibition on willful promotion of hatred. It also contains a prohibition on advocating sexual activity with underage children. But hate propaganda and sexual activity with underage children are much narrower than the vague reference to “terrorism offences in general.” In addition, unlike willful promotion of hatred, which contains an express exception for communications made in private, the proposed new offence can be applied to statements made in private. (A: That also means your phone calls, folks, and

everything else.) This is all the more concerning given the Canadian Security Intelligence Service's (CSIS) expansive anti-terror wiretap and surveillance powers.

Another truly bizarre aspect of the new offence is the use of the term "terrorism offences in general—other than an offence under this section." The Criminal Code already contains 14 broadly worded terrorism-related offences. "Terrorism activity" is a defined term under s. 83.01 of the Criminal Code, but this is broader. It applies to more speech than speech advocating or promoting terrorist activity, or the 14 terrorism offences in the Criminal Code. The new offence is meant to include speech promoting and advocating "terrorism in general," a deliberately opaque and unknowable term. (A: And that's why it is that way, it's because they can reinterpret it depending on if they want to get a person in the slammer or not.)

Even if the government exercises restraint in laying charges and arresting people, the result is an inevitable chill on speech. Students will think twice before posting an article on Facebook questioning military action against insurgents overseas. Journalists will be wary of questioning government decisions to add groups to Canada's list of terrorist entities.

(A: In other words, you're getting back to self-policing. And just like being on camera changes your behavior, now once you know this and they get a few suspects to start with, as warnings to everybody else, and that is to make you self-police yourself. This is how these things work out. These are all thought out in think tanks long before they put it all down in words.)

New CSIS powers

CSIS was created in 1984 by an Act of Parliament. To that point, security intelligence in Canada was the purview of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) Security Service. However, in the 1970s there were allegations that the RCMP Security Service had been involved in numerous illegal activities. In 1977, as a result of these allegations, Justice David McDonald was appointed to investigate. The McDonald Commission published its final report in 1981, with its main recommendation being that security intelligence work should be separated from policing, and that a civilian intelligence agency should be created to take over from the RCMP Security Service. CSIS was created to be that civilian intelligence agency. At the time of its creation, CSIS was subject to general oversight review by a new body, the Security Intelligence Review Committee (SIRC), which has been starved of resources, as well as by the Office of the Inspector General, which was abolished and disbanded in 2012.

The idea behind CSIS was that abuses of power were less likely to occur if intelligence gathering was separated from law enforcement. (A: It's awfully important, that, too.) Bill C-51 erodes the distinction between CSIS's traditional intelligence gathering role by giving it broad new powers to engage in law enforcement–type activities. Under Bill C-51, CSIS would be able to take "measures" to reduce threats to the security of Canada. For example, s. 12.1(1) of the proposed act states,

If there are reasonable grounds to believe that a particular activity constitutes a threat to the security of Canada, the Service may take measures, within or outside Canada, to reduce the threat.

The power under s. 12.1 is broadly defined, giving CSIS virtually unfettered authority to conduct any operation it thinks is in the interest of Canadian security. The definitions are so broad that they could apply to almost anything, including measures to disrupt or interfere with non-violent civil disobedience. Only the following activities are explicitly excluded from these new powers, as per s. 12.2(1) of the act:

In taking measures to reduce a threat to the security of Canada, the Service shall not

(a) cause, intentionally or by criminal negligence, death or bodily harm to an individual;

(b) willfully attempt in any manner to obstruct, pervert or defeat the course of justice; or

(c) violate the sexual integrity of an individual.

These limited exclusions leave CSIS with incredibly expansive powers, including water boarding, inflicting

pain (torture) or causing psychological harm to an individual. (A: They can use drugs on you and things like that.) The government has pointed out that in order for CSIS to take measures under s. 12.1, CSIS must first apply for a warrant. Under the warrant provision, a judge may issue a warrant if satisfied that there are reasonable grounds to justify the belief that the requested measures are required to enable CSIS "to reduce a threat to the security of Canada," and are "reasonable and proportionate."

This is an odd standard, which judges will find difficult, if not impossible, to apply. (A: Well, they're not going to go into the fact that there's an old-school-tie thing at work here too, because you'll find there's always crossovers within circles, between security systems, even police, and their circle of judges and so on, and they have their own little codes too they go by, by the way, and things get done which perhaps shouldn't be done.) The ordinary standard for issuance of a warrant is based on reasonable grounds to believe that a criminal offence has been committed (in the case of a warrant to arrest) or reasonable grounds to believe that the search of a place will afford evidence of an offence (in the case of a search pursuant to judicial warrant). These are determinations that can be made objectively, based on the evidence, by an impartial judicial officer. By contrast, whether a given measure would proportionately "reduce the threat to the security of Canada" is not like these other tests. It amounts to asking judges to look into a crystal ball to determine if Canada will be safer in the future if a CSIS officer takes some measures. This is not a determination that judges are equipped to make. The limits will vary with the judges chosen by CSIS, not with the evidence. (A: And there will be select ones chosen by CSIS.)

The expansion of CSIS's powers is troubling given the RCMP's notorious history of commingling intelligence gathering and law enforcement. It is also troubling for the additional reason that there is very little oversight of CSIS activities. At present, CSIS is accountable only to the SIRC. CSIS has a budget of over $500 million annually. SIRC (A: ...the oversight one...) has an annual budget of $3 million and is staffed by four part-time committee members. It no longer has a director general who watches the watchers. By contrast, spy agencies in other countries are supervised by powerful parliamentary or congressional committees. The sweeping new powers, coupled with the woeful lack of oversight, risks turning CSIS into a dangerous "secret police force."

(A: For the hard of thinking I'll mention that again.)
The sweeping new powers, coupled with the woeful lack of oversight, risks turning CSIS into a dangerous "secret police force."

Preventive arrest powers

The current anti-terrorism sections of the Criminal Code already contain provisions for preventive arrest, preventive detention and preventive restraints on liberty. Preventive detention is at odds with our legal tradition of only prosecuting and punishing crimes that have been committed already, and only after those offences have been proved by the prosecution beyond a reasonable doubt. Preventive detention—i.e., detention on the suspicion that someone may or will commit a crime at some point in the future—is the opposite of that legal tradition and is inconsistent with the constitutionally protected right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty.

Prior to the enactment of the 2001 anti-terrorism provisions, the only other preventive detention scheme in the Criminal Code was the dangerous offender regime. But to be found a dangerous offender or a long-term offender under Part XXIV of the Criminal Code, an offender must have been already convicted of a serious personal injury offence, and there must be evidence that the individual constitutes a threat to the life, safety, or physical and mental well-being of other persons based on evidence of repetitive or persistent serious criminal behaviour. By contrast, the anti-terrorism Criminal Code provisions permit the arrest and detention of individuals, who have not been convicted or even charged with any offence, based on what they might do. (A: I mean, that's really something, hey.)

The current preventive detention scheme is already constitutionally suspect. The proposed amendments in Bill C-51 will further lower the threshold for preventive arrest and detention, increasing the risk that entirely innocent people will be swept up on mere suspicion. (A: And so will nuisance people, just nuisances.) Under the current s. 83.3(2) of the Criminal Code, a peace officer is empowered to lay an information and bring an individual before a provincial court judge if the officer:

(a) believes on reasonable grounds that a terrorist activity will be carried out; and

(b) suspects on reasonable grounds that the imposition of a recognizance with conditions on a person, or the arrest of a person, is necessary to prevent the carrying out of the terrorist activity.

Where exigent circumstances exist, or where laying the information would be impractical, the individual may be arrested without a warrant.

The new measures would allow law enforcement agencies to arrest somebody if they suspect that a terrorist act "may be carried out," instead of the current standard of "will be carried out." Bill C-51 also substitutes "likely" for "necessary" such that s. 83.3(2) would now enable a peace officer to lay an information or effect a warrantless arrest if the officer:

(a) believes on reasonable grounds that a terrorist activity may be carried out; and

(b) suspects on reasonable grounds that the imposition of a recognizance with conditions on a person, or the arrest of a person, is likely to prevent the carrying out of the terrorist activity.

Both changes result in a significant lowering of the standard for arrest and detention.

The changes to the law are significant in two respects. The substitution of "may" where it currently says "will" is a significant watering down of the standard. "Will," when coupled with "reasonable grounds to believe," denotes evidence-based probability, whereas "may" denotes mere possibility.

The shift from "necessary" to "likely" is equally important. Necessity in this context suggests that the police officer suspects that no measure other than arrest will prevent a terrorist act. Likelihood is not necessity. Under the new provision, the police officer need only suspect that the arrest is more likely than not to prevent terrorist activity.

Canadians do not want government to arrest individuals based on religious and ethnic stereotypes. But under the new standard, it will be nearly impossible to challenge their decisions.

No-fly list powers

Bill C-51 codifies the Minister of Public Safety's power to put Canadians on a so-called no-fly list, which prevents them from getting on an airplane. The minister can add anyone to the no-fly list on mere suspicion that he or she will engage in an act that would threaten transportation security or travel by air for the purpose of committing an act of terrorism.

Putting someone on the no-fly list is a significant restraint on liberty. And once on the no-fly list, the procedure to have one's name removed from the list is complex and difficult. Someone on the no-fly list has the right to appeal the minister's decision to a judge of the Federal Court, but it is a very narrow and futile appeal. It is not nearly enough for the individual to show that the minister was wrong to put them on the no-fly list; they must also show that the minister had acted unreasonably.

Moreover, the review procedures in Bill C-51 for challenging the no-fly list designation incorporates the procedure from the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act's byzantian security certificate regime. This means the minister can ask the Court to hold part of the hearing in secret—the individual challenging his or her no-fly list designation, their lawyer and the public are excluded from the courtroom when the government presents its case. The judge hearing the appeal can base his or her entire decision on evidence that was presented during the secret portion of the hearing.

(A: Oh, George Orwell, he had it all right, hey.)

In 2007, the Supreme Court held that this procedure was unconstitutional under s. 7 of the Charter when applied to the judicial review of the detention of a non-citizen detained pursuant to a security certificate.

Although being put on the no-fly list is a less serious restraint on liberty than being subject to a security certificate, s. 7 of the Charter is still triggered, and thus the core protections of s. 7, such as the right to know the case to meet, should apply. The currently proposed procedure unequivocally violates that right.

Clayton C. Ruby is one of Canada's leading lawyers, an outspoken proponent of freedom of the press, a prominent member of the environmental community and a member of the Order of Canada.

Nader R. Hasan practices criminal and constitutional law at both the trial and appellate levels and is also an adjunct professor at the University of Toronto, Faculty of Law. They are partners at Ruby Shiller Chan Hasan Barristers. (A: ...which is lawyers.)

So it's all coming down, folks. And it's no surprise to me. It's no shock. Because I knew at the beginning that since ARPA, then DARPA, was in control of the Internet, long before you got ahold of it, and before they gave it to you, they wanted you all on it for their own particular reasons, including definitely surveillance, complete, and personality profiling and so on. And I knew too, as they say, from different leaks that have come out over many years, from different people, that they would have erode it away until... Don't worry though, they'll leave you with your, you know, the things that you love to do, video games and stuff and cartoons. Pornography of course, they'll make sure that keeps going, that's how they got so many folk on it in the first place, they made sure everyone knew there was lots of pornography on it. And you will play yourself too and stay on safe topics, the New Age for instance, and reincarnation, and Bigfoot and all these things that keep you harmless to the government. That's what they want you to be involved in, things which are utterly harmless and irrelevant to life in general. That's how bad it is.

So, it's all coming down the pike. And your self-policing, if you're not self-policing you should be in your private phone calls because folk can try and trip you up, maybe, you know, you don't know when you're getting set up, you never do, by anybody. Don't ever be fooled. Even if you think you know the person, because you have spoken to them before or they have emailed you before, anything like that, be very, very careful. Because it will be used against you, as I have been saying for years. Every word that you utter will be used against you. Even jokes, jokes are not safe at all. Because if you were to hear, for instance, in court, not that you will under all the new laws, part of a recording being played, it's completely different than having a lawyer or a prosecutor read it in a flat tone, that there's no inflections there, there's no humor there, there's no joking mood involved. So whatever you say can be used. Did you really say this? Well... That's it, you see.

And the Stasi really is all there. And with the ongoing anti-terrorism, which is going to go on way past your lives and the next generation's lives, because this is the one they hit on to get the whole agenda through, to keep you safe of course – again, the good reason but not the real reason – then it's all a done deal as far as I'm concerned. So be careful. That's all you can do now is be careful. Be very, very careful because it's not safe to give your opinions on things, no matter how innocent the topic of the conversation, or even private conversations. As I've said for years to people when they've phoned me up, be careful, there is a third ear on every call. But they forget, most of them.

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Call for a World Parliament reinforced at second Global Week of Action

'Freedom in jeopardy': Thousands rally across Canada against new anti-terror law

CSIS highlights white supremacist threat ahead of radical Islam

Canada's "AntiTerrorism" Bill C-51: A Legal Primer, Expands the Powers of Canada's Spy Agency, Allows Arrest on Mere Suspicion

---

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 29, 2015 (#1496)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Childhood's Loss, Meet the New BOSS"
© Alan Watt March 29, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 29, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 29, 2015. As always I hope, I really do hope that you're pulling through into the spring, which appears in the occasional place south of the border, more than where I am here up in Canada. Last night in fact it hit on the centigrade scale about -25, or 25 below. Hopefully I'm going get some spring eventually too but we're pretty well going into April and it's not really on the horizon that much at the moment. Well, we're going through global warming, right, that's the reason we're so cold up here.

Now, we're living, as I've mentioned so many times, in such a massively planned psychological muddle basically, by the big forces above us that have trained us since child birth, that they have influenced us in so many ways that most folk are completely unaware of in fact, primarily through, part of your initial indoctrination and education, apart from that it's taken up by media and also by entertainment, which is the greatest way to alter behavior of all. In fact, we mimic what we see. We even mimic the attitudes of the actors we like, or who are portrayed as likable in special movies and so on. We copy in other words, we're very much into mimicking. Children are more apt and you'll see it more openly in children with the language and everything else they pick up on television, a lot of it which you don't like in fact. But all of this is understood by those who put it out in the first place because the culture industry calls themselves just that, amongst themselves, the culture industry, not the entertainment industry.

At the very top you have the economic system and those who run at the top the economic system. There are people, a few individual families in fact that slush billions every day into the world economy. They slush these funds around all over the place and everyone else follows suit, because they read the newspapers, who's investing in what and so on. And the little guys, again, always emulate what they see, even though it's very misleading because it's a game too where those at the top always get out in time and divest the money and put it into another option before they crash a certain market and so on.

But that's the name of the game in this system. There's nothing fair about it. It's not designed to be fair. Those at the top will actually... really, put out the old idea of social Darwinism and survival of the fittest, that developed out of that Darwinistic theory, and they believe that they have the right to use cunning and so on. They say that all is fair in love and war, but it's also all is fair in economic business as well. And business is not a very nice thing because behind all the smiles of the business suits when they meet together to drink their brandy and so on and sip wine, there's always this competition amongst themselves. Vast egos. Many of them actually are psychopathic, there's no doubt about it at all. Look at some of the statements that some of the top of them, CEOs and so on come out with.

And the reason they get to the top is that they know how to play the game. They know what the game is. They can emulate emotion, affection and so on, and fire you just in the same breath actually or a second later. They don't really have emotions as we think of it. They have the emotion of great happiness when they win something personally, and they have that high they get when they succeed in putting other competition under. That's real business and that's just the way it really is. Competition is taught. It used to be more blatant in the schooling system where they would grade you in classes in fact and then you'd compete and compete within the classes for the little gold stars that you'd get on your little cardboard form or booklet or whatever or on the wall. And that's the system, you're trained at an early age to compete. Athletics is just another part of it, to compete, compete, compete, and so were the school exams as well.

So we live in a competitive society. Is it natural? Well, some parts of it may kind of natural because I think young guys in a primitive society, as they call natural societies, primitive, and tribal societies, which compete to an extent. But they would also form their natural pecking orders where one person who might be the best hunter or the best runner or whatever would have compassion and feel part of the rest of the group. If he didn't and he tried to take over as leader of the tribe or whatever there was enough people to depose him. When he gets an army behind him, through taxation than he can pay an army money, then you're really in trouble because then he can stay in power, then intermarry with some other psychopathic leader's daughter of another tribe. That's how history has been made in fact, unfortunately.

Now, I've mentioned before that when you have psychopaths in a system of psychopathic control, which is never humane, sometimes there's a spill over and more wealth allowed to the people at the bottom in so-called prosperous times, or when they have big plans afoot that may be a few years hence they'll bring on a war, and folk are happier prior to the war and tend to go into the Army, the Air Force, the Navy and so on to keep what they think it's theirs, their right to have a good prosperous life. Once they are running out of wars of course they take all the goodies away from you, and they don't really need you to be happy anymore, because they can use you in other ways.

Today we're in a scientifically controlled society and they don't have to keep you happy at all for that matter. In fact, they have found in their neuroscience and so on, if they keep you on edge all the time they can condition you all the faster. Because you can't grasp hold of something for long enough, that you call normalicy, to hang onto. And normalicy develops over time, it becomes the culture and so on. If they can keep them in a stampede they can shape and direct the direction of the stampede according to their planning quite easily. And that's where we are today under the anti-terrorism and so on, global economics, bank failures are threatened all the time. We have bank bail-ins planned because all of the countries, all of the first world countries have signed on to the IMF and the World Bank with their directions to, have treaties on all this, that they'll all do bank bail-ins where they will literally take all the deposits out of the savers' accounts and so on.

So we're living in a very crisis ridden society, and then they have the threat of terrorism constantly on the go, which can only end up, with the aid of technology as we have it today, into a worse system than you had in the East Germany's Stasi police. And the Stasi police, they put a lot of good documentaries out on it and some good movies that were based on fact, written by participants in fact, or victims of the Stasi, both actually took part in making some of them. They show you how innocent people, lots of them, thousands of them, every year, had their homes bugged. They could bug a home entirely by going in and within 10 minutes they had many, many bugs put all over the place. They could record everything that happened; they had little fisheye cameras and so on. Today they don't need that because you buy all the equipment that surveillance needs. You buy it thinking it's of a benefit to yourself, it's much, much easier. I'll be touching on some of that tonight. That's the way it is.

Now, I'm going to mention right off the bat here too that at this time of year, and all through the winter, I always get lots of people emailing me with problems and depressions and so on. And these are from people who have a higher understanding of what's happening in the world and why things are happening and as always they find no solution out of it. And you can't simply give simple solutions to a system which is total. That's why I liken it to The Matrix in fact because it's very, very much like the matrix system portrayed in the movies as far as realities go, and with their false endings, even within the movie. You think you have arrived, that the person is going to give you the answer, you've arrived at the right place only to find out there's another compartment or level above you or beneath you or to the side of you and so on. That's how the system is. It's a very complex system.

And people get depressed in the winter, especially when it's a bad winter like we have now, and the last few years in fact. There are fewer jobs as well, people are being laid off and unemployed or working so many part-time jobs they are burned out. Many folk are lonely of course, naturally, because if you are into trying to understand what's happening in the world, you're getting the patterns, you see how it fits together, it's very difficult to talk to people who are in their programming. They have been well programed from childhood onwards in fact and they have really, they take the world as it is presented to them and everything in the world and all aspects of it, the way the media, the television and so on presents it to them. They take it that way. They think, well naturally, it's a natural way to take it. That's why it works so well for those who run the world. Why would you think that you're being deceived? Why would you think that? In a natural society why would you think you were born into a form of deception? And being trained not to see what's there? So it's a natural thing for them to cling onto that, I must be right, and all authorities must be right, all authority figures must be right, because they all say they are. You see. And all their friends do too, who are also under the influence of the world being presented to them and they have taken it as the presentation is given.

That's the hard part for people. And I tell them, when you're really getting depressed about it all, pull back. Pull back. Don't overwhelm yourself with what seems to be negativity because negativity will destroy you and put you into a massive depression. You can't allow that to happen to yourselves. Pull back from listening to the bad news. Even the show that I do, pull back from it. And relax, allow your mind to relax. Concentrate on the things you must get done to survive and get through day to day, and do the essential things. But pull away from things that just spouts out, there's a lot of shows out there that spout fear every day, which seems to be overwhelming. It is overwhelming in fact, and they use a shotgun approach to things. They tell you 50 bad things that are scary things that are being considered by governments around the world, all at the same time, within an hour or two, and then you're left shattered afterwards, in a frenzy. That's the shotgun approach, scattergun approach were all these problems are whacked at you and not a single solution is given in the presentations. Which makes you wonder why they're doing it in the first place. [Alan scoffs.] But again, you've got to remember that a lot of what's called the patriot business is a business for those people. Don't forget that. Without what's happening in the world they would have no business, and that's how they do it.

Now, you must always pace yourself according to the news that's been given out there and don't become incredibly overwhelmed by it and put down by it until, as many people find, they can't communicate. I mean, it's very difficult to communicate to those around you, who are living in their day-to-day television series and the dramas and so on and their news. And you know why things are happening on the news even, of why it's being presented, something is being presented in some certain way, but you can't convey it to them. Just bite your tongue at times. It's not their fault. It's not their fault. Many folks' conditioning is so perfect, it has taken so well in them they can't understand what you're talking about. It terrifies them if you try and explain to them something that's happening. They always say, choose your battles. It's the same when you're choosing your talks for conversation, choose them, know when to talk and when to listen. And you know, you don't have to get into some butting of heads like a couple of goats, you don't have to do that. It doesn't get them anywhere because they've already made their mind up, they're not going to... they can't believe you. If they did believe you they would crack up, you see. It fills them full of fear. Someone who's been brought up to think that, why would anybody, why would a system lie to me about so much? It fills them full of fear. It terrifies them.

So you have to choose your talks to people and conversations and know when to live inside your head. I've told so many folk to do that. But when it becomes overwhelming, pull back, you've got plenty inside your

mind to think about. You don't have to listen every day, and this is part of the technique too of fear. There are those who put out fear, fear, fear, fear everyday... because it becomes addictive. I've mentioned this so many times before and folk should really use the archive section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com where I explain the techniques of horror movies and psychology. Even in the worst B-movie horror movie people will watch the beginning and say, oh God no. But the hook it's in you see, what's going to happen to so-and-so? And you project yourself as so-and-so the hero, or the victim, and as a girl, that's got to be the heroine or the victim. And the primitive part of your brain kicks in because the horror movies are about impending danger, life extinction, all that kind of thing. And you don't want to turn away because it works, a horror movie, it works just like a dream, that's how it works and is assessed, with you being chased or whatever and if you turn away or don't tune in you might miss something that's going to save your life. So you listen to the shows that spout bad, bad, bad, terrifying news, very scary, with all the music behind it... Whenever you hear music dramatizing someone's words, and it's going bang-bang-bang-bang, whatever the noise they're making at the time, these are psychological techniques to intensify fear. I hope you understand all that. And you've got to pull back, you see, switch the darned thing off. You find out no monster is going to get you at the end of the movie, because you're not going to watch it. And it's the same thing when you're hearing, tuning into shows every day that are petrifying you, don't do it. It will kill you. It will destroy you.

So that's a bit of advice for everybody. Because in this world today you have enough, enough natural bad stuff going on. A lot of you are struggling, we are all struggling actually financially. The economies are going down the tubes. China was made and set up to be, not by China but by the Western countries and their governments in collusion with the World Bank and the Bank for International Settlements, the World Trade Organization, all private institutions, and the GATT treaty and so on for trade and tariffs. We set up China as the commercial manufacturer of most things for the whole planet. In fact, we funded it through our tax money and so on, unbeknownst to the people themselves we funded it all. And they knew the impact of impoverishment and job losses of nonproducing countries, they knew that would happen. And they knew there would be depressions, economic depressions and mass unemployment and so on. That was all decided by our betters who often pretend they are elected by you and that they are there to serve you, which of course is never, in my life for sure, ever been the actual truth at all. That's the way it is. I'm not saying it's fair. It's unfair, of course it is. But there's nothing fair in this massive system of economics. There's nothing fair, never will be in an economic system set up the way it is and the way that we have experienced it for so long. It can't be fair. It's not designed to be. It doesn't want to be.

So when you're getting overwhelmed with things, pull back, switch off all the terrifying news, in fact all news for that matter. And get the things done that you must do. If you're unemployed try and get something to tide you over. It's imperative that you try. You don't have to like the job, just do it. Earn enough money and when it gives you time to look around for something else and so on that's a little bit better, and not so soul destroying as I call some jobs because a lot of them are definitely soul destroying, if they are repetitive especially. And take care of yourself. Get the imperative things taken care of first of all. And when you go back into it, looking out for what's happening in the world, you'll find that if you had some peace in you, you must have some peace there, then you can handle it much, much better. And don't go right back into the same routine of getting terrified every day, hour after hour, listening to certain things. Pull back, get the important things that are going on, and keep the basic view of what's going on, the basic view. You have to forget all of the rest of the extraneous stuff, get the basic view and that's all you need to know.

I've gone over the whole big world agenda for so long from documents put out by members of big organizations involved in globalism, and the boys involved in the culture industry who meet at global meetings as well on how to make sure, along with the educational systems and so on, they make sure they're bringing up the right kind of society to be manipulated by the system, which is going to get more and more intensive. These things have all been going on for well over 100 years now. And I've also gone through all the different organizations that work for the big changes that help the big international corporations continue. The big international corporations you'll find are sponsors of all the supposed civil liberty groups and so on out there and the big cultural change groups that are out there, and so on and so on.

So be very careful what you listen to. You don't have to listen to their whole spiel, you know what it's going to be and you know what spin they're going to take on before you start listening, and once you understand it, switch it off and start thinking for yourself. Because you must get through life. You must get through life yourself. It's imperative you take care of yourself.

Now, I've mentioned Bill C-51 in Canada, this Anti-Terrorism Act 2015 quite a few times and I'll just touch on this tonight to start off. I warned about it last time, what this could turn into. It's inevitable, in fact, that if it gets passed it will turn into, I think even if they don't pass it this time, we're already doing most of it anyway, it just gives them more right to do further things openly, I think. But anyway this says:

Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it
torontosun.com / Julia Alexander / March 18, 2015

What is Bill C-51?

According to the Government of Canada, Bill C-51, also known as the Anti-terrorism Act, 2015, was designed to, “encourage and facilitate information sharing between Government of Canada institutions in order to protect Canada against activities that undermine the security of Canada.”

The Conservative Party introduced the idea of increasing security provisions after the Parliament Hill shooting in October, but didn’t formally introduce the act until January.

Simply put, the Stephen Harper government wants to allocate more power to police services and security institutions like the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) to keep a closer eye on potentially dangerous terrorism situations and prevent future attacks.

Why does the Harper government insist we need it? (Alan:And this is from the Toronto Sun.)

According to the act’s official summary, Bill C-51 would ensure safer transportation services for Canadians, allow law enforcement to step in and arrest, without question, a person they suspect is about to carry out a terrorist attack, and it would increase the protection of witnesses who come forward with information on a potential terrorist attack.

(A: Now, you often find witnesses who come forward, or witnesses they've already grabbed, you see, who are already in jail awaiting some sentence, for maybe their part in something or knowing someone or whatever and being involved in something. And it's well known in this whole judicial system that we live in that they tend to, they can make things up to get out and get other people incriminated who have nothing to do with them whatsoever. That's fairly common in the criminal justice system and we hear about it all the time. And it would simply increase. Because every other country that was totalitarian have used the same techniques in the past, this is what happens, no one becomes safe at all, even folks they don't like, they will rat on them, and once you're on a list, you know, you can't really prove your innocence. Once you're on a list government does not, as people who are in government have told me, work very fast to correct mistakes, they don't do that. They're so slow, they go around corners on four square wheels. They lumber in other words. And once you're on a list your life can become absolute hell, absolute hell. Can you imagine each trip you would take in a vehicle, they would have your license plate, you have the automatic scanners now as you drive by and they pull you over just to harass you and question you and so on. Because the police themselves have already judged you as you're being... The judgment has been made for them that you are suspicious, you see, if you're on a list. And that's the sad truth of so-called civilization.)

Essentially, the government would increase its role in national security to keep a constant watchful eye on potentially harmful situations and end them before anyone is hurt or killed.

Why is it so controversial?

Civil liberty groups and other critics have claimed the bill stretches the definition of security to potentially include peaceful protests, further restricts freedom of expression, and raises privacy concerns, since the act would allow federal institutions such as Health Canada and Revenue Canada to share private information with the RCMP.

Critics have also expressed grave concerns that it fails to define terrorism clearly, (A: And you can't because they're already broadening it to include many other things that are not what you would call terrorist related

at all. And that's inevitable as well.) and in attempting to remove all terrorist propaganda from the Internet will effectively try to censor freedom of expression on the Internet, violating a handful of online civil liberties. (A: It will be more than a handful of civil liberties. Personal conversations on the phone or whatever, little comments you make, even jokes you make, it will all be taken awfully, awfully seriously. And it becomes a nightmare. That's the problem with this kind of system.)

(A: So it was read a second time apparently before the standing committee. It was pushed through parliament fast.)

Where is Bill C-51 at?

Bill C-51 was formally introduced on Jan. 30, and since then has been rapidly pushed through Parliament.

On Feb. 23, the bill was passed and read a second time before being referred to the Standing Committee.

(A: I think it's got one more go then it will be into law.)

So as I say, these are like bad dreams because you know the history of other countries that have used techniques like this before in the past, and they are horrific. The stories that come out are horrific, how so many lives were utterly destroyed, destroyed by this kind of system that comes in when you have suspicion, suspicion.

Another article here is:

Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51
huffingtonpost.ca / 03/20/2015 / ELIZABETH MAY

The reaction to Bill C-51 has been widespread and the opposition is growing. While its short title is the "Anti-Terrorism Act," it is both more and less than that.

It is less than "anti-terrorism" because it is likely to make us less safe. The act gives new powers to CSIS to act in Canada and overseas to "reduce threats," with virtually no limits. CSIS is specifically not allowed to cause death or bodily harm or "violate the sexual integrity" of anyone. The range of potential activities -- from break and enter, search and seizure, infiltration, monkey-wrenching, include powers to offer witnesses immunity from prosecution or from ever having to testify. (A: So some obscure witness can just say something about you, and that's you.)

There is no requirement that CSIS tell the RCMP what it is up to (A: That's the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.), and it is the RCMP that has been successfully countering plots and arresting suspects. Just imagine when the RCMP finds key witnesses have a "get out of jail free" card from CSIS. (A: That's the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.) That and other sections run a high degree of probability of gumming up the works. Security experts, especially those with experience in the Air India inquiry, remind us that it is critical that security agencies not develop silos. C-51 takes a system that is currently working quite well and threatens to turn it into a three ring circus, without benefit of a ring-master.

It is also less than Canadians would expect, as there is nothing in C-51 to work against radicalization. No outreach efforts, nothing for the prison system or the schools as the U.K. government established in its new law passed in December 2014.

It is more than anti-terrorism, as the range of activities covered by a new and sweeping definition of "threats to the security of Canada" in the information sharing section of the bill covers far more than terrorism. It could plausibly cover just about anything, and certainly would cover those opposing pipelines and tankers. (A: That's the enviro-groups and so on.)

It is actually five bills rolled into one. Each part contains provisions I can only describe as dangerous. For example, part 5, amendments to the Immigration and Refugee Act, appear to allow the use of evidence obtained by torture. Part 3, ostensibly about getting terrorist propaganda off the Internet, uses a set of new

concepts that would criminalize private conversations (A: I mean, that's terrible, that.) -- and not just about terrorism. (A: Humph.) The propaganda section does not require knowing you are spreading propaganda, and "terrorist propaganda" itself has a definition so broad as to include a visual representation promoting a new concept called "terrorism in general." Experts are now referring to this as "thought chill." (A: ...thought chill.. Actually, it will be thought kill because it will kill... you'd better kill off any ability to think at all, I think.)

As the first MP to oppose C-51, I now have a lot of company: four former prime ministers, six former Supreme Court justices, over 100 legal experts, Conrad Black, Rex Murphy, Tom Mulcair and the NDP, the editorial positions of the Globe and Mail, National Post and Toronto Star. The Assembly of First Nations has called for it to be withdrawn. I hope you agree as well. (A: And that's in the Huffington Post.)

But we're going down the route, the inevitable route, actually, of what happens when countries become more tyrannical and obsessional in a particular ideology of some kind. Today it's the need for antiterrorism and everyone is suspicious. Anyone who is a bit different at all will be put down as suspicious and possibly dangerous. Because if they don't know much about you then yeah, you could be dangerous, or you could be quite simply happy and not dangerous at all. It doesn't matter. See, facts don't matter anymore. And if you disagree with various things in government just by your opinions on things, that's maybe enough to get you into hot water, by having an opinion on something or an observation.

It's... Well, I've always said that something wicked this way comes, and this is repeated down through history as I've said before. And with today's technologies it makes it all the more easier to carry it all out. It's rather sad. It's rather, rather sad. But you know, I've mentioned different talks that I've given in the past about how science itself impacts society. Very important thing to understand because people don't... People really don't... I think Jacques Ellul said, the people learn by osmosis. It's that they don't really reason or think things through from information from outside that comes to you. It just comes, it just sort of sinks in there and you don't question it or reason through it, or agree or disagree with a lot of it. And to do with technology, that's part of it because we've got to understand that a long time ago, not so long ago actually, really, most folk had to travel by horse or horse and cart. That's the ones that could afford the horses, lots of folk couldn't. Then for a long time, for instance, in the 20th century public transportation was the only way that a good part of the British people could move around, trains or mainly buses actually, to get to their work and all the rest of it.

Everything is geared towards an economic system. There's no way out of that allowed in the system, it doesn't allow that. Because you must earn money even to pay taxes. At one time people would become hobos and take to the road, and take their chances and maybe die, you know, in the winter and so on. But even that was a form of freedom and the right to choose that. But that's all been taken away too as they grabbed them off the streets on the various acts, vagrancy acts or mental health acts or whatever. And you can't even get that loophole out, to just escaped now and walk off and say I've given up on society. So you must earn money to buy the things, because in the system everything is based on money. You must buy things to feed yourself, clothe yourself, warmth, heat, shelter, clothing and so on, and pay taxes.

The whole system is a complete system and you are there to serve the system in actual fact. And that's how you're described in economic schools and so on, you're collateral, you see. You are a worker. You are a resource, a human resource. And they work out from statistics on your health and so on, and your past health, how long you might get in a working situation, a particular job, and contribute to society as they call it. That means, by the definition at the United Nations, you're a good consumer, so you've got the money to buy things, and you're a good producer. When you become just a consumer, when you retire, then you're really a bad citizen. And if you become unemployed, then you're bad, you're not contributing, you're not contributing taxes and so on and so on. Because government is so huge today, it's massive, and many of the big foundations out there also get tax money for all their nongovernmental organizations, which are funded by the foundations, they get tax money added to it. Because everything is shaped and geared to take you along a particular avenue of believing in the system and why they are doing things, environmentally or any other way, and it's all for your good, etc. etc.

So we're not just simply... I've always said that culture just doesn't develop anymore. It's always planned and shaped and controlled. And that's what it's all about, is massive control, right down to thought control,

obviously. But when you introduce technology of any kind into society you're not riding around in the horse and cart anymore, or Shank's pony which is your legs of course, you're not walking. And you're into getting a vehicle, etc., and all that goes with it, your insurances, taxes, road taxes and so on, in order to get to and from work and all the rest of it. So that changed massively society, and it allowed folk for the first time to get out of these crammed cities into outer areas and travel into work as well and get a bit of freedom and peace from the crowded, crowded cities. That's all to change because the whole agenda for the 21st century, they want you back in these crowded cities, for you lot. But they have a tiered system for those who are middle wealthy and very wealthy and so on, little perks along the way. Everything is based with economics on awards for those beneath.

So again, technology changes it all. So the vehicle itself changed society massively and eventually they want to take it all away from you again. And what they've replaced a lot of it with is something that helps all of the institutions, set up by governments and working with governments, and working with the economic system, to monitor you all and manage you even better, of course that's the Electronic Revolution that they had. Many years ago I read on the air articles about the big military industrial complex and how they were putting articles out in the papers and magazines, years ago, about if they come to the end of wars – they were already preparing as they were doing this, the big global wars for instance – they were going into security, domestic security systems, cameras, microphones and so on, and the computer and all the rest of it, even before they gave us the computer. And it's all come to pass. And of course there always lobbying government. Remember what the definition of lobbying and lobbyist happens to be. Because lobbying government, if you lobby government you're trying, a special interest group is trying to influence the decisions of government policy. And those decisions then are passed on, which alter your behavior, beneath the government of course, if they mandate you must have this, they mandate you must have that. And your police forces, everything that they mandate that they must have, are getting all these camera systems that are everywhere, tied into your computerized system in the cars and so on and so on. It's all interconnected. So technology itself alters your behavior.

When something is placed in your environment it alters your behavior. They knew that with the radio. When the radio came out the BBC used it immediately and it sprung up immediately to use it for propaganda. They used it widely for World War I. They found out they could alter people's behavior, by ensuring the radios were cheap enough to buy, and they could put them in workplaces, even in factories at times, and you would listen to the shows. And they gave you, they found that cliffhanger dramas, you were left with the cliffhanger at the end, welltune in tomorrow for the serial to find out what happens to the hero as she is hanging off the cliff, will he or won't he die? Folk would rush to their homes after work to hear the next episode. So it would alter human behavior. They knew it altered behavior with the use of advertising too, by promoting certain things people would change their dress codes, things like that, their hairdos, all of these things. Then when television came in, bang, that was really off to a big, big thing. Cinema was also used as well. There was tremendous war propaganda documentaries about how to get the people happy, happy, happy, the young folk who don't know any better to join up and go off to war. It was all put across very happy with lots of dancing and so on, and we are the youth and we're going to do it all. An actor dressed up in the uniforms, who were never in the military, telling them why they have to go off and fight, even though these actors were not going off to fight themselves.

This is management of the mind, you understand. So technology can massively, and always does, alter human behavior. By behavior too I also mean your thought, what you think about, how you think about things, why you think about things, and opinions you're led to conclude and they become your opinions. That's why there are so many neuroscientists and psychologists and behaviorists involved with all these big companies that present movies, television, dramas, documentaries, things for government, documentaries and so on, right down to the management of the Internet, on behalf of the authorities. So everybody buys their personal computer, oh, privacy is yours, and all that nonsense, when they had no intention of that. It was for their benefit to categorize you with your personality style and so on. They said it was, first, for advertisers so that they would really find out how you tick and promote things to you. But of course it was really for all of those involved in it including government agencies and security agencies to personality profile you.

And they're constantly doing studies. They hand a lot of this data over, in fact, they give access to streams on the Internet to a lot of universities, like MIT and so on, to profile groups of people and find clusters of

people, why you are in this little cluster, what you all have in common, what other things do you have in common, why is this? Massive psychological manipulation will be used on all of the people according to what they observe, you see, that's how precise and how deep all this goes and it works awfully, awfully well for them.

So this whole idea with Bill C-51 for Canada, and all the British Commonwealth countries have the same kind of bills, it's inevitable. They planned this years ago. They knew, before they gave you the Internet they would bring out all these different bills under different guises at the right times and so on, to manage. Because the first thing the government must do, the first job that it has, is to preserve itself. And itself means also the whole institution, not just the government but the system of economics that you're born into. So be very careful when you're thinking about getting this and getting that because it's, oh it's so convenient. It will be used on you, that's the prime purpose of it. The second purpose is to condition you as well and bring you to the correct opinions and observations and all the rest of it. And also to make you think that privacy is a quaint old-fashioned idea. Many folk already do. And you don't understand that people fought for centuries over things such as privacy, to have privacy in the first place. When you lose that you have no security. And when you have no privacy of your mind it's all over, it's finished, forget it, throw in the towel.

So I tell people try and reclaim your mind, if you want to. Many folk don't want to. They're happy. I've read articles by the big global planners before where they have said that many people will love their servitude. And they do. If they're doing okay financially and so on and they are young enough to feel healthy and they can party and all the rest of it, they love it, they love the system. They're okay. I'm okay, Jack. You know, I'm all right Jack, as they say. So that's the way it is. Everyone has been divided into different categories. So don't expect, you know. Don't expect other people to simply jump on board and start questioning things. And don't get angry with them when they can't or won't. You can't get angry and ostracize yourself completely. You got to live amongst the world of people, and it takes all kinds of people, you see. And the conflict doesn't help, at all.

Now, talking about how technology changes our whole way of behavior. I've mentioned so many times about the fact that they've known for an awful long time, even from World War I when they took photographs of the troops in the trenches, the troops would behave differently. They would walk differently, their stances would be different when they knew they were being filmed. You might have experienced that yourself when someone's taking a photograph of you, you don't stand normally or relax or whatever. You'll stand in a different pose or whatever because you're being filmed.

Well, they also know that when you're being filmed in the streets by CCTV cameras then your behavior is changed too. The spontaneity of how you would joke with your pals, it goes, it disappears. Because you're being watched. So you learn to self-police yourself, that becomes second nature. Wherever you go you're being watched and you become more, apparently more into yourself, introverted, you see, and they know this at the top. They want to encourage people to self-police themselves, the way that George Orwell's 1984 portrayed Winston with that bland expression of his, because an expression could give you away as being a subversive, or a thoughtful person, which is the same thing.

Think about this. Here's an article here, right along this inevitable path as I say. It says:

‘CCTV in all homes’:
Police chief’s domestic security call attacked by privacy groups
rt.com / March 09, 2015 / Reuters/Soeren Stache

(A: Now, they've already tried this in Britain, I know that, in certain areas. In fact, they used it for people who were suspected, supposedly, years ago, of abnormal behavior in the home. They might be kind of loud with each other, whatever it was, someone would report them. In would come the authorities and the social workers and so on and then they put cameras up and watched them. It says here:)

Britain’s most senior police officer has urged families and business owners to install hidden eye-level CCTV cameras to make it easier to identify burglars. (A: Right.) Privacy rights groups say it will make the public “an extension of the police.”

Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe (A: They love these hyphens, eh; there's a story behind that too but that's a different story.) encouraged property owners to make a "stronger effort" to help with criminal investigations.

(A: Now, I wonder who's been lobbying him as to... You don't think that the guys at the top of these things... Yeah, they'll get lobbyists, you know, and the lobbyists always help them on their career path and they also help them with funding and so on, things that they're never going to admit to. It's always been that way.)

Families and business owners should plant two CCTV cameras in their homes, Howe says, ideally one high camera to capture the full crime scene and another eye-level camera to capture clear images of criminals' faces. (A: Well remember, everyone under the modern law system, then the training of the police, puts everyone down as a potential criminal. That's you, too, that are sitting in your own home.)

Those who are only able to install one camera must ensure it is at eye-level. Howe claims this is the "most important angle." He said this strategy would make it easier for police to identify criminals.

However, privacy campaigners have condemned the strategy, claiming it will turn the public into "an extension of the police." (A: Well that's... It's beyond just that, folks. I love how they give you these, these little canards... an extension of the police. It's beyond that. It will alter your complete behavior if there's cameras in the home. You won't be spontaneous. You won't be so happy and joking and all that. Jokes can be dangerous now, you know.)

Few British households already have CCTV cameras installed, and the minority that do "position them too high." (A: [Alan laughing.])

"Over the last year as facial recognition software has got better, we can apply the software to the images of burglaries or robberies and we can compare those images with the images we take when we arrest people," Howe told LBC radio. (A: And it goes on and on and on.)

But I mean, these are the little articles here meant to make you, and a lot of folk will, who are conditioned, well we should do that, yeah, yeah, yeah. And this is how... They don't question all the dangers to it all, at all, it doesn't enter their heads.

Many of the talks I've given in the past I've gone through a lot of the history of this, and even the history of the Psychiatric Association, that is not just involved in psychiatry, believe it or not. There was a big political push at the beginning to create a psychiatric professional movement, with the intention eventually of running the world. Science, that's themselves at the top basically, they would determine who should be in power; a good idea actually but for the wrong reasons. They wanted to test all politicians or people who ran for politics and so on to see if they were sane enough to do it. Now, if any group had that particular power then they would of course abuse it right away. Because what is their definition of someone who is sane enough to use it? If you didn't have the same attitudes as the psychiatric majority, who would have their own votes on particular topics, then you wouldn't get in. On the other hand, I personally do believe that anyone with any kind of power over the public, right down to the policeman, should be tested for psychopathy. I really believe that. I really, really do believe that. And that goes for any government worker who's involved in your taxation and all the other things that they do, and anybody who's in charge of housing and so many different fields, should be tested for psychopathy. In this system it's mainly psychopaths who get up in charge of things, because they're very aggressive, they take the risks, the chances, incredibly manipulative, and they can dispose of all competition as they claw their way up too, without a thought, because they have no conscience.

But here's where they're going to go, according to the last article for instance, where the police just want you to have cameras, to catch burglars, it says. Well, here's the other part of it, which falls in naturally. It says:

ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on

TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program
motherjones.com / Allie Gross / Mar. 23, 2015

(A: Now, that's the transportation safety agency. This is the one that's the big one in the States that they created, which is crazy, there's so many agencies tripping over each other. But this says:)

Airport security basically sucks: Being herded through a Tensabarrier maze alongside a bunch of strangers is vexing enough. Then throw in the bag searches, the bomb-swabs, the mandatory doffing and donning of footwear and accessories, the "complimentary" pat down—it's hardly surprising that some people will come through the experience looking less than cheerful.

Nowadays, though, your very reasonable travel emotions (anxiety, stress, fear, despondency) can earn you even more face time with the Transportation Security Administration. Since 2003 TSA has toyed with the idea of placing "behavior detection officers" in airports across America (A: They're not toying with it, they've put them in already, I've read the articles in the past.) —part of a $1 billion counter-terrorism measure known as SPOT (Screening Passengers by Observation Techniques), which officially launched in 2007. (A: And they have computer programs too, that were invented by other psychopaths, who would immediately dive into where they see their prospects of advancements and in their paychecks and so on.)

"Our request and the lawsuit is to determine if TSA can adequately defend SPOT."

The behavior detection officer's job is to scan travelers' faces for micro-expressions—facial movements that come and go in the blink of an eye and are said to convey a person's true emotions. When one of these airport mentalists (A: ...and that's really what it's down to, isn't it?) spots a potentially shifty character, he can take it to the next level by striking up a casual conversation—the idea being that brief encounters may suggest whether a person poses a threat.

Signs of "anti-social" behavior (see emotions above) may result in a traveler being flagged for additional security measures. Between 2004 and 2008, as my former colleague Ben Buchwalter has reported, 152,000 people were flagged but fewer than 1,110 were arrested. Most of the arrestees "were undocumented aliens, had outstanding warrants, or were carrying fake documents or drugs." (A: So other reasons caught them, you see. So out of the 152,000 people, really, that were flagged for facial expressions, all they got were folk who gave themselves away by fake documents or they had drugs on them or something else or warrants.)

Meanwhile, the SPOT program, which relies heavily on officers' subjective observations, has been compared to the "stop-and-frisk" police tactics that have resulted—at least in New York City—in a disproportionate number of stops of blacks and Latinos. In an anonymous complaint obtained by the New York Times, one Boston TSA officer wrote that "the behavior detection program is no longer a behavior-based program, but it is a racial profiling program." The Times interviewed other TSA officers who corroborated the claim.

All you would have to do is take the same program, and they're already doing this by the way, and putting facial expressions into your homes, like the article we just talked about, CCTV cameras in all of your homes. And then of course, why were you irritated Mr. so-and-so when you were watching TV, and this is being presented, why were you, you had a frowned expression on your face? Will they take you in for reconditioning and reeducation? Like, a camp there that they'll take you into? This is all inevitable, folks. And all the programs that are out there, and again, through lobbyists companies and so on to push all this on government, it's fascist. When governments and lobbyists work together, that technically is fascist, big corporations involved, for financial gain, to get their products put through lawfully, into law, to be used in homes and things like that, then that's it, it's over.

Then this article here, it says:

Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?
telegraph.co.uk / Peter Stanford / 21 Mar 2015

(A: [Alan scoffs.] There's a form of doublespeak in the article, it says:)

Julie Lynn Evans has been a child psychotherapist for 25 years, working in hospitals, schools and with families, (A: …blah, blah, blah.) and she says she has never been so busy.

"In the 1990s, I would have had one or two attempted suicides a year – mainly teenaged girls taking overdoses, the things that don't get reported. Now, I could have as many as four a month."

Now, what she's mainly saying is, their cell phones. In fact, they can look into all kinds of things without parental consent, that are causing the problem. Society today is broken. Families are broken. There's been a war on the family structure for an awful long time to break it up. And the fallout is phenomenal, it's not just the phones, folks. And the push in this article, really, when it gets down to it, is for putting more resources into mental health services, which is a big United Nations mandate, has been for many years, to get every child from birth right through into adulthood checked constantly for mental health. And that includes having the right attitudes, that are pushed out by the top, that you must accept and must parrot. If you don't parrot it you're mentally ill.

So you'd better be careful the things you actually watch and read yourselves. You must always dissect everything and look at it from different sides, different angles. So as I said, remember, very early psychiatry's objective was to evaluate everyone in society eventually and help run society, including the politics and everything else. That hasn't stopped. So when you see articles like that be very, very careful, it's still the same mandate that's on the go. Rather than look at all the things, all the factors that go into destroying all of society, pushed steadily since at least the 60s, actually before that but steadily since the 60s, until you have dysfunctional everything, how many folk are really functional in any direction at all these days? Be very, very careful. But they don't go into checking themselves, who's watching the watchers? Who's doing the diagnosis on the psychiatrist? Many of them, too, are psychopathic by the way.

Now, here's an article here that ties in with, again, how technology changes society, not just those who use them but those who see the potential for using it on you. And there have been many articles out before about schools using apps and putting them into the different...again, mandating you buy their computer or whatever or even giving you them from the school, and they can then tap in any time they want, any teacher can, and watch what you're doing, and actually watch and hear what you're doing as well. And even seeing you undressing in your bedroom, a lot of these scandals are dying down by now, because folk are being acclimatized to those as though it's all quite normal. But this article here says:

Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS)
pearsonclinical.com / Edward S. Shapiro, PhD

The time-tested BOSS direct observation program is now available as a smart phone application, making it easier than ever for you (A: …this is for teachers.) to monitor students' behavior. Backed by extensive research, the BOSS system provides reliable and valid data on specific problem areas—so that you can determine appropriate remediations to help students succeed. (A: So it's all to help students succeed, by you observing them and sneaking and watching them.)

Features & Benefits

Take advantage of this new app to help easily pinpoint areas for behavior remediation. Use this intuitive tool (A: …it's intuitive, right...)with grades pre-K through 12 to assist you in:
•Recording and tracking frequency of targeted positive and negative behaviors. (A: Again, these are all value judgments by the teacher, who might not like the student.)
•Documenting a student's active or passive engagement in activities.
•Tabulating data and emailing it to you for future use to help support a disability diagnoses. (A: Who's doing the diagnosing here?)

(A: And it tells you how to order this BOSS program, BOSS app and so on.)
To download the BOSS app, visit the Apple® or Android™ app store

(A: Then it's got training, they have all these different training tools, products.))
Training

Pre-recorded Webinars

Conducting Systematic Behavioral Observations in Schools: Using BOSS App for iPhone and Android Webinar Recording

A brief discussion of the basic concepts of systematic direct observation will first be presented. A full explanation of the BOSS code, its categories and methods of data collection will be provided with an emphasis highlighting the use of the BOSS App available for iPhone and Android.

(A: Then it says:)

You May Also Like:

Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, Second Edition

Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) Rating Scales

(A: Social skills, is that what schools are there for, to teach you different social skills? Well, they're always upgrading the politically correct social skills, right, and so on, so that's really an order one. But here's an article here and it says:)

Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids
Teachers now thought police with extreme new spy 'tools'
mobile.wnd.com / 03/15/2015 / Leo Hohmann

(A: Remember, this fits in with the GIRFEC in Britain and everything else; there's many ways to monitor you and guide you from birth to death now. With the GIRFEC in Scotland they put a government-sponsored person, an agent really, who must come into your home, you must let them in, from about the age of two months onwards. And they get into all your business, the parental business, everything else, and to make sure that that child is getting the right kind of indoctrination, it doesn't have any particular dislikes of any people or anything else and so on and so on, so they can always adjust you as you grow up.)

Technology is increasingly being used by schools to gather data on students, testing not just their knowledge of subjects like reading, math and science but subjective "social skills." (A: Social skills.)

Parents and students have been “opting out” of high-stakes testing in record numbers over the past year, saying the standardized tests waste valuable instruction time, cause undue stress and often measure “skills” that have nothing to do with academic knowledge.

Rather than merely asking for a right or wrong answer to a math, history or science question, (A: Oh, that’s old fashioned stuff now, that’s passé.) the new assessment industry is capable of boring into a child’s attitudes, values, opinions and beliefs, all of which parents and privacy advocates say is no business of the government’s. (A: And that’s absolutely true. All of the things that they're going to pry into make you you, and it's your right to be you.)

The pushback has led some state education systems to recommend a reduction in the amount of high-stakes testing in public schools.

But, parents beware, the sudden realization that maybe too much testing is going on is not going to lead to less data being collected. Quite the opposite.

In fact, traditional testing may no longer be needed. Schools have found they have better, more efficient ways to collect even more data on your child, without resorting to paper and sharpened No. 2 pencils.

Oregon’s Gov. John Kitzhaber, for instance, assigned a task force to this problem recently and after a year of private meetings, the group is ready to unveil its recommendations which are expected to include replacing

standardized tests with high-tech “observation” tools. (A: And I'll put all these links up, remember, tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And the link is in here for all that, the high tech observation tools.)

Fewer tests might sound like a relief to stressed-out students and wary parents.

But what if your child’s teacher could have access to a software application that allows her to collect data on your child in real time, without ever being rolled out in a test?

Enter the BOSS app. It is just one of countless new data-collection products available to school systems looking to collect data on the sneak.

BOSS stands for Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools. (A: You can do it out of school too, though.) The app was designed to “enable psychologists to observe” patients but is now being marketed to schools interesting in tracking students’ behavioral patterns.

Created by the British-based textbook giant Pearson, the BOSS app can be loaded onto a smartphone and used to secretly monitor every move of targeted students in the classroom. (A: What about out of the classroom?)

Does little Johnny fidget in his seat a bit too much? Does he socialize with the students around him in an appropriate manner? Does he tend to stare aimlessly out the window when he should be paying attention to the teacher?

All of this information can be pulled in and stored in an individual dossier for later analyzing and assigned an intervention and remediation that will deal with Johnny’s shortcomings, whether they be laziness, lack of assertiveness, over-aggressiveness or whatever psychological problem the app may discover. (A: Of course, they will all be infallible, right? [Alan chuckles.])

BOSS app can be downloaded from iTunes for $29.99 and comes in age-appropriate versions from pre-K through 12th grade. (A: So this app, really, it's quite something. It's beyond many of the other ones that are already out there. But again, it's not the only one out there too.)

The BOSS app is not the only new technology percolating in the education industry that has the ability to invisibly assess students in real time without their knowledge, or the knowledge of their parents. (A: Because parents don’t matter anymore. The state owns the children; they own us actually.)

Below is a small sampling of other apps being marketed to educators:

(A: And here's the info and it says:)

You Can Handle Them All app• “You Can Handle Them All”: This app, produced by Master Teacher (A: That’s another one.,), describes 124 behaviors that teachers may encounter in their students and identifies the primary cause of each. (A: Oh, like they just know now it, hey. There could be many factors involved.) A teacher using this app places each student into a category, with options that include “The Blabbermouth,” “The Blurter,” “The Boss,” “The Bully,” “The Complainer,” “The Disengaged” and “The Class Clown.” (A: How about “The Labeler”? That’s for the teacher.) It then prompts the teacher with suggestions on how to remedy each unwanted behavior.

• “Pearson Dash”: Another product by Pearson, Dash, according to the iTunes product description, enables teachers to “Organize and track your students” according to classroom seating charts, to “record, edit, and e-mail observational notes on your students,” to “View student performance and mastery of skills with SuccessTracker data.”

• “What Would You Do at School If”: This app focuses squarely on social skills. Put out by Super Duper Publications, it seeks to elicit answers to sensitive, revealing questions that help schools develop a psychological profile on each student. Instead of a test, this app is presented to a young child as a fun “game” (A: That's much the same as how it's presented to adults, they don't even know they're being

monitored and checked and so on. It's fun, isn't it?) while collecting data on the child's parental upbringing and personality.

(A: So it's an invasion into privacy, of many areas too, but they won't push that so much.)

what would you do in school if "Select the cards you want students to see, and have them work on solving problems and practicing good social skills (A: Now, who has said what good social skills happen to be? It changes according to the Masters of the era.) as they discuss situations in and around school," the product description says. "The prompts include questions like, 'What would you do if ... you forgot your homework?' and, 'What would you do if ... your classmate teased you about the new shirt you wore?'"

Students are then graded based on how many "right" answers (A: Now, what's a right answer?) they give in what is clearly a test of one's attitudes, behaviors, values and beliefs. (A: Well, that's why they designed it that way, you're not allowed certain attitudes, behaviors and values and beliefs anymore. Birth to death, reconditioning, reconditioning, reeducation, way beyond the old Soviet reeducation camps.) The problem with such questions, say privacy experts, is that the "right" answer is clearly subjective and has nothing to do with a student's ability to acquire and retain objective academic knowledge. (A: But most schooling has nothing to do with academic knowledge anymore, it's social engineering.)

For instance, a parent may teach a boy to defend a weaker boy or a girl who is getting beat up by a bully. But what if the "right" answer in the role playing game is to go and tell a teacher or principal? Will the child get marked down if he answers that he would intervene and physically stop the bully's attack? What will the remediation for this "wrong" behavioral skill be? (A: What will the remediation be? Another thing you might say too, supposing that little boy that's being attacked is beat up or killed, when he's off reporting it to the teacher. It would be another case to see, well should he have gone and helped break it up first, because that would apply to a human adult.)

According to promotional details on iTunes, the "What Would You Do at School If" app lets teachers:

• Track correct and incorrect responses for an unlimited number of players.

• Receive feedback for incorrect and/or correct responses

• View results in a graph and see which questions a player missed during a session.

• Print, E-mail and share your results. (A: With whom? Mental health authorities, agencies, whatever.)

The same vendor, Super Duper Publications, puts out a separate app called "Super Duper Data Tracker" that allows teachers to "increase the accuracy and efficiency of your data collection" on each individual student.

There are literally dozens of these apps out there being downloaded by teachers, often at the behest of administrators, (A: Well, they're all getting lobbied, right, and there's money that changes hands, believe you me.) and many of them come tailored to the Common Core national education standards. (A: That's just coincidence, right?)

One teacher who reviewed the Super Duper Data Tracker on iTunes said he liked it but wished the data came with increased portability and could be more easily integrated into other platforms.

"It would also be great if this was tied to a website where teachers had an account and could input large amounts of data on something other than the small screen or tempermental (sic) keyboard of an iPad/iPhone," the teacher said. "Then everything would be backed up, we could share data with other team members (especially in situations where many people see one student!). It is a great app, I just think in the day of icloud and spreadsheets it is begging for a big overhaul."

Well, that will come regardless because eventually their opinions will overcome any other reason of course, because it's already happening. And little Johnny will be reconditioned his whole life long into being the proper kind of citizen that's been designed by the state.

Now, I've given talks in the past. As I've said, they're all in the archives section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You can find talks on the big world players who helped create this presents culture, many of whom are long dead, because culture is always created, the future is always planned, folks, and who worked at the United Nations and with United Nations, with the big psychological associations, psychiatric Association so on. I've given you all the different statements, even the books they put out, they're all in there, where they said that they would bring the situation in where you would get trained from birth to death. So all this isn't just happening spontaneously because of technology. It's time for it to get put forth. And many of them, and Bertrand Russell said it too, governments would be unable to resist the temptation to use all these methods to bring up a kind of society that the government itself desires. And it can be any kind of government.

Now, as I've said before, that's just the way it is, folks, and to get back to what I said earlier in the talk, you must proof your mind. You must proof it yourself, and guard your mind because you are you. Everything in you, your opinions and so on, all these different composites of you, that makes you you. That's the natural person. We don't want to go into stereotypes, like bricks in the wall on a conveyor belt getting stamped in the same shape and so on. But the big boys at the top don't want you to have different viewpoints and so on which are contrary, and are sometimes a nuisance to different government agendas. And then you do become an enemy of the state. And at the very least you become, get put into a label, pigeonholed, and they have many definitions and terms that they use for categories of humans in society. We're all monitored, believe you me. It doesn't matter if you're doing radio shows or not, or blogs or anything else, it doesn't matter, you're all getting monitored.

The folk who don't like what's happening are already called, and don't belong to any clubs or agencies or groups that are protesting. They're called unaffiliated subversives on the grounds that they don't like certain things that are happening in society today. They're not politically correct. They don't adopt the updates you're given on what you should believe in, what you should think of certain things and topics and so on.

But anyway, don't let this destroy you or flatten you. Don't let it happen, folks, because when that happens and you all just cave-in, you're as well as just saying, here's my brain do what you want with it. And that's not what life is all about, is it?

The ones at the top have their own definition of progress. But true progress has been freeing up people, from all kinds of slavery, by bullies and authorities of all kinds down through the ages. And we have the brief little piece of it where we have certain rights and so on, and now it's all to be taken away, again. And you can't let that happen for any reason given to you by government. You can't go backwards.

And for those, as I say, who are being overwhelmed by information, switch off for a while. You don't have to tune into the horror story to see how it ends, you're not going to die tomorrow, whether you tune in or not. You must survive. You must look after your own mind. It's all you have. And I've often said, the mind has no firewall, be careful what you let in there. Even that which seems to expose stuff, don't let it destroy you. And question the things that you think are destroying you. Be very, very careful. Because you do matter. You all matter.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it

Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51

'CCTV in all homes': Police chief's domestic security call attacked by privacy groups

ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program

Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?

Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS)

BOSS User's Guide

Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 29, 2015 (#1496)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Childhood's Loss, Meet the New BOSS"
© Alan Watt March 29, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 29, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 29, 2015. As always I hope, I really do hope that you're pulling through into the spring, which appears in the occasional place south of the border, more than where I am here up in Canada. Last night in fact it hit on the centigrade scale about -25, or 25 below. Hopefully I'm going get some spring eventually too but we're pretty well going into April and it's not really on the horizon that much at the moment. Well, we're going through global warming, right, that's the reason we're so cold up here.

Now, we're living, as I've mentioned so many times, in such a massively planned psychological muddle basically, by the big forces above us that have trained us since child birth, that they have influenced us in so many ways that most folk are completely unaware of in fact, primarily through, part of your initial indoctrination and education, apart from that it's taken up by media and also by entertainment, which is the greatest way to alter behavior of all. In fact, we mimic what we see. We even mimic the attitudes of the actors we like, or who are portrayed as likable in special movies and so on. We copy in other words, we're very much into mimicking. Children are more apt and you'll see it more openly in children with the language and everything else they pick up on television, a lot of it which you don't like in fact. But all of this is understood by those who put it out in the first place because the culture industry calls themselves just that, amongst themselves, the culture industry, not the entertainment industry.

At the very top you have the economic system and those who run at the top the economic system. There are people, a few individual families in fact that slush billions every day into the world economy. They slush these funds around all over the place and everyone else follows suit, because they read the newspapers, who's investing in what and so on. And the little guys, again, always emulate what they see, even though it's very misleading because it's a game too where those at the top always get out in time and divest the money and put it into another option before they crash a certain market and so on.

But that's the name of the game in this system. There's nothing fair about it. It's not designed to be fair. Those at the top will actually... really, put out the old idea of social Darwinism and survival of the fittest, that developed out of that Darwinistic theory, and they believe that they have the right to use cunning and so on. They say that all is fair in love and war, but it's also all is fair in economic business as well. And business is not a very nice thing because behind all the smiles of the business suits when they meet together to drink their brandy and so on and sip wine, there's always this competition amongst themselves. Vast egos. Many of them actually are psychopathic, there's no doubt about it at all. Look at some of the statements that some of the top of them, CEOs and so on come out with.

And the reason they get to the top is that they know how to play the game. They know what the game is. They can emulate emotion, affection and so on, and fire you just in the same breath actually or a second later. They don't really have emotions as we think of it. They have the emotion of great happiness when they win something personally, and they have that high they get when they succeed in putting other competition under. That's real business and that's just the way it really is. Competition is taught. It used to be more blatant in the schooling system where they would grade you in classes in fact and then you'd compete and compete within the classes for the little gold stars that you'd get on your little cardboard form or booklet or whatever or on the wall. And that's the system, you're trained at an early age to compete. Athletics is just another part of it, to compete, compete, compete, and so were the school exams as well.

So we live in a competitive society. Is it natural? Well, some parts of it may kind of natural because I think young guys in a primitive society, as they call natural societies, primitive, and tribal societies, which compete to an extent. But they would also form their natural pecking orders where one person who might be the best hunter or the best runner or whatever would have compassion and feel part of the rest of the group. If he didn't and he tried to take over as leader of the tribe or whatever there was enough people to depose him. When he gets an army behind him, through taxation than he can pay an army money, then you're really in trouble because then he can stay in power, then intermarry with some other psychopathic leader's daughter of another tribe. That's how history has been made in fact, unfortunately.

Now, I've mentioned before that when you have psychopaths in a system of psychopathic control, which is never humane, sometimes there's a spill over and more wealth allowed to the people at the bottom in so-called prosperous times, or when they have big plans afoot that may be a few years hence they'll bring on a war, and folk are happier prior to the war and tend to go into the Army, the Air Force, the Navy and so on to keep what they think it's theirs, their right to have a good prosperous life. Once they are running out of wars of course they take all the goodies away from you, and they don't really need you to be happy anymore, because they can use you in other ways.

Today we're in a scientifically controlled society and they don't have to keep you happy at all for that matter. In fact, they have found in their neuroscience and so on, if they keep you on edge all the time they can condition you all the faster. Because you can't grasp hold of something for long enough, that you call normalicy, to hang onto. And normalicy develops over time, it becomes the culture and so on. If they can keep them in a stampede they can shape and direct the direction of the stampede according to their planning quite easily. And that's where we are today under the anti-terrorism and so on, global economics, bank failures are threatened all the time. We have bank bail-ins planned because all of the countries, all of the first world countries have signed on to the IMF and the World Bank with their directions to, have treaties on all this, that they'll all do bank bail-ins where they will literally take all the deposits out of the savers' accounts and so on.

So we're living in a very crisis ridden society, and then they have the threat of terrorism constantly on the go, which can only end up, with the aid of technology as we have it today, into a worse system than you had in the East Germany's Stasi police. And the Stasi police, they put a lot of good documentaries out on it and some good movies that were based on fact, written by participants in fact, or victims of the Stasi, both actually took part in making some of them. They show you how innocent people, lots of them, thousands of them, every year, had their homes bugged. They could bug a home entirely by going in and within 10 minutes they had many, many bugs put all over the place. They could record everything that happened; they had little fisheye cameras and so on. Today they don't need that because you buy all the equipment that surveillance needs. You buy it thinking it's of a benefit to yourself, it's much, much easier. I'll be touching on some of that tonight. That's the way it is.

Now, I'm going to mention right off the bat here too that at this time of year, and all through the winter, I always get lots of people emailing me with problems and depressions and so on. And these are from people who have a higher understanding of what's happening in the world and why things are happening and as always they find no solution out of it. And you can't simply give simple solutions to a system which is total. That's why I liken it to The Matrix in fact because it's very, very much like the matrix system portrayed in the movies as far as realities go, and with their false endings, even within the movie. You think you have arrived, that the person is going to give you the answer, you've arrived at the right place only to find out there's another compartment or level above you or beneath you or to the side of you and so on. That's how the system is. It's a very complex system.

And people get depressed in the winter, especially when it's a bad winter like we have now, and the last few years in fact. There are fewer jobs as well, people are being laid off and unemployed or working so many part-time jobs they are burned out. Many folk are lonely of course, naturally, because if you are into trying to understand what's happening in the world, you're getting the patterns, you see how it fits together, it's very difficult to talk to people who are in their programming. They have been well programed from childhood onwards in fact and they have really, they take the world as it is presented to them and everything in the world and all aspects of it, the way the media, the television and so on presents it to them. They take it that way. They think, well naturally, it's a natural way to take it. That's why it works so well for those who run the world. Why would you think that you're being deceived? Why would you think that? In a natural society why would you think you were born into a form of deception? And being trained not to see what's there? So it's a natural thing for them to cling onto that, I must be right, and all authorities must be right, all authority figures must be right, because they all say they are. You see. And all their friends do too, who are also under the influence of the world being presented to them and they have taken it as the presentation is given.

That's the hard part for people. And I tell them, when you're really getting depressed about it all, pull back. Pull back. Don't overwhelm yourself with what seems to be negativity because negativity will destroy you and put you into a massive depression. You can't allow that to happen to yourselves. Pull back from listening to the bad news. Even the show that I do, pull back from it. And relax, allow your mind to relax. Concentrate on the things you must get done to survive and get through day to day, and do the essential things. But pull away from things that just spouts out, there's a lot of shows out there that spout fear every day, which seems to be overwhelming. It is overwhelming in fact, and they use a shotgun approach to things. They tell you 50 bad things that are scary things that are being considered by governments around the world, all at the same time, within an hour or two, and then you're left shattered afterwards, in a frenzy. That's the shotgun approach, scattergun approach were all these problems are whacked at you and not a single solution is given in the presentations. Which makes you wonder why they're doing it in the first place. [Alan scoffs.] But again, you've got to remember that a lot of what's called the patriot business is a business for those people. Don't forget that. Without what's happening in the world they would have no business, and that's how they do it.

Now, you must always pace yourself according to the news that's been given out there and don't become incredibly overwhelmed by it and put down by it until, as many people find, they can't communicate. I mean, it's very difficult to communicate to those around you, who are living in their day-to-day television series and the dramas and so on and their news. And you know why things are happening on the news even, of why it's being presented, something is being presented in some certain way, but you can't convey it to them. Just bite your tongue at times. It's not their fault. It's not their fault. Many folks' conditioning is so perfect, it has taken so well in them they can't understand what you're talking about. It terrifies them if you try and explain to them something that's happening. They always say, choose your battles. It's the same when you're choosing your talks for conversation, choose them, know when to talk and when to listen. And you know, you don't have to get into some butting of heads like a couple of goats, you don't have to do that. It doesn't get them anywhere because they've already made their mind up, they're not going to... they can't believe you. If they did believe you they would crack up, you see. It fills them full of fear. Someone who's been brought up to think that, why would anybody, why would a system lie to me about so much? It fills them full of fear. It terrifies them.

So you have to choose your talks to people and conversations and know when to live inside your head. I've told so many folk to do that. But when it becomes overwhelming, pull back, you've got plenty inside your

mind to think about. You don't have to listen every day, and this is part of the technique too of fear. There are those who put out fear, fear, fear, fear everyday... because it becomes addictive. I've mentioned this so many times before and folk should really use the archive section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com where I explain the techniques of horror movies and psychology. Even in the worst B-movie horror movie people will watch the beginning and say, oh God no. But the hook it's in you see, what's going to happen to so-and-so? And you project yourself as so-and-so the hero, or the victim, and as a girl, that's got to be the heroine or the victim. And the primitive part of your brain kicks in because the horror movies are about impending danger, life extinction, all that kind of thing. And you don't want to turn away because it works, a horror movie, it works just like a dream, that's how it works and is assessed, with you being chased or whatever and if you turn away or don't tune in you might miss something that's going to save your life. So you listen to the shows that spout bad, bad, bad, terrifying news, very scary, with all the music behind it... Whenever you hear music dramatizing someone's words, and it's going bang-bang-bang-bang, whatever the noise they're making at the time, these are psychological techniques to intensify fear. I hope you understand all that. And you've got to pull back, you see, switch the darned thing off. You find out no monster is going to get you at the end of the movie, because you're not going to watch it. And it's the same thing when you're hearing, tuning into shows every day that are petrifying you, don't do it. It will kill you. It will destroy you.

So that's a bit of advice for everybody. Because in this world today you have enough, enough natural bad stuff going on. A lot of you are struggling, we are all struggling actually financially. The economies are going down the tubes. China was made and set up to be, not by China but by the Western countries and their governments in collusion with the World Bank and the Bank for International Settlements, the World Trade Organization, all private institutions, and the GATT treaty and so on for trade and tariffs. We set up China as the commercial manufacturer of most things for the whole planet. In fact, we funded it through our tax money and so on, unbeknownst to the people themselves we funded it all. And they knew the impact of impoverishment and job losses of nonproducing countries, they knew that would happen. And they knew there would be depressions, economic depressions and mass unemployment and so on. That was all decided by our betters who often pretend they are elected by you and that they are there to serve you, which of course is never, in my life for sure, ever been the actual truth at all. That's the way it is. I'm not saying it's fair. It's unfair, of course it is. But there's nothing fair in this massive system of economics. There's nothing fair, never will be in an economic system set up the way it is and the way that we have experienced it for so long. It can't be fair. It's not designed to be. It doesn't want to be.

So when you're getting overwhelmed with things, pull back, switch off all the terrifying news, in fact all news for that matter. And get the things done that you must do. If you're unemployed try and get something to tide you over. It's imperative that you try. You don't have to like the job, just do it. Earn enough money and when it gives you time to look around for something else and so on that's a little bit better, and not so soul destroying as I call some jobs because a lot of them are definitely soul destroying, if they are repetitive especially. And take care of yourself. Get the imperative things taken care of first of all. And when you go back into it, looking out for what's happening in the world, you'll find that if you had some peace in you, you must have some peace there, then you can handle it much, much better. And don't go right back into the same routine of getting terrified every day, hour after hour, listening to certain things. Pull back, get the important things that are going on, and keep the basic view of what's going on, the basic view. You have to forget all of the rest of the extraneous stuff, get the basic view and that's all you need to know.

I've gone over the whole big world agenda for so long from documents put out by members of big organizations involved in globalism, and the boys involved in the culture industry who meet at global meetings as well on how to make sure, along with the educational systems and so on, they make sure they're bringing up the right kind of society to be manipulated by the system, which is going to get more and more intensive. These things have all been going on for well over 100 years now. And I've also gone through all the different organizations that work for the big changes that help the big international corporations continue. The big international corporations you'll find are sponsors of all the supposed civil liberty groups and so on out there and the big cultural change groups that are out there, and so on and so on.

So be very careful what you listen to. You don't have to listen to their whole spiel, you know what it's going to be and you know what spin they're going to take on before you start listening, and once you understand it, switch it off and start thinking for yourself. Because you must get through life. You must get through life yourself. It's imperative you take care of yourself.

Now, I've mentioned Bill C-51 in Canada, this Anti-Terrorism Act 2015 quite a few times and I'll just touch on this tonight to start off. I warned about it last time, what this could turn into. It's inevitable, in fact, that if it gets passed it will turn into, I think even if they don't pass it this time, we're already doing most of it anyway, it just gives them more right to do further things openly, I think. But anyway this says:

Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it
torontosun.com / Julia Alexander / March 18, 2015

What is Bill C-51?

According to the Government of Canada, Bill C-51, also known as the Anti-terrorism Act, 2015, was designed to, “encourage and facilitate information sharing between Government of Canada institutions in order to protect Canada against activities that undermine the security of Canada.”

The Conservative Party introduced the idea of increasing security provisions after the Parliament Hill shooting in October, but didn’t formally introduce the act until January.

Simply put, the Stephen Harper government wants to allocate more power to police services and security institutions like the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) to keep a closer eye on potentially dangerous terrorism situations and prevent future attacks.

Why does the Harper government insist we need it? (Alan:And this is from the Toronto Sun.)

According to the act’s official summary, Bill C-51 would ensure safer transportation services for Canadians, allow law enforcement to step in and arrest, without question, a person they suspect is about to carry out a terrorist attack, and it would increase the protection of witnesses who come forward with information on a potential terrorist attack.

(A: Now, you often find witnesses who come forward, or witnesses they've already grabbed, you see, who are already in jail awaiting some sentence, for maybe their part in something or knowing someone or whatever and being involved in something. And it's well known in this whole judicial system that we live in that they tend to, they can make things up to get out and get other people incriminated who have nothing to do with them whatsoever. That's fairly common in the criminal justice system and we hear about it all the time. And it would simply increase. Because every other country that was totalitarian have used the same techniques in the past, this is what happens, no one becomes safe at all, even folks they don't like, they will rat on them, and once you're on a list, you know, you can't really prove your innocence. Once you're on a list government does not, as people who are in government have told me, work very fast to correct mistakes, they don't do that. They're so slow, they go around corners on four square wheels. They lumber in other words. And once you're on a list your life can become absolute hell, absolute hell. Can you imagine each trip you would take in a vehicle, they would have your license plate, you have the automatic scanners now as you drive by and they pull you over just to harass you and question you and so on. Because the police themselves have already judged you as you're being... The judgment has been made for them that you are suspicious, you see, if you're on a list. And that's the sad truth of so-called civilization.)

Essentially, the government would increase its role in national security to keep a constant watchful eye on potentially harmful situations and end them before anyone is hurt or killed.

Why is it so controversial?

Civil liberty groups and other critics have claimed the bill stretches the definition of security to potentially include peaceful protests, further restricts freedom of expression, and raises privacy concerns, since the act would allow federal institutions such as Health Canada and Revenue Canada to share private information with the RCMP.

Critics have also expressed grave concerns that it fails to define terrorism clearly, (A: And you can't because they're already broadening it to include many other things that are not what you would call terrorist related

at all. And that's inevitable as well.) and in attempting to remove all terrorist propaganda from the Internet will effectively try to censor freedom of expression on the Internet, violating a handful of online civil liberties. (A: It will be more than a handful of civil liberties. Personal conversations on the phone or whatever, little comments you make, even jokes you make, it will all be taken awfully, awfully seriously. And it becomes a nightmare. That's the problem with this kind of system.)

(A: So it was read a second time apparently before the standing committee. It was pushed through parliament fast.)

Where is Bill C-51 at?

Bill C-51 was formally introduced on Jan. 30, and since then has been rapidly pushed through Parliament.

On Feb. 23, the bill was passed and read a second time before being referred to the Standing Committee.

(A: I think it's got one more go then it will be into law.)

So as I say, these are like bad dreams because you know the history of other countries that have used techniques like this before in the past, and they are horrific. The stories that come out are horrific, how so many lives were utterly destroyed, destroyed by this kind of system that comes in when you have suspicion, suspicion.

Another article here is:

Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51
huffingtonpost.ca / 03/20/2015 / ELIZABETH MAY

The reaction to Bill C-51 has been widespread and the opposition is growing. While its short title is the "Anti-Terrorism Act," it is both more and less than that.

It is less than "anti-terrorism" because it is likely to make us less safe. The act gives new powers to CSIS to act in Canada and overseas to "reduce threats," with virtually no limits. CSIS is specifically not allowed to cause death or bodily harm or "violate the sexual integrity" of anyone. The range of potential activities -- from break and enter, search and seizure, infiltration, monkey-wrenching, include powers to offer witnesses immunity from prosecution or from ever having to testify. (A: So some obscure witness can just say something about you, and that's you.)

There is no requirement that CSIS tell the RCMP what it is up to (A: That's the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.), and it is the RCMP that has been successfully countering plots and arresting suspects. Just imagine when the RCMP finds key witnesses have a "get out of jail free" card from CSIS. (A: That's the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.) That and other sections run a high degree of probability of gumming up the works. Security experts, especially those with experience in the Air India inquiry, remind us that it is critical that security agencies not develop silos. C-51 takes a system that is currently working quite well and threatens to turn it into a three ring circus, without benefit of a ring-master.

It is also less than Canadians would expect, as there is nothing in C-51 to work against radicalization. No outreach efforts, nothing for the prison system or the schools as the U.K. government established in its new law passed in December 2014.

It is more than anti-terrorism, as the range of activities covered by a new and sweeping definition of "threats to the security of Canada" in the information sharing section of the bill covers far more than terrorism. It could plausibly cover just about anything, and certainly would cover those opposing pipelines and tankers. (A: That's the enviro-groups and so on.)

It is actually five bills rolled into one. Each part contains provisions I can only describe as dangerous. For example, part 5, amendments to the Immigration and Refugee Act, appear to allow the use of evidence obtained by torture. Part 3, ostensibly about getting terrorist propaganda off the Internet, uses a set of new

concepts that would criminalize private conversations (A: I mean, that's terrible, that.) -- and not just about terrorism. (A: Humph.) The propaganda section does not require knowing you are spreading propaganda, and "terrorist propaganda" itself has a definition so broad as to include a visual representation promoting a new concept called "terrorism in general." Experts are now referring to this as "thought chill." (A: ...thought chill.. Actually, it will be thought kill because it will kill... you'd better kill off any ability to think at all, I think.)

As the first MP to oppose C-51, I now have a lot of company: four former prime ministers, six former Supreme Court justices, over 100 legal experts, Conrad Black, Rex Murphy, Tom Mulcair and the NDP, the editorial positions of the Globe and Mail, National Post and Toronto Star. The Assembly of First Nations has called for it to be withdrawn. I hope you agree as well. (A: And that's in the Huffington Post.)

But we're going down the route, the inevitable route, actually, of what happens when countries become more tyrannical and obsessional in a particular ideology of some kind. Today it's the need for antiterrorism and everyone is suspicious. Anyone who is a bit different at all will be put down as suspicious and possibly dangerous. Because if they don't know much about you then yeah, you could be dangerous, or you could be quite simply happy and not dangerous at all. It doesn't matter. See, facts don't matter anymore. And if you disagree with various things in government just by your opinions on things, that's maybe enough to get you into hot water, by having an opinion on something or an observation.

It's... Well, I've always said that something wicked this way comes, and this is repeated down through history as I've said before. And with today's technologies it makes it all the more easier to carry it all out. It's rather sad. It's rather, rather sad. But you know, I've mentioned different talks that I've given in the past about how science itself impacts society. Very important thing to understand because people don't... People really don't... I think Jacques Ellul said, the people learn by osmosis. It's that they don't really reason or think things through from information from outside that comes to you. It just comes, it just sort of sinks in there and you don't question it or reason through it, or agree or disagree with a lot of it. And to do with technology, that's part of it because we've got to understand that a long time ago, not so long ago actually, really, most folk had to travel by horse or horse and cart. That's the ones that could afford the horses, lots of folk couldn't. Then for a long time, for instance, in the 20th century public transportation was the only way that a good part of the British people could move around, trains or mainly buses actually, to get to their work and all the rest of it.

Everything is geared towards an economic system. There's no way out of that allowed in the system, it doesn't allow that. Because you must earn money even to pay taxes. At one time people would become hobos and take to the road, and take their chances and maybe die, you know, in the winter and so on. But even that was a form of freedom and the right to choose that. But that's all been taken away too as they grabbed them off the streets on the various acts, vagrancy acts or mental health acts or whatever. And you can't even get that loophole out, to just escaped now and walk off and say I've given up on society. So you must earn money to buy the things, because in the system everything is based on money. You must buy things to feed yourself, clothe yourself, warmth, heat, shelter, clothing and so on, and pay taxes.

The whole system is a complete system and you are there to serve the system in actual fact. And that's how you're described in economic schools and so on, you're collateral, you see. You are a worker. You are a resource, a human resource. And they work out from statistics on your health and so on, and your past health, how long you might get in a working situation, a particular job, and contribute to society as they call it. That means, by the definition at the United Nations, you're a good consumer, so you've got the money to buy things, and you're a good producer. When you become just a consumer, when you retire, then you're really a bad citizen. And if you become unemployed, then you're bad, you're not contributing, you're not contributing taxes and so on and so on. Because government is so huge today, it's massive, and many of the big foundations out there also get tax money for all their nongovernmental organizations, which are funded by the foundations, they get tax money added to it. Because everything is shaped and geared to take you along a particular avenue of believing in the system and why they are doing things, environmentally or any other way, and it's all for your good, etc. etc.

So we're not just simply... I've always said that culture just doesn't develop anymore. It's always planned and shaped and controlled. And that's what it's all about, is massive control, right down to thought control,

obviously. But when you introduce technology of any kind into society you're not riding around in the horse and cart anymore, or Shank's pony which is your legs of course, you're not walking. And you're into getting a vehicle, etc., and all that goes with it, your insurances, taxes, road taxes and so on, in order to get to and from work and all the rest of it. So that changed massively society, and it allowed folk for the first time to get out of these crammed cities into outer areas and travel into work as well and get a bit of freedom and peace from the crowded, crowded cities. That's all to change because the whole agenda for the 21st century, they want you back in these crowded cities, for you lot. But they have a tiered system for those who are middle wealthy and very wealthy and so on, little perks along the way. Everything is based with economics on awards for those beneath.

So again, technology changes it all. So the vehicle itself changed society massively and eventually they want to take it all away from you again. And what they've replaced a lot of it with is something that helps all of the institutions, set up by governments and working with governments, and working with the economic system, to monitor you all and manage you even better, of course that's the Electronic Revolution that they had. Many years ago I read on the air articles about the big military industrial complex and how they were putting articles out in the papers and magazines, years ago, about if they come to the end of wars – they were already preparing as they were doing this, the big global wars for instance – they were going into security, domestic security systems, cameras, microphones and so on, and the computer and all the rest of it, even before they gave us the computer. And it's all come to pass. And of course there always lobbying government. Remember what the definition of lobbying and lobbyist happens to be. Because lobbying government, if you lobby government you're trying, a special interest group is trying to influence the decisions of government policy. And those decisions then are passed on, which alter your behavior, beneath the government of course, if they mandate you must have this, they mandate you must have that. And your police forces, everything that they mandate that they must have, are getting all these camera systems that are everywhere, tied into your computerized system in the cars and so on and so on. It's all interconnected. So technology itself alters your behavior.

When something is placed in your environment it alters your behavior. They knew that with the radio. When the radio came out the BBC used it immediately and it sprung up immediately to use it for propaganda. They used it widely for World War I. They found out they could alter people's behavior, by ensuring the radios were cheap enough to buy, and they could put them in workplaces, even in factories at times, and you would listen to the shows. And they gave you, they found that cliffhanger dramas, you were left with the cliffhanger at the end, welltune in tomorrow for the serial to find out what happens to the hero as she is hanging off the cliff, will he or won't he die? Folk would rush to their homes after work to hear the next episode. So it would alter human behavior. They knew it altered behavior with the use of advertising too, by promoting certain things people would change their dress codes, things like that, their hairdos, all of these things. Then when television came in, bang, that was really off to a big, big thing. Cinema was also used as well. There was tremendous war propaganda documentaries about how to get the people happy, happy, happy, the young folk who don't know any better to join up and go off to war. It was all put across very happy with lots of dancing and so on, and we are the youth and we're going to do it all. An actor dressed up in the uniforms, who were never in the military, telling them why they have to go off and fight, even though these actors were not going off to fight themselves.

This is management of the mind, you understand. So technology can massively, and always does, alter human behavior. By behavior too I also mean your thought, what you think about, how you think about things, why you think about things, and opinions you're led to conclude and they become your opinions. That's why there are so many neuroscientists and psychologists and behaviorists involved with all these big companies that present movies, television, dramas, documentaries, things for government, documentaries and so on, right down to the management of the Internet, on behalf of the authorities. So everybody buys their personal computer, oh, privacy is yours, and all that nonsense, when they had no intention of that. It was for their benefit to categorize you with your personality style and so on. They said it was, first, for advertisers so that they would really find out how you tick and promote things to you. But of course it was really for all of those involved in it including government agencies and security agencies to personality profile you.

And they're constantly doing studies. They hand a lot of this data over, in fact, they give access to streams on the Internet to a lot of universities, like MIT and so on, to profile groups of people and find clusters of

people, why you are in this little cluster, what you all have in common, what other things do you have in common, why is this? Massive psychological manipulation will be used on all of the people according to what they observe, you see, that's how precise and how deep all this goes and it works awfully, awfully well for them.

So this whole idea with Bill C-51 for Canada, and all the British Commonwealth countries have the same kind of bills, it's inevitable. They planned this years ago. They knew, before they gave you the Internet they would bring out all these different bills under different guises at the right times and so on, to manage. Because the first thing the government must do, the first job that it has, is to preserve itself. And itself means also the whole institution, not just the government but the system of economics that you're born into. So be very careful when you're thinking about getting this and getting that because it's, oh it's so convenient. It will be used on you, that's the prime purpose of it. The second purpose is to condition you as well and bring you to the correct opinions and observations and all the rest of it. And also to make you think that privacy is a quaint old-fashioned idea. Many folk already do. And you don't understand that people fought for centuries over things such as privacy, to have privacy in the first place. When you lose that you have no security. And when you have no privacy of your mind it's all over, it's finished, forget it, throw in the towel.

So I tell people try and reclaim your mind, if you want to. Many folk don't want to. They're happy. I've read articles by the big global planners before where they have said that many people will love their servitude. And they do. If they're doing okay financially and so on and they are young enough to feel healthy and they can party and all the rest of it, they love it, they love the system. They're okay. I'm okay, Jack. You know, I'm all right Jack, as they say. So that's the way it is. Everyone has been divided into different categories. So don't expect, you know. Don't expect other people to simply jump on board and start questioning things. And don't get angry with them when they can't or won't. You can't get angry and ostracize yourself completely. You got to live amongst the world of people, and it takes all kinds of people, you see. And the conflict doesn't help, at all.

Now, talking about how technology changes our whole way of behavior. I've mentioned so many times about the fact that they've known for an awful long time, even from World War I when they took photographs of the troops in the trenches, the troops would behave differently. They would walk differently, their stances would be different when they knew they were being filmed. You might have experienced that yourself when someone's taking a photograph of you, you don't stand normally or relax or whatever. You'll stand in a different pose or whatever because you're being filmed.

Well, they also know that when you're being filmed in the streets by CCTV cameras then your behavior is changed too. The spontaneity of how you would joke with your pals, it goes, it disappears. Because you're being watched. So you learn to self-police yourself, that becomes second nature. Wherever you go you're being watched and you become more, apparently more into yourself, introverted, you see, and they know this at the top. They want to encourage people to self-police themselves, the way that George Orwell's 1984 portrayed Winston with that bland expression of his, because an expression could give you away as being a subversive, or a thoughtful person, which is the same thing.

Think about this. Here's an article here, right along this inevitable path as I say. It says:

‘CCTV in all homes’:
Police chief’s domestic security call attacked by privacy groups
rt.com / March 09, 2015 / Reuters/Soeren Stache

(A: Now, they've already tried this in Britain, I know that, in certain areas. In fact, they used it for people who were suspected, supposedly, years ago, of abnormal behavior in the home. They might be kind of loud with each other, whatever it was, someone would report them. In would come the authorities and the social workers and so on and then they put cameras up and watched them. It says here:)

Britain’s most senior police officer has urged families and business owners to install hidden eye-level CCTV cameras to make it easier to identify burglars. (A: Right.) Privacy rights groups say it will make the public “an extension of the police.”

Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe (A: They love these hyphens, eh; there's a story behind that too but that's a different story.) encouraged property owners to make a "stronger effort" to help with criminal investigations.

(A: Now, I wonder who's been lobbying him as to... You don't think that the guys at the top of these things... Yeah, they'll get lobbyists, you know, and the lobbyists always help them on their career path and they also help them with funding and so on, things that they're never going to admit to. It's always been that way.)

Families and business owners should plant two CCTV cameras in their homes, Howe says, ideally one high camera to capture the full crime scene and another eye-level camera to capture clear images of criminals' faces. (A: Well remember, everyone under the modern law system, then the training of the police, puts everyone down as a potential criminal. That's you, too, that are sitting in your own home.)

Those who are only able to install one camera must ensure it is at eye-level. Howe claims this is the "most important angle." He said this strategy would make it easier for police to identify criminals.

However, privacy campaigners have condemned the strategy, claiming it will turn the public into "an extension of the police." (A: Well that's... It's beyond just that, folks. I love how they give you these, these little canards... an extension of the police. It's beyond that. It will alter your complete behavior if there's cameras in the home. You won't be spontaneous. You won't be so happy and joking and all that. Jokes can be dangerous now, you know.)

Few British households already have CCTV cameras installed, and the minority that do "position them too high." (A: [Alan laughing.])

"Over the last year as facial recognition software has got better, we can apply the software to the images of burglaries or robberies and we can compare those images with the images we take when we arrest people," Howe told LBC radio. (A: And it goes on and on and on.)

But I mean, these are the little articles here meant to make you, and a lot of folk will, who are conditioned, well we should do that, yeah, yeah, yeah. And this is how... They don't question all the dangers to it all, at all, it doesn't enter their heads.

Many of the talks I've given in the past I've gone through a lot of the history of this, and even the history of the Psychiatric Association, that is not just involved in psychiatry, believe it or not. There was a big political push at the beginning to create a psychiatric professional movement, with the intention eventually of running the world. Science, that's themselves at the top basically, they would determine who should be in power; a good idea actually but for the wrong reasons. They wanted to test all politicians or people who ran for politics and so on to see if they were sane enough to do it. Now, if any group had that particular power then they would of course abuse it right away. Because what is their definition of someone who is sane enough to use it? If you didn't have the same attitudes as the psychiatric majority, who would have their own votes on particular topics, then you wouldn't get in. On the other hand, I personally do believe that anyone with any kind of power over the public, right down to the policeman, should be tested for psychopathy. I really believe that. I really, really do believe that. And that goes for any government worker who's involved in your taxation and all the other things that they do, and anybody who's in charge of housing and so many different fields, should be tested for psychopathy. In this system it's mainly psychopaths who get up in charge of things, because they're very aggressive, they take the risks, the chances, incredibly manipulative, and they can dispose of all competition as they claw their way up too, without a thought, because they have no conscience.

But here's where they're going to go, according to the last article for instance, where the police just want you to have cameras, to catch burglars, it says. Well, here's the other part of it, which falls in naturally. It says:

ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on

TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program
motherjones.com / Allie Gross / Mar. 23, 2015

(A: Now, that's the transportation safety agency. This is the one that's the big one in the States that they created, which is crazy, there's so many agencies tripping over each other. But this says:)

Airport security basically sucks: Being herded through a Tensabarrier maze alongside a bunch of strangers is vexing enough. Then throw in the bag searches, the bomb-swabs, the mandatory doffing and donning of footwear and accessories, the "complimentary" pat down—it's hardly surprising that some people will come through the experience looking less than cheerful.

Nowadays, though, your very reasonable travel emotions (anxiety, stress, fear, despondency) can earn you even more face time with the Transportation Security Administration. Since 2003 TSA has toyed with the idea of placing "behavior detection officers" in airports across America (A: They're not toying with it, they've put them in already, I've read the articles in the past.) —part of a $1 billion counter-terrorism measure known as SPOT (Screening Passengers by Observation Techniques), which officially launched in 2007. (A: And they have computer programs too, that were invented by other psychopaths, who would immediately dive into where they see their prospects of advancements and in their paychecks and so on.)

"Our request and the lawsuit is to determine if TSA can adequately defend SPOT."

The behavior detection officer's job is to scan travelers' faces for micro-expressions—facial movements that come and go in the blink of an eye and are said to convey a person's true emotions. When one of these airport mentalists (A: ...and that's really what it's down to, isn't it?) spots a potentially shifty character, he can take it to the next level by striking up a casual conversation—the idea being that brief encounters may suggest whether a person poses a threat.

Signs of "anti-social" behavior (see emotions above) may result in a traveler being flagged for additional security measures. Between 2004 and 2008, as my former colleague Ben Buchwalter has reported, 152,000 people were flagged but fewer than 1,110 were arrested. Most of the arrestees "were undocumented aliens, had outstanding warrants, or were carrying fake documents or drugs." (A: So other reasons caught them, you see. So out of the 152,000 people, really, that were flagged for facial expressions, all they got were folk who gave themselves away by fake documents or they had drugs on them or something else or warrants.)

Meanwhile, the SPOT program, which relies heavily on officers' subjective observations, has been compared to the "stop-and-frisk" police tactics that have resulted—at least in New York City—in a disproportionate number of stops of blacks and Latinos. In an anonymous complaint obtained by the New York Times, one Boston TSA officer wrote that "the behavior detection program is no longer a behavior-based program, but it is a racial profiling program." The Times interviewed other TSA officers who corroborated the claim.

All you would have to do is take the same program, and they're already doing this by the way, and putting facial expressions into your homes, like the article we just talked about, CCTV cameras in all of your homes. And then of course, why were you irritated Mr. so-and-so when you were watching TV, and this is being presented, why were you, you had a frowned expression on your face? Will they take you in for reconditioning and reeducation? Like, a camp there that they'll take you into? This is all inevitable, folks. And all the programs that are out there, and again, through lobbyists companies and so on to push all this on government, it's fascist. When governments and lobbyists work together, that technically is fascist, big corporations involved, for financial gain, to get their products put through lawfully, into law, to be used in homes and things like that, then that's it, it's over.

Then this article here, it says:

Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?
telegraph.co.uk / Peter Stanford / 21 Mar 2015

(A: [Alan scoffs.] There's a form of doublespeak in the article, it says:)

Julie Lynn Evans has been a child psychotherapist for 25 years, working in hospitals, schools and with families, (A: …blah, blah, blah.) and she says she has never been so busy.

"In the 1990s, I would have had one or two attempted suicides a year – mainly teenaged girls taking overdoses, the things that don't get reported. Now, I could have as many as four a month."

Now, what she's mainly saying is, their cell phones. In fact, they can look into all kinds of things without parental consent, that are causing the problem. Society today is broken. Families are broken. There's been a war on the family structure for an awful long time to break it up. And the fallout is phenomenal, it's not just the phones, folks. And the push in this article, really, when it gets down to it, is for putting more resources into mental health services, which is a big United Nations mandate, has been for many years, to get every child from birth right through into adulthood checked constantly for mental health. And that includes having the right attitudes, that are pushed out by the top, that you must accept and must parrot. If you don't parrot it you're mentally ill.

So you'd better be careful the things you actually watch and read yourselves. You must always dissect everything and look at it from different sides, different angles. So as I said, remember, very early psychiatry's objective was to evaluate everyone in society eventually and help run society, including the politics and everything else. That hasn't stopped. So when you see articles like that be very, very careful, it's still the same mandate that's on the go. Rather than look at all the things, all the factors that go into destroying all of society, pushed steadily since at least the 60s, actually before that but steadily since the 60s, until you have dysfunctional everything, how many folk are really functional in any direction at all these days? Be very, very careful. But they don't go into checking themselves, who's watching the watchers? Who's doing the diagnosis on the psychiatrist? Many of them, too, are psychopathic by the way.

Now, here's an article here that ties in with, again, how technology changes society, not just those who use them but those who see the potential for using it on you. And there have been many articles out before about schools using apps and putting them into the different...again, mandating you buy their computer or whatever or even giving you them from the school, and they can then tap in any time they want, any teacher can, and watch what you're doing, and actually watch and hear what you're doing as well. And even seeing you undressing in your bedroom, a lot of these scandals are dying down by now, because folk are being acclimatized to those as though it's all quite normal. But this article here says:

Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS)
pearsonclinical.com / Edward S. Shapiro, PhD

The time-tested BOSS direct observation program is now available as a smart phone application, making it easier than ever for you (A: …this is for teachers.) to monitor students' behavior. Backed by extensive research, the BOSS system provides reliable and valid data on specific problem areas—so that you can determine appropriate remediations to help students succeed. (A: So it's all to help students succeed, by you observing them and sneaking and watching them.)

Features & Benefits

Take advantage of this new app to help easily pinpoint areas for behavior remediation. Use this intuitive tool (A: …it's intuitive, right...)with grades pre-K through 12 to assist you in:
•Recording and tracking frequency of targeted positive and negative behaviors. (A: Again, these are all value judgments by the teacher, who might not like the student.)
•Documenting a student's active or passive engagement in activities.
•Tabulating data and emailing it to you for future use to help support a disability diagnoses. (A: Who's doing the diagnosing here?)

(A: And it tells you how to order this BOSS program, BOSS app and so on.)
To download the BOSS app, visit the Apple® or Android™ app store

(A: Then it's got training, they have all these different training tools, products.))
Training

Pre-recorded Webinars

Conducting Systematic Behavioral Observations in Schools: Using BOSS App for iPhone and Android Webinar Recording

A brief discussion of the basic concepts of systematic direct observation will first be presented. A full explanation of the BOSS code, its categories and methods of data collection will be provided with an emphasis highlighting the use of the BOSS App available for iPhone and Android.

(A: Then it says:)

You May Also Like:

Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, Second Edition

Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) Rating Scales

(A: Social skills, is that what schools are there for, to teach you different social skills? Well, they're always upgrading the politically correct social skills, right, and so on, so that's really an order one. But here's an article here and it says:)

Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids
Teachers now thought police with extreme new spy 'tools'
mobile.wnd.com / 03/15/2015 / Leo Hohmann

(A: Remember, this fits in with the GIRFEC in Britain and everything else; there's many ways to monitor you and guide you from birth to death now. With the GIRFEC in Scotland they put a government-sponsored person, an agent really, who must come into your home, you must let them in, from about the age of two months onwards. And they get into all your business, the parental business, everything else, and to make sure that that child is getting the right kind of indoctrination, it doesn't have any particular dislikes of any people or anything else and so on and so on, so they can always adjust you as you grow up.)

Technology is increasingly being used by schools to gather data on students, testing not just their knowledge of subjects like reading, math and science but subjective "social skills." (A: Social skills.)

Parents and students have been “opting out” of high-stakes testing in record numbers over the past year, saying the standardized tests waste valuable instruction time, cause undue stress and often measure “skills” that have nothing to do with academic knowledge.

Rather than merely asking for a right or wrong answer to a math, history or science question, (A: Oh, that’s old fashioned stuff now, that’s passé.) the new assessment industry is capable of boring into a child’s attitudes, values, opinions and beliefs, all of which parents and privacy advocates say is no business of the government’s. (A: And that’s absolutely true. All of the things that they're going to pry into make you you, and it's your right to be you.)

The pushback has led some state education systems to recommend a reduction in the amount of high-stakes testing in public schools.

But, parents beware, the sudden realization that maybe too much testing is going on is not going to lead to less data being collected. Quite the opposite.

In fact, traditional testing may no longer be needed. Schools have found they have better, more efficient ways to collect even more data on your child, without resorting to paper and sharpened No. 2 pencils.

Oregon’s Gov. John Kitzhaber, for instance, assigned a task force to this problem recently and after a year of private meetings, the group is ready to unveil its recommendations which are expected to include replacing

standardized tests with high-tech “observation” tools. (A: And I'll put all these links up, remember, tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And the link is in here for all that, the high tech observation tools.)

Fewer tests might sound like a relief to stressed-out students and wary parents.

But what if your child’s teacher could have access to a software application that allows her to collect data on your child in real time, without ever being rolled out in a test?

Enter the BOSS app. It is just one of countless new data-collection products available to school systems looking to collect data on the sneak.

BOSS stands for Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools. (A: You can do it out of school too, though.) The app was designed to “enable psychologists to observe” patients but is now being marketed to schools interesting in tracking students’ behavioral patterns.

Created by the British-based textbook giant Pearson, the BOSS app can be loaded onto a smartphone and used to secretly monitor every move of targeted students in the classroom. (A: What about out of the classroom?)

Does little Johnny fidget in his seat a bit too much? Does he socialize with the students around him in an appropriate manner? Does he tend to stare aimlessly out the window when he should be paying attention to the teacher?

All of this information can be pulled in and stored in an individual dossier for later analyzing and assigned an intervention and remediation that will deal with Johnny’s shortcomings, whether they be laziness, lack of assertiveness, over-aggressiveness or whatever psychological problem the app may discover. (A: Of course, they will all be infallible, right? [Alan chuckles.])

BOSS app can be downloaded from iTunes for $29.99 and comes in age-appropriate versions from pre-K through 12th grade. (A: So this app, really, it's quite something. It's beyond many of the other ones that are already out there. But again, it's not the only one out there too.)

The BOSS app is not the only new technology percolating in the education industry that has the ability to invisibly assess students in real time without their knowledge, or the knowledge of their parents. (A: Because parents don’t matter anymore. The state owns the children; they own us actually.)

Below is a small sampling of other apps being marketed to educators:

(A: And here's the info and it says:)

You Can Handle Them All app• “You Can Handle Them All”: This app, produced by Master Teacher (A: That’s another one.,), describes 124 behaviors that teachers may encounter in their students and identifies the primary cause of each. (A: Oh, like they just know now it, hey. There could be many factors involved.) A teacher using this app places each student into a category, with options that include “The Blabbermouth,” “The Blurter,” “The Boss,” “The Bully,” “The Complainer,” “The Disengaged” and “The Class Clown.” (A: How about “The Labeler”? That’s for the teacher.) It then prompts the teacher with suggestions on how to remedy each unwanted behavior.

• “Pearson Dash”: Another product by Pearson, Dash, according to the iTunes product description, enables teachers to “Organize and track your students” according to classroom seating charts, to “record, edit, and e-mail observational notes on your students,” to “View student performance and mastery of skills with SuccessTracker data.”

• “What Would You Do at School If”: This app focuses squarely on social skills. Put out by Super Duper Publications, it seeks to elicit answers to sensitive, revealing questions that help schools develop a psychological profile on each student. Instead of a test, this app is presented to a young child as a fun “game” (A: That's much the same as how it's presented to adults, they don't even know they're being

monitored and checked and so on. It's fun, isn't it?) while collecting data on the child's parental upbringing and personality.

(A: So it's an invasion into privacy, of many areas too, but they won't push that so much.)

what would you do in school if "Select the cards you want students to see, and have them work on solving problems and practicing good social skills (A: Now, who has said what good social skills happen to be? It changes according to the Masters of the era.) as they discuss situations in and around school," the product description says. "The prompts include questions like, 'What would you do if ... you forgot your homework?' and, 'What would you do if ... your classmate teased you about the new shirt you wore?'"

Students are then graded based on how many "right" answers (A: Now, what's a right answer?) they give in what is clearly a test of one's attitudes, behaviors, values and beliefs. (A: Well, that's why they designed it that way, you're not allowed certain attitudes, behaviors and values and beliefs anymore. Birth to death, reconditioning, reconditioning, reeducation, way beyond the old Soviet reeducation camps.) The problem with such questions, say privacy experts, is that the "right" answer is clearly subjective and has nothing to do with a student's ability to acquire and retain objective academic knowledge. (A: But most schooling has nothing to do with academic knowledge anymore, it's social engineering.)

For instance, a parent may teach a boy to defend a weaker boy or a girl who is getting beat up by a bully. But what if the "right" answer in the role playing game is to go and tell a teacher or principal? Will the child get marked down if he answers that he would intervene and physically stop the bully's attack? What will the remediation for this "wrong" behavioral skill be? (A: What will the remediation be? Another thing you might say too, supposing that little boy that's being attacked is beat up or killed, when he's off reporting it to the teacher. It would be another case to see, well should he have gone and helped break it up first, because that would apply to a human adult.)

According to promotional details on iTunes, the "What Would You Do at School If" app lets teachers:

• Track correct and incorrect responses for an unlimited number of players.

• Receive feedback for incorrect and/or correct responses

• View results in a graph and see which questions a player missed during a session.

• Print, E-mail and share your results. (A: With whom? Mental health authorities, agencies, whatever.)

The same vendor, Super Duper Publications, puts out a separate app called "Super Duper Data Tracker" that allows teachers to "increase the accuracy and efficiency of your data collection" on each individual student.

There are literally dozens of these apps out there being downloaded by teachers, often at the behest of administrators, (A: Well, they're all getting lobbied, right, and there's money that changes hands, believe you me.) and many of them come tailored to the Common Core national education standards. (A: That's just coincidence, right?)

One teacher who reviewed the Super Duper Data Tracker on iTunes said he liked it but wished the data came with increased portability and could be more easily integrated into other platforms.

"It would also be great if this was tied to a website where teachers had an account and could input large amounts of data on something other than the small screen or tempermental (sic) keyboard of an iPad/iPhone," the teacher said. "Then everything would be backed up, we could share data with other team members (especially in situations where many people see one student!). It is a great app, I just think in the day of icloud and spreadsheets it is begging for a big overhaul."

Well, that will come regardless because eventually their opinions will overcome any other reason of course, because it's already happening. And little Johnny will be reconditioned his whole life long into being the proper kind of citizen that's been designed by the state.

Now, I've given talks in the past. As I've said, they're all in the archives section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You can find talks on the big world players who helped create this presents culture, many of whom are long dead, because culture is always created, the future is always planned, folks, and who worked at the United Nations and with United Nations, with the big psychological associations, psychiatric Association so on. I've given you all the different statements, even the books they put out, they're all in there, where they said that they would bring the situation in where you would get trained from birth to death. So all this isn't just happening spontaneously because of technology. It's time for it to get put forth. And many of them, and Bertrand Russell said it too, governments would be unable to resist the temptation to use all these methods to bring up a kind of society that the government itself desires. And it can be any kind of government.

Now, as I've said before, that's just the way it is, folks, and to get back to what I said earlier in the talk, you must proof your mind. You must proof it yourself, and guard your mind because you are you. Everything in you, your opinions and so on, all these different composites of you, that makes you you. That's the natural person. We don't want to go into stereotypes, like bricks in the wall on a conveyor belt getting stamped in the same shape and so on. But the big boys at the top don't want you to have different viewpoints and so on which are contrary, and are sometimes a nuisance to different government agendas. And then you do become an enemy of the state. And at the very least you become, get put into a label, pigeonholed, and they have many definitions and terms that they use for categories of humans in society. We're all monitored, believe you me. It doesn't matter if you're doing radio shows or not, or blogs or anything else, it doesn't matter, you're all getting monitored.

The folk who don't like what's happening are already called, and don't belong to any clubs or agencies or groups that are protesting. They're called unaffiliated subversives on the grounds that they don't like certain things that are happening in society today. They're not politically correct. They don't adopt the updates you're given on what you should believe in, what you should think of certain things and topics and so on.

But anyway, don't let this destroy you or flatten you. Don't let it happen, folks, because when that happens and you all just cave-in, you're as well as just saying, here's my brain do what you want with it. And that's not what life is all about, is it?

The ones at the top have their own definition of progress. But true progress has been freeing up people, from all kinds of slavery, by bullies and authorities of all kinds down through the ages. And we have the brief little piece of it where we have certain rights and so on, and now it's all to be taken away, again. And you can't let that happen for any reason given to you by government. You can't go backwards.

And for those, as I say, who are being overwhelmed by information, switch off for a while. You don't have to tune into the horror story to see how it ends, you're not going to die tomorrow, whether you tune in or not. You must survive. You must look after your own mind. It's all you have. And I've often said, the mind has no firewall, be careful what you let in there. Even that which seems to expose stuff, don't let it destroy you. And question the things that you think are destroying you. Be very, very careful. Because you do matter. You all matter.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it

Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51

'CCTV in all homes': Police chief's domestic security call attacked by privacy groups

ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program

Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?

Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS)

BOSS User's Guide

Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

April 5, 2015 (#1497)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Lies and Deception Always in Season,
A Good Excuse for the Masses, Then a Secret, Real Reason"
© Alan Watt April 5, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - April 5, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on April 5, 2015. Now, last week I mentioned that if you think life is becoming just too overwhelming with the daily feed of what's given to you as news, and all the horrors of what could be happening or what might happen, all the catastrophes that could happen and so on, switch off for a while and don't worry about it. Because if you were to go through all the different agencies that give you all the fear factors you'll find it's been happening your whole darn life, and to your parents as well. Because that's what control is, is by keeping you in fear. And as I have mentioned so many times, someone who is abused will turn to the abuser for protection to quell all their fears when it's just too overwhelming.

So understand, you're not the first generation to go through all of this. Those who think they've woken up really haven't, that's for the majority of those. They're following certain agencies that give them all their information and they're given various spins and so on, but they're never given all the facts involved and the agencies involved behind it all and the techniques as to how it works so well.

From ancient times to the present we always looked towards the strong man, who is generally the psychopath, to protect us, you see. Now we have agencies and governments full of them, because that's who gets to the top in a psychopathic system, especially when money is the key factor for controlling everything. Everyone is dependent upon it, you're given no choice whatsoever. And psychopaths go to where the power is, and when power comes through money, that's where they head for, you see. They claw their way up. They're vicious. There also clever, if they come from the right, they always say, social classes and so on, or strata, social strata. But what they really mean is a class system of course. Because they're protected more if they are in the upper middle-class families or above. Because anything they do in their teenage years which are psychopathic, is covered over as simply juvenile or teenage, you know, energy,

super energy, that kind of thing, so they get off with it. And since daddy and mommy generally can pull strings to get them off, then that's what happens, they're shielded from the problems of the lower-class psychopaths.

So remember, you get them in all strata. If you're born into a higher, well off system and parents and so on, you'll find that, you'll learn the cultural norms, even if you don't understand them because you don't actually feel the emotions of them, but you know it works on everyone else, and you become a better psychopath, you see. You're put into the better schools where you can't fail either; that's a fact as well, folks, it happens in all, again, social strata of universities. You can't fail depending on daddy and mommy and all the rest of it, and who knows the dean, and who gives the grants to the universities.

So, it's a psychopathic system that runs us and it really has been for an awful, awful long time. Once we left the old tribal system, and introduced money at the same time, the head chief could then keep his family line going, and employ as many mercenaries as he wants, even under, oh, it's really a government army, it doesn't matter what they call it, and quell any people who tried to throw them over and put him out of the tribe. And it's been that way ever since.

With the advent of all the sciences, and all your tax money that's actually going into all the studies, that are done on you, [Alan chuckles.] so they can understand your behavior better and manipulate it all, it's been on the go for such a long time, the scientific indoctrination we get and scientific observation. Now it's complete, really, with the Internet, cell phones and all the rest of it. So everyone is profiled and they enable themselves to be profiled by thinking that somehow they're so smart that nothing will ever be used against them down the road. And nothing is further from the truth.

Therefore, understand what's happening. If you understand what's happening and realize that you're not the first generation to understand what's happening, then it makes it much easier. Other folk in previous generations, there was always a few who understood from childhood, who...many simply rebel. They don't understand why it's all wrong. They rebel. They sometimes turn anger inwards, they drink themselves to death or drug themselves to death. If they had information provided when they were young they would understand why things are happening, why the system is the way it is, why the culture is the way it is too.

Because every culture is planned for every generation. It's planned culture. There's no separate culture industry and separate governments and so on. Everyone is a product to an extent of the culture industry, which is essential for psychopaths at the top to control societies. It's more evident in the US where, with incredible indoctrination to the flags and symbols and so on, they can convince the public of anything and look at all the Pentagon sponsored movies that are out there on war and all the rest of it. It's just quite fascinating to see how well it works.

Remember, that worked on ancient Rome too with the culture. If you were a man you had to join the Legion and he had to go off and fight the wars. And he had little trade-offs in those days because you could steal and have some booty as they called it, plunder, that you could keep for yourself now and then. But essentially that is what a man was supposed to be, always fighting expansionist wars for your masters. And the Roman system went in and expanded its empire, introducedthe money system to the countries that didn't have it, then taxed it from them, and made sure they all had to use the monetary system, they couldn't just barter anymore and be self-sufficient. That's the first thing that must go is self-sufficiency. Therefore, you have to earn money to pay the taxes or else, and in the Roman days they would just simply cut your throat. Today it's more sophisticated of course but it's the same technique. Because the Roman system itself eventually went under because eventually with all the massive taxes from all their Empire flooding in to keep a tiny elite in the middle of Rome living in prosperity, incredible prosperity, they still ran out of taxes. It's always the same story. Because even the Roman elite, you know, borrowed from private bankers, and they have many names of who they were and where they came from and often it wasn't Rome itself.

So the system of money sponsoring psychopathic ambitions is very, very, very old. Because they go together. One goes with the other, always. It's never, ever separate. And right to the present day it's the same thing. Britain when under too because it's spent so many centuries borrowing money from private moneylenders, for their wars, putting the populations down as the guarantors to pay off the debts by

taxation and taxing them, and eventually it ran out of steam. It's like someone who ends up with emphysema and they can't even get a breath in or breath out properly, that's what happens in expansionist psychopathic systems. And nothing's changed. Nothing has changed regardless of who apparently is the dominant character playing the game in your nation, or your Empire, or the opponent's Empire, it's all the same system.

Now, back in the 90s I came out and said that people should stop beating themselves on the head with anxiety and anger and frustration, not knowing why this goes on and on and on. And I said, I didn't come out to be a cheerleader for the masses. Most of the people in the mass will go along with any system they are given. They're the followers of the psychopathic system. In fact, they're happy to try and emulate, however futilely, the ones at the top, regardless of, again, their social strata or class that they happen to belong to. Because you want to be a winner, that's always put out, you're a winner, you're awfully successful, it's better than being a loser, and you despise those down beneath you. That's always the psychopathic system. You'll see it in tyrannies of even the 20th century where generals are always, who are psychopathic themselves, clustered around the top psychopath. And the psychopath can only admire a more cunning and vicious psychopath, they literally grovel to them. And they want that position too but they never try and get it and grab it themselves, but they will obediently serve the master, and they will beat the rank beneath them all the way down. That's how it works in a psychopathic system.

When they don't use overt tyranny they use the capitalist system, which is all the same thing by the way, fascist/capitalist/communist. It's all the same honchos that get to the top. All based on materialism. And in the capitalist system it's Empire restructuring under the guise of peacekeeping. It's beautiful isn't it, how it can be disguised by the use of terminology. They learned that a long time ago too, how you could literally alter terminology, that's what law is all about, altering the meaning of terminology to make something more acceptable to the populace. And it works awfully, awfully well. We don't send soldiers anywhere, we send peacekeepers to go off and expand the empires. Again, there's always a good reason they give you, you see, but it's never the real reason. There's always a good reason for the people, and a real reason. And the real reason of course is expansionist capitalism where people who run your countries, the top corporate groups, bankers, corporations and everything else, that are all tied together by the way at the top, often intermarried, they expand all their enterprises under the guise of, going over there to preserve peace, in one country or another. And they run the media therefore they can always tell you the propaganda at home is to, well here's the reason were going over there, they're fighting each other, it's to stop them, or, to bring civilization to them, etc. etc. They have used every excuse under the sun. Today it's more sophisticated of course because most folk do believe what authorities tell them. And that's the key, too, in behavioral sciences, and the nudge programs, and the neuroscientist involved in all these things, masses of them today, massive profession, well-paid of course because they work for governments and so on to make sure that they train an update the population, almost instantaneously, when the new agenda comes forth.

You see, you're taught, here's the politically correct way, the authoritarian way to say something to them. They will obey the authoritarian voice, the familiar face on television giving them the news, and they will believe it, you see, they won't question it. That's what most people go by. That's always been that way. That's why they keep anchors, well-known anchor people on television, and actually make them star profiles by lots of propaganda and interviews and so on, to make them into what you think in your mind is a star, and a kind of daddy figure who would never lie to you. And they keep them until they're tottering off their seats because they're so darned old, because you have grown up with them and that's awfully important, you see.

We follow what's called the stars. It's an old occultic term too, following the stars. You're taught to follow the stars, so they create stars. Creating a star could take anybody, give them a little bit of acting lessons, deportment and so on, and train them to the authoritarian way that they put things over, they're confident, assertive and so on, and then give them a massive buildup in the press, get lots of publicity everywhere, until in your mind they become a star. It's not different from creating an actor for entertainment, or indeed, the new musician or artiste in music or whatever it happens to be, and the heartthrob, the male heartthrob for the females, and the extroverted young slut, that is the in-thing today, for the females. It's all controlled, and discussed at the top, not just by the culture industry because guys who also own governments own them both you see, and they must approve or disapprove, yeah, this is the way to go. And the myriads of, again, behavioral scientists, psychologists, neuroscientists, all involved in keeping the system going, and

directing the future at all times.

People follow the stars, they emulate the stars, regardless of, again, their social strata or class. And they emulate the behaviors, which they put across as being, oh, you know, exhibitionist or the in-thing, the trendy, popular, the popular... don't you want to be the popular person at school, college and so on. The popular ...they tell you what it's supposed to be. And unfortunately the mass of people, the bulk of them, will emulate that, and be totally blackmailable throughout their whole lives, forever now with the social media. That's part of the intention of it of course too.

So there's always a war going on and it's not the ones that are across the seas that bother you. It's the ones internally because it's always upon you, to do with your behavioral updates, your conditioning, the monitoring of you, whether you know it or not, or even care or not, and to make sure that you're the product. See, you are the product. Not the iPhone, not the Internet, YOU are the product that all these things enable you to be, and you are designed that way. Some folk, the few, as I say, who understand very early on when they're young, depending on their temperament as well, they can understand it and not cave in and collapse under the fear of it all. But they understand it. If you understand things, as I say, it should not cripple you. You shouldn't let anything cripple you mentally. Because remember too, since it's a war, they want the casualties. The casualties they want are the ones who could be people who are thinkers, and speakers, of facts down the road. They don't want that. They'd rather those ones when they're young literally whack their brain on drugs and booze and all the rest of it. Then they are out of the picture, they're no problem, they're disabled. So for those who are young, and I said back in the 90s, I'm only looking for the ones, the few, who are turning in on themselves rather than looking, getting the facts, understanding the facts, so they don't punish themselves or destroy themselves in the process. That's more important.

You can stop the general TV population, the ones who are always viewing and soaking everything up that's put out for them, which they think is entertainment, they think they are in charge of it. They think they are in charge of their own minds. But that's not the purpose of entertainment. It's a very... [Alan chuckles.] it's completely weaponized. Completely. It doesn't matter what the story line is, the hook to get you in to watch the rest of it, it's all the messages you get along the way that's so important. Understand why it works, how it works, you're not the first generation it's worked on, and you won't punish yourself the same way. You become much, much stronger, more self-confident and self-assured. A few of them of course, the psychopathic ones will catch on quickly, the streetwise ones, and they will want to get up there themselves, that happens too, you see.

So I don't speak to the... I speak to the ones who are trying to punish themselves because everything is just, as they see it, wrong. They are suffering from the fallout of the destruction of the family unit, that was all intentional. What is a family today? It's portrayed through Hollywood in certain movies, especially the new ones, more and more so they show you that they're all dysfunctional. No one is talking to anybody. The guy is a wimp. The mother is going off and having affairs. And everybody's chatting on the Internet to look for affairs. And their children are all putting out the little porn things across the net as well. That's all intentional. Everybody must be an open book and if you're not an open book they want to destroy you by other methods, preferably having you destroy yourself. Don't do that.

Keep the information in your mind and don't be hysterical about it. Be calm about it. It's your life – and an old saying too which goes through a lot of occultic themes and all the rest of it, it doesn't matter where it came from, but the fact is, it's true. You are only in charge of yourself. The only person you can be certain of influencing and in control of, as much as possible in this system, is yourself. Don't think it's a personal challenge that your ego must take on everybody else's ego and defeat them until they are a clone of you. That's called tyranny. That's how tyrants acts and work, you see. And you destroy yourself in the process, because you've got to accept the fact that it's not your job to make, you can't make people change. You have enough work to do on yourself, believe you me. And if you meet people along the way who are asking questions, then you answer them as carefully, and I mean as carefully as possible. Not like some mad nutter that lives 24 hours a day listening to various shows that terrify you, terrify you, terrify you, it sounds like a raving nutcase with pressure of speech, the way they deliver it and so on, and hysteria. That's not how you put things across. To teach anyone you must be patient, considerate, and careful, and don't give them a whole library of information, which seems disjointed and unrelated the way it's put across, deliberately I believe, by many of the things they listen to. You've got to go through things in a careful order because

you're showing someone. It's like any kind of teaching, it's the same way, you must stay on the same topic for a while, the same particular topic for a while. And you'll be surprised to find that the person, when they hear and start to understand that topic will start thinking on other things for themselves for the first time.

I'm going to touch on some stories now which are important, to show you the techniques as well. There's no point just throwing out stories. You've got to understand how it's done, why it's done, and the techniques used behind them all, and their goals and so on. There's nothing in mainstream that isn't carefully selected, worked over, in order to put it across to you, to make sure that you get what the authority says is the right understanding, the authorized understanding of the topic.

And this one is, again, to do with the bill C-51, it's called in Canada, which is much the same as the British bill. We're having the same bills across the Five Eyes countries and so on, the ones that are all part of, and have been since at least World War II, the Empire system of pushing for global empire and domination, etc. to keep the peace, and so that...again too, they even teach in the wars schools the British Empire system, which it is still an empire by the way and it's not British. But regardless, it does matter. This whole idea of Empire, they said that, again, they get taught in officers' training that war can only be eradicated when there are no more nations, nations must be eradicated, and have global government. Now they call it governance, because many agencies are involved in governance, private ones too, to make sure that there will be no, that we'll have eternal peace, you won't have this friction, you see. This is the excuse and con of it all. And it's nothing to do with the truth because the truth is, when psychopaths rule at the top anyway they must always turn, then, on those internally. You're seeing a bit of that with different bills that get passed through all, from Britain and different countries and so on. It's, again, to take away freedoms from people, to give the rulers the supposed open right of spying on everyone, to keep you all safe. You see, that's how it's done.

Now, here's one here and it's called:

Diane Ablonczy (Alan: ...in Canada.) Uses Air Quotes (A: That's for quotation marks, they put their fingers up and so on.) While Discussing
'Rule Of Law,' Bill C-51
huffingtonpost.ca / Jesse Ferreras / 04/02/2015

(A: It's coming to Canada, you see. I think it's pretty well a done deal.)

Diane Ablonczy has an interesting way of talking about the "rule of law" and "fundamental justice."

The Conservative MP was taking part in a review of Bill C-51, her party's controversial anti-terror legislation, in a meeting of the Standing Committee on Public Safety and National Security on Tuesday.

She addressed an amendment to the bill, proposed by the Green party, (A: You know, they're all... I don't believe in any of the parties to be honest with you.) that took inspiration from recommendations by the Canadian Bar Association (CBA). (A: And I'm sure the Canadian Bar Association is part of the British Bar Association and all the other ones and so on.)

Here are some of the remarks:

"... the Green Party wants to throw some other things into the mix ... Now the judge has to also consider, in addition to the Charter and the CSIS Act, they have to consider something like, 'rule of law.' They have to consider things like 'principles of fundamental justice,' whatever that is."

Ablonczy used air quotes with her fingers when she mentioned "rule of law" and "principles of fundamental justice." (A: Because understand, going back to the days of Plato, Plato said there is no justice, but for the people's sake there must be the appearance, only the appearance of justice. A: It's never changed.)

We can't help but wonder where we've seen that gesture before...

Ablonczy may not have been trying to be dismissive of the concepts of "rule of law" and "fundamental justice." She is a former lawyer herself. (A: Well naturally. We're run by lawyers, armies of them.)

But she nevertheless objected to them being used as additional barriers that judges have to bypass when authorizing the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) to violate Charter rights in the name of reducing security threats.

Sections 12.1(3) and 21.1 of the bill prevent Canada's spy agency from doing so unless they've obtained a warrant.

The CBA recommended that the bill be changed so that those sections conformed with the "fundamental role of Canada's judiciary in upholding the Rule of Law and Canada's constitutional guarantees."

"This aspect of the proposed Bill is at odds with the role of the courts and the judiciary system," the association wrote in a submission.

"Canada's judges are charged with upholding the Rule of Law and Canada's Constitution against unlawful state action. They should not be conscripted by the state to limit Charter rights."

But Ablonczy disagreed with the CBA, saying that such an amendment would put action "pretty much at a stalemate."

It was struck down at committee.

Now, you know, many Canadians won't even be thinking about this, this kind of thing. They don't, most folk don't reason through what's happening, or even think it affects them in any way. That's the perfectly conditioned citizen, the television viewer who believes in the mainstream professional news announcers, again, that they've grown up with and has been there for years, and it never dawns on them that they could be lied to, very cleverly too and using a lot of sophistication. It never occurs to them at all. After all, I mean, when you're born in naïveté why would you ever think that they're out to get you, in some way or another, and nasty things are happening. Why would you? Are you taught that at school? No.

And then you have this article about the same thing:

Elizabeth May, Greens Say Bill C-51 Still Dangerous Despite
Tory (A: ...conservative.) Amendments
huffingtonpost.ca / Jim Bronskill / 03/30/2015

OTTAWA - A Conservative plan to amend the federal anti-terrorism bill hasn't squelched opposition to the sweeping security legislation.

A handful of proposed government amendments, to be presented Tuesday, haven't alleviated Green party Leader Elizabeth May's concerns about what she calls a dangerous and undemocratic bill.

May said she plans to present five dozen amendments when the House of Commons public safety committee begins examining the 62-page bill clause-by-clause.

Seven leading human rights groups, including Amnesty International Canada and the Canadian Civil Liberties Association, issued a joint statement Monday calling on the government to withdraw the legislation.

(A: Now, this is what was discussed back in the early 1900s, of what democracy would be legislated as, with the rulers of the Empire system of its day, the empires. That eventually it will be parties that are authorized by those who own the system. And you would also have on behalf of the public the appearance of people who would speak for you. And so you have Amnesty International Canada authorized, and by the United Nations, which the rulers of London and the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a private organization, the CFR, created. That was the whole idea. They created the IMF, the Bank for International Settlements, for the global society, the central banking system that must be put in, even one for Europe, and their members, their private members all drafted the bills for NAFTA and for the European Union. So here they have the ones for the public, supposedly, run by this authorized, again, by the same group, to speak on

behalf of you, you know, the silent majority, because most of the silent majority are watching porn or something else, you see, or 50 Shades of Gray which is the next best thing. You don't vote for these groups either, the Canadian Civil Liberties Association, made up of more lawyers and so on, and Amnesty International Canada, which is awfully selective in who it decries, and they have turned a blind eye many times to countries where, your countries are involved in torture abroad in different places. So they are very controlled group themselves. So you really have no one to stand up for you. You see, that's what I've been saying all along. You have to stand up for yourself. That's all you can do.)

The NDP and Liberals have also called for changes to protect civil liberties and improve oversight of security agencies.

However, Conservative ministers appearing before a Senate committee Monday made it clear the government has no plans to create a full-fledged national security committee of parliamentarians like the ones in Britain and the United States. (A: Well, they don't have to because they have them, quietly, you see.)

The government bill, drafted in response to the murders of two Canadian soldiers last October, would give the Canadian Security Intelligence Service more power to thwart suspected terrorist plots — not just gather information about them.

(A: It's not just to do with terror. It's total. It's giving themselves what they already have the right to do, to spy on everybody, and they do it all the time. We've had many, many whistleblowers over the years come out and tell you all this stuff and lots of information about it. But this is to give them the legal right to do it, which sounds better, again, for the silent majority... Oh, well, it's a legal thing, you know, it's legally authorized. It's a psychological trick.)

It would allow CSIS to violate the Charter of Rights and Freedoms with a judge's permission, expand the sharing of federal security information (A: To whom?), broaden no-fly list powers and create a new criminal offence of encouraging someone to carry out a terrorism attack.

(A: Now, we've had instances in Britain, the States and Canada of secret agencies, your internal secret agencies attempts in the past to create radicals, put out their own spies to encourage the radicals, even give them explosives even though they are generally dummy. In other words, sting operations, they create the thing and then they come in and arrest them and they say, hey we caught a bunch. Would these young guys have even gotten together if they had not had someone trained in psychology, with an outgoing personality, very confident, and generally of their own race because you generally hire ones from these particular ethnic communities and put them into your own security agencies, to create stings. So here you have this thing... . . . create a new criminal offence of encouraging someone to carry out a terrorism attack. Well, shouldn't you arrest those who create the groups in the first place? And, of encouraging someone to carry out a terrorism attack . . . Doesn't that apply to the ones that carry out the stings? It doesn't take much to pick it apart, does it, or to use some logic here. So it's nothing to do with that, obviously, it's got something to do with what they're already doing on you, and to expand their powers, and ACT on their powers, of knowing all about you, and nothing to do with terrorism.)

In addition, the bill would make it easier for the RCMP to obtain a peace bond to restrict the movements of suspects and extend the amount of time they can be kept in preventative detention.

(A: That's the name now for throwing the key away and no court case comes to light. Again, the use of terminology, something that used to be thought of as a horrific thing that kings and queens did in the past across Europe where they would throw folk in the slammer forever, they didn't see a magistrate or a judge or anybody because they had no power at all. Power is the system, if it slams you inside the hole, never to see the light of day again, often, then that's what they did. Now it's called preventative detention, it doesn't sound so terrible does it? Just put you inside a dungeon with no lighting generally or maybe 4 inches of light, and the water coming through the walls and everything else. I keep saying that nothing has changed, in a psychopathic system, down through the ages, just their terminology, which is more, you know, it's more user-friendly, the terminology.)

Sources have told The Canadian Press the government plans to introduce four changes to clarify or curtail elements of the bill, including an assurance the information-sharing powers do not apply to protesters who demonstrate outside the letter of the law. (A: In other words, they want to make sure that their authorized protesters, the different parties I mentioned earlier, the NGOs, who are authorized, because they're always pushing for cultural changes, to make things worse, you know. So they need them, so they gotta make sure that they put something in there so that they can't be arrested and put in the slammer forever, because they are a tool.)

But the amendments do not remedy several key concerns of opposition MPs (A: Members of Parliament.) and rights advocates.

"The reality is this bill will make us less safe," May told a news conference.

She denounced the legislation as vague, badly drafted and, ultimately, "dangerous garbage."

The NDP (A: National Democratic Party.) and Greens plan to vote against it, while the Liberals intend to support the bill despite their desire to see changes. (A: And so on and so on it goes. They're also talking here about, it says:)

Liberal Sen. Colin Kenny reminded MacKay that he once supported the idea of a committee of security-cleared parliamentarians, who would be entrusted with secret material, as a means of better monitoring spies.

MacKay said his thinking had evolved on the issue, particularly with regard to the danger of leaks.

(A: Now, here's a little thing that ties in with this. You see, Britain had that all through the Cold War, of selected parliamentarians, in generally the House of Lords and I guess in other countries it will be the Senate, that supposedly were given all this data, the secret data. And it was so blatant as to what they really did, these particular individuals, during the Cold War. Because they all got the first dibs because they understand where the government was going to invest money to do with the war, the Cold War, and the missile industry and so on, that they had massive shares in and some of them even owned them. So much so that even documentaries, or even dramas that came out afterwards, they actually showed you the scams that they did. Oh, the Russians of got a better missile, we're all in danger. And this person gets first dibs information in the private secret hearings and he would be off to his company and you know, oh yeah, here's how to put it across, this will be a faster and better antimissile/missile, that will defeat that one, we'll get them to push that and lobby for that. And so on and so on. Nothing changes. Today it's all the guys who are involved in the secret things for governments, have the massive shares or they're corporate owners too of all the security equipment that spy on you and everything else. Massive money. Anyway this says:)

"For matters of national security, I am concerned about the handling of sensitive information that could literally put a person's life at risk."

MacKay and Blaney praised the Security Intelligence Review Committee, a civilian body that reports to Parliament on CSIS's activities. (A: And so on and so on.)

So it's a done deal. And regardless of what they'll tell you they're simply legitimizing all the things they've been doing all along the way without telling you. But they like things themselves to be, you know, officially legal, so they simply pass a law and make it legal, you see. Remember, before, kidnapping was illegal, but now when they want to kidnap someone, whisk them off to another country and torture them, or even within your own country, it's called extraordinary rendition. Again, this kind of confusing user-friendly, marketing PR that they use, so's that the folk don't get the same terrible connotations of torture and fear and horror, you know. It works awfully well.

And then a little article too, to show you that Canada is the same as every other country, because we're all on the same bus in other words, every country is on the same bus, on the same road, with the same systems. Because it is the same system, with the same bankers lending to governments and so on, and corporate CEOs and that who are all just simply, again, members of the same big banking corporations,

regardless of the corporation that they are put in charge of.

After 50 Years of the Canada Pension Plan We've Run Off Course
huffingtonpost.ca / 03/30/2015

(A: Now, they've been saying this for years. They're only about 30 years behind Britain I think. Because it was never intended that all this money that was to get put in this vast, in a pool of money, by law that you must pay, for the right to work, you know, you must pay, that they're going to use, someone uses you see, and it's all private corporations now that use it, massive money of yours that's now a massive fund and they invest it, and they skim off the gold at the top and you're given the dross at the bottom. Everything has got a real reason and for you they give the good reason. Oh that's good enough for you to believe, here's the real reason. So this article goes on about:)

Lester Pearson was a remarkable Canadian. We first came to know him as a proficient global statesman, skilled in the diplomacy of multilateralism. He assisted at the birth of the United Nations, invented the concept of peace-keeping (A: Again, terminology changes...), and won the Nobel Peace Prize. (A: Well, so did a whole bunch of people who were involved in slaughter across the planet.) In 1963, he became Prime Minister of Canada.

(A: Now, what they're not telling you here is that Lester Pearson was also a member of the big Royal Institute of International Affairs, the Canadian branch of foreign affairs which is the Royal Institute of International Affairs, for globalism, run by top bankers and everything, who pushed communism. And Lester Pearson with some other diplomats from Canada, especially Ontario, were quite honest about their ambition. They said when they were setting up the United Nations, and they worked with Alger Hiss who became a convicted communist eventually. But they were pushing all the communists' globalist agenda. And communism has nothing to do with what you are taught it is, believe you me. Even the followers are conned silly. It was never the intention for the workers to be equal, never ever the intention. It was always for the scientific, an elite intellectually to rule the world, properly, you see, properly. And it has never changed. The techniques are still used although they don't call them communism today. But anyway Lester Pearson was quite blatant about the fact that they were creating the beginnings of the system to create global government, you see. Technically they were traitors of their day, [Alan chuckles.] when you had fought supposed massive enemies and I mean a massive war, World War II and before that World War I, to keep your nation and your culture and your way of life, which is an awful joke, that's what they push in times of war. Look at Britain now. And look at Canada, it's the same thing, we've got masses of debt and all the rest of it. So he's given all these accolades, this Lester Pearson, and this says:)

Five years in that job, Mr. Pearson never once had a majority in Parliament (A: And that shows you who put him in.), but still he led one of the most productive governments in Canadian history.

This past February, we celebrated the 50th anniversary of Canada's Red Maple Leaf Flag -- one of Mr. Pearson's proudest accomplishments. Next year, we'll mark the 50th anniversary of national medicare, another Pearsonian legacy.

And this week, the legislation that originally created the Canada Pension Plan (CPP) will turn 50 years old. It passed the House of Commons on March 29, 1965, was approved in the Senate on April 1 and received Royal Assent on April 3. (A: And that's your April fool's joke, hey, approved in the Senate on April 1st.) The CPP and its Quebec counterpart came into effect on January 1, 1966.

(A: Now, what they'll tell you is an excuse for all things going wrong, but not in this article. But generally what they'll tell you is, well we based all this on the prospect after World War II that gross national product would always go up and up and up and up, you see. And so there would always be lots of money, a massive taxation base with larger wages and larger taxes, to pay for the pensions, to pay those folk who are retiring and whose pensions themselves are going into a big slush fund, that was then privately funded across the world and into investments, you see, with the same idea that every country it was invested in would be on a win-win situation for ever and ever and ever, with this gross national product always going up and up and up and up, something that at no time in history has ever been true for any length or period. So this is the story here, as they applaud all this.)

And this week, the legislation that originally created the Canada Pension Plan (CPP) will turn 50 years old. It passed the House of Commons on March 29, 1965, was approved in the Senate on April 1 and received Royal Assent on April 3. The CPP and its Quebec counterpart came into effect on January 1, 1966.

The stated purpose of the Canada Pension Plan was to ensure all working Canadians have an opportunity to retire in dignity. It builds on basic Old Age Security to achieve greater social justice linked to progress in the economy.

(A: As you know, since that time the dollar has been devalued hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of times, so the purchasing power is nowhere near what that dollar invested 50 years ago is, and it was spent long ago by the way. The big returns come into the corporations that run the slush funds and so on, and a little bit trickles back into where it's supposed to go.)

Established by federal-provincial agreement, the CPP is a mandatory contributory plan into which all employees and employers pay regular premiums. (A: A mandatory contribution plan, you're not allowed to...in other words, don't you worry about it, we'll take the money off you, by law, and you better pay it, if you want to work, or you can't work. And we'll take that money and when you retire you can live in dignity. This says:) That money is invested to generate the returns necessary to cover the plan's benefits. (A: And that was the con.) As such, CPP contributions are essential long-term investments in portable retirement incomes for a large portion of Canadians, supporting their future living standards.

That sounds like common sense today (A: And they go into the reasons why blah blah blah they put it through. And it says:) . . .

The CPP was an historic accomplishment!

But by the 1990s (A: It was before this actually.)-- with longer life expectancies (A: So they’re blaming you for it... you see. [Alan chuckles.]), aging demographics and escalating unfunded liabilities -- doubts had arisen about the future soundness of the Canada Pension Plan. Would it run out of money? Was the investment strategy getting adequate returns? Were the benefits supportable? Was the administration strong, efficient and independent? The plan clearly required major renovations to save it, and that would take federal-provincial consensus, which is always hard to get.

As part of a multi-pronged effort to restore fiscal integrity to the Government of Canada, then-federal Finance Minister Paul Martin Jr. decided to tackle the CPP challenge. He found a key ally in the Provincial Treasurer of Alberta, Jim Dinning. Ontario Finance Minister Ernie Eves was also helpful. Together, they built the business case, the social consensus and the national momentum to rejuvenate the CPP.

It's an interesting historical footnote that saving the plan earned strong support across Canada -- except for provincial NDP governments in British Columbia and Saskatchewan, and Stephen Harper and his Reform (now Conservative) Party.

Today, the CPP ranks as one of only a handful of successful public pension plans worldwide. Its administration is competent and cost-effective. It's a distinct fund, independently managed (A: By whom, hey?) according to investment policies that are free from political interference. It has a proven track-record as an international leader in the pension industry, generating world-class rates of return. (A: Well if they get world-class... what are world-class rates of return? Are they talking about part of the world, you know, India? You know, where? It doesn't tell you. I mean, this money that you pay in, is lent out, lent out, lent out, that's what investments are. And massive returns on very high interest lending to other countries and so on and different corporations down through the years, it does generate a lot of money, it is reinvested over and over and over again, and lent out and lent out and lent out. But you who pay it in get very little at the end of it all, the cream always goes off the top.) External actuaries have recently judged the CPP to be sound and secure for another 75 years (the maximum actuaries will go). (A: No, that's a joke, that one.)

Because it's been neglected for the past nine years, the CPP is labouring under one major limitation. The maximum regular benefit a contributor can receive is just over $12,000 per year. (A: Well, that was not bad

50 years ago, right. But were talking about the present day, $12,000 a year.) The average is just more than half that. (A: $6,000 a year.) Those amounts are far from sufficient to ensure retirees can maintain their (A: Here's the purpose:) quality of life, without other significant savings.

But the typical 35-year-old today is saving less than half of what their parents did at that age. (A: That's because the spending power of their bucks, and, [Alan chuckles.] opportunities to work now, with globalization, all the rest of it and deindustrialization, are gone. And yet your dollar is worth darned all. How many cups of coffee could you buy 50 years ago, huh, for a dollar? How many would you get for a dollar 50 years ago? How many? You can't get any today up here in Canada.) Three-quarters of those working in the private sector don't have access to an employer-sponsored pension plan. (A: That's because they've done away with all their industry and everything else that used to sponsor, again, a pension plan.) And of those who are within 10 years of retirement, fewer than one-third have $100,000 or more set aside to sustain themselves. Another third have no retirement savings at all.

Is this a big shock, for all the experts? Is it really? Oh, come on. This article is a joke! Because as I say, in Britain 30 years ago they were saying these pension plans and all that are a big, big racket for those who do all the investing and lending out and then they get the returns and so much back, very little back. It was never intended to... Everything, again, has, you know, a good reason and then it has, for the insiders, the real reason. But there's just an article there just to show you the cons that go on. And then within Canada, where it's going to tell you that, you know, you'll be getting about $6000 a year, after paying into this pension plan for 40 years or more. And they've got the age moved up on the old-age, retirement age and everything else, right, because they would rather you die before you claim it. Argh.

Anyway:

Canada to launch airstrikes against ISIS in Syria 'within days'
torontosun.com / April 02, 2015

Canada will begin launching airstrikes against ISIS (A: That's just Al Qaeda that was funded by the West to overthrow all the countries in the Middle East.) in Syria "within days," the commander of Joint Task Force Iraq says.

Brig.-Gen. Daniel Constable declined to give a specific date, but said the final details are being put into place before the Canadian military begins expanding its operation from Iraq into Syria.

"I think we're going to be good to go in reasonable short order," (A: Well, that's very reassuring, that should be in a movie, that.) Constable told a media briefing Thursday morning.

"The allies are excited about us getting into Syria as well because of our capabilities." (A: I love these terms they use. It's like saying this country is going to invade. Did you give authorization? No, no. You [Alan chuckles.] get no authorization for anything, but you are put down as responsible for it too.)

On Monday, the House of Commons passed the Conservatives' motion to extend Canada's six-month mission against ISIS in Iraq for a year and expand operations to neighbouring Syria.

Now, we've got to go back a little bit here. If you remember 2001, and before 2001, the group that became the politicians during that era belonged also to the private group called the Project for a New American Century. George Bush and all the rest of them, Rumsfeld, Cheney, etc. etc., they all belong to this group, that listed in the 1990s the countries they wanted to take out across the Middle East. They were called neocons, because they were in league with Israel. And Israel came out with the same list. They wanted Iraq taken out. They wanted Libya taken out. They wanted Iran taken out, Syria taken out. They wanted all those countries taken out. Then General Wesley Clark came out and said the same thing on a live interview on television, it's probably up on YouTube somewhere. So they were trying to use their Al Qaeda bunch to do it, you see. But because enough people who watched this understood that Al Qaeda was being armed, through Qatar, now they call it Cutter for some reason but it was called Qatar, and that was the base that all the money and the arms to arm them all were coming into from the West. And because they were complaining about that, oh a breakaway group, which no, it's the same group and now they're called ISIS.

Which by the way, the groups themselves don't call themselves ISIS, it's for your benefit to believe it is so.

And they initially started attacking Syria, because the human cry from the West at that time, in Israel and the States and Britain, everywhere, we've got to get rid of Assad. But they got bogged down, they couldn't take Syria and get rid of Assad. So than the US is going to get more involved with troops and all the rest of it, and then Putin of Russia stepped in as the spokesman for, again, the world community and says, hey, this is the old colonial empiricism going on here, expansion. And that didn't sound good, and the whole world went kind of, you know, one of these quiet guilty, you know, moods, and stepped back. So rather than us getting involved physically with troops they created the ISIS to attack instead, you see, and it got bogged down. But now that ISIS couldn't get rid of Assad they're back to the same rhetoric, we've got to get rid of Assad.

Because everybody on that list has to be taken out. It's very simple. They never change their tunes. Never, never. And so really, from Gulf War One the same agenda has been going on. Because this group, created privately a long time ago in London, England, that puts its members into parliaments and many have been prime ministers and so on, and in the States they are the same group that puts the members in to become presidents, for generations, have their world agenda there, and they own the media, and they are still on the go to do the same old things. So whenever they have a plan they never back out of the plan. You might think they'll delay it for a while or change it. No, no, they go back to it, always get back to it. You see.

So anyway, Canada is involved too, so there's going to be more blowback from this. And again too, they know darn well once they start bombing in Syria, and they're hoping for it by the way. I'm sure agencies do this, they'll hope for it, blowback, because then it can then further the agenda against them... Syria is the enemy. And there will be folk from Syria living in Canada perhaps, or elsewhere around the world, that will get really ticked off. That's the human response if your old homeland is attacked, you get ticked off. This is how they... This is why they created multiculturalism. They flooded Britain with immigration from the 60s in some places then, and in the 70s it was stepped up, and in the 80s again, whoof. So you had all the folk inside of your country from the countries that you were going to attack down the road in the future. That way you can create martial law across all the countries, and the general population, even the traditional population, say, of Britain would also have to go under the scrutiny, you couldn't make exceptions and say, well you're, you know, British, blah, blah, blah, for many generations. So that's how you bring in martial law across everywhere. If you didn't have them all in the country, people who could stand up and say, hey that's our old homeland you're attacking, you couldn't pass totalitarian laws across the whole nation. You couldn't do it. It's a chessboard, you see.

So anyway, it's all part of the art of war, you see, and the techniques involved for long-term agendas, that the public are never ever given access to. An article tonight too is about you. You. Because they're always going to modify your behavior. You are the product of the culture industry. Your education, which is in bed by the way with the culture industry, everything must all work together to create the you that they want, with the behavior that they want, and the opinions that they want, for the era that you're in and so on. But there's an article here to do with behavioral Nudge Squad from the White House.

Here Comes the White House's Behavioral 'Nudge Squad'
theblaze.com / Jul. 30, 2013 / Becket Adams

(A: Now, this is what they have in Britain already, they've had it for years. It's behavioral scientists that work with the culture industry to give you almost subconscious nudges on what to do, what to think, how to behave, and what to believe. You are the ultimate creation of what they give you, what they feed you. It says here that:)

The U.S. government is looking to recruit members for what some are calling a "Behavioral Insights Team," a panel of experts (A: And you have been trained that experts must be always right, and real and, you know, expert at what they do. That's why they're called experts, right?) that will study human behavior so as to "design public policies that work better (A: Now, this is through public relations companies, this is what they'll tell you. Now remember, public relations is propaganda agencies, that's where 'public relations' came from. Bernays used 'propaganda', he thought it was the right term to use and he liked it. And 'public relations', again, came out later because it sounded more, again, user-friendly, more, more nice, rather than

lying to you, for a goal that you wouldn't even understand. So...) . . . "design public policies that work better, cost less, and help people to achieve their goals," (A: To help people achieve their goals. It's good to be brainwashed, achieve your goals that, you know...) according to a document describing the program. (A: And I'll put the links up for that too. Actually it's on this article anyway, you can see it yourself.)

"The federal government is currently creating a new team that will help build federal capacity to experiment with these approaches, and to scale behavioral interventions that have been rigorously evaluated, using, where possible, randomized controlled trials," the document reads. (A: So they've already used all these trials in other work. Actually they've been using it for... your whole life long.)

"The team will be staffed by 4-5 experts in behavioral science and experimental design and evaluation," it adds.

FoxNews.com was the first to obtain and report on the White House's "Behavioral Insights Team" memo.

The document praises British Prime Minister David Cameron for implementing a similar "behavioral insights" (A: It's actually called behavioral modification over there. That's what it is, you see.) team in the U.K., claiming the group has advanced the priorities of the British government while also saving it at least £1 billion within the next five years. (A: Well, that will pay, you know, .000000000% of this year's interest that they owe on loans.)

The document also shows the White House is already coordinating similar programs with federal agencies including the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Agriculture.

(A: And they go on and tell you all the different agencies that they're working this through.)
"We are already working with over a dozen federal departments and agencies on newly-designed behavioral insights projects," the document reads, "including the Department of Labor, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Education (A: Naturally, they've always done it through education.), Veterans Administration, Department of Treasury, Social Security Administration, Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the United States Department of Agriculture."

Maya Shankar, a White House senior adviser on social and behavioral sciences, emailed the document to a university professor requesting he distribute it to people interested in applying for the gig. (A: Oh, it's just a gig for them, right.)

The document goes on to list the job responsibilities for the "central team":

(A: Now, believe you me, when they give something out for public consumption you're only seeing...there's always two things given out. One is the one for public consumption, and there's always the one for the agencies themselves, that's totally different. So this is the user-friendly one.)

•Build Capacity: Work with a broad range of federal agencies to identify new program areas that could benefit from the application of behavioral insights. (A: What about using it on the politicians themselves, and test them for psychopathy. That would help us all.) Help to design, implement, and test the relevant interventions using rigorous experimental methods. (A: Oh, so they use rigorous experimental methods on you.)

•Enhance Capacity: (A: That sounds good, that's user-friendly.) Provide conceptual and technical support to agencies with specific behavioral insights efforts already underway.

•Convene: Lead a multi-agency "community of practice" to identify and share promising practices and common challenges.

•Create and Provide Resources: Generate tutorials and other "how to" documents to help accelerate these efforts within agencies. (A: Teachers get these things all the time, on how to brainwash the children, they call them toolkits.) Manage online library of relevant documents and media.

•Help inspire new ideas: Work with external partners to identify research findings that can inform policy and practice.

However, some are leery of the fed's new initiative; (A: No kidding hey!)

"Such policies — which encourage behavior subtly rather than outright require it — have come to be known as 'nudges,'" FoxNews.com notes. (A: Remember Sunstein?)

The term comes from the 2008 book titled "Nudge" by Cass Sunstein and Chicago Booth School of Business professor Richard Thaler. Sunstein was the Obama administration's regulations czar and is married to Samantha Power, Obama's new pick for US ambassador to the UN. (A: Naturally, you know.)

(A: Now, Cass Sunstein did not invent nudge. You've been getting this your whole life long, these nudges, through regular television shows, through school and different ways. They used to use peer group pressure because the majority of the public, the mass, will encourage the person who's going to be a bit different to go along with them, with all their opinions and everything else. That's old news actually. But they also do it through your Internet, oh people who liked this also liked that, you know. And then you help them with all the data, you know, folk who like this, tick this off, like this, dislike this. And you know, yeah, [Alan chuckles.] rats in the laboratory, and most folk are and they don't know it.)

Cass Sunstein, former Director of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the Office of Management and Budget.

And associating the fed's new "behavior insight" initiative with the term "nudge" isn't too far off.

Indeed, as one professor who received the "Behavioral Insights Team" email wrote in an email to his colleagues: "Anyone interested in working for the White House in a 'nudge' squad? The UK has one and it's been extraordinarily successful."

"I am very skeptical of a team promoting nudge policies," Utah State University professor Michael Thomas told FoxNews.com.

"Ultimately, nudging ... assumes a small group of people in government know better about choices than the individuals making them," he added. (A: Of course they do because they want you to be a little clone, through brainwashing, and use all kinds of techniques on you. And most folk, again, will go along with the mass because they all want to be the same. And they understand all of this.)

Of course, he continued, the government doesn't always get it right.

Dan Cruz, spokesman for the U.S. General Services Administration weighed in on the issue:

As part of the Administration's ongoing eforts to promote efficiency and savings (A: Ha. Ha.), GSA is considering adding some expertise from academia in the area of program efficiency and evaluation under its Performance Improvement Council.

Here's the "Behavioral Insights Team" pitch:

And I'll put the link up to it as well. And that's what it is, this is the exoteric pitch they give you, for you to understand how it's all going to be put on you. It's already getting used on you, all the time, and many... I could do 100 hours on it quite easily.

So you see, you understand, the greatest enemy of any mass system run by psychopaths is the person who can think for themselves, who hasn't been critically wounded mentally through growing up and life experiences. That's the greatest threat they have of all, so much so that Bertrand Russell who helped design the system that you're now living through today, and the whole degradation of the culture that you were to get brought through on the way, and the changing of all the gender stuff, all that was 50 years ago on their plan, actually longer than that, by people who are now dead. Long-term strategy planning and

implementation, using all these techniques that they're claiming are new sciences. Which is a big joke.

So remember, you are a product of it to an extent. When you see people who will not listen, can't listen, who are completely at odds with everything you say, it's because the conditioning, they're nudging and all their conditioning work together to produce what you're looking at, that person. Perfect conditioning. But they themselves think they have made their own mind up on everything. That's how beautiful it all is. It's fascinating actually.

But anyway, that's just a little bit of the news. Don't panic over it. Don't cripple yourself by sweating over it. If you are in charge of your own mind you will see through all these things yourselves. And because of that you do have a firewall on your mind. Most folk don't. For them the mind has no firewall. It's up to you. And for those who can handle it, you can dig deeper. Don't go fanatically crazy digging into it forever and ever until you're like a manic-depressive. Simply take what you can handle at a time, at a time, and do a lot of thinking.

Believe it or not, thinking requires something called, which is really taboo, which is why they don't give it to you these days, they don't like it because it helps you along. It's called silence. Turn everything else off. All the data you want to think about is in your mind already, you go over it and peruse it in your mind. Your mind is awfully good at sorting and categorizing things out for you, cataloguing things. So use your mind. What a gift it is to have a mind. Why do you think there are so many powers in the world all trying to destroy it and rob you of your mind? Think about that.

Anyway, from Hamish and myself, from a still wintery northern Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Diane Ablonczy Uses Air Quotes While Discussing 'Rule Of Law,' Bill C-51

Elizabeth May, Greens Say Bill C-51 Still Dangerous Despite Tory Amendments

After 50 Years of the Canada Pension Plan We've Run Off Course

Canada to launch airstrikes against ISIS in Syria 'within days'

Here Comes the White House’s Behavioral ‘Nudge Squad’

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

April 12, 2015
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Sanity Can Only Survive When Truth is Alive"
© Alan Watt April 12, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - April 12, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on April 12, 2015. You know, reality is something we all take for granted, because you're trained to take it for granted, and it's a natural thing too. So you can really augment the natural inclinations of people by training them to believe that the reality that they're are born into is a natural system. Every country in the world uses the same techniques because we're a global society today. And academia, that deals with the creation of future reality for the cultural industry, in combination with them in fact, work hard to make sure that you're given a reality.

But as I say, we take it for granted, reality. And when you're young, it's well understood by those who study us all the time, and there's lots of them, that you take it for granted. You feel healthy, you've got some vitality, and you have many drives and so on at the peak. You don't step back and say, well what is this reality, or, why are things a bit wrong? Except some people do and they often go into self-destruct mode because they can see through the basic cons that go on around them, and then to them and everyone else as well. So simply understanding that there are cons going on doesn't enable you to cope with it. You have to understand the whys of it all. Because it's never just one why, there's many levels of the why. So reality, which you take for granted, is like your legs when you're young. If you lose those legs, they're gone. Things you took for granted are gone and your whole reality is going to change. Your hopes, aspirations, everything is going to crumble completely and you have to readapt to another reality without your legs. Well, it's the same thing with the truth of all kinds.

Back in the 80s, for those who don't know it, our reality of course has always been managed by those in charge and often it's not the governments at all. In fact, the governments are enablers, to an extent, of the big organizations that run the world's finances, corporations and so on, all the big clubs that you hear about, the big private organizations they're always coming up with, philanthropy and sponsoring and funding big nongovernmental organization demonstration and protest groups and so on, and they get the laws passed

they wants to get passed and the government is only too happy to oblige. Because many of the top leaders are always, by the way, and in every party, doesn't make any difference, they belong to the same private organizations with their big global agendas. So you have to understand that in the information warfare which they talked about in the 80s, they mentioned copiously that, and the big think tanks that work for the elite of the day and the present talked about the need to prepare for the coming information age. And by that they went on to talk about ways to combat all the other information that was... it was contrary to the agendas the big elites had already laid out for the world and for all of us. So they talked about warfare of information. And we're in it today.

The big boys don't sit back, ever, in any generation in history and wait for things to happen. They've always had spies down through the centuries, all governments use them, locally, everywhere in fact, within their own countries not just abroad, and they gather all the data to see how the public are reacting to massive taxation or prolonged warfare and recruitment of all the young guys who would never come back. That's how it used to be often; in fact, in centuries gone by they were written off and some of them weren't even transported back home when the wars were over, in previous centuries. So we are basically the cannon fodder for big global private interests and those who control the world system.

It all starts of course with the control of the first con job which is money. They always make sure, since everyone's going to run on money, that's governments and all the people underneath it, right down to the population, they make sure to introduce the system of money managed at the top by themselves. And it's true enough, they can make money out of thin air, or give you some tokens for it, even gold is a token, in the past. A token is something that represents something else. It's a token, like a token of friendship, here's a little whatever it happens to be, a little gift of something. But a token of money is simply something they make sure is going to be accepted as a means of exchange. And they always at the top control the purchasing power, at any time and all times, of that particular token be it coin, paper, or blips on a computer screen. And everyone beneath that is taught that it's all kind of natural, they don't look into too deeply because it will terrify you and you won't understand it and experts must deal with it. That's the common theme of course, is that experts must deal with everything. And if you're not in that class of experts and up in those high academic levels then you have no right to really talk about anything at all. And you get hammered, rather severely, by the powers who have given themselves the authority over you to talk about these things.

Now, I won't reiterate tonight all the talks I've given over the years on how reality is encouraged, created and so on. Go into my website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find in the archive section thousands of talks on this, with big organizations involved. Lots of information is put out by themselves from their own sources, and that's what you must always go by, and just start to understand that you're not living in some new freer age. Which every generation is told they're freer, you know. Every single generation is taught that, that they're the freest generation that's ever come along. And they're used like crazy, they work hard, they pay all their taxes. It keeps all the big machinery of the system in place.

And you forget too that much of the money that you pay in taxes goes to corporate welfare, big corporations with their massive agendas, and roads and water supplies across the world and so on, for private interests, who get big massive free grants from governments. They say, oh it's too costly to implement ourselves so we need government aid. And they constantly get grants for it. You'll find in centuries gone by it was generally the big arms manufacturers that were the main beneficiaries of it; they still are of course. But at the same time, we've moved into this transition age where the big corporations of armaments and all of the industries that are involved in it, including all of the security, and homeland security, and internal security, and computerization, they're all involved in the same thing today. And again, even they talked about the end of their sales in a peaceful world, what would they do? They'd have to go into internal security and therefore, just like the wars in the past, which were often sparked off by too many dogs in the fight, where they had big corporate agendas, big corporate interests, so they would make things happen, they could spark off things at all times, real or imaginary. And they used imagination quite often too, even with the Royal Institute of International Affairs and that Milner group that preceded it, that eventually became the Royal Institute of International Affairs. They actually had their own reporters who would claim there were wars abroad, when there weren't any at all. Or, they would get little mercenary parties to invade other countries, like South Africa and claim that the Boers were responsible for it, then the government would send in your military that you pay for and the corporations, and would take over all the resources. Nothing

has changed.

Resource wars are phenomenally huge and they're constantly at the top talking about the future of the corporation, survival of the Corporation, all corporations. That's why all corporations are tied together, very tightly, much tighter than what you're taught to think as being independent of each other. In fact, many of the top CEOs have worked with the heads of other big corporations. And they also belong to these big private organizations like the CFR, which is a branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, all private organizations that you do not vote on but who have incredible clout. Because they put their own members in as prime ministers and presidents across the world. So they don't need to get everybody else down below in the political arena into the membership, they just need the ones at the top. Therefore, their big agendas always go as planned.

But just like nations, in the old days, we were taught, we were taught, I should say, first and foremost, made sure of their own survivability, regardless of circumstances, at the government level. You'll find it's the same thing with the big private corporations. And, they plan for 100 years sometimes in the future, sometimes even beyond that. They're always churning out their documents at the big global meetings on the next 100 years, the next 50 years and so on, 50 year plans, 100 year plans, five year, 10 year, for different parts of their own agendas. And let's be honest too, it's awfully helpful, in days gone by, and centuries gone by, when you could have global warfare on the go. Because if you're in the armaments business it was awfully good to have these things going on. So government has always been completely intertwined with private interests of the ruling elite.

Today we've got a global ruling elite and they still will use the national card when it suits them because they want the population always to back them. But at the same time too, they're going full scale for the global dominance of the whole planet, for the same private interests. Therefore, they always train every generation, and by that I really mean by every three or four years in kindergarten onwards are updated for what they are going to see in their lifetime and accept as a normal reality. That way there's a continuity, there's no break in the big agendas that they have there.

That's why there's so many behavioral psychologists, big associations of them, neuroscientists and so on, all working together at the very top, with government too and all government agencies by the way, helping set up the training for all government agencies. They have their own curriculums of course for all departments, even social workers, all put out by people way above them, that really aren't even involved in that particular area but they make sure that the workers have their own agendas to go out and implement upon the general populations. So those involved at low level don't even have to know the real intentions of what's really behind it, or the fact that they're being manipulated too. And it helps too when you give them a good paycheck, they tend not to look into these things, they simply do what they're told and they'll tell you, I was only doing my job, if things go sour.

With most people their indoctrination is perfect. They've all been given the same education, which is indoctrination, according to your class system. You watch the same movies and so on, you all chat about the same things, and you bounce off your sanity – as I've mentioned many times – by bouncing things off your peer group in conversation, different topics, and if they have the same opinion on something, or even a slight variation, that's all allowed of course, a slight variation, this or that, you're given two choices on everything, on what you want to believe in it, then you think you're quite sane, etc. It's a form of a blinder. It's like blinders or blinkers they call them on a horse. It's meant to see ahead and not to the sides; it's peripheral vision is blocked so it doesn't get distracted. And you're just the same, you see, you've been trained that way... Don't think for yourself, whatever you do, and reason for yourself, or even question things as they are presented to you. Simply regurgitate and go on the road ahead, which is all laid out for you to go through, your entire life on for that matter. And it was awfully, awfully successful.

Therefore, the information warfare age you've got so much information, and disinformation, and it becomes a bewildering mass of confusion for many, many people. Therefore they go into the staid things. The staid things are the things which are authorized, look into this, read the regular news, and believe it, and leave it to the experts and believe what they say. Remember too that Bertrand Russell, who created a lot of the culture, most, with this big massive world think tank that he was put in charge of along with the Macy group, the Frankfurt school and other ones, by the president of the United States by the way and with the

government of Britain after World War II. They helped design the whole culture including all the massive changes which have happened since then culturally and in all different areas. They have the big reasons for all of the changes and they have worked awfully, awfully well. Therefore, the machinery of making your reality is all working in concert to make sure it works awfully well for those who designed it, and the particular elite.

Russell said himself that they would train, we're going to train, and they were doing it back in the 40s and 50s, even through radio. He said, we'll train the people to only believe what experts tell them. And that's the first great pitfall because they can bring out any dude, they bring out experts in every single topic. And that's what they're called... As soon as you hear the word now, expert, you think they really are expert in their field. And he could be an absolute idiot of course, it does make any difference, you simply dress them up as an expert. And then you'll parrot what they say. So people tend to parrot what they're given as opposed to thinking through, reason, and investigate for themselves and come to their own conclusions. In this age of mass information and mass information warfare people don't have the time to go into everything. That's why it's even easier for elite group, knowing your psychology, to manage us all. It's much easier in fact than ever before. So you don't have to know an awful lot, except wake up to the facts that the human race has always been managed by those in power.

In ancient times it was very simple. People had big families often within tribes. Sometimes one big family would be more psychopathic than others and they would get together, get the swords out and kill everybody else around them, or, kill enough to terrify the rest and say we're now the leaders of the pack. That was simple then. But they always ran the danger, without a money system, of the rest of the people in the tribe of just saying we've had enough, we're overthrowing you. So in comes the money and then you can hire as many mercenaries, called soldiers of course, as you want. And once that happens you can keep these dynasties going forever and ever.

And it's no different in royalty, or the royalty of the business Corporation world, or banking world or whatever, because they are royalties in their own right, and they rule. If they rule they are royalty, you see. They don't have to go and say, let's put this to the public and hear what they want. No, they don't care what you want. Although they're awfully good at employing big think tanks to work very well. Again, the experts. And with all their ongoing studies on us, because we're the most studied species on the planet, humanity. Not the ant or the bee, we are. And they find out how to keep us going forever in a particular state of reality that's been given to us, without much doing on our part at all, we simply think it's all quite natural. And when you think it's natural, you cause as less fuss as possible for those in charge, regardless of the plans they have for you which are often pretty well nefarious at times too.

I'd have to say that today, and it's very sad indeed, the techniques are so perfect and the implementation of propaganda is so perfect, you're trained to believe the propagandist too, which is pretty well perfect for most folk. And techniques that used to be seen through in the past, of who is really behind this fracas in India during the British Empire days and so on, or behind this African fracas, etc., were a bit easier to see for some people. For some. For the masses, no, because very few of them had any education way back then when Britain first went in. But other folk who were getting into the middle classes, who had an education of some kind, could also connect the dots in history and see what was happening in the present and say, that's awfully similar to what happened back in... whenever, in Machiavelli's various days of fantastic exposé of propaganda, in the form of resume to an extent to Kings and Queens.

But you always find there are so many dogs in the fight today in a global structure, it's difficult to find out who's really behind it, on the superficial level. If you go into much deeper and really dig you'll find the dots. And there's an old saying, if you can connect the money... and the plans to extract more money through various means, remember it can be minerals, resources, whatever it happens to be, oil, you name it, there's always a lot of dogs in the fight. And there's nothing you can take in the geopolitical arena at face value.

I've mentioned many times about the Lord Alfred Milner group, a private organization, incredibly wealthy, top bankers and their sons and so on, in Britain at the time, who were involved in the machinations of getting wars going abroad to take over the resources and wealth of other nations, Africa, India and other countries across the world. They became the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the private organization. Again, you don't vote for them. This is the same organization that created the American branch, the CFR, the

Council on Foreign Relations, to take over from Britain. Because Britain at the time, the taxpayers had borne the brunt of all the wars that had been going on for such a long time, especially since the Bank of England was created, then after Rothschild took it over too then it was just war after war, because they could always use the people to pay taxes to pay for all the loans that the government got from the big Rothschild bank and so on. And you're the guarantor, if you were peasants, to pay off the loans. It's always been the same since. Nothing's changed since that time.

So the creation of a central banking system was essential to getting ongoing wars financed through the tax base, on behalf of the big private interests that have their own goals, and the real goals for the wars. And during the 19th century all the way through into the 20th century pretty well all wars were of a geopolitical nature with too many dogs in the fight, to muddy the waters as to why they simply seem to spark off. Nothing simply sparks off. It takes a lot of planning to get wars underway. It takes poverty in some countries to get wars started because if you're going to starve to death... here's your choices, starve to death or fight. And those who want the wars to start can often bring down a country economically to make sure that they'll start fighting, because they have their goals too. So there's lots of dogs in the fight in everything that you're told about.

Tonight I'll just touch on a few things briefly because, as I say, you could write books on every topic I bring up. As I say, most folk today, especially today, don't have the time in their personal lives to even read books anymore. They don't. Back in the 80s and the 90s they did study after study to find how many people were reading books and they kept diminishing up to the present time. In the 80s and 90s people were more conditioned in their spare time by tapes, documentary tapes and so on, of which were audio, and the VHS of course. So they became more visually orientated. Where one person could be saying the same things he said on the tape, but people would think it's more authoritative if they could see the person talking at the same time. They were losing their ability to simply listen.

Today most folk are completely orientated towards the visual. And because of that it's much easier to control them in fact. Because when you're reading a book, for instance, your mind comes into play when you're reading that book. There's no one going ahead at a rapid pace as you do on, say, a video or a CD or DVD, or an audio for that matter. You can stop after reading a line or two and think about it. So you participate in what you're reading and to the truth of it or the fallacies of it, etc. You had more time as well because to read takes some time of doing nothing else, no distractions. And that's awfully important. When the cartoon age really got going big time they kept bringing down the time for attention, the attention span down until it's about two or three seconds, if the child looks away from his hypnotic stare he'll miss the rest of the plot, it's so quick in how it changes, and that takes it down. Their attention span is even lower today than ever before.

So the big boys have done study after study after study, all the time, on how things affect us. There's an old saying in the Council on Foreign Relations, or the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the same organization, the Trilateral Commission, the same bunch, and a whole plethora, again, of subgroups underneath them all specialized in their own particular areas of managing us. But they've done so many studies on us that there's nothing that they really don't know on how things are working for those who control at any particular time. Therefore, reality, as it is presented to you, doesn't have to be true. Even news doesn't have to be true, by law, even. That was a Supreme Court decision in the US with a particular case a few years ago, where journalists actually were employed to do a documentary on genetically modified food. I think it involved Monsanto and other big companies. They were given the free reign to go their own way, that was part of their contract. But when they brought it out the big corporations pushed on the media outlet so hard that they literally fired and terminated the contract of the journalists. And the journalists said, that we were going to tell the truth and just the facts. But that's not allowed anymore. And it went all the way to the Supreme Court and the judge said, truth does not have to be the news, the news does not have to be the truth. There's no law that says news must be the truth.

Now, getting back to geopolitics and the history of huge finance and the controlling factors involved in it, and interference with countries to get the appearance of someone else creating mayhem abroad somewhere. It's perfected too. It's been the same for hundreds of years. Your country, since you're taught it's the best one in the world, every nation is taught that, of course you must believe it's always in the right. And politicians will get on indignantly to encourage the spread of warfare amongst the public. The warfare

mentality, oh we must go and get those... sods, you know. It works awfully well. It hasn't changed really.

But today it's even better, again, with the media techniques involved, and the concert of the media. They're all in concert together to give the same information; that's the mainstream. I've gone through stories before from, again, even the mainstream who talked about the creation of, say, the Rockefeller studies a long time ago to find out how many newspapers could control the whole US. How many main ones would it take? Because the rest of them copy the main stream, the big ones, you see, even your local ones copy them. They talked about 30 would do it. 30 main ones, then they would have separate magazines, like Harpers and different ones for segments of the managing of society, the upper middle classes or middle classes, within government and civil service itself. Because you must always make sure that you control what information is given.

And information, again, doesn't mean truth. It's information. Someone tells you that he's been kidnapped by space aliens. You know, that's information. It doesn't mean it's the truth, you see. It's information. All things are. Even lies are still information, you're sharing information. Spies do it all the time. Governments do it all the time. Therefore you have to change the way that you think, and you've been taught to think about your reality, again something you've been trained and you do naturally as well, you take it for granted without questioning it. Truth today, it's hard to find because of the incredible information age. And naturally that age must be dominated by those who cause confusion, in order to keep you in confusion so you turn right back to the experts' opinions. And remember too, because something is put over in a fantastically imaginative way to you it doesn't mean it's true either. It might grab your imagination and carry you off, it does not mean it's true. That's another technique that's used on people, a very well understood technique too.

But the big organizations that at least came out openly, more openly, not quite openly but more openly, in the 1800s, they all merged together. They were all separate parts, really, of the same, again, secretive organization. They came out into the open and even had the Royal charter, such as the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations. They came from groups who were involved, in the past, of geopolitical interference, the creation of wars. And they had their own personal historian I've talked about many times who wrote books on it, who are all for it naturally, that agenda, that an elite should rule the world. And academia, on their behalf, they would help rule and manage the people, and with the big money makers at the top, the cunning people, today we call them psychopaths, who are in charge. They would be the Masters. Nothing's changed. And they had to get the media all on board together by controlling as much of the media and big chains as possible, to give the same messages all in concert together.

You'll find that when, for instance, Britain, in the British Empire days, also had mercenary forces, private mercenary forces. In fact, they had one through the 20th century called Keeny Meeny, that was the name they used for it, where guys would, mercenaries really, drawn from Special Forces, would... They would be on higher basically by this Corporation, to the biggest bidder as long as they fell in line with the interests of the ruling elite of Britain. They couldn't use the regular army, at least initially, for a lot of things because you could never get public opinion to back up some big gold or diamond industry based in London to take over Sierra Leone, for instance. So you would use the private companies to do it and claim that you didn't know who they were, at least your national government would claim that, or it was independent of them, it wasn't their doing. And that's standard business policy, still is today. And when the US had to take over because the British public were taxed into the grave then, and that was part of the policy from the private organization that ran Britain, that the US tax burden would be put to good use and take over from Britain. And the US has been leading it ever since, really since the end of World War I, and definitely the period in between up to World War II, and took off completely after World War II. And it's the same corporations that run both countries basically.

But if we look into what's happening abroad and the little bits of news you get out, that simply are put to you as black and white things, black and white stories, here's the truth, here's the good guys, here's the bad guys. You have to look much, much deeper because there's nothing ever as clear as they make it out to be. Public opinion is the first thing that must be used in any big move to finance the big private interests, and of course the public are told little about the involvement of private interests. One of the biggest, really, admissions of that was when the US in Afghanistan and then Iraq had the big corporations involved that were given all the contracts, military contracts and so-called reconstruction contracts, that were to do with

taking over the oil industries in those countries, in Iraq, and I think even the water supplies, everything.

But you'll find too they must have mercenary organizations at work. One of the corporations they use, one of them in the US, because there's a few, apart from the other ones too that are involved in the CIA creating wars and so on, you'll find that Blackwater, as it used to be called, is one of the big ones. It's still on the go. And each time the public start to get to know who they are and they get bad press they changed their name. But here's an article here and it says...

Former Blackwater (Alan: ... the mercenary organization, a big corporation, with fingers in many pies, apart from the hiring of mercenaries.)
gets rich as Afghan drug production hits record high
theguardian.com / Spencer Ackerman / 31 March 2015

Opium poppy cultivation is up in Afghanistan despite the infamous mercenary firm formerly known as Blackwater being paid $569m by the Pentagon to stop it. (A: It's not meant to be stopped. And because we're given so much information folk just... It's like looking at the fast frames just flying past you that made up an old movie reel. You've got to look at each one independently to find out what they're actually doing if you really want to know the whole thing, each frame.)

An Afghan farmer harvests in an opium poppy field in Jalalabad, Afghanistan. (A: And remember, when Bush Junior was in charge of the neocons in the States and they went into Afghanistan, the first thing that they did was to allow the production, again, and the growing of poppy for opium. And it's just been a fantastic thing ever since for the big corporations that run it. Many of the big popular organizations, that are well-known organizations, that you know today, including the top banks, are involved in the drug money, as you know.)

In a war full of failures, the US counternarcotics mission in Afghanistan stands out: opiate production has climbed steadily over recent years to reach record-high levels last year.

Yet one clear winner in the anti-drug effort is not the Afghan people, but the infamous mercenary company formerly known as Blackwater.

Statistics released on Tuesday reveal that the rebranded private security firm, known since 2011 as Academi, reaped over a quarter billion dollars from the futile Defense Department push to eradicate Afghan narcotics, some 21% of the $1.5 bn in contracting money the Pentagon has devoted to the job since 2002.

The company is the second biggest beneficiary of counternarcotics largesse in Afghanistan. Only the defense giant Northrop Grumman edged it out, with $325m.

According to the US inspector general for Afghanistan "reconstruction" (A: That's what they call this department.), the $309m Academi got from US taxpayers paid for "training, equipment, and logistical support" to Afghan forces conducting counternarcotics, such as "the Afghan National Interdiction Unit, the Ministry of Interior, and the Afghan Border Police".

Far from eradicating the deep-rooted opiate trade, US counternarcotics efforts have proven useless, according to a series of recent official inquiries. Other aspects of the billions that the US has poured into Afghanistan over the last 13 years of war have even contributed to the opium boom. (A: No kidding, eh.)

In December, the United Nations reported a 60% growth in Afghan land used for opium poppy cultivation since 2011, up to 209,000 hectares. The estimated $3bn value of Afghan heroin and morphine represents some 15% of Afghan GDP.

"Given the growth in opium poppy cultivation, it must be assumed that the Taliban's income from the illegal trade in narcotics has remained an important factor in generating assets for the group," the United Nations reported. (A: Well, naturally it has.)

That same month, the US inspector general for Afghanistan warned that the opium trade would surely rise

as international aid money flees the country with the winding down of the war. (A: So war is great for busines. The opium trade will rise as the international aid money flees the country with the winding down of the war.) Yet the inspector general also noted that US reconstruction projects, particularly those devoted to "improved irrigation, (A: The usual rubbish.) roads, and agricultural assistance" were probably leading to the explosion in opium cultivation. (A: Because these are sidelines. Remember Halliburton in Afghanistan, this massive, giant Corporation, that the Federal Reserve even printed up millions, maybe even billions of dollars, and just gave it to them, to buy off warlords and everything else and make sure the big projects got underway, to grab the resources.)

"Affordable deep-well technology turned 200,000 hectares of desert in southwestern Afghanistan into arable land over the past decade," the inspector general found, concluding that "much of this newly arable land is dedicated to opium cultivation". (A: Well, they knew that before they started. To grow the poppy, the opium poppy, you need a salty water, slight salt, not too much but enough, and Afghanistan is rich in that, in salt water, and that's why it grows so well there, not just the heat. So they know all this stuff.)

Academi and its former Blackwater incarnation have an infamous history in Afghanistan. It once set up shell companies to disguise its business practices (A: That's what all the corporations do.), according to a Senate report, so that its contracts would be unimpeded by company employees' killings of Iraqi and Afghan civilians. (A: There's nothing clean in warfare or the mercenary business. And it's always money behind it. And massive profits. And big agendas, for profits, on behalf of big corporations, huge corporations.)

Blackwater's founder, Erik Prince, sold the company – then renamed "Xe" (A: They renamed it 'Xe' after 'Blackwater', and now it's the 'Academi'.) – in 2010. Under new ownership, the firm occasionally gestures toward emphasizing its original business training military and police personnel, but it has never quite divested itself of its security contracting business.

In 2010, Blackwater was one of a group of firms selected by the State Department for its $10bn contract to protect its diplomats worldwide (A: $10 billion.), precisely the mission Blackwater performed when its agents opened fire on Iraqi civilians at Baghdad's Nisour Square in 2007 and turned the company into the ugly face of private security. The following year, the firm's newly installed CEO pledged, "We're not backing away from security services." (A: [Alan laughing.] ...mercenary work, you know.)

A spokesman for Academi's parent company, the Constellis Group, said that the current firm had separated itself from its Blackwater ancestry.

"When the ownership of Constellis Group purchased our Moyock, N.C. training facility in 2010, Blackwater as the world knew it ceased to exist," said spokesman Tom McCuin.

"Since then, the new ownership, through a completely new management team, have worked diligently to establish a reputation for competence and accountability. I realize that for many skeptics, we have a long way to go toward winning their trust (A: [Alan laughing.]), and that for some, nothing we do will ever change their view. I can only reiterate how committed we are to delivering what we promise." (A: Well, to whom? Their clients?)

This article was amended on Thursday 2 April. Since publication, the US Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction significantly revised its estimates of US counternarcotics contracting totals. This article has been updated accordingly.

So you understand, that behind what they tell you, the propaganda and all the movies, the Pentagon funded movies they're churning out about these countries, Afghanistan and Iraq, etc., and even now in Africa big time, then there's much, much more too. It's not just a black and white issue, here's the official opinion, here's the bad guys, here's the good guys. And in this article here it says:

Blackwater founder Erik Prince:
Combative, secretive and expanding in Africa
washingtonpost.com / Dan Lamothe / October 28, 2014

(A: This is from 2014, last year.)

Erik Prince, the founder and then-CEO of Blackwater Worldwide, appeared at a House oversight and government reform committee hearing in 2007.

Seven years ago, Blackwater Worldwide founder Erik Prince was on Capitol Hill, defending the company he founded as it faced allegations that his employees had shot up a square in Baghdad a few weeks prior on Sept. 16, 2007, killing 14 civilians and wounding 17 others.

Prince insisted that day that all of his employees had acted appropriately, and that a series of baseless allegations of wrongdoing" had been made against his business, which he'd built from the ground up in the 1990s. (A: There's many more folk behind him, you understand. In all big corporations, international corporations, there's big people. It's not the people who invent some kind of a program for the Internet, believe you me; they're front men, that's why they're put up there as the big heroes and very intelligent. That's why they're running us through their NGO organizations across the world. Anyway back to the story and it says:) He bristled at the notion that his firm was a band of hired mercenaries, saying he and his employees "are Americans, working for Americans, protecting Americans."

Five Blackwater guards — all military veterans — have been convicted in the September 2007 shooting, including four last week in a high-profile case in Washington. But while Prince continues to question whether politics tainted the case, he says he has never interacted with the former employees who were involved. (A: [Alan chuckles.])

"I've never met the guys," he said (A: ...I've never seen them.) in an interview with Checkpoint. (A: You know, the usual stuff.)

Prince agreed to do interviews as his bestselling book, "Civilian Warriors: (A: [Alan chuckles.]) The Inside Story of Blackwater and the Unsung Heroes of the War on Terror," (A: There's good propaganda for you.) came out on paperback this week. The release date was planned for some time, but it comes just days after the convictions of the former Blackwater guards put the company back in the headlines.

So you understand, you can't get truth to look into the financial system and who is behind things and what they're after, and all of this hiring mercenary organizations, and funding them from the Pentagon too, for the private corporations that own them. We always pay, the public always pay for big corporations, for the big projects; the big corporations just collects the profit, you understand.

And quite a few years back and over the years I've mentioned about Africore, which is the big organization that's supposed to start taking care of the whole of Africa, for a United Africa of course, on behalf of the big corporations that have been in there for centuries and taking out its resources and so on, and keeping it always in upheaval, just like Britain did in India, and always blaming someone else, some local tribe for starting something. But here's an article here and this is 2015. It says:

Kenya freezes key money transfers to Somalia over terror suspicion
news.yahoo.com / Peter Martell / April 8, 2015

(A: And I just want to touch on something before I forget. This nonsense of Al Qaeda and ISIS. Remember, they were funded totally by the West, set up by the West, organized by the West, armed by the West. And they keep changing their name, just like they keep changing Blackwater, when you start to catch on to what's going on. And now it's ISIS and so on, it's the same organization who are used as well. You have to look at everything, into who profits, who benefits.)

Nairobi (AFP) - Kenya froze key money transfer companies vital for Somalia Wednesday after the police chief issued a list of 85 people and businesses with suspected links to the Al-Qaeda-linked Shebab.

Top of the list issued in an official government gazette notice was alleged Shebab commander Mohamed Mohamud, said to be the mastermind behind the university massacre of almost 150 people last week.

But the list also included money transfer companies that provide a crucial way for relatives to send remittances from abroad to war-torn Somalia, including Dahabshiil, one of the most important transfer companies across the wider Horn of Africa region.

Their suspension in Kenya would have major impact on money transfers, with aid agencies warning in the past their suspension would hit some of poorest people the hardest.

With no formal banking system in the impoverished country, diaspora Somalis turn to money transfer services to send money back home to support their families, sending some $1.3 billion (1.1 billion euros) each year, dwarfing foreign aid.

The move was immediately criticised.

"If you look at that list, it is sad that licensed entities are put together with suspected terrorists," said Anthony Wachira, the head of the Kenya Forex Remittance Association.

He said 13 of the companies were licensed by the Central Bank of Kenya as well as the association.

"I would only request the regulator and the security machinery to provide for an investigative period where they should allow them to operate when they are doing the investigations," he said.

Kenyan President Uhuru Kenyatta on Saturday warned Shebab fighters his government will respond to their killing of 148 people in the university in Garissa in the "severest way" possible, with warplanes on Monday attacking Islamist bases in southern Somalia.

But Kenyatta also warned that the masterminds behind last Thursday's attack were inside Kenya, not Somalia.

The notice, issued under Kenya's prevention of terrorism act and which gives the names listed 24 hours to demonstrate why they "should not be declared a specified entity," was signed by Kenya's police chief Joseph Boinett.

There are huge flows of money both ways between the neighbouring nations, for trade and business, as well as in lifeline remittances to war-torn Somalia from relatives in the region.

This is only a little bit of the article. But you have all different kinds of subversive groups, often terrorist, either terrorist... How many terrorists are actually mercenaries too? How many private organizations are inside the so-called... ISIS group, or Islamic-based groups? Also terrorist and also mercenaries. Who benefits from it all? Who is supposed to go and... Remember, Africore again, where the UN wanted the US and others, which always stands up for its own corporations, they want Africore to take over all the security of Africa, the whole of Africa, and then start integrating it all, just like they're doing with NAFTA and the Pacific partnership, with the transpacific partnership with Canada, the US and other countries all merging together, etc. etc. How do you get to your goal unless you create all this chaos? And especially confusion. So you've got all this going.

Now, could you imagine, if you truly are an Islamist group, and all the propaganda will keep saying that, oh they're just radical Islamists, radical, radical, radical. Now, within all groups of religious groups you'll get the completely radical, I don't care what country or what type of religion you look into. But behind many of them too, down through history you'll find again that private groups, that are inside of them, with mercenaries who are trained and everything else, and subversives to stir up other problems, to get a goal for their masters achieved. And it's the same with inside Islamist groups as well.

If you truly were religious, and for your religion, it would be ridiculous if you want converts to go out and slaughter those who won't simply convert or belong to a different faith. That's going to create antagonism against your particular religion and your cause or whatever else and it makes no sense whatsoever. So there's always, again, too many dogs in the fight here. And when it comes to mercenary groups, and trained western mercenary groups, you'll never get to the bottom, the truth of it. You won't get it. You can suspect

it. You might see it in the overall sense of seeing it, the gestalt way, but that's what's behind it. Who benefits? It's always the same. And again too, this is to give more power to the international banking authorities, as they set up more and more power for themselves, these private organizations that also belongs to the one global organization that wants to run all global currencies, like the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland. Everything falls into place when you go through things as to what they're after.

Now, here's another article here:

400 US mercenaries 'deployed on ground' in Ukraine military op
Rt.com / May 11, 2014

(A: And that's 2014.)

About 400 elite mercenaries from the notorious US private security firm Academi (formerly Blackwater) are taking part in the Ukrainian military operation against anti-government protesters in southeastern regions of the country, German media reports.

The Bild am Sonntag newspaper, citing a source in intelligence circles, wrote Sunday that Academi employees are involved in the Kiev military crackdown on pro-autonomy activists in near the town of Slavyansk, in the Donetsk region.

On April 29, German Intelligence Service (BND) informed Chancellor Angela Merkel's government about the mercenaries' participation in the operation, the paper said, RIA Novosti reported. It is not clear who commands the private military contractors and pays for their services, however. (A: They're awfully... I mean... And there won't be any inquiries to find out because it's part of the big... it's all authorized and approved and planned at the top.)

In March, media reports appeared suggesting that the coup-imposed government in Kiev could have employed up to 300 mercenaries. That was before the new government launched a military operation against anti-Maidan activists, or "terrorists" as Kiev put it, in southeast Ukraine.

(A: Do you remember too, that they use to start off in the West. It all came out too, it was found out, the color revolutions across Europe, where the Western powers, again, were employing and setting up people to organize and get these riots going abroad, to change governments, to suit the ones who rule over us in fact. [Alan laughing.] It's quite fascinating.)

At the time, the Russian Foreign Ministry said then that reports claiming Kiev was planning to involve "involve staff from foreign military companies to 'ensure the rule of law,'" could suggest that it wanted "to suppress civil protests and dissatisfaction."

(A: The Russian Foreign Ministry also said:)
"Among the candidates for the role of gendarme is the Barbados-registered company Greystone Limited, which is integrated with the Academi corporation," the Foreign Ministry said in a statement. "It is an analogue, and, probably and affiliated body of the Blackwater private army, whose employees have repeatedly been accused of committing grievous and systematic human rights abuses in different troubled regions."

Allegations increased further after unverified videos appeared on YouTube of unidentified armed men in the streets of Donetsk, the capital of the country's industrial and coalmining region. In those videos, onlookers can be heard shouting "Mercenaries!" "Blackwater!," and "Who are you going to shoot at?" (A: This is the public shouting at these troops, these mercenaries.)

Academi denied its involvement in Ukraine (A: ...that's standard.), claiming on its website that "rumors" were posted by "some irresponsible bloggers and online reporters."

"Such unfounded statements combined with the lack of factual reporting to support them and the lack of context about the company, are nothing more than sensationalistic efforts to create hysteria and headlines in

times of genuine crisis," the US firm stated.

The American security company Blackwater gained worldwide notoriety for the substantial role it played in the Iraq war as a contractor for the US government. In recent years it has changed its name twice – in 2009 it was renamed Xe Services and in 2011 it got its current name, Academi.

The firm became infamous for the alleged September 16, 2007 killing of 17 Iraqi civilians in Baghdad. (A:It goes through the usual killings in Iraq and Baghdad and so on.)

So again too, you've got all these dogs in the fight, and governments and agencies and the corporations that run nations make sure that they try and keep their own personal involvement, what appears to be simply sporadic uprisings in different countries by infighting, are actually caused by their own mercenary forces that are kept out of the media. Standard procedure though.

And back to Africa again, this says:

White House officials defend Somalia strategy as counterterrorism model
washingtonpost.com / Greg Jaffe / April 3, 2015

President Obama has cited the battle against al-Shabab militants in Somalia as a model of success for his relatively low-investment, light-footprint approach to counterterrorism.

By some measures, it has paid dividends. U.S. drones have killed several of the Islamist group's leaders, including two top planners in just the past month, a senior administration official said Friday. African Union troops backed by the United States have forced al-Shabab fighters to flee huge swaths of territory.

But this week's massacre of 148 people at Garissa University College, the deadliest terrorist attack on Kenyan soil in two decades, demonstrates the limits of the administration's approach and the difficulty of producing lasting victories over resilient enemies.

Only last fall, Obama was touting his counterterrorism strategy in the region as one that "we have successfully pursued in Yemen and Somalia for years."

The collapse of the American-backed government in Yemen (A: Again, American backed.) forced the Pentagon last month to pull its Special Operations forces from the country. The chaos in Yemen and the absence of an effective partner has essentially halted U.S. counterterrorism operations against al-Qaeda's affiliate there.

In Somalia and neighboring Kenya, the record is less clear. Despite this week's killings, senior administration officials characterized their campaign against al-Shabab as highly effective. The organization, a onetime youth militia that began affiliating with al-Qaeda in the mid-2000s, once controlled virtually all of southern Somalia but has lost more than 75 percent of its territory in recent years.

This week's vicious killings in Kenya, carried out by only a small team of masked gunmen (A: Again, masked gunmen, eh.), were cited by White House officials as further evidence of the group's inevitable demise.

(A: And they go in to say they're desperate and so on.)
"They are desperate," said the senior administration official.

But analysts who follow al-Shabab's activities said the recent attacks demonstrate how difficult it is to destroy militant groups in places such as Somalia, where decades of war and famine have created vast, chaotic and largely ungovernable areas. (A: Again, don't forget what Africore is supposed to do, the UN group of Western powers that want to police all of Africa. So the more they have apparently happening within Africa and African nations the more they can use that as their ammunition to say, we've got to go in there and government these people and, you know, take their resources and so on. And that's what it says here. It says...)

. . .the recent attacks demonstrate how difficult it is to destroy militant groups in places such as Somalia. . . (A: Are they really militant groups?Or are they mercenaries? Remember, the West employs and trains mercenaries in other countries too. Always have.)

After troops from a coalition of countries acting under the banner of the African Union dislodged al-Shabab from the area it controlled, ill-disciplined militia forces filled the vacuum. Kenya's participation in the African Union mission has made it a target for reprisal attacks.

"There's no question that there was not an effective plan to win the peace (A: How many times have you heard 'winning the peace?') after winning the war," said Kenneth Menkhaus, an expert on Somalia (A: Ha, an expert.) and a professor at Davidson College.

Some have criticized the international community for its failure to deliver the money (A: So, money again, the taxpayer.) and support the fledgling Somali government needed to function, Menkhaus said. Other experts contended that the government's corruption and incompetence had caused potential backers in the West to pull their support.

And this goes on and on and on with the usual doublespeak confusion. Again, when you have masked gunmen anywhere, who are they really? Who are they really, folks? Who benefits if we the taxpayers fund our troops to go over there to police these areas, followed immediately by the big corporations, that seem to run all our countries? Hum? That's quite simple, isn't it?

Then this article here:

Expanding its role in Afghanistan, China to help build dam, roads
reuters.com / Feb 10, 2015

KABUL/BEIJING (Reuters) - China has promised to help build a hydroelectric power plant in a violent Afghan border region, as well as road and rail links to Pakistan, in the latest sign it is taking a more active role in Afghanistan.

The assistance will include an unspecified amount of financing, an Afghan foreign ministry spokesman, Sirajul Haq Siraj, said on Tuesday, a day after senior Afghan, Chinese and Pakistani diplomats met in Kabul.

(A: So here's how it's presented to you, that they're just going in there to do charity work? Of course not. I think it was a year or two years ago I mentioned a mainstream article too, that China was having the American troops protect the roads on which its big trucks went in to take out the natural resources. Incredibly rich in minerals, very expensive minerals in Afghanistan. And China is a bit more open themselves, that they have a different technique of taking over other countries to exploit the resources than the West. They don't just simply go in with the big massive gang of their regular Army or mercenary troops. They tend to try to do it through financing. And again too, do you think China is independent? Who set modern China up? Who set up communism? Who funded communism? Massive history to it. So China is not independent either, believe you me.)

In 2013, Pakistan said it would also build a motorway connecting the Pakistani city of Peshawar to Kabul, as well as a railway line from Chaman, on the Pakistani side of Afghanistan's southeastern border to the southern Afghan city of Kandahar.

So you have, again, too many dogs in the fight. And always deception. And believe you me, China couldn't make a move in these countries, if it was truly independent, simply going in there to take out resources and so on. Nothing is given for free. And when they want to get roads and railways in, it's to take resources out. That's what Britain did in India. The taxpayer-funded the roads and all of the railroad lines, and they also funded all the construction set up for it, and brought in engines and everything else from Britain to haul the freight, for the big moguls who ran Britain. Nothing changes. But if you can create the impression back home that there is simply chaos happening and you've got to get involved, and you hire mercenaries to go and start the trouble off, to get public opinion on your side, then the public aren't going to complain too much about it, they're just not going to do it. They won't do it.

Now, what I'm getting at tonight, take nothing at face value. What's happening today, the techniques that are used, are not new in any way, shape or form. And national governments have been used for this for centuries and centuries. And you must always make your enemy seem to be the bad guy for your folk back home who are going to finance it all, for the big private interests, and be taxed to pay for it all. It's expensive building, sending troops over and building things and so on, on behalf of the private interests. It's expensive to the taxpayer. So nothing changes. Nothing changes.

And when you have people going in to simply massacre people it makes no sense at all. You have a backlash. You'll not convince people that you are the good guys. Join us, it won't happen. But it certainly gets the animus up against them by outside forces and powers, the populations... something must be done. And that's the world we live in today.

If you have a big agenda, or a whole series of agendas to fulfill, running to a business timetable, and the whole world is run to a huge business timetable, long-range business plans, you must make the instance happen. The end justifies the means. So whatever you do to get the end accomplished you will do, no matter how horrific it would be if it was ever exposed to the general public as to what you have actually done. There's nothing new in this at all. Nothing whatsoever.

I can remember the battles that went on in Sierra Leone years ago. At first it was put out by the mainstream as internal battles of just crazy gangs and so on and it was interfering with business and commerce. They were debating then whether to send troops in there from abroad. Then some enterprising journalists and film crews went over and met some of the people who were doing the fighting. And the people who were in the countries that were doing the fighting were gang members - you're going to get the underclass of gangsters anywhere – and they were being trained by mercenaries, again, from the private security companies, who were there talking about it, all dressed in their warfare gear and training and under live fire you saw these bullets whizzing everywhere.

It turned out that Sierra Leone, one of its main exports was diamonds, gold too but diamonds was the big thing. And then an investigation was done, again by journalists, independent ones, but they couldn't keep their news down, and often, and eventually I think it got on the mainstream television. They traced it all the way back to London. They went into a big office tower, massive corporation, where this heavily jelled guy sat and he was interviewed about his corporation financing of the mercenaries in Sierra Leone, to get this whole Civil War started, to create the chaos. And this guy with the fat cheeks, he looks like a chipmunk there, they all do, they have no necks, you know, the money boys. And he was a good psychopath, very obvious too because he broke out laughing when he was accused. And he didn't rebut it, refute it, what he was being accused of. He says, well why not? He says, it's business. Hiring mercenaries, to him and his ilk, was business, always was, to create havoc elsewhere, because you want the resources, the diamonds and so on. And he looked at the folk who were interviewing him with an incredulous look, as a good psychopath would, at their disbelief at what he was saying, like, don't these numbskulls know reality? He says, these folk over in Sierra Leone are sitting on all this wealth and doing nothing with it. And he laughed and gave them that incredulous stare, like, can't you see that. Because to a psychopath it was incredulous that anybody wouldn't see that, he could see it, and doing what he and his corporation were doing, which is standard business practice. And he said that, this is standard business practice. The deaths that come out of it are of no concern to them whatsoever, at home or abroad by the way. It doesn't bother them at all. To them it's always been that way.

So I'm always very cautious when governments in the West, or anywhere else for that matter, try to get the people on board to go against the now hated whoever-they-happen-to-be... because of the incredible propaganda that's been put out, how atrocious they are. It really is something else. But that's humanity unfortunately. And within humanity I think there's a much, much larger group classed as psychopath involved than what they come out with an tell you of maybe one in 1000. I think it's much, much higher than that, say, 10 in 2000 maybe, maybe 5 in a 1000. I think it's much, much higher. In fact, they run the system. They give you your reality. And in psychopathic systems, where they rule it, they give the population that follow the system a psychopathic culture, which always leads to incredible degradation amongst the people themselves, to each other, as they all compete and, I'm-all-right-Jack-and-to-hell-with-you. That's the sad truth of it. It's very simple, it's not difficult to understand at all.

Anyway, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Former Blackwater gets rich as Afghan drug production hits record high

Blackwater founder Erik Prince: Combative, secretive and expanding in Africa

Kenya freezes key money transfers to Somalia over terror suspicion

400 US mercenaries 'deployed on ground' in Ukraine military op

White House officials defend Somalia strategy as counterterrorism model

Expanding its role in Afghanistan, China to help build dam, roads

---

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"